Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 20 (1974)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: NON-STANDARD ANALYSIS: AN EXPOSITION
Autor: Levitz, Hilbert
Kapitel: 5. Infinite Natural Numbers
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-46892

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 07.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

For any finite set $T \subseteq R$ we can show $T = T^*$. Suppose $T = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ then

$$(\forall x)\,(x \in T \leftrightarrow [x = a_1 \vee x = a_2 \vee \ldots \vee x = a_n])$$

is true in R, thus

$$(\forall x)\,(x \in T^* \leftrightarrow [x = a_1 \vee x = a_2 \vee \ldots \vee x = a_n])$$

is true in R^*; that is, $T^* = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$.

Although the Main Theorem makes no mention of functions f whose domain is a proper subset $D \subset R$. We can define a function $f^* : D^* \to R^*$ in a natural way. Arbitrarily extend f to a function g which is defined on all of R; then let f^* be the restriction of g^* to D^*. This definition is easily seen to be independent of the way f is extended.

5. Infinite Natural Numbers

We have seen in the last section that each particular $S \subseteq R$ has associated with it a certain extension $S^* \subseteq R^*$. We now consider the case when we take S to be N, the set of natural numbers. One can see that N^* actually has some non-standard members as follows. The statement "N is unbounded" is true in R and can be formulated as the admissible statement

$$(\forall x)\,(\exists y)\,(y \in N \wedge y > x);$$

therefore

$$(\forall x)\,(\exists y)\,(y \in N^* \wedge y > x)$$

is true in R^*. It asserts that N^* is an unbounded subset of R^*. If we let α be an infinite member of R^*, then N^* must have an even larger member which, of course, is also infinite and non-standard.

We can show that all the non-standard members of N^* are infinite in the following way. Formulate as admissible statements each of the infinitely many assertions:

"All natural numbers are greater than 0."
"No natural numbers lie between 0 and 1."
"No natural numbers lie between 1 and 2."
etc.

Each of those statements then must be true in R^* when we read N^* instead of N, so each member of $N^* - N$ must be greater than all the real numbers.

In view of the above we call the non-standard members of N^* *infinite natural numbers.*

Now it is easy to show that each infinite natural number has an immediate successor in N^* (because of the corresponding result for N), and each infinite natural number has an infinite immediate predecessor in N^*. N^* isn't well ordered because if α is an infinite natural number, the chain

$$\alpha > \alpha - 1 > \alpha - 2 > \cdots$$

has no least member. Here again one might be tempted to use the Main Theorem to infer that N^* is well ordered because N is; however, the statement that N is well ordered is not admissible by virtue of its having a variable ranging over subsets. It reads:

"Every non-empty subset of N . . ."

Concepts such as even number, odd number, and prime number are all meaningful for infinite natural numbers; indeed, if $E \subseteq N$ is the set of even numbers, then E^* is the set of even numbers of N^*.

It will be shown later that N^* is uncountably infinite.

6. Limits, Continuity, Boundedness, and Compactness

Now we show that R^* provides the appropriate machinery for formulating concepts from the Calculus in an intuitive and direct way. Consider, for example, the limit concept. The $\varepsilon - \delta$ definition of $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L$ seems to be a roundabout way of saying that for x infinitely close to but not equal to c, $f(x)$ will be infinitely close to L. Now it makes sense to say it just that way provided we are talking about $f^*(x)$. It not only makes sense, but as the next theorem shows, saying it that way actually gives a correct characterization of $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L$.

Theorem 6.1. Let f be a standard function defined on a standard open interval (a, b) having c as an interior point. Suppose further that L is standard, then

(a) $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L$ if and only if $c \neq x \approx c$ implies $f^*(x) \approx L$.