

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 14 (1968)
Heft: 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ANALYTIC SPACES
Autor: Malgrange, Bernard
Kapitel: Chapter 1 ANALYTIC SPACES AND OPERATIONS ON THEM
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42341>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 11.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

ANALYTIC SPACES

by BERNARD MALGRANGE

CONTENTS

Chapter 1. <i>Analytic Spaces and Operations on them</i>	1
1.1 Reduced analytic spaces.	2
1.2 Definition of general analytic spaces	3
1.3 Operations on analytic spaces	8
1.4 Relations between reduced and non-reduced spaces	10
Chapter 2. <i>Differential Calculus on Analytic Spaces</i>	11
Chapter 3. <i>Finite Morphisms</i>	16
3.1 Local theory.	16
3.2 Germs of analytic spaces	20
3.3 Global theory	21
Chapter 4. <i>The Finiteness Theorem</i>	22
4.1 Stein spaces	22
4.2 Topology on the sections	23
4.3 Topology on the cohomology groups	24
4.4 The finiteness theorem	26
Appendix. <i>Local Noetherian Rings</i>	27

CHAPTER 1

ANALYTIC SPACES AND OPERATIONS ON THEM

We shall consider analytic spaces over the complex field **C** and sometimes over the real numbers **R**. Part of the results remain valid for spaces over arbitrary complete valued fields but we shall restrict ourselves to the cases just mentioned.

1.1 Reduced analytic spaces.

To prepare for the general definition we shall first introduce reduced analytic spaces and their local models. Let U be an open set in \mathbb{C}^n and V an analytic subset of U . The sheaf \mathcal{I} on U of all germs of holomorphic functions vanishing on V is coherent by the Oka-Cartan theorem (for a proof, see e.g. Narasimhan [9, Theorem 5, p. 77]). The support of $\mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}$ is V , and we shall denote by \mathcal{O}_V the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}$ to V (\mathcal{O}_U denotes the sheaf on U of germs of holomorphic functions). The *local models for reduced analytic spaces* shall be the pairs (V, \mathcal{O}_V) . Obviously we may consider \mathcal{O}_V as a sub-sheaf of \mathcal{C}_V , the sheaf on V of germs of continuous functions.

Definition 1.1.1. A *reduced analytic space* is a pair (X, \mathcal{O}_X) where X is a topological space (not necessarily separated) and \mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf of sub- \mathbb{C} -algebras of \mathcal{C}_X which is locally isomorphic to a local model.

To be explicit, the last property means that every point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U such that for some local model (V, \mathcal{O}_V) there is a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$ with the property that for $y \in U, f \in \mathcal{C}_{U,y}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{U,y}$ if and only if $f = g \circ \varphi$ for some germ $g \in \mathcal{O}_{V,\varphi(y)}$.

As a common abuse of language we shall sometimes write X instead of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) .

Reduced analytic spaces need not be separated. Consider for example the disjoint union of two copies of \mathbb{C} , with all points except the origins identified. This topological space is in a natural way a reduced analytic space, indeed a complex manifold.

Reduced analytic spaces were introduced by Cartan-Serre (under the name of “analytic spaces”).

Definition 1.1.2. A *morphism*, or *holomorphic map* of one reduced analytic space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) into another, (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) , is a continuous map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{Y,\varphi(x)}) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ for all $x \in X$.

This definition, of course, gives us also the notion of isomorphism of reduced analytic spaces, which we have already used in a special case in Definition 1.1.1.

Example 1. If X, Y are complex manifolds, the morphisms of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) into (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) are the holomorphic maps $X \rightarrow Y$ in the usual sense.

Example 2. The morphisms of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) into \mathbb{C} , regarded as a reduced analytic space $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{C})$, can be identified with the sections $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Example 3. The morphisms of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) into \mathbb{C}^n can be identified with n -tuples of sections of \mathcal{O}_X , or, again, with sections of \mathcal{O}_X^n .

It should be noted that a morphism may be bijective and bicontinuous and still fail to be an isomorphism. As an example we consider the map $t \rightarrow (t^2, t^3)$ of $X = \mathbf{C}$ into the space Y of all pairs (x, y) satisfying $x^3 - y^2 = 0$. This is a bijective and bicontinuous morphism, but its inverse ψ is no morphism since $\psi^* f_0 \notin \mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ if $f(t) = t$.

Real analytic sets are not as well behaved as complex ones. To illustrate this we consider “Cartan’s umbrella” which is the subset of \mathbf{R}^3 defined by the equation $z(x^2 + y^2) - x^3 = 0$. Its intersection with the plane $z = 1$ has an isolated double point at $(0, 0, 1)$ and so it has a stick (the z -axis) joining the rest of the “umbrella” at the origin. Here the Oka-Cartan theorem fails. Indeed, suppose that the sheaf \mathcal{I} of germs of real-analytic functions vanishing on the umbrella were generated by sections $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{I})$ over some neighborhood U of the origin. Then, denoting by f_1, \dots, f_n the corresponding real-analytic functions in U , we find (using a complexification and the Nullstellensatz for principal ideals) that every f_j is a multiple of $z(x^2 + y^2) - x^3$ for it can easily be seen that this polynomial defines in the complex domain an irreducible germ at the origin. Hence the germ in \mathcal{I} defined by the coordinate function x at a point $(0, 0, z)$, $z \neq 0$, cannot be a linear combination of s_1, \dots, s_n which is a contradiction.

1.2. Definition of general analytic spaces.

Let U be an open subset of \mathbf{C}^n (or \mathbf{R}^n) and let \mathcal{I} be an arbitrary coherent sheaf of ideals in \mathcal{O}_U , the sheaf on U of germs of holomorphic (or real-analytic) functions. Then $V = \text{supp } \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}$ is an analytic subset of U . The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}$ to V will be denoted by \mathcal{O}_V . It is, in general, not a subsheaf of \mathcal{O}_V . The definition of a general analytic space will be based on *local models* (V, \mathcal{O}_V) of the type just constructed. Note that a model (V, \mathcal{O}_V) is of the previously considered reduced type if and only if \mathcal{I} is the sheaf of *all* germs of holomorphic functions vanishing on V . In the general case the set V does not determine the local model; one has to specify the structure sheaf.

Before proceeding to the formal definitions we shall look at a few examples.

Example 1. Let $U = \mathbf{C}$, \mathcal{I} the sheaf of ideals generated by x^2 . Here $V = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{V,0} = \mathbf{C}\{x\}/(x^2)$ ($\mathbf{C}\{x\}$ denotes the space of converging power series in the variable x). Thus $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ is the space of “dual numbers” representable as $a + b\varepsilon$ where $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\varepsilon^2 = 0$, ε being the class of x . Evidently $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ cannot be a subring of the continuous functions on $\{0\}$. The

only prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ is that generated by ε , hence the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ is 0. (Recall that the Krull dimension of a commutative ring A is the supremum of all numbers k such that there exists a strictly increasing chain

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{p}_k$$

of prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_j .)

Example 2. Let V be the subspace of \mathbf{C}^4 defined by the requirement that $M(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$ be nilpotent. It can easily be seen that V can be defined by

$$(1) \quad \det M(x) = \text{tr } M(x) = 0$$

and as well by

$$(2) \quad M(x)^2 = 0.$$

Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}' denote the sheaves of ideals defined by (1) and (2), respectively. Explicitly this means that \mathcal{I} is generated by $x_1 + x_4$, $x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3$ and \mathcal{I}' by $x_1^2 + x_2 x_3$, $x_2(x_1 + x_4)$, $x_3(x_1 + x_4)$, $x_2 x_3 + x_4^2$. It can be seen easily that $\mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{I}$ but this inclusion is strict since the generators of \mathcal{I}' are all of the second degree. Thus the two ideals provide two different structure sheaves on the same set V .

Example 3. Let us note here some less pleasant properties of real local models. Take, for example, $U = \mathbf{R}^2$, and let \mathcal{I} be the sheaf of ideals generated by $x^2 + y^2$. Then $V = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{V,0} = \mathbf{R}\{x,y\}/(x^2 + y^2)$. Here $\{0\}$ and (x,y) are prime ideals so the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ is at least 1 (in fact it is 1) and therefore not equal to the geometric dimension of V as in the complex example above.

To give the definition of a general analytic space we first introduce that of a ringed space:

Definition 1.2.1. A **C-ringed space** is a pair (X, \mathcal{O}_X) where X is a topological space and \mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf of local **C**-algebras. (This means that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are local algebras for $x \in X$ arbitrary; all algebras are assumed to be commutative and with units; furthermore $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$ is assumed to be isomorphic to \mathbf{C} where \mathfrak{m}_x is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.)

Definition 1.2.2. A *morphism*

$$\varphi : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

of one **C**-ringed space into another is a pair $\varphi = (\varphi_0, \varphi^1)$ where $\varphi_0 : X \rightarrow Y$

is a continuous map, and $\varphi^1 : \varphi_0^*(\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is a morphism of sheaves of \mathbf{C} -algebras (morphisms of algebras are always assumed to be unitary).

\mathbf{R} -ringed spaces and their morphisms are of course defined similarly.

Let $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a section of a \mathbf{C} -ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) over an open set $U \subset X$. We may then define the *value* $f(x)$ of f at a point $x \in U$ as $f_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ taken modulo \mathfrak{m}_x . Since $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x \cong \mathbf{C}$, $f(x)$ is a complex number.

Example 4. The values $f(x)$ of f do not determine f completely. In the example

$$(\{0\}, \mathbf{C}\{x\}/(x^2))$$

we considered earlier, the sections are given by dual numbers $a + b\varepsilon$, and since $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (\varepsilon)$, we get $f(0) = a$. Hence one has to consider also “higher order terms” to determine f .

If $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a unitary homomorphism of local \mathbf{C} -algebras it follows that $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}(A)) \subset \mathfrak{m}(B)$, $\mathfrak{m}(A)$ denoting the maximal ideal of A ; in other words, the homomorphism is local. To see this, let us note that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}(B))$ is an ideal of A and that φ induces an injective (in fact bijective) map of $A/\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}(B))$ into $B/\mathfrak{m}(B) \cong \mathbf{C}$, hence $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}(B))$ is either all of A or a maximal ideal in A , but the first possibility is ruled out by the condition $\varphi(1) = 1$. It therefore follows that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}(B)) = \mathfrak{m}(A)$, hence $\mathfrak{m}(B) \supset \varphi(\mathfrak{m}(A))$. A consequence of this is that a morphism $(\varphi_0, \varphi^1) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ of ringed spaces preserves the values of the sections, in symbols

$$(*) \quad \varphi^1(f)(x) = f(\varphi_0(x)),$$

if $x \in X$ and f is a section of \mathcal{O}_Y over some open set containing $\varphi_0(x)$. Thus φ^1 and φ_0 are related, but our example “the double point” shows that φ^1 is not in general determined by φ_0 :

Example 5. Let X be the \mathbf{C} -ringed space $(\{0\}, \mathbf{C}\{x\}/(x^2))$, and let $Y = \mathbf{C}^n$ regarded as a \mathbf{C} -ringed space (with the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n}$ of germs of holomorphic functions). Let (φ_0, φ^1) be a morphism of X into Y with $\varphi(0) = 0$, say. Then φ^1 is a homomorphism.

$$\varphi^1 : \mathbf{C}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\{x\}/(x^2).$$

Let us express $\varphi^1(f)$ as $a(f) + \varepsilon b(f)$ (see the example¹). Since the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{C}\{x\}/(x^2)$ is (ε) , the value of $\varphi^1(f)$ is $a(f)$. From $(*)$ it follows that

$$a(f) = \varphi^1(f)(0) = f(0) = \varphi_0^*(f).$$

Thus φ_0 determines the “zero order term” of $\varphi^1(f)(0)$. As to the proper-

ties of $b(f)$, it follows from the multiplication rule $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ that

$$b(fg) = f(0)b(g) + g(0)b(f),$$

hence that b is a tangent vector, or derivation, at $O \in \mathbf{C}^n$.

It is clear what the restriction of a ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) to an open subset U of X should mean: it is the ringed space $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|U)$. The following definition therefore makes sense.

Definition 1.2.3. (Grothendieck [4]). A **C-analytic space** is a **C**-ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) where every point $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood U such that the restriction of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) to U is isomorphic (in the sense of **C**-ringed spaces) to a model (defined at the beginning of Section 1.2.). A morphism of analytic spaces is a morphism in the sense of ringed spaces.

We shall determine the morphisms of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) into (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) in two important special cases, viz. when (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is arbitrary and (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) is either \mathbf{C}^n or defined by the vanishing of finitely many analytic functions in an open set in \mathbf{C}^n .

Proposition 1.2.4. The morphisms of a **C-analytic space** (X, \mathcal{O}_X) into \mathbf{C}^n can be identified in a natural way with $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^n$ (or $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^n)$).

Proof. Given a morphism $\varphi = (\varphi_0, \varphi^1)$ of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) into \mathbf{C}^n we shall construct an n -tuple $T\varphi = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ of sections of \mathcal{O}_X .

To define T we proceed as follows. Let $x \in X$. Recall that φ^1 maps $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n, \varphi_0(x)}$ into $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$. Define $(f_j)_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ as the image under φ^1 of the germ at $\varphi_0(x)$ of the coordinate function y_j in \mathbf{C}^n . Somewhat less precisely, $f_j = \varphi^1(y_j)$. This defines $f_j \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and hence T .

T is injective. For $T\varphi = T\psi$ means that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n, \varphi_0(x)} \xrightarrow{\varphi^1} \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n, \psi_0(x)} \xrightarrow{\psi^1} \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$$

agree on the germs of the coordinate functions. Since in particular the *values* of the sections are preserved, i.e. φ^1 and ψ^1 are the identities modulo the respective maximal ideals, the *values* of the coordinates at $\varphi_0(x)$ and $\psi_0(x)$ must agree, hence $\varphi_0 = \psi_0$. Furthermore, since φ^1 and ψ^1 are homomorphisms, they agree on all polynomials. But the polynomials form a dense set in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n, \varphi_0(x)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is separated (for the Krull topology) in virtue of the Krull theorem (see Appendix). Finally φ^1 and ψ^1 are continuous maps since $\varphi^1(\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n, \varphi_0(x)})) \subset \mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{O}_{X, x})$. Now if two continuous maps

from a topological space to a separated topological space coincide on a dense subset, then they are equal. Hence T is injective.

T is surjective. For if $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^n$ is given we first define $\varphi_0 : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ by $\varphi_0(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ (recall that $f(x)$ is the equivalence class of f_x modulo $\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$). Then we may define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n, \varphi_0(x)} \xrightarrow{\varphi^1} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$$

first on the constants by the requirement that $\varphi^1(1) = 1$; then on the germs of the coordinates by putting $\varphi^1(y_j) = f_j$; next on the polynomials by the multiplicative property of homomorphisms and finally, by uniform continuity, in all of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n, \varphi_0(x)}$. (Note that we have again used the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is separated in the last step).

Before the next proposition we introduce the notion of special model. A *special model* (V, \mathcal{O}_V) is a model (see the beginning of this section) where the ideal \mathcal{I} is generated by the components of a vector-valued analytic function $f : U \rightarrow F$ where U is open in \mathbf{C}^n and F is a finite-dimensional complex linear space. Here V is the set of zeros of f and \mathcal{O}_V is the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}$ to its own support.

Proposition 1.2.5. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be an arbitrary analytic space and (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) a special model defined by the vanishing of a vector-valued analytic function $g_0 : U \rightarrow G$. Then there is a bijection between the morphisms $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ and those morphisms $\psi : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ which satisfy $g \circ \psi = 0$, where $g = (g_0, g^1) : (U, \mathcal{O}_U) \rightarrow (G, \mathcal{O}_G)$ is the morphism of analytic spaces defined by g_0 .

The proof will be left as an exercise to the reader.

On the other hand, the morphisms $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ are obviously these morphisms $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ such that $\varphi_0(X) \subset U$; this fact, combined with propositions 1.2.4. and 1.2.5. gives the description of the morphisms: $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow$ (special model).

We end this section with the definition of analytic subspace. First we state

Definition. 1.2.6. An *analytic coherent sheaf* on an analytic space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a sheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that every $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood U over which there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_X^q \mid U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^p \mid U \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \mid U \rightarrow 0.$$

Definition. 1.2.7. A *closed analytic subspace* of an analytic space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a ringed space (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) where $Y = \text{supp}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I} \mid Y$

for some coherent sheaf \mathcal{I} of ideals of \mathcal{O}_X . An *open analytic subspace* of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is just a restriction $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$, U open in X . An *analytic subspace* of an analytic space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a closed analytic subspace (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) of the open analytic subspace $(\mathcal{C} \bar{Y} \cup Y, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}\bar{Y} \cup Y})$ of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , provided $\mathcal{C} \bar{Y} \cup Y$ is indeed open in X , i.e. Y is locally closed in X .

Examples. The “single point” $(0, \mathbf{C})$ is an analytic subspace of the “double point” $(0, \mathbf{C}\{x\}/(x^2))$, but not conversely. The double point is, however, a closed analytic subspace of, e.g., $(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}})$. A “point” of an analytic space will always mean a single point embedded in (X, \mathcal{O}_X) by means of a map $(0, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

1.3. Operations on analytic spaces.

In this section we shall write X for the analytic space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) .

a) *Product.* By a general definition in the theory of categories, a product of two analytic spaces X, X' is a triple (Z, π, π') where Z is an analytic space and $\pi : Z \rightarrow X, \pi' : Z \rightarrow X'$ are two morphisms with the following property:

Given any analytic space Y and any pair $f : Y \rightarrow X, f' : Y \rightarrow X'$ of morphisms there exists a unique morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $f = \pi \circ g, f' = \pi' \circ g$.

For example, the product of \mathbf{C}^p and \mathbf{C}^q is \mathbf{C}^{p+q} , according to proposition 1.2.4.

We shall see that a product of analytic spaces always exists. The uniqueness of g clearly implies the uniqueness of the product (Z, π, π') up to isomorphism; we denote one such Z by $X \times X'$.

To prove that the product always exists, let us suppose first that X and X' are special models, i.e. X is defined by a triple (U, f, F) where U is open in \mathbf{C}^n , F is a finite-dimensional complex linear space, and $f : U \rightarrow F$ is an analytic map; similarly for X' . We claim that the special model Z defined by $(U \times U', f \times f', F \times F')$ is a product. Indeed, from the description of the morphisms into a special model provided by Proposition 1.2.5. it follows that we have natural maps $\pi : Z \rightarrow X, \pi' : Z \rightarrow X'$ induced by the projections $U \times U' \rightarrow U, U \times U' \rightarrow U'$. Also, if $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and $f' : Y \rightarrow X'$ are given, $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is determined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \rightarrow U \\ & \xrightarrow{f'} & X' \rightarrow U' \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} U \times U' & & \end{array}$$

In the general case we take $X \times X'$ as the ringed space whose topological underlying space is the cartesian product of the underlying space of X and X' , and whose structure sheaf is given locally by the product of local models for X and X' . (From the uniqueness “up to isomorphism” of the product results that these sheaves stick together in a well-determined way).

b) *Kernel of a double arrow.* If $X \xrightarrow[u]{v} Y$ is a double arrow, i.e. a pair of morphisms, a kernel X' of (u, v) is an analytic subspace of X such that the morphisms of an arbitrary analytic space Z into X' are exactly the morphisms h of Z into X such that $u \circ h = v \circ h$. In other words, if $i : X' \rightarrow X$ is the natural map of X' into X , the morphisms $h : Z \rightarrow X'$ satisfy $u \circ i \circ h = v \circ i \circ h$ and if a morphism $g : Z \rightarrow X$ satisfies $u \circ g = v \circ g$, then $g = i \circ h$ for some $h : Z \rightarrow X'$. To prove the existence of the kernel it suffices, again, to do this locally, i.e. for special models. If X is defined by (U, f, F) and Y by (V, g, G) we may (perhaps, after restricting U) extend u and v to maps $\bar{u}, \bar{v} : U \rightarrow E$ where E denotes the complex linear space of which V is an open subset. The kernel is then defined by the triple

$$(U, f \times (\bar{u} - \bar{v}), F \times E).$$

It follows from the Proposition 1.2.5. that this special model satisfies the universal property of kernels.

Example 1. The kernel of $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow[t]{-t} \mathbf{C}$ is the simple point $\{0\}$, t denoting the identity of \mathbf{C} .

Example 2. The kernel of $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow[t]{t+t^2} \mathbf{C}$ is $\{0\}$ counted as a double point.

c) *Fiber product.* If $u : X \rightarrow S$ and $v : Y \rightarrow S$ are given morphisms of analytic spaces, the fiber product $X \times_s Y$ of X and Y over S is the kernel of the double arrow

$$X \times Y \xrightarrow[u \circ \pi]{v \circ \pi'} S$$

where $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi' : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are the maps defined by the product. Note that when S is a simple point, $X \times_s Y = X \times Y$.

One may also introduce the category of analytic spaces over S . Its objects are morphisms $u : X \rightarrow S$ of an analytic space X onto S and its morphisms are morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ u \searrow & & \swarrow v \\ & S & \end{array}$$

is commutative. The product in this category, i.e. the object satisfying the universal property given above for the product $X \times Y$, is then exactly the fiber product $X \times_S Y$. If S is a point, we have the category of analytic spaces.

Example 3. If U and V are open subspaces of an analytic space X , the open subspace $U \cap V$ is isomorphic to $U \times_X V$. We may thus define, in general, the intersection of two analytic subspaces $X' \rightarrow X$ and $X'' \rightarrow X$ of X to be the fiber product $X' \times_X X''$.

Example 4. If $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of analytic spaces and $a \in X$ a point, i.e. a map $a : (0, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow X$ we may consider the space $Y(a) = Y \times_X a$. It is natural to call this the inverse image of a under φ and to denote it by $\varphi^{-1}(a)$; its underlying space is exactly $\varphi_0^{-1}(a)$.

If $\varphi_0(b) = a$, then $\mathcal{O}_{Y(a), b}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{Y, b}$ taken modulo the image under $\varphi^1 : \mathcal{O}_{X, a} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y, b}$ of the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X, a}$.

Example 5. The pull-back of a linear bundle E over X by a map $Y \rightarrow X$ is exactly $Y \times_X E$.

1.4. Relations between reduced and non-reduced spaces.

We shall first characterize those analytic spaces which are reduced.

Proposition 1.4.1. A analytic space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is reduced if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ has no nilpotent element for x arbitrary in X .

Proof. The necessity of the condition is obvious for \mathcal{O}_X can be considered as a submodule of \mathcal{C}_X if (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is reduced.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ has no nilpotent elements, we shall prove that in any local model (V, \mathcal{O}_V) for (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , a germ g at $a \in V$ which vanishes on V belongs to the ideal \mathcal{I} defining \mathcal{O}_V . The Nullstellensatz implies that $g^k \in \mathcal{I}_a$ if k is large enough. But it is then clear that $g \in \mathcal{I}_a$ if $\mathcal{O}_{V, a}/\mathcal{I}_a$ is free from nilpotent elements.

Given an analytic space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) we can associate to it a reduced space in the following way. Let \mathcal{N}_x be the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ consisting of all nilpotent elements (the nil-radical of 0). Then $\mathcal{N} = \bigcup \mathcal{N}_x$ is a coherent sheaf by the Oka-Cartan theorem, for in a local model (V, \mathcal{O}_V) for (X, \mathcal{O}_X) we have $\mathcal{N}_x = (\mathcal{I}'/\mathcal{I})_x$ where \mathcal{I}' is the sheaf of germs vanishing on V and \mathcal{I} the

sheaf of ideals defining \mathcal{O}_V . The sheaf \mathcal{I}' is coherent by the Oka-Cartan theorem, and \mathcal{I} by assumption, hence \mathcal{I}'/\mathcal{I} is coherent. Now define $(X_{red}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{red}})$ by taking X_{red} equal to X as a topological space, and $\mathcal{O}_{X_{red}} = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}$.

For a systematic treatment of reduced analytic spaces we refer to Narasimhan [9]. We remark here that for non-reduced spaces, the decomposition into irreducible components has no meaning, even at a point.

Example. Consider the analytic subspace X of \mathbf{C}^2 defined by the ideal \mathcal{I} generated by $x_1 x_2$ and x_2^2 . It is clear that $\mathcal{I}_X = (x_2)$ if $x_1 \neq 0$, hence X is locally the one-dimensional manifold $x_2 = 0$ outside the origin. However, $\mathcal{I} = (x_2) \cap (x_1, x_2^2)$ which is strictly contained in (x_2) at the origin so the origin cannot be an ordinary point, in particular X is not an analytic subspace of the manifold $x_2 = 0$. To illustrate this further, let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the projection of X into \mathbf{C} defined by $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1$. We shall calculate the fibers $\pi^{-1}(a) = X \times_{\mathbf{C}} \{a\}$ of this map for an arbitrary point $a \in \mathbf{C}$.

To do this, we use the characterisation of $\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(a), b}$ given in § 1.3, example 4: if $a (= x_1) \neq 0$, and $b = (a, 0)$ we find immediately $\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(a), b} = \mathbf{C}$ hence $\pi^{-1}(a)$ is a simple point. But, if $a = 0$, $b = (0, 0)$ we find $\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(a), b} = \mathbf{C} \{x_1, x_2\}/(x_1, x_2^2) \simeq \mathbf{C} \{x_2\}/(x_2^2)$; hence $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is a double point.

CHAPTER 2.

DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS ON ANALYTIC SPACES

Very little is known yet about differential operators on spaces with singularities. We shall just give the main definitions here. Let us first consider differential operators in the regular case, i.e. on manifolds. One then usually introduces, for each point a on a complex manifold X , the vector space $\mathcal{O}_{X,a}/\mathfrak{m}_a^{k+1}$, the jets of order k at a . Here \mathfrak{m}_a denotes, as usual, the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X,a}$. The jets of order k form, in a natural way, an analytic bundle J^k . A differential operator is then by definition a morphism of J^k into the trivial bundle $X \times \mathbf{C}$. Differential operators from bundles to bundles are defined similarly.

This definition is not suitable for generalization to analytic spaces (the collection of vector spaces $\mathcal{O}_{X,a}/\mathfrak{m}_a^{k+1}$ would not define a bundle over X). However, as noted by Grothendieck [4], if we consider, instead of the bundle J^k , the sheaf of sections of it, we can generalize to any analytic space X the definition above in the following way: