

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 15 (1969)  
**Heft:** 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** NOTE ON THE DEGREE OF APPROXIMATION TO CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS  
**Autor:** Bojanic, R.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43203>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 05.12.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# A NOTE ON THE DEGREE OF APPROXIMATION TO CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

R. BOJANIC

*To the memory of J. Karamata*

1. If  $f$  is a continuous function on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $\omega_f$  its modulus of continuity defined by

$$\omega_f(h) = \sup \{ |f(x) - f(y)| : x, y \in [-1, 1], |x - y| \leq h \},$$

then according to the well known theorem of D. Jackson the sequence  $(P_n^*[f])$  of polynomials of best approximation to  $f$  satisfies the inequalities

$$\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |P_n^*[f](x) - f(x)| \leq C \omega_f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $C$  is a constant (see [1] and [2], p. 56).

Several authors have constructed explicitly sequences of polynomials  $(P_n[f])$  which have essentially the same deviation from  $f$ , or the same degree of precision of approximation to  $f$ , as  $(P_n^*[f])$ . V. K. Dzjadik [3] has used polynomials  $(P_n[f])$  defined by

$$P_n[f](x) = \frac{1}{3} \int_{-1}^1 f(4t^2) \left( D_{nk} \left( \frac{2t+x}{3} \right) + D_{nk} \left( \frac{2t-x}{3} \right) \right) dt$$

for the approximation to  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Here

$$D_{nk}(x) = c_{nk} \left( \frac{1 - T_n(1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2)}{x^2} \right)^k,$$

$T_n$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $n$ , and  $c_{nk}$  is chosen so that  $\int_{-1}^1 D_{nk}(x) dx = 1$  (see [3], p. 339).

R. DeVore [4] has introduced the sequence of polynomials  $(L_n[f])$  defined by

$$L_n[f](x) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(t) \Lambda(t-x) dt$$

where  $A_n$  is the polynomial

$$A_n(x) = c_n \left( \frac{P_{2n}(x)}{x^2 - \alpha_{2n}^2} \right)^2.$$

Here  $P_{2n}$  is the Legendre polynomial of degree  $2n$ ,  $\alpha_{2n}$  is the smallest positive zero of  $P_{2n}$  and  $c_n$  is chosen so that  $\int_{-1}^1 A_n(x) dx = 1$ .

More complicated sequences of interpolatory polynomials have been obtained by G. Freud [5], R. B. Saxena [6] and M. Sallay [7].

The aim of this note is to give a general result of this type which should illuminate better the nature of approximation processes which are close to the best possible approximation.

We shall consider here approximating polynomials generated by a sequence of orthogonal polynomials  $(p_n)$  on  $[-1, 1]$  whose weight function  $w$  is non-negative, even and  $L$ -integrable on  $[-1, 1]$  and has the following properties:

$$(1.1) \quad 0 < m \leq w(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [-c, c], \quad 0 < c \leq 1$$

$$(1.2) \quad w(x) \leq M \quad \text{for } x \in [-\delta, \delta], \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1.$$

We shall denote the zeros of  $p_n$  in their increasing order by  $x_{kn}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$-1 < x_{1n} < \dots < x_{nn} < 1.$$

Since  $w$  is even, the zeros of  $p_n$  are symmetrically distributed in  $[-1, 1]$ .

Our basic result can be stated as follows:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $w$  be a non-negative, even and  $L$ -integrable function on  $[-1, 1]$  satisfying conditions (1.1) and (1.2) and let  $(p_n)$  be the sequence of orthogonal polynomials on  $[-1, 1]$  generated by the weight function  $w$ .*

*Let  $(R_n)$  be either one of the following two sequences of polynomials*

$$(i) \quad c_n \left( \frac{p_{2n}(x)}{x^2 - \alpha_{2n}^2} \right)^2 \quad (ii) \quad c_n \left( \frac{p_{2n+1}(x)}{x(x^2 - \alpha_{2n+1}^2)} \right)^2$$

*where  $\alpha_n$  is the smallest positive zero of  $p_n$  and  $c_n$  is chosen so that*

$$\int_{-c}^c R_n(x) dx = 1.$$

*For any  $f$  continuous on  $[-\frac{1}{2}c, \frac{1}{2}c]$  let the sequence of polynomials  $(K_n[f])$  be defined by*

$$K_n[f](x) = \int_{-\frac{c}{2}}^{\frac{c}{2}} f(t) R_n(x-t) dt.$$

Then for all  $n$  sufficiently large we have the inequality

$$\max_{-\frac{c}{4} \leq x \leq \frac{c}{4}} |K_n[f](x) - f(x)| \leq C \omega_f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

where  $C$  depends only on the choice of the weight function  $w$ .

This theorem states essentially that if the weight function  $w$  is bounded away from zero and infinity in a neighborhood of 0, and if  $f$  is continuous there, then the sequence  $(K_n[f])$  converges uniformly to  $f$  in a smaller neighborhood of 0 and the rate of convergence is close to the best possible.

The weight functions of all classical orthogonal polynomials clearly satisfy conditions (1.1) and (1.2).

The simplest sequences of approximating polynomials are obtained by choosing  $w(x) = (1-x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . The corresponding orthogonal polynomials are then the Chebyshev polynomials  $T_n$ , with  $\alpha_{2n} = \sin \frac{\pi}{4n}$  and

$$\alpha_{2n+1} = \sin \frac{\pi}{2n+1}. \text{ The kernels } R_n \text{ are}$$

$$(i) \ R_n(x) = c_n \left( \frac{T_{2n}(x)}{x^2 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{4n}} \right)^2 \quad (ii) \ R_n(x) = c_n \left( \frac{T_{2n+1}(x)}{x \left( x^2 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2n+1} \right)} \right)^2.$$

Other simple approximating sequences are obtained by choosing  $w(x) = (1-x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . In this case we have the Chebyshev polynomials  $U_n$  with  $\alpha_{2n} = \sin \frac{\pi}{4n+2}$  and  $\alpha_{2n+1} = \sin \frac{\pi}{2n+2}$ . The corresponding kernels are

$$(i) \ R_n(x) = c_n \left( \frac{U_{2n}(x)}{x^2 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{4n+2}} \right)^2 \quad (ii) \ R_n(x) = c_n \left( \frac{U_{2n+1}(x)}{x \left( x^2 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2n+2} \right)} \right)^2.$$

Finally, the choice  $w(x) = 1$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1]$  leads to kernels generated by Legendre polynomials  $P_n$ :

$$(i) \quad R_n(x) = c_n \left( \frac{P_{2n}(x)}{x^2 - \alpha_{2n}^2} \right)^2 \quad (ii) \quad R_n(x) = c_n \left( \frac{P_{2n+1}(x)}{x(x^2 - \alpha_{2n+1}^2)} \right)^2.$$

The first of these kernels was used in R. DeVore's proof of Jackson's theorem.

2. The proof of our theorem is based on certain properties of zeros  $x_{kn}$  of  $p_n$  and the corresponding Cotes numbers  $\lambda_{kn}$  which appear in the Gauss quadrature formula. As it is well known, the Gauss formula states that for any polynomial  $P$  of degree  $\leq 2n-1$  we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 P(x) w(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{kn} P(x_{kn}).$$

In order to simplify the proof of the theorem we shall formulate the most important steps in the proof as lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $w$  be a non-negative, even and  $L$ -integrable function on  $[-1, 1]$  satisfying condition (1.1). Then the sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  of smallest positive zeros of  $p_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  converges to zero.*

PROOF. Given  $0 < \varepsilon < c$ , choose  $[a, b]$ ,  $0 < a < b < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\int_a^b w(x) dx \geq m(b-a) > 0$ , for all  $n$  sufficiently large the polynomial  $p_n$  will have a zero in  $[a, b]$  (see [8], pp. 110-111). This means that  $0 < \alpha_n < \varepsilon$  for all  $n > N_\varepsilon$ . Hence  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

LEMMA 2. *Let  $w$  be a non-negative and  $L$ -integrable function on  $[-1, 1]$  satisfying condition (1.2). If  $x_{kn} \in [-\frac{1}{2}\delta, \frac{1}{2}\delta]$ , then the corresponding Cotes number  $\lambda_{kn}$  satisfies the inequality  $0 < \lambda_{kn} \leq C_1/n$  where  $C_1 \leq 4\pi M + \frac{2}{\delta} \int_{-1}^1 w(t) dt$ .*

PROOF. This is a result of P. Erdős and P. Turán (see [9], Lemma V, p. 530). Another simpler and more direct proof of this inequality was given by G. Freud (see [10], Hilfssatz IVa, p. 259).

LEMMA 3. *Let  $w$  be a non-negative, even and  $L$ -integrable weight function on  $[-1, 1]$  satisfying conditions (1.1) and (1.2). Then for all  $n$  sufficiently large the smallest positive zero  $\alpha_n$  of  $p_n$  satisfies the inequality  $\alpha_n \leq C_2/n$ .*

PROOF. Since by Lemma 1 the sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  converges to zero, we can find  $N_\delta$  such that

$$\alpha_n \in [0, \frac{1}{2} \delta] \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_\delta.$$

Let  $\beta_n$  be the largest non-positive zero of  $p_n$ . Since  $w$  is even, we have  $\beta_n = -\alpha_n$  or  $\beta_n = 0$ . By the separation theorem of Chebyshev, Markov and Stieltjes we have

$$m\alpha_n \leq m(\alpha_n - \beta_n) \leq \int_{\beta_n}^{\alpha_n} w(t) dt \leq \lambda(\beta_n) + \lambda(\alpha_n)$$

where  $\lambda(\beta_n)$  and  $\lambda(\alpha_n)$  are Cotes numbers in the Gauss formula corresponding to the zeros  $\beta_n$  and  $\alpha_n$  of  $p_n$ . Since  $\beta_n, \alpha_n \in [-\frac{1}{2} \delta, \frac{1}{2} \delta]$ , we have by Lemma 2  $m\alpha_n \leq 2C_1/n$  for all  $n \geq N_\delta$  and the Lemma is proved.

LEMMA 4. *If  $R$  is a non-negative polynomial of degree  $\leq 4n-1$  such that  $\int_{-c}^c R(t) dt = 1$ , then we have the inequality  $R(x) \leq C_3 n$  for all  $x \in [-\frac{1}{2} c, \frac{1}{2} c]$ .*

PROOF. Let  $P(x) = \int_{-c}^x R(t) dt$ . Then  $P$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq 4n$  with  $|P(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in [-c, c]$  and the proof of the Lemma follows immediately from Bernstein's inequality (see [2], p. 62).

LEMMA 5. *For all  $n$  sufficiently large the polynomials  $R_n$  satisfy the inequality*

$$\int_{-c}^c t^2 R_n(t) dt \leq Cn^{-2}.$$

PROOF. We shall prove the inequality only for

$$R_n(x) = c_n \left( \frac{p_{2n}(x)}{x^2 - \alpha_{2n}^2} \right)^2.$$

The same argument shows that

$$R_n(x) = c_n \left( \frac{p_{2n+1}(x)}{x(x^2 - \alpha_{2n+1}^2)} \right)^2$$

satisfies the same inequality.

We have first by (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-c}^c t^2 R_n(t) dt &\leq \frac{1}{m} \int_{-c}^c t^2 R_n(t) w(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \int_{-1}^1 t^2 R_n(t) w(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R_n$  is an even polynomial of degree  $4n-4$ , non-negative and vanishing at all zeros  $x_{k,2n}$  of  $p_{2n}$  except at  $\alpha_{2n}$  and  $-\alpha_{2n}$ , we have by Gauss quadrature formula based on the zeros of  $p_{2n}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 t^2 R_n(t) w(t) dt &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \lambda_{k,2n} (x_{k,2n})^2 R_n(x_{k,2n}) \\ &= 2\lambda(\alpha_{2n}) \alpha_{2n}^2 R_n(\alpha_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 we can find  $N_{c,\delta}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_{c,\delta}$  we have

$$0 < \alpha_{2n} \leq C_2/2n \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \alpha_{2n} < \min(\tfrac{1}{2}c, \tfrac{1}{2}\delta).$$

By Lemma 2 we have then  $\lambda(\alpha_{2n}) \leq C_1/2n$ . Hence for all  $n \geq N_{c,\delta}$  we have

$$\int_{-c}^c t^2 R_n(t) dt \leq C_1 (C_2)^2 (1/n^3) R_n(\alpha_{2n}).$$

By Lemma 4,  $0 \leq R_n(x) \leq C_3 n$  for all  $x \in [-\frac{1}{2}c, \frac{1}{2}c]$ . Since  $\alpha_{2n} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}c]$ , we have  $R_n(\alpha_{2n}) \leq C_3 n$  and the Lemma is proved.

LEMMA 6. Let  $L_n$  be a positive linear operator defined on the set of all continuous functions on  $[a, b]$ , with values in the set of continuous functions on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , with  $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$ . Then

$$(2.1) \quad \|L_n[f] - f\| \leq (\|L_n[1]\| + 1) \omega_f(\mu_n) + \|f\| \|L_n[1] - 1\|$$

where

$$\mu_n = \|L_n[(t-x)^2](x)\|^{1/2}.$$

Here, the operator  $L_n$  is applied to the variable  $t \in [a, b]$ , while the sup norm  $\| \cdot \|$  is taken with respect to  $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$ .

PROOF. This is a result of O. Shisha and B. Mond (see [11], Th. 1.) Since

$$\mu_n^2 \leq \|L_n[t^2](x) - x^2\| + 2\gamma \|L_n[t](x) - x\| + \gamma^2 \|L_n[1] - 1\|$$

where  $\gamma = \max(|\alpha|, |\beta|)$ , the well known theorem of P. P. Korovkin about convergence of sequences of positive linear operators follows immediately from the inequality (2.1) (see [12], Ch. 1).

We shall give here Shisha and Mond's proof of the inequality (2.1). We have first for any  $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$

$$|L_n[f](x) - f(x)| \leq L_n[|f(t) - f(x)|](x) + |f(x)| \|L_n[1](x) - 1\|.$$

Since for any  $h > 0$  we have

$$|f(x) - f(t)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{(t-x)^2}{h^2}\right) \omega_f(h),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n[f](x) - f(x)| &\leq \omega_f(h) \left( L_n[1](x) + \frac{1}{h^2} L_n[(t-x)^2](x) \right) + \\ &\quad + |f(x)| |L_n[1](x) - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad \|L_n[f] - f\| &\leq \omega_f(h) \left( \|L_n[1]\| + \frac{1}{h^2} \|L_n[(t-x)^2](x)\| \right) + \\ &\quad + \|f\| \|L_n[1] - 1\|. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu_n = \|L_n[(t-x)^2](x)\|^{1/2} > 0$ , (2.1) follows from (2.2) by choosing  $h = \mu_n$ . If  $\mu_n = 0$ , the inequality (2.2) becomes

$$\|L_n[f] - f\| \leq \omega_f(h) \|L_n[1]\| + \|f\| \|L_n[1] - 1\|$$

and (2.1) follows again since  $h$  can be chosen arbitrarily small.

3. *Proof of the Theorem.* The operator  $K_n$  defined by

$$K_n[f](x) = \int_{-c/2}^{c/2} f(t) R_n(x-t) dt$$

is clearly a positive linear operator. Hence, in view of Lemma 6, we have only to evaluate  $\|K_n[(t-x)^2](x)\|$ ,  $\|K_n[1] - 1\|$  and  $\|K_n[1]\|$ , where  $\|g\| = \sup \{ |g(x)| : |x| \leq \frac{c}{4} \}$ .

We have first for  $|x| \leq \frac{c}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned} K_n[(t-x)^2](x) &= \int_{-c/2}^{c/2} (x-t)^2 R_n(x-t) dt = \int_{x-\frac{c}{2}}^{x+\frac{c}{2}} t^2 R_n(t) dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_{-\frac{3}{4}c}^{\frac{3}{4}c} t^2 R_n(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu_n^2 = \|K_n[(t-x)^2](x)\| \leq \int_{-c}^c t^2 R_n(t) dt.$$



On the other hand, since  $\int_{-c}^c R_n(t) dt = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - K_n[1](x) &= \int_{-c}^c R_n(t) dt - \int_{x-\frac{c}{2}}^{x+\frac{c}{2}} R_n(t) dt \\ &= \int_{x+\frac{c}{2}}^c R_n(t) dt + \int_{-c}^{x-\frac{c}{2}} R_n(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for  $|x| \leq \frac{c}{4}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - K_n[1](x)| &\leq \left( \int_{\frac{c}{4}}^c + \int_{-c}^{-\frac{c}{4}} \right) R_n(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{16}{c^2} \left( \int_{\frac{c}{4}}^c + \int_{-c}^{-\frac{c}{4}} \right) t^2 R_n(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\|K_n[1] - 1\| \leq \frac{16}{c^2} \int_{-c}^c t^2 R_n(t) dt.$$

Finally, for  $|x| \leq \frac{c}{4}$  we have

$$0 \leq K_n[1](x) = \int_{x-\frac{c}{2}}^{x+\frac{c}{2}} R_n(t) dt \leq \int_{-c}^c R_n(t) dt = 1$$

and so  $\|K_n[1]\| \leq 1$ .

Applying Lemma 6 we find that

$$\|K_n[f] - f\| \leq 2\omega_f \left( \left( \int_{-c}^c t^2 R_n(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \frac{16}{c^2} \|f\| \int_{-c}^c t^2 R_n(t) dt.$$

By Lemma 5 we have for all  $n$  sufficiently large the inequality

$$\|K_n[f] - f\| \leq 2(1 + \sqrt{C}) \omega_f \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) + (16C \|f\| c^{-2}) \frac{1}{n^2}$$

and the rest of the proof follows from elementary properties of the modulus of continuity  $\omega_f$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] JACKSON, D., The Theory of Approximation. *American Math. Soc. Coll. Publ.*, Vol. XI, New York, 1930.
- [2] MEINARDUS, G., *Approximation of Functions*. Springer Verlag, New York, 1967.
- [3] DZJADIK, V. K., On the approximation of functions by algebraic polynomials on a finite interval of the real line. *Izvestia Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seria mat.*, 22 (1958), pp. 337-354.
- [4] DEVORE, R., On Jackson's Theorem, *Journ. of Approximation Theory* (to appear).
- [5] FREUD, G., Über ein Jacksonsches Interpolationsverfahren. *Proceedings of the Conference on Approximation Theory, Oberwolfach 1963*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1964.
- [6] SAXENA, R. B., On a polynomial of Interpolation. *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, 2 (1967), pp. 167-183.
- [7] SALLAY, M., Über ein Interpolationsverfahren. *Publ. Math. Inst., Hung. Acad. Sci.*, Vol. IX, Series A (1964), pp. 607-615.
- [8] SZEGÖ, G., Orthogonal Polynomials. *American Math. Soc. Coll. Publ.*, Vol. XXIII, New York, 1959.
- [9] ERDÖS, P., TURÁN, P., On Interpolation, III. *Annals of Math.*, 41 (1940), pp. 510-553
- [10] FREUD, G., Über einen Satz von P. Erdős und P. Turán. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, 4 (1953), pp. 255-266.
- [11] SHISHA, O., MOND, B. The degree of Convergence of Sequences of Linear Positive Operators. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 60 (1968), pp. 1196-1200.
- [12] KOROVKIN, P. P., *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*. Hind. Publ. Co., Delhi, 1960.

(Reçu le 15 Août 1968)

Department of Mathematics  
Ohio State University  
Columbus, Ohio 43210.

**Vide-leer-empty**