Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 15 (1969)

Heft: 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: SOME CONVERSE THEOREMS ON THE ABSCISSAE OF SUMMABILITY OF GENERAL DIRICHLET SERIES

Autor: Rajagopal, C. T.

Kapitel: §3. Applications to theorems of the Schnee-Landau type

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43225

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 09.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

exactly as (2.2) (a) implies (2.7). Since now $\gamma > \sigma_r \geqslant \rho$, the above condition in its turn implies

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \max_{l_n \leqq l_m < l_n + \varepsilon l_n} (b_n + b_{n+1} + \ldots + b_m) = o_R(1), \quad \varepsilon \to 0 .$$

By Theorem A with hypothesis (1.2) (a) and $a = b = 0$, it follows that $\Sigma a_n l_n^{-s}$ is convergent for any σ such that $\sigma \geqslant \gamma > \sigma_r$ and therefore $\sigma_0 \leqslant \sigma_r$. But, in any case, $\sigma_0 \geqslant \sigma_k \geqslant \sigma_r$ for $0 \leqslant k < r$ and so we have the conclusion (2.5).

In the preceding argument we have supposed that $\sigma_r < \infty$ since $\sigma_r = \infty$ implies trivially $\sigma_k = \infty$.

§ 3. Applications to theorems of the Schnee-Landau type

Theorem II given next is the simplest of the theorems of the type mentioned above and it is a direct combination of Theorems I, B. Theorems V, VI are generalizations, respectively of Ananda-Rau's and Ganapathy Iyer's extensions of the Schnee-Landau theorem ([2], Theorem 9; [7], Theorem 10), as given by Chandrasekharan and Minakshisundaram ([6], pp. 88-9, Corollaries 3.73, 3.74). Theorems III, IV are apparently new counterparts of Theorems V, VI, the newness consisting in the replacement of the two-sided Tauberian conditions of the latter pair of theorems by analogous one-sided conditions suitably supplemented.

Theorem II. *Suppose that* (i) *the Dirichlet series,*

$$\sum_1^\infty \frac{a_n}{l_n^s}, \quad s = \sigma + i\tau ,$$

is summable (R, l_n, q) *for some* $q \geqslant 0$ *when* $\sigma > \rho$, (ii) *the sum-function* $f(s)$ *thus defined is regular for* $\sigma > \eta$ *when* $\eta < \rho$, *and satisfies the condition*

$$f(s) = O(|\tau|^r),\ r > 0, \quad \textit{uniformly for} \quad \sigma \geqslant \eta + \varepsilon > \eta,$$

(iii) *the coefficients* a_n *of the Dirichlet series satisfy* ONE *of the two alternatives* (a), (b) *of* (2.2), *but with* $\theta(x) \equiv x^{1-(\rho-\eta)/r}$. *Then the Dirichlet series is summable* (R, l_n, k), $0 \leqslant k < r$, *for*

$$\sigma \geqslant \frac{(r-k)\rho + k\eta}{r} .$$

Proof. By Theorem B, the Dirichlet series is summable (R, l_n, r'), $r' > r$, for $\sigma > \eta$ and hence $\sigma_{r'} \leqslant \eta < \rho$. Therefore it is evident from the proof of

Theorem I (A) ending with (2.10) that the Dirichlet series is summable (R, l_n, k), $0 \leqslant k < r'$, for

$$\sigma \geqslant \frac{(r'-k)\rho + k\eta}{r'},$$

whence the desired conclusion follows when we let $r' \to r$.

THEOREM III. *In Theorem* II, *let* ρ *be replaced by* $\alpha+1$ *in hypotheses* (i) *and* (ii); *also let hypothesis* (iii) *be replaced by*

$$a_n = O_R\left[l_n^\alpha (l_n - l_{n-1})\right], \quad l_n - l_{n-1} = O\left(l_n^{\frac{r-\alpha+\eta}{r+1}}\right). \tag{3.1}$$

Then the conclusion is that $\Sigma a_n l_n^{-s}$, $s = \sigma + i\tau$, *is summable* (R, l_n, k), $0 \leqslant k < r$, *for*

$$\sigma > \frac{(r-k)(\alpha+1) + (k+1)\eta}{r+1}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem II, the series $\Sigma a_n l_n^{-s}$ is summable (R, l_n, r'), $r' > r$, for $\sigma > \eta$ where now $\eta < \alpha+1$, so that $\sigma_{r'} \leqslant \eta < \alpha+1$. We begin by choosing γ and correspondingly $\theta(x)$ as follows:

$$\eta < \gamma < \alpha + 1, \quad \theta(x) \equiv x^{(r'-\alpha+\gamma)/(r'+1)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then, since $r' > r$ and $\gamma > \eta$, we have

$$\frac{r'-\alpha+\gamma}{r'+1} > \frac{r-\alpha+\gamma}{r+1} > \frac{r-\alpha+\eta}{r+1}.$$

And so (3.1) gives us, as $n \to \infty$,

$$a_n = O_R\left[l_n^\alpha l_n^{\frac{r-\alpha+\eta}{r+1}}\right] = o_R\left[l_n^\alpha l_n^{\frac{r'-\alpha+\gamma}{r'+1}}\right] = o_R\left[l_n^\alpha \theta(l_n)\right]. \tag{3.4}$$

Also, if $l_n \leqslant l_m < l_n + \varepsilon\theta(l_n)$, (3.1) again gives us as $n \to \infty$,

$$a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} + \ldots + a_m = \begin{cases} O_R\left[l_m^\alpha (l_m - l_n)\right] \text{ if } \alpha \geqslant 0, \\ O_R\left[l_n^\alpha (l_m - l_n)\right] \text{ if } \alpha < 0, \end{cases}$$

so that, whether $\alpha \geqslant 0$ or $\alpha < 0$,

$$a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} + \ldots + a_m = O_R\left[l_n^\alpha \varepsilon \theta(l_n)\right]. \tag{3.5}$$

In (3.4) and (3.5),

$$l_n^{\alpha}\,\theta(l_n) = l_n^{\rho'} \quad \text{where} \quad \rho' = \alpha + \frac{r' - \alpha + \gamma}{r' + 1}\,(>\gamma).$$

Hence, combining (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \max_{l_n \leqq l_m < l_n + \varepsilon\theta(l_n)} \frac{a_n + a_{n+1} + \ldots + a_m}{l_n^{\rho'}} = o_R(1)\,,\ \varepsilon \to 0\,. \tag{3.6}$$

(3.6) and the fact, following from Theorem B, that $\Sigma a_n\, l_n^{-s}$ is summable (R, l_n, r'), enables us to use (2.10) in the proof of Theorem I (A) with r, ρ replaced by r', ρ' respectively, so as to infer that $\Sigma a_n\, l_n^{-s}$ is summable (R, l_n, k), $0 \leqslant k < r'$, for

$$\sigma \geqslant \frac{(r'-k)\,\rho' + k\gamma}{r'} = \frac{(r'-k)(\alpha+1) + (k+1)\gamma}{r'+1}\,.$$

This yields (3.2) as required when we let $r' \to r$ and recall that $\gamma\,(>\eta)$ can be taken arbitrarily close to η.

THEOREM IV. *In Theorem* III, (3.1) *alone can be changed to*

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (a_\nu + |a_\nu|)\, l_\nu^p\, (l_\nu - l_{\nu-1})^{1-p} = O\,(l_n^{p(\alpha+1)+1})^{1)},\ l_n - l_{n-1} = \\ &= O\left[l_n^{\frac{r-\alpha-p^{-1}+\eta}{r+1-p^{-1}}}\right],\quad p > 1\,,\ \alpha + 1 + p^{-1} \geqslant 0, \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{3.7}$$

with the conclusion changed in consequence to the assertion that $\Sigma a_n\, l_n^{-s}$ *is summable* (R, l_n, k), $0 \leqslant k < r$, *for*

$$\sigma > \frac{(r-k)(\alpha+1) + (k+1-p^{-1})\,\eta}{r+1-p^{-1}}\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. We observe that Theorem III may be viewed as the limiting case $p = \infty$ of Theorem IV.

The proof itself is similar to that of Theorem III with the difference that the choice of γ and $\theta(x)$ in (3.3) is now altered as below:

$$\eta < \gamma < \alpha + 1\,,\ \theta(x) \equiv x^{(r'-\alpha-p^{-1}+\gamma)/(r'+1-p^{-1})}$$

1) We suppose that $l_0 = 0$.

And furthermore the step corresponding to (3.6) is obtained as follows. Writing $1-1/p = 1/p'$, we get, for $l_n \leqslant l_m < l_n + \varepsilon\theta(l_n)$,

$$a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} + \ldots + a_m \leqslant a_{n+1} + |a_{n+1}| + \ldots + a_m + |a_m|$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-n} (a_{\nu+n} + |a_{\nu+n}|)\, l_{\nu+n} (l_{\nu+n} - l_{\nu+n-1})^{(1-p)/p} \times$$

$$\times \frac{(l_{\nu+n} - l_{\nu+n-1})^{1/p'}}{l_{\nu+n}}$$

$$\leqslant \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{m-n} (a_{\nu+n} + |a_{\nu+n}|)^p\, l_{\nu+n}^p (l_{\nu+n} - l_{\nu+n-1})^{1-p}\right]^{1/p} \times$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{m-n} \frac{l_{\nu+n} - l_{\nu+n-1}}{l_{\nu+n}^{p'}}\right]^{1/p'}$$

$$= O\left[l_m^{\alpha+1+1/p} \frac{(l_m - l_n)^{1/p'}}{l_{n+1}}\right] \quad (n \to \infty)$$

$$= O\left[l_n^{\alpha+1+1/p} \frac{\{\varepsilon\,\theta(l_n)\}^{1/p'}}{l_n}\right] \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used the hypothesis (3.7) in the passage to the step preceding (3.9). Taking $m = n+1$ in the step preceding (3.9), we get also

$$a_{n+1} = O_R\left[l_n^{\alpha+1+1/p} \frac{(l_{n+1} - l_n)^{1/p'}}{l_{n+1}}\right] \quad (n \to \infty)$$

$$= O_R\left[l_{n+1}^{\alpha+1/p}\, l_{n+1}^{(r-\alpha-p^{-1}+\eta)/(r+1-p^{-1})p'}\right]$$

$$= o_R\left[l_{n+1}^{\alpha+1/p} \{\theta(l_{n+1})\}^{1/p'}\right]. \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.9) and (3.10) with $n+1$ changed to n, we obtain, instead of (3.6) in the proof of Theorem III,

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \max_{l_n \leqq l_m < l_n + \varepsilon\theta(l_n)} \frac{a_n + a_{n+1} + \ldots + a_m}{l_n^{\rho'}} = o_R(1), \quad \varepsilon \to 0,$$

where

$$\rho' = \alpha + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{(r' - \alpha - p^{-1} + \gamma)}{(r' + 1 - p^{-1})\, p'}.$$

After this the proof is completed exactly like that of Theorem III subsequent to (3.6).

It may be observed that the assumption $\alpha+1+p^{-1}\geqslant 0$ involves no loss of generality since $\alpha+1+p^{-1}<0$ makes successively $a_n+|a_n|\equiv 0$, $a_n\equiv 0$ and so $\sigma_r = -\infty$ for all $r\geqslant 0$.

THEOREM V. *In Theorem* II, *let hypothesis* (i) *be omitted on account of its being implicit* (*with* $q=0$, $\rho=\alpha+1$) *in hypothesis* (iii) *modified as under. Let hypothesis* (ii) *be retained with* ρ *changed to* $\alpha+1$, *and hypothesis* (iii) *replaced by*

$$a_n = O\left[l_n^{\alpha}(l_n-l_{n-1})\right]. \tag{3.11}$$

Then the conclusion is that $\Sigma a_n\, l_n^{-s}$ *is summable* (R, l_n, k), $0\leqslant k<r$, *for* σ *satisfying* (3.2).

THEOREM VI. *If, in Theorem* V, (3.11) *alone is changed to*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} |a_\nu|^p\, l_\nu^p (l_\nu - l_{\nu-1})^{1-p} = O\left[l_n^{p(\alpha+1)+1}\right],\ p>1,\ \alpha+1+p^{-1}\geqslant 0,$$

the conclusion will become the assertion that $\Sigma a_n\, l_n^{-s}$ *is summable* (R, l_n, k), $0\leqslant k<r$, *for* σ *satisfying* (3.8).

The proofs of Theorems V, VI are omitted, being obvious simplifications of those of Theorems III, IV, involving the use of Theorem I (A) with hypothesis (2.2) (b) instead of (2.2.) (a) as formerly. Theorems V and VI, as pointed out by Chandrasekharan and Minakshisundaram, yield Ananda Rau's and Ganapathy Iyer's extensions of the Schnee-Landau theorem when $\alpha\to+0$.

§ 4. FURTHER APPLICATIONS

Theorem I (A) is a base which, combined with Theorem B, produces Theorem II, and in this sense Theorem I (A) may be said to correspond to Theorem II. There are results corresponding to each of Theorems III-VI in the same sense. For instance, Deduction 1 below corresponds to Theorem III and shows how other deductions corresponding to Theorems IV-VI may be formulated. Deductions 2,3 are further examples of results based on Theorem I.

DEDUCTION 1. (A) *In Theorem* I (A), *suppose that* $\sigma_r<\alpha+1$ *and that* (2.2) (a) *is replaced by*

$$a_n = O_R\left[l_n^{\alpha}(l_n-l_{n-1})\right],\ l_n - l_{n-1} = O\left(l_n^{(r-\alpha+\sigma_r)/(r+1)}\right). \tag{4.1}$$