Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 14 (1968)

Heft: 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: FLATNESS AND PRIVILEGE

Autor: Douady, A.

Kapitel: §4. Algebraic study of flatness

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42343

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 19.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

The set of "nice" fibers is dense in X, so we cannot remove the z-axis and still get a closed subspace of \mathbb{C}_3 .

§ 4. Algebraic study of flatness

In the following all rings are commutative, with 1, and all modules are unitary.

Definition 1: An A-module E is flat, if for every exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$$
,

the sequence $0 \to E \otimes F' \to E \otimes F \to E \otimes F'' \to 0$ is also exact. We can also say, because \otimes is right exact, that E is flat, if for every injective homomorphism $F' \to F$, $E \otimes F' \to E \otimes F$ is also injective.

Examples of modules which are not flat:

- (1) if $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $E = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z}$, $F = F' = \mathbb{Z}$; then the sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ ($2I : x' \to 2x$) is exact. But now $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the zero homomorphism, which is not injective. So \mathbb{Z}_2 is not a flat \mathbb{Z} module.
- (2) If $A = \mathbb{C}\{x\}$, $E = \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}\{x\}/(x)$, $F = F' = \mathbb{C}\{x\}$, then the sequence $0 \to F \xrightarrow{xI} F' (xI : p(x) \to xp(x))$ is exact. But the homomorphism $E \xrightarrow{xI} E$ is not injective.

Proposition 1: If A is an integral domain and E a flat A-module, then E is torsion-free.

Proof: Let $a \in A$, $a \neq 0$. Because A is an integral domain, the sequence $0 \rightarrow AA \xrightarrow{aI} (aI : x \rightarrow ax)$ is exact. Since E is flat, the sequence $0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{aI} E$ is also exact. In other words E has no torsion elements.

Proposition 2: If A is a principal-ideal domain, then E is flat if and only if E is torsionfree.

Proof: See corollary of prop. 6.

Examples of flat modules:

(1) The inductive limit of flat modules is flat, because the inductive limit preserves exactness, and it commutes with the tensor product.

(2) Every free module is flat. In fact, if E is free and finite type, then $E = A^n$ and $E \otimes F = F^n$. If $F' \to F$ is injective, so is $F'^n \to F^n$ too.

If E is an arbitrary free module, then it is an inductive limit of free modules of finite type, and the flatness of E follows from (1).

(3) Let S be a multiplicative system in A. Then the ring of fractions $S^{-1}A$ is a flat A-module. In fact the ring $S^{-1}A$ can be identified with an inductive limit of free modules, so it is flat ((1)(2)). We assume for simplicity that S has only regular elements. We can define in the set S a partial order in the following way:

$$s' \ge s \Leftrightarrow \exists t \in A$$
, $ts = s'$ (such a t is then unique).

Let $E_s = A$ for every $s \in S$, and if $s' \ge s$ (i.e. s' = ts) then let $f_s^{s'}$ be the homomorphism t. $I_A : E_s \to E_{s'}$. The family $(E_s)_{s \in S}$ with the homomorphisms $(f_s^{s'})$ is an inductive system.

Let $E = \lim_{s \to \infty} E_s$ be the inductive limit of this system, and φ_s the canonical homomorphism $E_s \to E$. We shall define an isomorphism $\psi : E \to S^{-1}A$.

We first define for every s a homomorphism $\psi_s: E_s = A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$; $x \rightarrow x/s$. Now if $s' \geq s$, then

$$(\psi_{s'} \circ f_{s'}^{s'})(x) = \psi_{s'}(tx) = \frac{tx}{s'} = \frac{tx}{ts} = \frac{x}{s} = \psi_{s}(x).$$

Therefore there exists a homomorphism $\psi: E \to S^{-1}A$, satisfying $\psi_s = \psi \circ \varphi_s$ for every $s \in S$.

Because every element of $S^{-1}A$ has the form a/s, ψ is surjective. On the other hand if ψ ($\phi_s(x)$) = 0, then $\psi_s(x) = x/s = 0$. Thus x = 0, and ψ is also injective.

The above proof can be extended to the general case, not assuming that the elements of S are regular. The extended proof involves the notion of inductive limit of an inductive system indexed by a category instead of an ordered set.

From (1) and (2) above, any module which is the inductive limit of free modules, is flat. Conversely:

Theorem 1: (Daniel, Lazard)

Any flat module is a inductive limit of free modules.

For the proof: See C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 258 (1964), pp. 6313-6316.

Some elementary properties of flat modules:

- (1) If E and F are flat A-modules, then $E \otimes F$ is also flat. In fact, if $G' \to G$ is injective, then $F \otimes G' \to F \otimes G$ is injective, and also $E \otimes (F \otimes G') \to E \otimes (F \otimes G)$ is injective. The result follows from the assosiativity of the tensor product.
- (2) Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism, and E a flat A-module. The module $B \otimes E$ is a flat B-module.

If F is a B-module, then $F \otimes (B \otimes E) = (F \otimes B) \otimes E = F \otimes E$ further if F' and F are B-modules, and $F' \rightarrow F$ an injective homomorphism of B-modules, we can consider this homomorphism as an injective homomorphism of A-modules. Because E is A-flat,

$$F' \otimes_A E \rightarrow F \otimes_A E$$
 is injective.

(3) Let $\phi: A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism, such that B is a flat A-module. If F is a flat B-module, then F is a flat A-module. In fact: if $E' \to E$ is injective, then $E' \otimes B \to E \otimes B$ is injective, and also $(E \otimes B) \otimes F' \to (E \otimes B) \otimes F$ is injective. But $(E' \otimes_A B)_B \otimes F' = E' \otimes_A F$; $(E \otimes_A B) \otimes_B F = E \otimes_A F$.

If an A-module E is not flat, we want to measure how far it is from being flat. For this purpose we introduce the functor Tor.

Definition 2: A free resolution of E is an exact sequence: $... \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow L_{n-1} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$, where all L_i are free A-modules.

The complex of the resolution is the sequence

(L.) ...
$$\rightarrow L_n \rightarrow L_{n-1} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow 0$$
.

Every module has a free resolution. Two resolutions are algebraically homotopy-equivalent. Forming the tensorproducts $L_i \otimes F$, we get

$$(L. \otimes F) \dots \to L_n \otimes F \to L_{n-1} \otimes F \to \dots \to L_1 \otimes F \to L_0 \otimes F \to 0.$$

Definition 3:

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{A}(E, F) = H_{n}(L \otimes F) = \frac{\operatorname{Ker}(L_{n} \otimes F \to L_{n-1} \otimes F)}{\operatorname{Im}(L_{n+1} \otimes F \to L_{n} \otimes F)}$$

if
$$n \ge 1$$
, and $\operatorname{Tor}_0^A(E, F) = \operatorname{Coker}(L_1 \otimes F \to L_0 \otimes F) = E \otimes F$.

Basic properties of Tor:

(1) $\operatorname{Tor}_n(E, F)$ is independent of the choice of the resolution (up to a canonical isomorphism).

- (2) If we take a free resolution of F, we get $\operatorname{Tor}_n(F, E) = \operatorname{Tor}_n(E, F)$ (Symmetry of the Tor). We can also define $\operatorname{Tor}_n(E, F)$ by taking two free resolutions, one of E and one of F.
- (3) If $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence, then we get a long exact sequence:

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{n}(E',F) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{n}(E,F) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{n}(E'',F) \to \\ \to \operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}(E',F) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}(E,F) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}(E'',F) \to \\ \to - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - \to \\ \to \operatorname{Tor}_{1}(E',F) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{1}(E,F) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{1}(E'',F) \to \\ \to E' \otimes F \to E \otimes F \to E'' \otimes F \to 0.$$

- (4) Tor is compatible with inductive limit, i.e. if $E = \lim_{\longrightarrow} (E_i)$, then $Tor_n(\lim_{\longrightarrow} E_i, F) = \lim_{\longrightarrow} (Tor_n(E_i, F))$.
- (5) We can define Tor_n (E, F) by taking a flat resolution of E.
 Proposition 3: Let E be an A-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
- (a) E is flat.
- (b) For all A-modules F, and for all $n \ge 1$, $\operatorname{Tor}_n(E, F) = 0$.
- (c) For all A-modules F, $Tor_1(E, F) = 0$.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b). If ... $\rightarrow L_n \rightarrow L_{n-1} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ is a free resolution of F, then the sequence

$$\dots \to E \otimes L_n \to E \otimes L_{n-1} \to \dots \to E \otimes L_1 \to E \otimes L_0 \to E \otimes F \to 0$$

is exact, thus $\operatorname{Tor}_n(E, F) = 0$ for all $n \ge 1$.

 $(b)\Rightarrow (c)$ clear. $(c)\Rightarrow (a)$: If the sequence $0\to F'\to F\to F''\to 0$ is exact, so is also (by (3) above) $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E,F'')\to E\otimes F'\to E\otimes F\to E\otimes F''\to 0$. Now $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E,F'')=0$, thus E is flat.

Proposition 4: If I and J are two ideals in A, then $\operatorname{Tor}_1^A(A/I, A/J) = I \cap J/I$. J.

Proof: From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$, we get the exact sequence:

 $\operatorname{Tor}_1(A,A/J) \to \operatorname{Tor}_1(A/I,A/J) \to I \otimes A/J \to A \otimes A/J \to A/I \otimes A/J \to 0.$ But now $\operatorname{Tor}_1(A,A/J) = 0 \quad (A \text{ beeing } A\text{-free}), \text{ and } I \otimes A/J = I/I \cdot J;$ $A \otimes A/J = A/J. \text{ Therefore the sequence } 0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_1(A/I,A/J) \to I/I \cdot J \to A/J \text{ is exact, and } \operatorname{Tor}_1(A/I,A/J) = \operatorname{Ker}(I/I \cdot J \to A/J) = I \cap J/I \cdot J.$

Example: Let U be an open set in \mathbb{C}^n , and $x \in U$. Further let $X, Y \subset U$ be two hypersurfaces, defined by I = (f) and J = (g). Supposing that f and g do not have common factors: $I_x \cap J_x = I_x J_x$, and

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X,x},\mathcal{O}_{Y,x}\right) = \operatorname{Tor}_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U,x}/I_{x}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{U,x}/J_{x}\right) = \frac{I_{x} \cap J_{x}}{I_{x} \cdot J_{x}} = 0.$$

Heuristic remark: The formula $\operatorname{Tor}_1(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_Y, x) = 0$ expresses the fact that X and Y are "in general position". If for example X and Y are two linears subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n of dimensions p and q, we have $\operatorname{Tor}_1(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_{Y,x}) = 0$ if $\dim(X \cap Y) = p + q - n$, and $\operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_{Y,x}) \neq 0$ otherwise.

Next we shall prove an elementary flatness criterion.

Proposition 5: Let E be an A-module. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) E is flat.
- (b) For all finitely generated ideals I of A, $Tor_1(E, A/I) = 0$.
- (c) For all monogenous A-modules F, $Tor_1(E, F) = 0$.

Proof: $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$, by prop. 3.

- $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$: Because Tor is compatible with inductive limit, we can suppose, that $\text{Tor}_1(E, A/I) = 0$ for an arbitrary ideal I of A. But every monogenous A-module F can be represented by A/I.
- $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$. By prop. 3 it is sufficient to prove that $Tor_1(E, F) = 0$ for any A-module F.

First consider the case, where F is finitely generated. We use induction, supposing that $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E,F)=0$, when F has n generators. Let F have (n+1) generators $x_1, ..., x_n, x_{n+1}$. If F' is the submodule generated by $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$, then $F' \subset F$ and F'' = F/F' is monogenous. The exact sequence $0 \to F' \to F \to F'' \to 0$ gives the exact sequence $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E, F') \to \operatorname{Tor}_1(E, F) \to \operatorname{Tor}_1(E, F'')$. Now $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E, F') = \operatorname{Tor}_1(E, F'') = 0$, thus $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E, F) = 0$. In the general case, F can be considered as an inductive limit of finitely generated modules, and because $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E, F) = 0$. (E, F) = 0.

Proposition 6: Let A be an integral domain, and E an A-module. Then E is torsionfree if and only if $Tor_1(E, A/(a)) = 0$, for any element $a \in A$.

Proof: If E is A-module, $a \in A$, then the exact sequence $0 \to A \to A \to A \to A \to A \to A/(a) \to 0$ gives the exact sequence $0 \to Tor_1(E, A/(a)) \to E \to E$. In other words $Tor_1(E, A/(a)) = \{x \in E \mid ax = 0\}$, from which the result follows.

Corollary: Let A be a principal ideal domain. E is flat if and only if E is torsionfree.

Proof: We have already proved that, if E is flat, then it is torsion free. The converse follows from prop. 6 and prop. 5.

The first flatness criterion for noetherian local rings is the following:

Theorem 2: Let A be a noetherian local ring with maximal ideal m; k = A/m, and E a finitely generated A-module. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) E is free.
- (b) E is flat.
- (c) $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{A}(E, k) = 0$.

Proof: We have already proved $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c)$.

 $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$: We recall first Nakayma's lemma. If A is a local ring with maximal ideal m; k=A/m, and E is a finitely generated A-module, such that $k\otimes E=E/mE=0$, then E=0.

The module $\overline{E} = k \otimes E = E/mE$ is a finitely generated vector space over k. Let $\{\overline{x}_1, ..., \overline{x}_r\}$ be a base of \overline{E} (over k), and $\{x_1, ..., x_r\}$ E representatives of \overline{x}_i : s. Consider the homomorphism $\phi: A^r \to E$, $\phi(a_1, ..., a_r) = \sum a_i x_i$. Denoting by R and Q the kernel and the cokernel of ϕ , we get an exact sequence:

$$(*) 0 \to R \to A^r \to E \to Q \to 0$$

and R, Q are finitely generated A-modules. From (*) we get the exact sequence

$$A^r \underset{A}{\otimes} k \to E \underset{A}{\otimes} k \to Q \underset{A}{\otimes} k \to 0$$
.

But $\overline{E} = E \otimes k \simeq k^r = A^r \otimes k$, so $Q \otimes k = 0$, and by Nakayama's lemma Q = 0.

Therefore ge have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow A^r \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$
.

From this we get: $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E, k) \to k \otimes R \to k^r \to \overline{E} \to 0$ (exact). Now: $\overline{E} \simeq k^r$, $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E, k) = 0$ (by assumption). Therefore $k \otimes R = 0$, and once more by Nakayama's lemma R = 0, thus $E \simeq A^r$, i.e. E is free.

Proposition 7: Let $\phi: A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism, and let B be A-flat. If I is an ideal of A, we write $\overline{A} = A/I$, $\overline{B} = B/IB = \overline{A} \otimes B$. Let F be a B-module, then: $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(\overline{A}, F) = \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{B}(\overline{B}, F)$ $(i \ge 0)$.

Proof: We choose first a B-free resolution of F

$$\rightarrow L_{n+1} \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$
.

If L. is the respective complex of resolution, then

$$\overline{B} \underset{B}{\otimes} L. = B/IB \underset{B}{\otimes} L. = \overline{A} \underset{A}{\otimes} (B \underset{B}{\otimes} L.) = \overline{A} \underset{A}{\otimes} L.$$

Because every L_i is B-free, and B is A-flat, every L_i is A-flat (Property 3 after Th. 1). Thus L. is a flat A-resolution, and

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(\overline{A}, F) = H_{i}(\overline{A} \otimes L.) = H_{i}(\overline{B} \otimes L.) = \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{B}(\overline{B}, F).$$

We shall next state the second flatness criterion for noetherian local rings.

Theorem 3: Let A and B be two noetherian local rings, with maximal ideals \underline{m} , \underline{n} ; $k = A/\underline{m}$. If $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is a local homomorphism (i.e. $\phi (\underline{m}) \subset \underline{n}$), and F finitely generated B module then

$$F$$
 is A-flat \Leftrightarrow Tor $_{1}^{A}(k, F) = 0$.

The proof of this theorem is much more difficult than that of th. 20 see for example:

Bourbaki: Algèbre commutative, Chapter III § 5, th1, $(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$, p. 98.

The conditions in Bourbaki's theorem are here fullfilled:

- 1° A finitely generated module F over a noetherian local ring B is idealwise separated for n. (Ibid., § 5. 1. Ex. 1, p. 97.)
- 2° If $\phi: A \to B$ is a local homomorphism, F is also idealwise separated for \underline{m} . (*Ibid.*, § 5, prop. 2, p. 101.)
- 3° Also the flatness condition is fulfilled, because k is a field.

Remark: The main interest of the theorem lies in the fact, that it is true without any assumption of finitness on B.

Corollary: If the assumptions are the same as in the theorem 3, and if moreover B is A-flat, then

$$F ext{ is } A ext{-flat} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^B(\overline{B}, F) = 0$$

where $\overline{B} = B/mB$.

Proof: $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{A}(k, F) = \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{B}(\overline{B}, F)$, by prop. 7.

§ 5. Geometric applications of the flatness criterions

A) Flatness for finite morphisms

Proposition 1: Let $\pi: X \to S$ be a finite morphism (i.e. proper with finite fibres) of analytic spaces. Then $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a coherent analytic sheaf over S. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) π is flat (i.e. for every $x \in X$, $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ -module, $s = \pi(x)$).
- (b) For every s, $(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X)_s$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ -module.
- (c) $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is a locally free sheaf.

Proof: Because π is finite $\pi_* (\mathcal{O}_X)_s = \bigoplus_{x \in \pi^{-1}(s)} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, thus the only point to prove is $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$.

Now if $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ -module, then (by theorem 2) $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is free, and a coherent sheaf whose fibers are free is a locally free sheaf.

Proposition 2: Let S be a reduced analytic space and $\mathscr E$ a coherent $\mathscr O_s$ -module. Let E(s) be the finite dimensional vector space (over C) $\mathscr E_s \otimes_{\mathscr O} C_s$. $\mathscr E$ is a locally free $\mathscr O_{S,s}$ -module if an only if $\dim_C E(s)$ is locally constant.

Proof: If \mathscr{E} is locally free, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E(s)$ is locally constant. Suppose now that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E(s)$ is locally constant in an open set $U \subset S$, and that $\mathcal{O}_U^p \to \mathcal{O}_U^q \to \mathcal{E}_U \to 0$ is exact. d is determined by a $p \times q$ matrix of analytic functions on U, so it gives a morphism $\mathbf{C}_U^p \to \mathbf{C}_U^q$ of trivial vector bundles over U.

From the exact sequence $\mathcal{O}_s^p \to \mathcal{O}_s^q \to \mathcal{E}_s \to 0$, we get (by making tensor-products with C_s) the exact sequence:

$$\mathbf{C}_{s}^{p} \stackrel{d(s)}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{C}_{s}^{q} \rightarrow E(s) \rightarrow 0$$
,

which shows that d has constant rank in U. Thus Ker d and Im d are vector bundles, and we can write

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathit{U}}^{\mathit{p}} = \mathit{F}_{1} \oplus \mathit{G}_{1} \;, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathit{U}}^{\mathit{q}} = \mathit{F}_{0} \oplus \mathit{G}_{0} \;,$$

$$d: \left\{ egin{aligned} F_{1} &\rightarrow 0 \\ G_{1} &\simeq \mathit{F}_{0} \;. \end{aligned} \right.$$