

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 15 (1969)  
**Heft:** 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** ON SOME GENERALISATIONS OF ABEL SUMMABILITY  
**Autor:** KUTTNER, B.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43220>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 14.12.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# ON SOME GENERALISATIONS OF ABEL SUMMABILITY

B. KUTTNER

*To the memory of J. Karamata*

1. With the usual terminology, a sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is described as Abel summable to  $s$  if

$$(1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n x^n$$

converges for  $0 < x < 1$ , and tends to  $s$  as  $x \rightarrow 1 -$ . For our present purposes, it is convenient to put  $x = t/(1+t)$ ; thus the definition takes the form that

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{1+t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \left( \frac{t}{1+t} \right)^n \quad (1)$$

converges for  $t > 0$ , and tends to  $s$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . A generalisation which has been considered by Kogbetliantz [3] and Lord [4] is to replace (1) by

$$\phi(\alpha; t) = \frac{1}{1+t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n^{\alpha} \left( \frac{t}{1+t} \right)^n, \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha > -1$  and where  $\{s_n^{\alpha}\}$  is the  $(C, \alpha)$  mean of  $\{s_n\}$ ; that is to say

$$s_n^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\binom{n+\alpha}{n}} \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n-v+\alpha-1}{n-v} s_v.$$

Here we write, as usual,

$$\binom{m+\beta}{m} = \frac{(m+\beta)(m+\beta-1)\dots(1+\beta)}{m!}.$$

If (2) converges for all  $t > 0$ , and if  $\phi(\alpha; t) \rightarrow s$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we say that  $\{s_n\}$  is summable  $(A, \alpha)$  to  $s$ . It is easily seen that if, for a given  $\alpha > -1$ , (2) converges for all  $t > 0$  then the same thing holds for any other  $\alpha > -1$ . It is known that, if this holds, then, for  $\beta > \alpha > -1$ ,

$$\phi(\beta; t) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha+1)} t^{-\beta} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\beta-\alpha-1} u^\alpha \phi(\alpha; u) du. \quad (3)$$

As is known, it follows easily from (3) that, for  $\alpha > -1$ , summability  $(A, \alpha)$  increases in strength with increasing  $\alpha$ ; that is to say, if  $\beta > \alpha > -1$  and if  $\{s_n\}$  is summable  $(A, \alpha)$  to  $s$ , then it is also summable  $(A, \beta)$  to  $s$ .

A different generalisation has been introduced by Borwein [1]. For  $\lambda > -1$ , let

$$\phi_\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\lambda+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+\lambda}{n} s_n \left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)^n. \quad (4)$$

If (4) converges for all  $t > 0$  and if  $\phi_\lambda(t) \rightarrow s$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we say that  $\{s_n\}$  is summable  $A_\lambda$  to  $s$ . It is again clear that if, for a given  $\lambda > -1$ , (4) converges for all  $t > 0$ , then the same thing holds for any other  $\lambda > -1$ . Borwein has shown that, if this holds, then, for  $\lambda > \mu > -1$ ,

$$\phi_\mu(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda-\mu)\Gamma(\mu+1)} t^{-\lambda} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\lambda-\mu-1} u^\mu \phi_\lambda(u) du. \quad (5)$$

Using (5), Borwein proved that, for  $\lambda > -1$ , summability  $A_\lambda$  increases in strength with decreasing  $\lambda$ .

Let us now combine these two ideas. For  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ , let

$$\phi_\lambda(\alpha; t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\lambda+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+\lambda}{n} s_n^\alpha \left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)^n. \quad (6)$$

If (6) converges for all  $t > 0$ , and if  $\phi_\lambda(\alpha; t) \rightarrow s$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we say that  $\{s_n\}$  is summable  $(A_\lambda, \alpha)$  to  $s$ . The object of this paper is to compare the strengths of  $(A_\lambda, \alpha)$  for different values of  $\alpha, \lambda$ . We will show that (assuming that  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ ) the strength of  $(A_\lambda, \alpha)$  depends only on the value of  $\alpha - \lambda$ ; further, the method increases in strength with increasing  $\alpha - \lambda$ . In other words, we have the following result.

**THEOREM.** *Suppose that  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ ,  $\beta > -1$ ,  $\mu > -1$ ,  $\beta - \mu \geq \alpha - \lambda$ . If  $\{s_n\}$  is summable  $(A_\lambda, \alpha)$  to  $s$ , then it is summable  $(A_\mu, \beta)$  to  $s$ .*

We remark that this theorem clearly includes the result that if  $\beta - \mu = \alpha - \lambda$  then summabilities  $(A_\lambda, \alpha)$ ,  $(A_\mu, \beta)$  are equivalent.

2. In order to prove the theorem, we make use of the idea of the Hausdorff transform of a function introduced by Rogosinski [5]. Let  $\chi(t)$  be a

given function of bounded variation in  $[0, 1]$ . Given any function  $\phi(t)$  which is measurable and bounded in any finite interval, let

$$\psi(t) = \int_0^1 \phi(tu) d\chi(u) = \int_0^t \phi(u) d\chi\left(\frac{u}{t}\right). \quad (7)$$

If  $\psi(t) \rightarrow s$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we say that the function  $\phi(t)$  is summable  $(H, \chi)$  to  $s$ . There is clearly no loss of generality in taking  $\chi(0) = 0$ ; assuming this,  $(H, \chi)$  is regular (i.e.,  $\phi(t) \rightarrow s$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  implies that  $\psi(t) \rightarrow s$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) if and only if <sup>1</sup>  $\chi(0+) = 0$ ,  $\chi(1) = 1$ .

Associated with any Hausdorff transformation  $(H, \chi)$  there is a Mellin transform  $T(z)$ , defined for  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$  by

$$T(z) = \int_0^1 t^z d\chi(t). \quad (8)$$

Conversely, given any function  $T(z)$  defined for  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ , we follow Rogosinski in describing it as a Mellin transform if it can be expressed in the form (8).

LEMMA 1. *Let  $(H, \chi_1)$ ,  $(H, \chi_2)$  be two regular Hausdorff transformations, the corresponding Mellin transforms being  $T_1(z)$ ,  $T_2(z)$ . Suppose that  $T_2(z)/T_1(z)$  is also a Mellin transform. Then if  $\phi(t)$  is summable  $(H, \chi_1)$  to  $s$ , it is also summable  $(H, \chi_2)$  to  $s$ .*

The result that, under the hypotheses of the lemma,  $\phi(t)$  is summable  $(H, \chi_2)$  to some limit is given by [5], Theorem 2. The result that this limit is  $s$  is not included in the explicit statement of that theorem; however, in view of the conditions for regularity already stated, it follows from the proof of that theorem with the aid of equations (4), (5) of § 1.6 of [5].

LEMMA 2. *Let*

$$T(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1) \Gamma(\beta+1) \Gamma(z+\alpha+1) \Gamma(z+\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\mu+1) \Gamma(z+\lambda+1) \Gamma(z+\beta+1)}.$$

*If  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ ,  $\beta > -1$ ,  $\mu > -1$ ,  $\beta - \mu \geq \alpha - \lambda$ , then  $T(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; z)$  (as a function of  $z$ ) is a Mellin transform.*

Write

$$\tau(\gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(z+\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+1) \Gamma(z+1) (z+1)^\gamma}.$$

<sup>1</sup>) [5], Theorem 1. It is to be noted that Rogosinski uses the term "regular" in a wider sense.

It is known<sup>1</sup> that, if  $\gamma > -1$ , then  $\tau(\gamma; z)$  and its reciprocal are both Mellin transforms. It is also known that, for  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $(z+1)^{-\delta}$  is a Mellin transform.

But

$$T(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; z) = \frac{\tau(\alpha; z) \tau(\mu; z)}{\tau(\lambda; z) \tau(\beta; z)} (z+1)^{\alpha+\mu-\lambda-\beta}.$$

Since the product of a finite number of Mellin transforms is a Mellin transform, the lemma follows.

3. It is clear that if, for a given  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ , (6) converges for all  $t > 0$ , then the same will hold for any other  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ . Throughout the rest of the paper, this will be assumed to be the case.

LEMMA 3. *If  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ , then, for  $t > 0$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt} \{t^{\alpha+1} \phi_\lambda(\alpha+1; t)\} = (\alpha+1) t^\alpha \phi_\lambda(\alpha; t)$ .*

We have (the formal manipulations being justified by absolute convergence),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \{t^{\alpha+1} \phi_\lambda(\alpha+1; t)\} &= \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+\lambda}{n} s_n^{\alpha+1} \frac{t^{n+\alpha+1}}{(1+t)^{n+\lambda+1}} = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+\lambda}{n} s_n^{\alpha+1} \left\{ (n+\alpha+1) \frac{t^{n+\alpha}}{(1+t)^{n+\lambda+1}} - (n+\lambda+1) \frac{t^{n+\alpha+1}}{(1+t)^{n+\lambda+2}} \right\} = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+\alpha}}{(1+t)^{n+\lambda+1}} \binom{n+\lambda}{n} \left[ (n+\alpha+1) s_n^{\alpha+1} - n s_{n-1}^{\alpha+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the expression in square brackets is equal to  $(\alpha+1) s_n^\alpha$ , the lemma follows.

As an immediate corollary, we have

$$\phi_\lambda(\alpha+1; t) = (\alpha+1) t^{-\alpha-1} \int_0^t u^\alpha \phi_\lambda(\alpha; u) du. \quad (9)$$

It may be remarked that (9) is a special case of the more general result that, for  $\beta > \alpha > -1$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ ,

$$\phi_\lambda(\beta; t) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta-\alpha)} t^{-\beta} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\beta-\alpha-1} u^\alpha \phi_\lambda(\alpha; u) du. \quad (10)$$

<sup>1</sup>) This is given, for example, by the proof of [2], Theorem 211.

This reduces to (3) when  $\lambda = 0$ . However, (10) will not be needed for the proof of the main theorem, so I omit its proof.

4. We now come to the proof of the theorem. In view of the definition of  $\phi_\lambda(\alpha; t)$ , we see on applying (5) with  $s_n$  replaced by  $s_n^\alpha$  that, for  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\lambda > \mu > -1$ ,

$$\phi_\mu(\alpha; t) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda - \mu) \Gamma(\mu + 1)} t^{-\lambda} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\lambda-\mu-1} u^\mu \phi_\lambda(\alpha; u) du. \quad (11)$$

Consider in particular the special case in which  $\lambda = \alpha$ . It is well known that

$$\phi_\alpha(\alpha; u) = \phi_0(0; u) = \phi(u);$$

thus, changing the notation by writing  $\lambda$  in place of  $\mu$ , we find that, for  $\alpha > \lambda > -1$

$$\phi_\lambda(\alpha; t) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \lambda) \Gamma(\lambda + 1)} t^{-\alpha} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\alpha-\lambda-1} u^\lambda \phi(u) du. \quad (12)$$

Thus, for  $\alpha > \lambda > -1$ ,  $\phi_\lambda(\alpha; t)$  is obtained from  $\phi(t)$  by the  $(H, \chi)$  transformation with

$$\chi(t) = \int_0^t \chi^1(u) du;$$

$$\chi^1(u) = \chi_\lambda^1(\alpha; u) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \lambda) \Gamma(\lambda + 1)} u^\lambda (1-u)^{\alpha-\lambda-1}.$$

The corresponding Mellin transform is

$$T(z) = T_\lambda(\alpha; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(z + \lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1) \Gamma(z + \alpha + 1)}. \quad (13)$$

Thus, with the notation of Lemma 2,

$$\frac{T_\mu(\beta; z)}{T_\lambda(\alpha; z)} = T(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; z).$$

By Lemma 2, this is a Mellin transform whenever the appropriate inequalities are satisfied; and the case of the theorem in which  $\alpha > \lambda$  therefore follows at once from Lemma 1.

If  $\alpha \leq \lambda$ , however, (12) is no longer valid, and this case of the theorem therefore requires further consideration. We suppose from now on that

the inequalities imposed in the theorem are satisfied. Thus, by Lemma 2,  $T(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; z)$  is a Mellin transform, so that we can write

$$T(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; z) = \int_0^1 t^z d\chi(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; t), \quad (14)$$

say. If, further,  $\alpha > \lambda$ , the proof of Lemma 1 then shows that

$$\phi_\mu(\beta; t) = \int_0^1 \phi_\lambda(\alpha; tu) d\chi(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; u). \quad (15)$$

We will show that, if for given  $\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu$ , (15) holds with  $\alpha, \beta$  replaced by  $\alpha+1, \beta+1$ , then it holds as it stands. By successive applications of this result, it will then follow that if (15) holds with  $\alpha, \beta$  replaced by  $\alpha+r, \beta+r$  ( $r$  a positive integer), then it holds as it stands; and, since we can choose  $\alpha+r > \lambda$ , this will give the theorem.

In order to prove the result stated, we write, for the sake of brevity,  $\chi(t)$  in place of  $\chi(\alpha+1, \lambda, \beta+1, \mu; t)$ . We obtain, with the aid of Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta+1)t^\beta \phi_\mu(\beta; t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \{ t^{\beta+1} \phi_\mu(\beta+1; t) \} = \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ t^{\beta+1} \int_0^1 \phi_\lambda(\alpha+1; tu) d\chi(u) \right\} = \\ &= (\alpha+1)t^\beta \int_0^1 \phi_\lambda(\alpha; tu) d\chi(u) + (\beta-\alpha)t^\beta \int_0^1 \phi_\lambda(\alpha+1; tu) d\chi(u) = \\ &= (\alpha+1)t^\beta \int_0^1 \phi_\lambda(\alpha; tu) d\chi(u) + \\ &+ (\beta-\alpha)(\alpha+1)t^\beta \int_0^1 u^\alpha \phi_\lambda(\alpha; tu) du \int_u^1 v^{-\alpha-1} d\chi(v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\phi_\mu(\beta; t) = \int_0^1 \phi_\lambda(\alpha; tu) d\psi(u), \quad (16)$$

where

$$\psi(u) = \frac{(\alpha+1)}{(\beta+1)} \left\{ \chi(u) + (\beta-\alpha) \int_0^u w^\alpha dw \int_w^1 v^{-\alpha-1} d\chi(v) \right\}. \quad (17)$$

Hence, for  $Rz > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^1 t^z d\psi(t) = \frac{(\alpha+1)}{(\beta+1)} \left\{ \int_0^1 t^z d\chi(t) + (\beta-\alpha) \int_0^1 t^{z+\alpha} dt \int_t^1 v^{-\alpha-1} d\chi(v) \right\} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{(\beta + 1)} \left\{ \int_0^1 t^z d\chi(t) + (\beta - \alpha) \int_0^1 v^{-\alpha-1} d\chi(v) \int_0^v t^{z+\alpha} dt \right\} = \\
 &= \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{(\beta + 1)} T(\alpha + 1, \lambda, \beta + 1, \mu; z) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{z + \alpha + 1} \right\}, \quad (18)
 \end{aligned}$$

by the result obtained by replacing  $\alpha, \beta$  by  $\alpha+1, \beta+1$  in (14). It now follows at once from the definition of  $T(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; z)$  that

$$\int_0^1 t^z d\psi(t) = T(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; z). \quad (19)$$

We may suppose  $\psi(t)$  normalised by taking

$$\psi(0) = 0; \quad \psi(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi(t+) + \psi(t-)) \quad (0 < t < 1).$$

If  $\chi(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; t)$  is similarly normalised, it follows from (14) and (19) with the aid of the uniqueness theorem for Mellin transforms that

$$\psi(t) = \chi(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu; t).$$

The proof of the theorem is thus completed.

5. It is easily seen that, whenever the transformation (7) is regular, it is also absolutely regular; that is, it transforms any absolutely convergent function (that is to say, a function of bounded variation in  $(0, \infty)$ ) into an absolutely convergent function. The proof of the theorem therefore shows that the result remains true if we replace summability by absolute summability throughout.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BORWEIN, D., On a scale of Abel-type summability methods. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 53 (1957), 318-322.
- [2] HARDY, G. H. *Divergent series* (Oxford, 1949).
- [3] KOGBETLIANTZ, E., Somme des séries et intégrales divergentes par les moyennes arithmétiques et typiques. *Mém. des Sci. Math.*, fasc. 51 (1931).
- [4] LORD, R. D., On some relations between the Abel, Borel and Cesàro methods of summation. *Proc. London Math. Soc* (2), 38 (1935), 241-256.
- [5] ROGOSINSKI, W. W., On Hausdorff's methods of summability. II. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 38 (1942), 344-363.



**Vide-leer-empty**