

Zeitschrift:	L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber:	Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band:	16 (1970)
Heft:	1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE
 Artikel:	NAIVELY CONSTRUCTIVE APPROACH TO BOUNDEDNESS PRINCIPLES, WITH APPLICATIONS TO HARMONIC ANALYSIS
Autor:	Edwards, R. E. / Price, J. F.
Kapitel:	§ 6. (p, q)-multipliers whose transforms are not measures
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43866

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 29.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

$$\inf_{a \in G} \Delta(a)^{1/p - 1/q} = 0,$$

and we infer that $T = 0$.

(ii) In spite of (i) immediately above, there is a partial analogue taking the following form.

Assume that there exists a sequence (h_n) satisfying (5.6), where now $\|h_n\|_{2,2}$ is defined to mean

$$\sup \{ \|h_n * f\|_2 : f \in C_c(G), \|f\|_2 \leq 1 \}.$$

Then modification of the proof of Theorem 5.7 will lead to the construction of operators T which are right multipliers of type (p, p) for every $p \in (1, \infty)$, have supports contained in \overline{U} , and are not of the form $f \mapsto \mu * f$ for any measure μ .

§ 6. (p, q) -multipliers whose transforms are not measures

6.1 INTRODUCTION. Throughout this section we suppose that G is a locally compact Abelian (= LCA) group with dual group Γ , both groups being additively written. We begin by slightly modifying the form of the definition of (p, q) -multipliers, so rendering it possible to make certain statements about their Fourier transforms without attempting a general definition of such transforms. To this end, let F denote the set of functions on G which belong to $\bigcap \{L^p(G) : 1 \leq p \leq \infty\}$ and which possess Fourier transforms with compact supports, and denote by $L_p^q(G)$ the set of continuous linear operators from F , equipped with the $L^p(G)$ -norm, into $L^q(G)$ which commute with translations. As before, equip $L_p^q(G)$ with the $(L^p(G), L^q(G))$ operator norm. It is easy to specify a natural isometry between $L_p^q(G)$ as defined above and $L_p^q(G)$ as defined in § 5, and so we speak of the elements of $L_p^q(G)$ as (p, q) -multipliers on G .

When T is a (p, q) -multiplier in this sense, we say that its *Fourier transform* \hat{T} is a measure μ if and only if there exists a measure μ on Γ such that

$$h * Tg(0) = \int_{\Gamma} \hat{h} \hat{g} d\mu \quad (6.1)$$

for all $g, h \in F$, where \hat{u} denotes the Fourier transform of u . Similarly, if Ω is an open subset of Γ , we shall write $\hat{T} = \mu$ on Ω if and only if (6.1) holds for all $g, h \in F$ such that $\text{supp } \hat{g} \subseteq \Omega$. If Σ is a closed subset of Γ , we shall write $\text{supp } \hat{T} \subseteq \Sigma$ if and only if $\hat{T} = 0$ on Γ/Σ .

It is simple to verify that, if $K \in F$ and T_K is the mapping $g \mapsto g * K = K * g$, then $T_K \in L_p^q$ whenever $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. (In fact, $\|K * g\|_\infty \leq \|K\|_p \|g\|_p$ and $\|K * g\|_p \leq \|K\|_1 \|g\|_p$, and the convexity of the function $t \mapsto \log \|K * g\|_{t^{-1}}$, or an appeal to the closed graph theorem, does the rest.) Furthermore, \hat{T}_K is the measure $\hat{K}\lambda_\Gamma$, where λ_Γ is the Haar measure of Γ normalised so that the $L^2(\lambda_\Gamma)$ -norm of \hat{u} is equal to $\|u\|_2$ for every $u \in L^2(G)$.

6.2 It has been shown by Gaudry ([5], Theorem 3.1) that, if G is non-compact LCA and $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$, there exist operators $T \in L_p^q(G)$ such that \hat{T} is not a measure. In 6.3 and its proof we shall indicate how to construct operators T which belong to $L_p^q(G)$ for every pair (p, q) satisfying $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ and which are such that $\text{supp } \hat{T}$ is contained in a compact subset of Γ and \hat{T} is not a measure. The precise statement of 6.3 requires some prefatory remarks.

Let G be a noncompact LCA group and Ω a relatively compact open subset of the dual group Γ . Since Γ is nondiscrete LCA, an Ω -RS-sequence (h_n) on Γ may be constructed in such a way that the inverse Fourier transform of h_n belongs to $L^1(G)$ for every n ; see Appendix A.2. Assuming this to have been done, choose positive integers $m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ and define $k_n = nh_{m_n}$ exactly as in 5.4, so that (5.7)-(5.9) remain intact (but with Γ , rather than G , as the underlying group). We now consider the functions K_n on G , K_n being defined to be the inverse Fourier transform of k_n .

It is plain that every K_n belongs to F . Moreover, an application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\|K_n\|_s \leq \|K_n\|_2^{2/s} \|K_n\|_\infty^{1-2/s} \quad (s > 2). \quad (6.2)$$

By Parseval's formula and (5.8),

$$\|K_n\|_2 = \|k_n\|_2 \leq A^{\frac{1}{2}} n;$$

also, since G is LCA, (5.9) leads to

$$\|K_n\|_\infty = \|T_{k_n}\|_{2,2} \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Inserting these last two estimates into (6.2), we obtain

$$\|K_n\|_s = O(n^{2/s} 2^{-n(1-2/s)}) \quad (s > 2). \quad (6.3)$$

We shall need to note also that a construction, similar to that appearing in the proof of Lemma 5.6, shows that for each $n \in N$ we may select and fix $u_n, v_n \in F$ such that

$$\| \hat{u}_n \hat{v}_n \|_{\infty} \leq 1 \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$| \int_{\Gamma} \hat{u}_n \hat{v}_n \hat{K}_n d\lambda_{\Gamma} | \geq \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{K}_n \|_1 = \frac{1}{2} \| k_n \|_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} Bn, \quad (6.5)$$

the last link in this chain of inequalities stemming from (5.7).

6.3 THEOREM. Let G be a noncompact LCA group, Ω a relatively compact open subset of the dual group Γ . Suppose the function $K_n (n \in N)$ to be defined as in 6.2. A continuum of sequences $(\omega_n) \in l_+^1(N)$ may be constructed, for each of which the series

$$\sum_{n \in N} \omega_n T_{K_n} \quad (6.6)$$

converges normally in $L_p^q(G)$ for every pair (p, q) satisfying $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$, the sum T of the series (6.6) satisfying the conditions

- (i) $T \in \bigcap \{ L_p^q(G) : 1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty \}$;
- (ii) $\text{supp } \hat{T} \subseteq \Omega$; and
- (iii) \hat{T} is not a measure.

PROOF. Since G is Abelian, (5.4) shows that $L_p^q(G) = L_{q'}^{p'}(G)$ and $\| \cdot \|_{p, q} = \| \cdot \|_{q', p'}$. Accordingly, we may and will restrict attention to those pairs (p, q) such that $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q \geq 1$; denote by I the set of such pairs.

We propose to appeal to Corollary 3.2, taking therein

H = the space of linear maps from F into $L_{loc}^1(G)$ with the topology of pointwise convergence;

I as defined immediately above;

$E_{(p, q)} = L_p^q(G)$ for every $(p, q) \in I$;

E = the closed linear subspace of \mathcal{E} generated by the $T_{K_n} (n \in N)$;

$f_n : T \rightarrow | u_n * T v_n(0) |$;

$x_n = T_{K_n}$.

Regarding the hypotheses of Corollary 3.2, it is clear that 3.2 (i) is satisfied. Also, for any $T \in E$ and any $m \in N$, Hölder's inequality yields

$$f_m(T) \leq \|u_m\|_{q'} \|Tv_m\|_q \leq \|u_m\|_{q'} \|T\|_{p,q} \|v_m\|_p,$$

which, since u_m and v_m belong to F , shows that f_m is continuous (and therefore certainly bounded) on E .

Next, since (see the remarks at the end of 6.1 above) \hat{T}_{K_n} is the measure $\hat{K}_n \lambda_\Gamma = k_n \lambda_\Gamma$,

$$f_m(T_{K_n}) = \left| \int_\Gamma \hat{u}_m \hat{v}_m k_n d\lambda_\Gamma \right| \leq \|k_n\|_1,$$

the inequality coming from (6.4). This makes it clear that $f^*(T_{K_n})$ is finite for every $n \in N$, so that 3.2 (ii) is satisfied.

Turning to 3.2 (iii), note first that by convexity (as in the proof of (5.17)) we have

$$\|T_{K_n}\|_{p,q} \leq \|T_{K_n}\|_{2,2}^\alpha \|T_{K_n}\|_{1,s}^{1-\alpha}, \quad (6.7)$$

where, since $p < 2 < q$, we have $\alpha < 1$ and $s > 2$. Now, by the case $s = \infty$ of (5.8),

$$\|T_{K_n}\|_{2,2} = \|\hat{K}_n\|_\infty = \|k_n\|_\infty \leq n.$$

Using this in combination with (6.3) and (6.7), it appears that

$$\|T_{K_n}\|_{p,q} = O(n^\alpha n^{2(1-\alpha)/s} 2^{-\beta n}),$$

where $\beta = (1-\alpha)(1-2/s)$ is positive, and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{K_n} = 0 \text{ in } E,$$

which is more than enough to verify 3.2 (iii).

As for 3.2 (iv), the fact that $\hat{T}_{K_n} = \hat{K}_n \lambda_\Gamma$ combines with (6.5) to yield

$$f_n(T_{K_n}) = \left| \int_\Gamma \hat{u}_n \hat{v}_n \hat{K}_n d\lambda_\Gamma \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} Bn,$$

which confirms 3.2 (iv).

An appeal to Corollary 3.2 is thus justified and assures one of the existence of a continuum of sequences $(\omega_n) \in l_+^1(N)$ for each of which the series (6.6) converges normally to a (unique) sum T in E which satisfies

$$f^*(T) = \infty. \quad (6.8)$$

From this it is evident that (i) is satisfied, and that, for every pair (p, q)

satisfying $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$, the series (6.6) converges normally in $L_p^q(G)$ to T . Next, T is the limit in E of

$$S_r = \sum_{n=1}^r \omega_n T_{K_n}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and, since it is plain that $\text{supp } S_r \subseteq \Omega$ for every r , (ii) is easily derived. Finally, if \hat{T} were a measure μ , it would necessarily be the case that $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \bar{\Omega}$ and so, for every $n \in N$, one would have by (6.1) and (6.4)

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(T) &= |u_n * T v_n(0)| = |\int_{\Gamma} \hat{u}_n \hat{v}_n d\mu| \\ &\leq |\mu|(\bar{\Omega}), \end{aligned}$$

which is finite since Ω is relatively compact. However, this plainly would entail $f^*(T) < \infty$, in conflict with (6.8), so that T cannot be a measure and (iii) is verified. This completes the proof.

6.4 REMARK. Theorem 6.3 was proved by Hörmander ([14], Theorem 1.9) for $G = R^n$ and any given pair (p, q) satisfying $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$, this result being extended to a general noncompact LCA G by Gaudry [5]. The argument given by Hörmander (loc. cit. Theorem 1.6 and the remark immediately following) for the case $G = R^n$ can also be extended to a general LCA G and shows that, if either $q \leq 2$ or $p \geq 2$, then every $T \in L_p^q(G)$ is such that \hat{T} is a measure [and indeed a measure of the form $\psi \lambda_{\Gamma}$, where $\psi \in L_{loc}^2(\Gamma)$ if $q \leq 2$ and $\psi \in L_{loc}^p(\Gamma)$ if $p \geq 2$, and so $\psi \in L_{loc}^2(\Gamma)$ in either case]. Thus the hypotheses made in Theorem 6.3 about p and q are necessary for the validity of the conclusion.

PART 3: APPLICATIONS TO FOURIER SERIES

§ 7. *Applications to divergence of Fourier series.*

7.1 Throughout §§ 7-10, G will denote an infinite Hausdorff compact Abelian group with character group Γ , and λ_G the Haar measure on G , normalised so that $\lambda_G(G) = 1$. For any $f \in L^1(G)$, \hat{f} will denote the Fourier transform of f ; for any finite subset Δ of Γ ,

$$S_{\Delta} f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \tag{7.1}$$

is the Δ -partial sum of the Fourier series of f ; and $\text{sp}(f)$ will stand for