Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 20 (1974)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: NON-STANDARD ANALYSIS: AN EXPOSITION
Autor: Levitz, Hilbert
Kapitel: 4. Fixed Subsets
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-46892

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 08.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

$<^*, f^*$ and the variables are to range over R^*. Sometimes we shall put on some of the stars for emphasis.

4. Fixed Subsets

Let S be a particular (fixed) subset of R. We can identify S with the one-place relation $S(x)$ which holds for a given x if and only if $x \in S$; that is,

$$S = \{ x \in R \mid S(x) \}.$$

We can now define a set $S^* \subseteq R^*$ by

$$S^* = \{ x \in R^* \mid S^*(x) \}.$$

Clearly $S \subseteq S^*$ because $S^*(x)$ agrees with $S(x)$ on R. We shall often write

$$x \in S \text{ instead of } S(x)$$

and

$$x \in S^* \text{ instead of } S^*(x).$$

The upshot of the above is that the Main Theorem also provides for an extension S^* for each $S \subseteq R$ and that we can allow as admissible statements those which involve the sentence fragment $x \in S$; in "lifting" statements from R to R^* we replace the fragment $x \in S$ by $x \in S^*$. Warning! The requirement that admissible statements be permitted only variables ranging over R hasn't been altered. In a given statement the functions, relations, and subsets must remain fixed!

Example 4.1. Let $S = \{ x \in R \mid x < 6 \}$. Now

$$(\forall x)\,(x \in S \leftrightarrow x < 6) \text{ is true in } R$$

so

$$(\forall x)\,(x \in S^* \leftrightarrow x <^* 6) \text{ is true in } R^*.$$

Thus

$$S^* = \{ x \in R^* \mid x <^* 6 \}.$$

Furthermore S^* is a proper extension of S, because for any infinitesimal ε, the number $5 + \varepsilon$ is a member of S^*, but not being a standard number, it can't be a member of S.

For any finite set $T \subseteq R$ we can show $T = T^*$. Suppose $T = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ then

$$(\forall x)\,(x \in T \leftrightarrow [x = a_1 \vee x = a_2 \vee \ldots \vee x = a_n])$$

is true in R, thus

$$(\forall x)\,(x \,\varepsilon\, T^* \leftrightarrow [x = a_1 \vee x = a_2 \vee \ldots \vee x = a_n])$$

is true in R^*; that is, $T^* = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$.

Although the Main Theorem makes no mention of functions f whose domain is a proper subset $D \subset R$. We can define a function $f^* : D^* \to R^*$ in a natural way. Arbitrarily extend f to a function g which is defined on all of R; then let f^* be the restriction of g^* to D^*. This definition is easily seen to be independent of the way f is extended.

5. Infinite Natural Numbers

We have seen in the last section that each particular $S \subseteq R$ has associated with it a certain extension $S^* \subseteq R^*$. We now consider the case when we take S to be N, the set of natural numbers. One can see that N^* actually has some non-standard members as follows. The statement "N is unbounded" is true in R and can be formulated as the admissible statement

$$(\forall x)\,(\exists y)\,(y \in N \wedge y > x)\,;$$

therefore

$$(\forall x)\,(\exists y)\,(y \in N^* \wedge y > x)$$

is true in R^*. It asserts that N^* is an unbounded subset of R^*. If we let α be an infinite member of R^*, then N^* must have an even larger member which, of course, is also infinite and non-standard.

We can show that all the non-standard members of N^* are infinite in the following way. Formulate as admissible statements each of the infinitely many assertions:

"All natural numbers are greater than 0."
"No natural numbers lie between 0 and 1."
"No natural numbers lie between 1 and 2."
etc.