

|                     |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Zeitschrift:</b> | L'Enseignement Mathématique   |
| <b>Herausgeber:</b> | Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  |
| <b>Band:</b>        | 16 (1970)   |
| <b>Heft:</b>        | 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE  |
| <br><b>Artikel:</b> | NAIVELY CONSTRUCTIVE APPROACH TO BOUNDEDNESS PRINCIPLES, WITH APPLICATIONS TO HARMONIC ANALYSIS |
| <b>Autor:</b>       | Edwards, R. E. / Price, J. F.   |
| <b>Kapitel:</b>     | Part 3: Applications to Fourier series  |
| <b>DOI:</b>         | <a href="https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43866">https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43866</a>           |

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 17.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

satisfying  $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ , the series (6.6) converges normally in  $L_p^q(G)$  to  $T$ . Next,  $T$  is the limit in  $E$  of

$$S_r = \sum_{n=1}^r \omega_n T_{K_n}$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and, since it is plain that  $\text{supp } S_r \subseteq \Omega$  for every  $r$ , (ii) is easily derived. Finally, if  $\hat{T}$  were a measure  $\mu$ , it would necessarily be the case that  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \bar{\Omega}$  and so, for every  $n \in N$ , one would have by (6.1) and (6.4)

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(T) &= |u_n * T v_n(0)| = |\int_{\Gamma} \hat{u}_n \hat{v}_n d\mu| \\ &\leq |\mu|(\bar{\Omega}), \end{aligned}$$

which is finite since  $\Omega$  is relatively compact. However, this plainly would entail  $f^*(T) < \infty$ , in conflict with (6.8), so that  $T$  cannot be a measure and (iii) is verified. This completes the proof.

**6.4 REMARK.** Theorem 6.3 was proved by Hörmander ([14], Theorem 1.9) for  $G = R^n$  and any given pair  $(p, q)$  satisfying  $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ , this result being extended to a general noncompact LCA  $G$  by Gaudry [5]. The argument given by Hörmander (loc. cit. Theorem 1.6 and the remark immediately following) for the case  $G = R^n$  can also be extended to a general LCA  $G$  and shows that, if either  $q \leq 2$  or  $p \geq 2$ , then every  $T \in L_p^q(G)$  is such that  $\hat{T}$  is a measure [and indeed a measure of the form  $\psi \lambda_{\Gamma}$ , where  $\psi \in L_{loc}^2(\Gamma)$  if  $q \leq 2$  and  $\psi \in L_{loc}^p(\Gamma)$  if  $p \geq 2$ , and so  $\psi \in L_{loc}^2(\Gamma)$  in either case]. Thus the hypotheses made in Theorem 6.3 about  $p$  and  $q$  are necessary for the validity of the conclusion.

### PART 3: APPLICATIONS TO FOURIER SERIES

#### § 7. Applications to divergence of Fourier series.

**7.1** Throughout §§ 7-10,  $G$  will denote an infinite Hausdorff compact Abelian group with character group  $\Gamma$ , and  $\lambda_G$  the Haar measure on  $G$ , normalised so that  $\lambda_G(G) = 1$ . For any  $f \in L^1(G)$ ,  $\hat{f}$  will denote the Fourier transform of  $f$ ; for any finite subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$ ,

$$S_{\Delta} f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \tag{7.1}$$

is the  $\Delta$ -partial sum of the Fourier series of  $f$ ; and  $\text{sp}(f)$  will stand for

the spectrum of  $f$ , i.e., for the support  $\text{supp } \hat{f} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \hat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0\}$  of  $\hat{f}$ . The term “trigonometric polynomial” will frequently be abbreviated to “t.p.”. In addition,  $\Phi$  will denote the largest torsion subgroup of  $\Gamma$  ([7], (A.4)), and  $\pi$  the natural map of  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Gamma/\Phi$ . If  $\Delta$  denotes a subset of  $\Gamma$ ,  $[\Delta]$  will stand for the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\Delta$ .

By a (*convergence*) *grouping* we shall mean a sequence  $\mathcal{D} = (\Delta_j)_{j \in N} = (\Delta_j)$  of finite subsets  $\Delta_j$  of  $\Gamma$  such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Delta_j \subseteq \Delta_{j+1} \quad (j \in N); \\ \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_j = \Gamma_0 \text{ is a subgroup of } \Gamma, \text{ said to be} \\ \text{covered by } \mathcal{D}; \\ \text{for each } j \in N, \Delta_j = \Omega_j + \Lambda_j, \text{ where } \Lambda_j \text{ is a} \\ \text{nonvoid finite subset of } \Phi \text{ and } \Omega_j \text{ is a finite} \\ \text{subset of } \Gamma \text{ such that } \pi \mid \Omega_j \text{ is 1-1.} \end{array} \right\} \quad (7.2)$$

[The first two conditions are natural enough in the context described in 7.3, but the third is less so and may well be pointless.] The grouping  $\mathcal{D}$  is said to be of *infinite type* if and only if  $\pi(\Gamma_0)$  is infinite.

7.2 EXAMPLES. (i) Let  $\Gamma_0$  be any countable subgroup of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma_0 \cap \Phi = \{0\}$ ; for example,  $\Gamma_0 = \{n\gamma_0 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma \setminus \Phi$ . Then a grouping  $\mathcal{D}$  covering  $\Gamma_0$  results whenever  $\Lambda_j = \{0\}$  and  $\Delta_j = \Omega_j$  for every  $j \in N$ , where  $(\Omega_j)_{j \in N}$  is any increasing sequence of finite subsets of  $\Gamma_0$  with union equal to  $\Gamma_0$ . This grouping is of infinite type if and only if  $\Gamma_0$  is infinite.

(ii) If  $G$  is connected, and if  $\Gamma_0$  is any countable subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then ([10], 2.5.6 (c), 8.1.2 (a) and (b) and 8.1.6)  $\Gamma_0$  is an ordered group isomorphic to a discrete subgroup of  $R$ . Assuming  $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\Gamma_0$  has a smallest positive element  $\gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_0 = \{n\gamma_0 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . A natural grouping  $\mathcal{D}$  covering  $\Gamma_0$  is that in which  $\Lambda_j = \{0\}$  and

$$\Delta_j = \Omega_j = \{n\gamma_0 : n \in \mathbb{Z}, |n| \leq j\}$$

for every  $j \in N$ ; this grouping is of infinite type.

7.3 A grouping  $\mathcal{D} = (\Delta_j)_{j \in N}$  will be thought of as specifying one of the many possible ways in which one may interpret the convergence of Fourier series of functions  $f$  on  $G$  satisfying  $sp(f) \subseteq \Gamma_0$ , namely, as convergence of the corresponding sequence of partial sums  $(S_{\Delta_j} f)_{j \in N}$ .

Indeed, the conditions (7.2) guarantee that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_{\Delta_j} f = f$  for all sufficiently regular such functions  $f$ . However, our concern rests with the possibility of constructing continuous functions  $f$  on  $G$  satisfying

$$\text{sp}(f) \subseteq \Gamma_0, \quad \overline{\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty}} \text{Re } S_{\Delta_j} f(0) = \infty. \quad (7.3)$$

It will appear that the possibilities exhibit a fairly clear dichotomy, depending largely upon whether  $G$  is or is not 0-dimensional.

In the first place, it will emerge in 7.6 that the construction principle of § 2, applied to the Banach space  $E = C(G)$  of continuous complex valued functions on  $G$  [with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  equal to the maximum modulus] and to sequences of gauges of the type

$$f \mapsto \text{Re } S_{\Delta} f(0) = \text{Re} \int_G D_{\Delta} f d\lambda_G, \quad (7.4)$$

where  $D_{\Delta}$  stands for the “Dirichlet function”

$$D_{\Delta} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} \bar{\gamma}, \quad (7.5)$$

shows that the problem hinges on the existence of groupings  $\mathcal{D}$  for which

$$\rho_j = \|D_{\Delta_j}\|_1 = \int_G |D_{\Delta_j}| d\lambda_G \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7.6)$$

Accordingly, and in view of the fact ([7], (24.26)) that  $G$  is 0-dimensional if and only if  $\Gamma$  coincides with  $\Phi$ , it emerges that the dichotomy referred to may be expressed in the following way.

#### 7.4 Two cases arise, namely:

(i)  $G$  is not 0-dimensional (i.e.,  $\Phi \neq \Gamma$ ). Then (see Example 7.2 (i)) there exist groupings  $\mathcal{D} = (\Delta_j)$  of infinite type; and, for any such grouping, one can construct (fairly explicitly, as described in 7.6) continuous functions  $f$  on  $G$  satisfying (7.3). In particular [cf. Example 7.2 (i)], if  $\Gamma_0$  is any countably infinite subgroup of  $\Gamma$  satisfying  $\Gamma_0 \cap \Phi = \{0\}$ , and if  $(\Delta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is any increasing sequence of finite subsets of  $\Gamma_0$  with union  $\Gamma_0$ , we can construct a continuous  $f$  on  $G$  satisfying (7.3).

(ii)  $G$  is 0-dimensional (i.e.,  $\Phi = \Gamma$ ). Then there exists no grouping of infinite type. However, given any countable subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\Gamma$ , there are groupings  $\mathcal{D} = (\Delta_j)$  covering  $\Gamma_0$ , in which  $\Omega_j = \{0\}$  and  $\Delta_j = \Lambda_j$  is a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma_0$ , and for which

$$f = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_{\Delta_j} f$$

uniformly on  $G$  for every continuous  $f$  satisfying  $\text{sp}(f) \subseteq \Gamma_0$ .

Case (i) will be dealt with in § 8, case (ii) in § 9. The groupings described in case (ii) prove to be exceptional in various ways; see 9.3.

7.5 REMARK. Perhaps it should be stressed here that, if  $\Gamma_0$  is any infinite subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , there is no obstacle to constructing continuous functions  $f$  such that  $\text{sp}(f) \subseteq \Gamma_0$  and finite subsets  $\Delta_j \subseteq \Delta_{j+1}$  of  $\Gamma_0$  for which

$$\lim_j S_{\Delta_j} f(0) = \infty.$$

[One has in fact only to construct a continuous  $f$  such that  $\text{sp}(f) \subseteq \Gamma_0$  and  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{f}(\gamma)| = \infty$ ; it is then trivial that there exist finite subsets  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma_0$  for which  $|S_{\Delta} f(0)|$  is arbitrarily large, so that we can choose a sequence  $(\Delta_j)$  for which  $\Delta_j \subseteq \Delta_{j+1}$  and  $|S_{\Delta_j} f(0)| \rightarrow \infty$  with  $j$ .] However, the sets  $\Delta_j$  obtained this way will not [and, in view of 7.4 (ii), cannot] in general be such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_j = \Gamma_0$ . For more details, see A.5.1 and A.5.2 of the Appendix.

7.6 Suppose one is given a grouping  $\mathcal{D} = (\Delta_j)_{j \in N}$  covering  $\Gamma_0$  and satisfying (7.6). As is described in § 10, one may construct polynomials  $q_{p_j, v}$  in two indeterminates over the real field ( $v$  being a suitable fixed integer not less than 36 and  $p_j$  any positive number not less than  $\|D_{\Delta_j}\|_{\infty}$ ) such that, for suitable unimodular complex numbers  $\xi_j$ , the t.p.s

$$Q_j = \xi_j \left(1 + \frac{1}{v}\right)^{-1} q_{p_j, v}(D_{\Delta_j}, \bar{D}_{\Delta_j})$$

satisfy

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|Q_j\| &\leq 1, \text{sp}(Q_j) \subseteq [\Delta_j] \subseteq \Gamma_0, \\ S_{\Delta_j} Q_j(0) &= \int_G D_{\Delta_j} Q_j d\lambda_G \text{ is real and } \geq \frac{1}{2} \rho_j. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7.7)$$

In view of (7.2), (7.6) and (7.7), one may choose inductively a sequence  $(j_n)_{n \in N}$  of positive integers so that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} S_{\Delta_{j_n}} Q_{j_n}(0) &\text{ is real and } > n^3, \\ j_n &< j_{n+1}, \text{sp}(Q_{j_n}) \subseteq \Gamma_0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7.8)$$

Accordingly, the t.p.s

$$u_n = n^{-2} Q_{j_n}$$

satisfy the conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{sp}(u_n) \subseteq \Gamma_0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < \infty \\ S_{A_{j_n}} u_n(0) \text{ is real and } > n. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7.9)$$

At this point the construction in § 2 will yield integers  $0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  and specifiable sequences  $(\gamma_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive numbers such that each function of the form

$$f = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \gamma_p u_{n_p}$$

is continuous and satisfies

$$\text{sp}(f) \subseteq \Gamma_0, \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \text{Re } S_{A_{j_{n_p}}} f(0) = \infty. \quad (7.10)$$

A fortiori,  $f$  satisfies (7.3).

We add here that, if the  $A_j$  are symmetric, the  $D_{A_j}$  are real-valued, and we may work throughout with real-valued functions, replacing  $\text{Re } S_{A_j} f$  by  $S_{A_j} f$  everywhere.

### § 8. Discussion of case (i) : $G$ not 0-dimensional

8.1 In this case  $\Phi \neq \Gamma$ , and we begin by considering a finite subset of  $\Gamma$  of the form

$$A = \Omega + \Lambda, \quad (8.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$  are finite subsets of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\pi \mid \Omega$  is 1-1 and  $\emptyset \neq \Lambda \subseteq \Phi$ . We aim to show that (for a suitable absolute constant  $k > 0$ )

$$\|D_A\|_1 \geq k \left( \frac{\log N}{\log \log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (8.2)$$

provided  $N = |\Omega|$  (the cardinal number of  $\Omega$ ) is sufficiently large.

8.2 PROOF OF (8.2). Introduce  $H$  as the annihilator in  $G$  of  $\Phi$  and identify in the usual way the dual of  $H$  with  $\Gamma/\Phi$ . Likewise identify the dual of  $K = G/H$  with  $\Phi$  ([7], (24.11)).

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\|D_A\|_1 &= \int_G \left| \sum_{\gamma \in A} \gamma \right| d\lambda_G \\ &= \int_{G/H} d\lambda_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \int_H \left| \sum_{\theta \in \Omega} \sum_{\phi \in A} \theta(x+y) \phi(x+y) \right| d\lambda_H(y),\end{aligned}$$

the inner integral being viewed as a function of  $\bar{x} = x+H$ . Thus, writing  $\bar{\theta}$  for  $\pi(\theta)$  and noting that  $\phi(y) = 1$  for  $\phi \in A \subseteq \Phi$  and  $y \in H$ , we obtain

$$\|D_A\|_1 = \int_{G/H} d\lambda_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \int_H \left| \sum_{\theta \in \Omega} \alpha(\theta, x) \bar{\theta}(y) \right| d\lambda_H(y), \quad (8.3)$$

where

$$\alpha(\theta, x) = \theta(x) \sum_{\phi \in A} \phi(x).$$

Now, since the dual of  $H$  (namely  $\Gamma/\Phi$ ) is torsion-free ([7], (A.4)), Theorem A of [8] shows that (for a suitable absolute constant  $k > 0$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_H \left| \sum_{\theta \in \Omega} \alpha(\theta, x) \bar{\theta}(y) \right| d\lambda_H(y) &\geq k \left( \frac{\log N}{\log \log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \min_{\theta \in \Omega} |\alpha(\theta, x)| \\ &= k \left( \frac{\log N}{\log \log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left| \sum_{\phi \in A} \phi(\bar{x}) \right|,\end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

since  $|\theta(x)| = 1$  and  $\phi(x)$  depends only  $\bar{x}$ . By (8.3) and (8.4),

$$\|D_A\|_1 \geq k \left( \frac{\log N}{\log \log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_{G/H} \left| \sum_{\phi \in A} \phi(\bar{x}) \right| d\lambda_{G/H}(\bar{x}). \quad (8.5)$$

Since  $A \neq \emptyset$ , the remaining integral is not less than the maximum modulus of the Fourier transform of the function  $\bar{x} \mapsto \sum_{\phi \in A} \phi(\bar{x})$ , i.e., is not less than unity. Thus, (8.2) follows from (8.5).

**8.3 PROOF OF 7.4 (i).** The conclusions stated in case (i) of 7.4 are now almost immediate. If  $\mathcal{D} = (A_j)_{j \in N}$  is a grouping of infinite type covering  $\Gamma_0$ ,  $|\pi(A_j)| \rightarrow \infty$  and so, since  $A_j \subseteq \Phi$ ,  $|\pi(\Omega_j)| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then (8.2) shows that (7.6) is satisfied, and it remains only to refer to 7.6.

**8.4 SUPPLEMENTARY REMARKS.** The fact that, when  $G$  is not 0-dimensional, (7.6) holds for suitable subgroups  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\Gamma$  and suitable groupings  $\mathcal{D} = (A_j)_{j \in N}$  covering  $\Gamma_0$  can be derived without appeal to Theorem A

of [8]. To do this, it suffices to take  $\gamma_k \in \Gamma \setminus \Phi$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) such that the family  $(\gamma_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  is independent (see [7], (A.10)), define

$$\Gamma_0 = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m n_k \gamma_k : n_k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\},$$

and make use of the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G F(\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_m(x)) d\gamma_G(x) \\ = (2\pi)^{-m} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} F(e^{it_1}, \dots, e^{it_m}) dt_1 \dots dt_m, \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

valid for every  $F \in C(T^m)$ , where  $T$  denotes the circle group. (Recall that  $\sum_{k=1}^m n_k \gamma_k$  denotes the character  $x \mapsto \gamma_1(x)^{n_1} \dots \gamma_m(x)^{n_m}$  of  $G$ .) It then appears that (7.6) holds when one takes

$$\Delta_j = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m n_k \gamma_k : |n_k| \leq r_{j,k} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\},$$

where the  $r_{j,k}$  are positive integers satisfying  $r_{j,k} \leq r_{j,k+1}$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r_{j,k} = \infty$ . Moreover, when  $m = 1$ , the Cohen-Davenport result (essentially Theorem A of [8] for the case  $G = T$ ) shows that (7.6) holds for every grouping  $\mathcal{D}$  covering  $\Gamma_0$ .

The verification of (8.6) is simple. First note that, if  $G$  and  $G'$  are compact groups, and if  $\phi$  is a continuous homomorphism of  $G$  into  $G'$ , then

$$\int_G (F \circ \phi) d\lambda_G = \int F d\lambda_{\phi(G)} \quad (8.7)$$

for every  $F \in C(G')$ . (This is a consequence of the fact that  $F \mapsto \int_G (F \circ \phi) d\lambda_G$  is invariant under translation by elements of  $\phi(G)$ , combined with the uniqueness of the normalised Haar measure on a compact group.) Taking  $G' = T^m$  and  $\phi : x \mapsto (\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_m(x))$ , the stated conditions on the  $\gamma_k$  are just adequate to ensure that the annihilator in  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  (identified in the canonical fashion with the dual of  $T^m$ ) of  $\phi(G)$  is  $\{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  and so ([7], (24.10)) that  $\phi(G) = T^m$ . Accordingly, (8.6) appears as a special case of (8.7).

It is perhaps worth indicating that special cases of (8.7) can be exploited in other ways. For example, suppose more generally that  $\kappa$  is an arbitrary nonvoid set and that  $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \kappa}$  is a finite or infinite independent family of elements of  $\Gamma \setminus \Phi$ . Denote by  $\Gamma_0$  the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\{\gamma_k : k \in \kappa\}$ . Taking  $G' = T^\kappa$  and  $\phi : x \mapsto (\gamma_k(x))_{k \in \kappa}$ , one may use (8.7) in a similar fashion to show that there is an isometric isomorphism  $F \leftrightarrow F \circ \phi = f$  between  $L^p(T^\kappa)$  (or  $C(T^\kappa)$ ) and the subspace of  $L^p(G)$  (or  $C(G)$ ) formed of those  $f \in L^p(G)$  or  $C(G)$  such that  $\text{sp}(f) \subseteq \Gamma_0$ . Moreover, if one identifies in the canonical fashion the dual of  $T^\kappa$  with the weak

direct product  $Z^\kappa^*$ , the said isomorphism is such that  $\hat{F} = \hat{f} \circ \phi'$ , where  $\phi'$  is the isomorphism of  $Z^\kappa^*$  onto  $\Gamma_0$  defined by  $(n_k) \rightarrow \sum_{k \in \kappa} n_k \gamma_k$ .

One consequence of this may be expressed roughly as follows: If the compact Abelian group  $G$  is such that  $\Gamma \setminus \Phi$  contains an independent family of (finite or infinite) cardinality  $m$ , then Fourier series on  $G$  behave, in respect of convergence or summability, no better than do Fourier series on  $T^m$ .

Another consequence is that, if  $\Delta$  is a subset of  $\Gamma_0$ , then  $\Delta$  is a Sidon (or  $\Lambda(p)$ ) subset of  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $\phi'^{-1}(\Delta)$  is a Sidon (or  $\Lambda(p)$ ) subset of  $Z^\kappa^*$ .

**8.5 FURTHER RESULTS.** Theorem A of [8] implies something stronger than (8.2), namely: if  $\omega$  is any complex-valued function on  $\Gamma$  such that

$$\omega(\gamma + \phi) = \omega(\gamma) \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma, \phi \in \Phi), \quad (8.8)$$

so that  $\omega$  can be regarded as a function on  $\Gamma/\Phi$ , and if we write

$$D_\Delta^\omega = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} \omega(\gamma) \bar{\gamma}, \quad S_\Delta^\omega f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} \omega(\gamma) \hat{f}(\gamma), \quad (8.9)$$

then, for  $\Delta = \Omega + \Lambda$  as in (8.1), we have

$$\|D_\Delta^\omega\|_1 \geq k \left( \frac{\log N}{\log \log N} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \min_{\gamma \in \Omega} |\omega(\gamma)| \quad (8.10)$$

provided  $N = |\Omega|$  is sufficiently large.

So, if we can arrange for  $\Omega = \Omega_j$  to vary in such a way that the right-hand side of (8.10) tends to infinity with  $j$ , the substance of 7.6 will lead to a continuous  $f$  satisfying  $\text{sp}(f) \subseteq \Gamma_0$  and

$$\overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{Re } S_{\Delta_j}^\omega f(0) = \infty. \quad (8.11)$$

Taking the most familiar case, in which  $G = T$ ,  $\Gamma = Z$  and  $\Phi = \{0\}$ , and supposing  $\Delta = \Omega$  to range over a sequence  $(\Delta_j)$  of finite subsets of  $Z$  such that, if  $N_j = |\Delta_j|$ ,

$$\lim_j \left( \frac{\log N_j}{\log \log N_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \min_{n \in \Delta_j} |\omega(n)| = \infty,$$

the construction will lead to a continuous  $f$  on  $T$  such that

$$\overline{\lim}_j \text{Re } S_{\Delta_j}^\omega f(0) = \infty.$$

In particular, taking  $\Delta_j = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : 2^j \leq n < 2^{j+1}\}$  it can be arranged that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\pm \hat{f}(n)}{(\log(2 + |n|))^\alpha}$$

diverges for any preassigned distribution of signs  $\pm$  and any preassigned  $\alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ .

Of course, much stronger results are derivable by using random (and unspecifiable!) changes of sign, but there seems little hope of making this even remotely constructive.

### § 9. Discussion of case (ii) : $G$ 0-dimensional

9.1 In this case there is ([7], (7.7)) a base of neighbourhoods of zero in  $G$  formed of compact open subgroups  $W$ . For each such  $W$  the annihilator  $\Delta = W^\circ$  in  $\Gamma$  of  $W$  is a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Define

$$k_W = \lambda_G(W)^{-1} \times \text{characteristic function of } W. \quad (9.1)$$

Then  $k_W$  is continuous,  $k_W \geq 0$ ,  $\int_G k_W d\lambda_G = 1$ . The transform  $\hat{k}_W$  of  $k_W$  is plainly equal to unity on  $\Delta$ . On the other hand, since  $W$  is a subgroup, we have for  $a \in W$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}_W(\gamma) &= \int_G k_W(x) \overline{\gamma(x)} d\lambda_G(x) = \int_G k_W(x+a) \overline{\gamma(x)} d\lambda_G(x) \\ &= \int_G k_W(y) \overline{\gamma(y-a)} d\lambda_G(y) \\ &= \gamma(a) \hat{k}_W(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\hat{k}_W(\gamma) = 0$  if  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Delta$ . Thus  $\hat{k}_W$  is the characteristic function of  $\Delta$ , and so

$$k_W = D_{W^\circ}. \quad (9.2)$$

By (9.1) and (9.2), a routine argument shows that, if  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(G)$ , then

$$f = \lim_w S_{W^\circ} f \quad (9.3)$$

in  $L^p(G)$ ; and that (9.3) holds uniformly for any continuous  $f$ .

9.2 PROOF OF 7.4 (ii). If  $\Gamma_0$  is any countably infinite subgroup of  $\Gamma$  we can choose a sequence  $W_j$  of compact open subgroups of  $G$  such that

$W_{j+1} \subseteq W_j$  and  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} W_j^\circ$ , where  $W_j^\circ$  is a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and  $W_j^\circ \subseteq W_{j+1}^\circ$ . The  $A_j = W_j^\circ \cap \Gamma_0$  satisfy (7.2) and, from (9.3),

$$f = \lim_j S_{A_j} f \quad (9.4)$$

uniformly for any continuous  $f$  with  $\text{sp}(f) \subseteq \Gamma_0$ . This verifies the statements made in 7.4 (ii).

9.3 By using the results in [3], more can be said in case (ii) of 7.4; cf. [3], Theorem (2.9) and Example (4.8).

Let  $f \in L^1(G)$  and let  $\Gamma_0$  be any countable subgroup of  $\Gamma$  containing  $\text{sp}(f)$ . Choose the  $W_j$  as in 9.2. Then, apart from the fact that  $(W_j)$  is not in general a base at 0 in  $G$  (they can be chosen to be so if and only if  $G$  is first countable),  $(W_j)$  is an open-compact  $D''$ -sequence ([3], p. 188). The proof of Theorem (2.5) of [3] is easily modified to show that

$$f(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_{W_j^\circ} f(x) \quad (9.5)$$

holds for almost all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, Theorem (2.7) of [3] applies to show that the majorant function

$$S^* f(x) = \sup_{j \in N} |S_{W_j^\circ} f(x)| \quad (9.6)$$

satisfies the estimates

$$\|S^* f\|_p \leq 2(p(p-1)^{-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p \quad (1 < p < \infty) \quad (9.7)$$

$$\|S^* f\|_1 \leq 2 + 2 \int_G |f| \log^+ |f| d\lambda_G, \quad (9.8)$$

$$\|S^* f\|_p \leq 2(1-p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_1 \quad (0 < p < 1). \quad (9.9)$$

In particular, the convergence in (9.5) is dominated whenever

$$|f| \log^+ |f| \in L^1(G).$$

A more immediate consequence of (9.1) and (9.2) is a strong version of localisability of the convergence of Fourier series: if  $f \in L^1(G)$  vanishes a.e. on some neighbourhood of  $x_0 \in G$ , we can choose the  $W_j$  so that  $S_{A_j} f(x_0) = 0$  for every sufficiently large  $j$ . [A suitable choice of  $W_j$  may be made once for all, independent of  $f$ , if  $G$  is first countable.] Nothing similar is true for general  $G$ ; see, for example, [11], Vol. II, pp. 304-305.

§ 10. *Concerning the polynomials  $Q_j$ .*

There is no difficulty in making fairly explicit the construction of t.p.s  $Q_j$  of the type employed in 7.6.

For  $p > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$  define

$$h_p(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \leq p, \\ 2 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{2p} \right) & \text{if } p \leq t \leq 2p, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \geq 2p. \end{cases} \quad (10.1)$$

For all complex  $z$  define

$$f_p(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z = 0, \\ |z|^{-1} \bar{z} h_p(|z|) & \text{if } z \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (10.2)$$

Write

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E_n(z) &= \pi^{-1} n \exp(-n|z|^2, \\ P_{n,k}(z) &= \pi^{-1} n \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} (n|z|^2)^j \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10.3)$$

Let  $\mu$  denote Lebesgue measure on  $C$  (identified with  $R^2$  in the canonical fashion).

It is then routine to verify that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|E_n * f_p\|_\infty &\leq \|f_p\|_\infty = 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n * f_p &= f_p \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10.4)$$

uniformly on any compact set omitting 0. From this it follows that to every  $p > 0$  and every positive integer  $v$  correspond positive integers  $\bar{n}(p, v)$ ,  $\bar{k}(p, v)$  such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \left| |z|^{-1} \bar{z} - f_p * P_{\bar{n}, \bar{k}}(z) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{v} \text{ for } \frac{1}{v} \leq |z| \leq p, \\ \left| f_p * P_{\bar{n}, \bar{k}}(z) \right| &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{v} \text{ for } |z| \leq p. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10.5)$$

Now

$$f_p * P_{\bar{n}, \bar{k}}(z) = q_{p,v}(z, \bar{z}), \quad (10.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{p,v}(X, Y) &= \pi^{-1} \bar{n}(p, v) \sum_{j=0}^{\bar{k}(p,v)} \frac{(-\bar{n}(p, v))^j}{j!} \sum_{l=0}^j \sum_{m=0}^j \binom{j}{l} \binom{j}{m} X^l Y^m \\
 &\quad (-1)^{l+m} \int \zeta^{j-l} \bar{\zeta}^{j-m} f_p(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \\
 &= \sum_{l,m=0}^{\bar{k}(p,v)} C_{p,v}(l, m) X^l Y^m. \tag{10.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easily verifiable that the  $C_{p,v}(l, m)$  are real-valued.

If  $\theta$  is a bounded measurable function on  $G$  and

$$Q_{p,v}^\circ = q_{p,v}(\theta, \bar{\theta}), p \geq \|\theta\|_\infty, \tag{10.8}$$

we have from (10.5)

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \left| |\theta|^{-1} \bar{\theta} - Q_{p,v}^\circ \right| &\leq \frac{1}{v} \text{ whenever } |\theta| \geq \frac{1}{v}, \\
 |Q_{p,v}^\circ| &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{v} \text{ everywhere on } G.
 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{10.9}$$

If  $\theta$  is a t.p., then  $Q_{p,v}^\circ$  is a t.p. and

$$\text{sp}(Q_{p,v}^\circ) \subseteq [\text{sp}(\theta)]. \tag{10.10}$$

From (10.9) we obtain

$$\left| |\theta| - \theta Q_{p,v}^\circ \right| \leq \begin{cases} v^{-1} |\theta| & \text{whenever } |\theta| \geq \frac{1}{v}, \\ \left(2 + \frac{1}{v}\right) |\theta| & \text{everywhere,} \end{cases}$$

whence it follows that, if  $\theta \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_G \theta Q_{p,v}^\circ d\lambda G \right| &\geq (1 - v^{-1}) \|\theta\|_1 - v^{-1} (2 + v^{-1}) \\
 &\geq (1 - 2v^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \|\theta\|_1
 \end{aligned} \tag{10.11}$$

provided  $v \geq 9 \|\theta\|_1^{-2}$ .

Taking  $\theta = D_{A_j}$  and  $p_j \geq \|D_{A_j}\|$ , the trigonometric polynomials

$$Q'_j = \left(1 + \frac{1}{v}\right)^{-1} Q_{p_j, v}^\circ = \left(1 + \frac{1}{v}\right)^{-1} q_{p_j, v}(D_{A_j}, \bar{D}_{A_j}) \tag{10.12}$$

are then seen from (10.9), (10.10) and (10.11) to satisfy

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|Q'_j\| &\leq 1, \\ \text{sp}(Q'_j) &\subseteq [A_j], \\ \left| \int v D_{A_j} Q'_j d\lambda_G \right| &\geq (1 - 3v^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \|D_{A_j}\|_1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10.13)$$

provided  $v$  is chosen  $\geq 9 \|D_{A_j}\|_1^{-1}$ . In view of (7.6), we may choose the integer  $v \geq \max_j (36, 9 \|D_{A_j}\|_1^{-1})$ . Then (10.13) shows that there are unimodular complex numbers  $\xi_j$  such that the  $Q_j = \xi_j Q'_j$  satisfy (7.7).

## APPENDIX

### *Rudin-Shapiro sequences*

**A.1 NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS.** As hitherto, all topological groups  $G$  are assumed to be Hausdorff; and, for any locally compact group  $G$ ,  $\lambda_G$  will denote a selected left Haar measure, with respect to which the Lebesgue spaces  $L^p(G)$  are to be formed.  $C_c(G)$  denotes the set of complex-valued continuous functions on  $G$  having compact supports.

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological groups,  $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$  denotes the set of continuous homomorphisms of  $X$  into  $Y$ .

Suppose henceforth  $G$  to be locally compact. As in 5.1, if  $k \in C_c(G)$ ,  $T_k$  will denote the convolution operator

$$f \mapsto f * k$$

with domain  $C_c(G)$  and range in  $C_c(G)$ ; and  $\|k\|_{p,q}$  will denote the  $(p, q)$ -norm of this operator, i.e., the smallest real number  $m \geq 0$  such that

$$\|f * k\|_q \leq m \|f\|_p \quad (f \in C_c(G)).$$

It is well-known that, if  $G$  is Abelian,  $\|k\|_{2,2}$  is equal to

$$\|\hat{k}\|_\infty = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{k}(\gamma)|,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the character group of  $G$  and  $\hat{k}$  is the Fourier transform of  $k$ . (Something similar is true whenever  $G$  is compact, but we shall not use this.)

*U-RS-sequences on  $G$*  are as defined in 5.4.