

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 15 (1969)
Heft: 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: SOME CONVERSE THEOREMS ON THE ABSCISSAE OF SUMMABILITY OF GENERAL DIRICHLET SERIES
Autor: Rajagopal, C. T.
Kapitel: §1. Notation and auxiliary results
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43225>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 12.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

of general type λ and some *positive integer* order. These later versions are proved by him by using a difference formula applicable to such an integral mean ([9], Lemma 2); and each of them has a hypothesis which is an extension of the one-sided or two-sided Schmidt condition of slow growth of a function. Theorem A is a reformulation of Karamata's later theorems for any Riesz mean of a sequence, of general type λ and some *positive non-integer order*. In its fundamental case, $a = b = 0$, Theorem A has an analogue for the Abel mean of type λ instead of a Riesz mean of type λ , consisting of a classical theorem ([5], Theorem E) and Bosanquet's addition thereto ([5], Theorem D). Theorem A itself has been proved by me ([12], Theorem VI) by means of certain difference formulae due to Bosanquet ([4], Theorem 1) which extend Karamata's difference formula just mentioned to an integral mean of *non-integer* order. Bosanquet first proved his extended difference formulae in 1943, independently of Karamata. But, as a matter of fact, he had used them much earlier in 1931 in a form equivalent to Karamata's ([3], Lemma 5). To complete the references in relation to Bosanquet's difference formulae, mention may be made of certain other difference formulae independently evolved by Minakshisundaram and myself ([10], formulae (2.32), (2.38)) which are serviceable for much the same purposes as Bosanquet's formulae.

This paper deals specifically with general Dirichlet series of type l as distinguished from those of type λ . However, as far as Riesz typical means alone are concerned, there is no distinction between means of the two types, and so (for convenience) the Riesz means of this paper are taken to be of type l or (more explicitly) of type l_n , where l or l_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is a divergent sequence strictly increasing and positive.

§ 1. NOTATION AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

Let $a_1 + a_2 + \dots$ be a real series and l a sequence $\{l_n\}$ such that

$$1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \dots, \quad l_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, as usual, we define the Riesz mean of $\sum a_n$ of type l or l_n and order $r > 0$ by

$$\int_0^x \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^r dA_l(t) = \frac{r}{x^r} \int_0^x (x-t)^{r-1} A_l(t) dt \equiv \frac{A_l^r(x)}{x^r},$$

where $A_l^r(x)$ is the usual Riesz sum of $\sum a_n$ of type l or l_n and order r ,

$$A_l(t) = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n \quad \text{for} \quad l_n \leq t < l_{n+1} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

$$A_l(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t < l_1.$$

Again, as usual, we define $A_l^0(t) = A_l(t)$ and define as follows summability of $\sum a_n$ to sum S by the Riesz mean of type l_n and order $r \geq 0$, briefly called summability (R, l_n, r) of $\sum a_n$ to S :

$$\frac{A_l^r(x)}{x^r} \rightarrow S, \quad \text{or} \quad A_l^r(x) - Sx^r = o(x^r), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

In using this definition we may suppose (without loss of generality) that $S = 0$ since this merely means our considering $\sum a_n - S$ instead of $\sum a_n$. Furthermore, when considering any other series $b_1 + b_2 + \dots$, it is convenient to denote by $B_l^r(x)$, $r \geq 0$, the Riesz sum for that series, defined exactly as $A_l^r(x)$ for $\sum a_n$.

In the usual notation again, the general Dirichlet series of type l or l_n , with coefficients $\{a_n\}$, is

$$\sum_1^\infty \frac{a_n}{l_n^s}, \quad s = \sigma + i\tau.$$

Corresponding to the summability (R, l_n, r) , $r \geq 0$, of this series, to sum-function $f(s)$, we have the abscissa of summability σ_r ($-\infty < \sigma_r < \infty$) characterized by the property that the series is summable (R, l_n, r) , or not summable (R, l_n, r) , according as $\sigma > \sigma_r$ or $\sigma < \sigma_r$.

In the above notation, we may state as under the lemmas and auxiliary theorems used in this paper, denoting Riesz sums of order $r \geq 0$, of $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ respectively, by $A^r(x)$ and $B^r(x)$, with omission of the suffix l indicative of the type which remains the same throughout.

LEMMA 1 ([1], Theorem 6; [2], Theorem 1). *Let $\sum b_n \equiv \sum a_n l_n^\gamma$, where $\gamma > 0$ is a constant. If $A^r(x) = o(x^\beta)$, $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $\beta \geq r \geq 0$, then $B^r(x) = o(x^{\beta+\gamma})$.*

LEMMA 2 ([1], Theorem 9; [2], Theorem 3). *If $A^k(x) = o(x^{k+\beta})$, $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $k \geq 0$, $\beta > 0$, then $\sum b_n \equiv \sum a_n l_n^{-\beta}$ is either summable (R, l_n, k) or never summable (R, l_n, r) for any r however large.*

LEMMA 3 ([1], Theorem 4; [2], Theorem II). *If*

$$A^r(x) = o\{W(x)\} \quad (r > 0), \quad A(x) = O\{V(x)\}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $W(x)$, $V(x)$ are positive monotonic increasing functions of $x > 0$, then, for $0 < k < r$, $A^k(x) = o(V^{1-k/r} W^{k/r})$ where $V = V(x)$, $W = W(x)$, $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3 is proved, in the papers referred to ([1], [2]), for any integrable function $\phi(x)$ instead of $A(x) \equiv \sum_{l_n \leq x} a_n$.

THEOREM A ([12], Theorem VI). *If*

$$A^r(x) = o(x^{r+b}), \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{where } r > 0, \quad r + b \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

and if, with

$$\theta(x) \equiv x^{1-(a-b)/r}, \quad a \geq b,$$

we have

EITHER (a)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{l_n \leq l_m < l_n + \varepsilon \theta(l_n)} \frac{a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_m}{l_n^a} = o_R(1), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ & \text{OR} \quad (b) \\ & \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{l_n \leq l_m < l_n + \varepsilon \theta(l_n)} \frac{|a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} + \dots + a_m|}{l_n^a} = o(1), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad {}^1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

then

$$A(l_n) = o(l_n^a), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

THEOREM B (Riesz; see e.g. [6], p. 81, Theorem 3.66). *Suppose that the Dirichlet series*

$$\sum_1^\infty \frac{a_n}{l_n^s}, \quad s = \sigma + i\tau,$$

is summable (R, l_n , q) for some $q \geq 0$ when $\sigma > d$.²⁾ Suppose also that the sum-function $f(s)$ thus defined is regular for $\sigma > \eta$ where $\eta < d$, and

$$f(s) = O(|\tau|^r), \quad r \geq 0, \quad \text{uniformly for } \sigma \geq \eta + \varepsilon > \eta.$$

Then the Dirichlet series is summable (R, l_n , r'), $r' > r$, for $\sigma > \eta$.

¹⁾ $a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} + \dots + a_m$ is to be interpreted as 0 when $n = m$ or $l_n = l_m$.

²⁾ This is no restriction since otherwise $\sigma_q = \infty$ for all q .