

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 14 (1968)
Heft: 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS
Autor: Stein, K.
Kapitel: 3. Meromorphic mappings
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42342>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 09.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

is analytic in Y . If f is holomorphic and $A' \subset Y$ analytic in Y , then, since $\hat{f}^{-1}(A')$ is analytic in G_f and \check{f} is proper, $f^{-1}(A') = \check{f}(\hat{f}^{-1}(A'))$ is analytic in X by Remmert's mapping theorem [11] (see also [8], p. 129).

The correspondences $f \times f_1$, (f, f_1') , and $g \circ f$ are holomorphic if the correspondences f, f_1, f_1' , and g are holomorphic.

A weakly holomorphic correspondence $f: X \xrightarrow[k]{} Y$ is called *reducible* resp. *irreducible* if G_f is reducible resp. irreducible. G_f is always a union of irreducible components $G^{(i)}$; let $f_i: X \xrightarrow[k]{} Y$ be the (weakly holomorphic) correspondence whose graph is $G^{(i)}$. Then the correspondences f_i are called the irreducible components of f and we write $f = \cup f_i$.

3. MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS

Let $f: X \xrightarrow[k]{} Y$ be a correspondence where X is a topological space. A point $x \in X$ is called a *distinguished point of f* if there is a neighborhood U of x such that the restriction $f|_U$ is a mapping (in the usual sense).

Definition 4. A holomorphic correspondence $f: X \xrightarrow[k]{} Y$ is called a *meromorphic mapping* if the following holds. If X is irreducible, then

- 1) f is irreducible,
- 2) There exists a distinguished point $x_0 \in X$ of f .

In the general case, if $X = \cup X^{(i)}$ is the decomposition of X into irreducible components, then there exist holomorphic correspondences $f_i: X \xrightarrow[k]{} Y$ such that

- 1) $f_i|_{X^{(i)}}$ is a meromorphic mapping and $f_i|_{X - X^{(i)}}$ is empty,
- 2) $f = \cup f_i$.

A meromorphic mapping f is *bimeromorphic* if f^{-1} is meromorphic.

We use the notation $f: X \xrightarrow[m]{} Y$ for a meromorphic mapping. Note that a meromorphic mapping is in general not a mapping in the strong sense.

An example of a meromorphic mapping is the correspondence f of \mathbb{C}^2 onto the extended complex plane \mathbf{P}_1 defined by $f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_1}{z_2}$ if $(z_1, z_2) \neq (0, 0)$, and $f(0, 0) = \mathbf{P}_1$.

Definition 5. A proper holomorphic mapping $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$ is called a *proper modification map* if there exists an open subset $U \subset X$ such that

- 1) $U \cap X^{(i)} \neq \emptyset$ and $\varphi^{-1}(U) \cap X'^{(j)} \neq \emptyset$ for all irreducible components $X^{(i)} \subset X$ and $X'^{(j)} \subset X'$,
- 2) $\varphi^{-1}|_U : U \xrightarrow{k} X'$ is a holomorphic mapping.

It follows that a correspondence f is a meromorphic mapping if and only if \check{f} is a proper modification map.

A proper modification map $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$ is always surjective. The inverse correspondence $\varphi^{-1} : X \xrightarrow{k} X'$ is always a meromorphic mapping.

A normalization (\tilde{X}, ν) of a complex space X is a normal complex space \tilde{X} ([8], p. 114) and a proper modification map $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, such that all fibres $\nu^{-1}(x)$, $x \in X$, are finite. To every complex space X there exists a normalization (see [8]). Let X_1 and X_2 be complex spaces with normalizations (\tilde{X}_1, ν_1) , (\tilde{X}_2, ν_2) where $\tilde{X}_1 = \tilde{X}_2$. Then it can easily be shown that $\nu_2 \circ \nu_1^{-1} : X_1 \xrightarrow{k} X_2$ is a bimeromorphic mapping.

Definition 6. Let f be a meromorphic mapping of X . A point $x_0 \in X$ is called *non-singular with respect to f* if there exists an open neighborhood U of x_0 such that $f|_U$ is a holomorphic mapping. Otherwise x_0 is called *singular*. The set of singular points of f is denoted by $S(f)$.

The meromorphic mapping in the example on p. 5 has the origin as a singular point.

Proposition 8. Let f be a meromorphic mapping of X . Then

- 1) $S(f)$ is a nowhere dense analytic set in X ,
- 2) If X is locally irreducible at x , $f(x)$ is connected,
- 3) If X is normal at x , then x is singular if and only if $\dim f(x) > 0$.

For the proof we refer to [15].

The set of singular points is of importance in connection with the compositions of meromorphic mappings. Let $f : X \xrightarrow{m} Y$, $f_1 : X_1 \xrightarrow{m} Y_1$, $f_1' : X \xrightarrow{m} Y_1$, $g : Y \xrightarrow{m} Z$ be meromorphic mappings where all the spaces are irreducible.¹ Then the correspondence $f \times f_1$ is easily seen to be meromorphic. The junc-

¹) This restriction is introduced here for the sake of simplicity.

tion (f, f'_1) need not, however, be a meromorphic mapping. Let $f = f_1$ be the meromorphic mapping in the example on p. 5. Then the graph $G_{(f, f'_1)} \subset \mathbf{C}^2 \times (\mathbf{P}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_1)$ is not irreducible. The product $g \circ f$ too, may be reducible; moreover, it may happen that there is no distinguished point of $g \circ f$.

We can always define a “meromorphic junction” in the following way. There are distinguished points of (f, f'_1) , for example, all points of $X - (S(f) \cup S(f'_1)) \neq \emptyset$. Now it can easily be shown: If a holomorphic correspondence from an irreducible complex space into a complex space has a distinguished point, then the graph of the correspondence has exactly one irreducible component which is the graph of a meromorphic mapping. It follows that there exists a unique meromorphic mapping contained in (f, f'_1) ; this meromorphic mapping is called the *meromorphic junction* of f and f'_1 and denoted by $[f, f'_1] : X \xrightarrow{m} Y \times Y_1$. The meromorphic junction is associative: $[[f_1, f_2], f_3] = [f_1 [f_2, f_3]]$, hence the meromorphic junction $[f_1, \dots, f_n] : X \xrightarrow{m} Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n$ of n meromorphic mappings $f_v : X \xrightarrow{m} Y_v$ is defined in a unique manner.

Furthermore we can define a “meromorphic product” of f and g if there is a distinguished point of $g \circ f$: There is then again a uniquely determined meromorphic mapping contained in $g \circ f$. This is called the *meromorphic product* of f and g and denoted by $g \Delta f : X \xrightarrow{m} Z$. A sufficient condition for the existence of a distinguished point of $g \circ f$ is that $f(X) \not\subset S(g)$. This condition is, in particular, fulfilled if f is surjective or if $S(g)$ is empty (i.e., if g is a holomorphic map; in this case we have $g \Delta f = g \circ f$). Note that the meromorphic product of bimeromorphic mappings always exists. The associative law $h \Delta (g \Delta f) = (h \Delta g) \Delta f$ holds if both sides exist.

As an example we consider the “meromorphic restriction” which is defined as follows. Let A be an irreducible analytic subset of X . Then the correspondence $f|_A : A \xrightarrow{k} Y$ need not be irreducible. But if $A \not\subset S(f)$, we can form the meromorphic product $f \Delta I_X^A$ where $I_X^A : A \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map. We set $f|_A \xrightarrow{m} Y = f \Delta I_X^A : A \xrightarrow{m} Y$ and call $f|_A \xrightarrow{m}$ the *meromorphic restriction* of f to A .

Proposition 9. Let $f : X \xrightarrow{m} Y$ and $g : Y \xrightarrow{m} Z$ be bimeromorphic. Then

- 1) $f^{-1} \Delta f = I_X$,
- 2) $g \Delta f$ is bimeromorphic and $(g \Delta f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \Delta g^{-1}$.

Proposition 10. Let $f: X \xrightarrow{m} Y$, $f'_1: X \xrightarrow{m} Y_1$, $g: Y \xrightarrow{m} Z$ be meromorphic mappings, assume that $g \triangle f$ exists. Then we have:

- 1) If f is proper, $[f, f'_1]$ is proper,
- 2) If f and g are proper, $g \triangle f$ is proper,
- 3) If $g \triangle f$ is proper, f is proper,
- 4) If $g \triangle f$ is proper and f surjective, g is proper.

4. EXTENSION OF MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS

We start with some classical results. Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $A \neq D$ an irreducible analytic set in D . Let $\varphi: D - A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic mapping and $f: D - A \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{P}_1$ a meromorphic mapping. Then we have (see [2], [8], [14] and the references given there):

- 1) If $\text{codim } A > 1$, then φ and f have extensions over A .
- 2) Assume $\text{codim } A = 1$. Then
 - a) φ has an extension over A if for some $z_0 \in A$ there is a neighborhood U of z_0 such that φ is bounded in $U - (A \cap U)$,
 - b) f has an extension over A if for some $z_0 \in A$ f has an extension into a neighborhood of z_0 .¹

We shall see that these statements can be generalized in some respects.²

Throughout this section, X and Y are irreducible complex spaces, $A \neq X$ is an irreducible analytic set in X , $f: X - A \xrightarrow{m} Y$ a meromorphic mapping. We shall study conditions under which f has an extension over A , which means that there exists a meromorphic mapping $g: X \xrightarrow{m} Y$ such that $g|_{X-A} = f$.

The meromorphic mapping f can always be extended topologically to a correspondence $\bar{f}: X \xrightarrow{k} Y$ by setting $G_{\bar{f}} = \overline{G_f}$ where the closure is with respect to $X \times Y$. On the other hand, if $\tilde{f}: X \xrightarrow{m} Y$ is an extension of f , then

¹) The generalization 2a) of Riemann's classical theorem on removable singularities is due to Kistler and Hartogs. 2b) is due to Hartogs and E. E. Levi. 1) follows easily from 2); the statement 1) for holomorphic functions φ is sometimes called "the second Riemann theorem on removable singularities" (2. Riemannscher Hebbarkeitssatz).

²) The extension problem for holomorphic maps is also treated in [1] and [6].