Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 13 (1967)
Heft: 1: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: NEW FAMILY OF LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS
Autor: Meir, A.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-41552

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 20.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

A NEW FAMILY OF LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS

by A. MEIR

1. In 1935 J. Karamata [6] defined a linear transformation method which in 1953 was rediscovered by Lototsky [8] and was published in the survey article of R. P. Agnew [2]. Let $\{P_{nk}\}$ $(k=0, 1, \ldots, n;\ n=0, 1, \ldots)$ be defined by the identity

$$x(x+1)\ldots(x+n-1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{nk}\, x^k, \tag{1.1}$$

and let $\{t_n\}_0^\infty$, the Lototsky transform of a sequence $\{s_n\}_0^\infty$ be

$$t_n = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{nk}\, s_k .$$

Some immediate generalizations were given by Wuckovic [11] who replaced the left hand side of (1.1) by $(x+\alpha)\ldots(x+\alpha+n-1)$ $(\alpha>-1)$ and by Harlestam [4] who replaced it by $(x+q-1)\ldots(x+nq-1)$, $(q>0)$. Jakimovski's result [5] included all the previous ones as special cases by defining the $[F, d_n]$ transformation for any fixed sequence of real or complex numbers $\{d_n\}_1^\infty$ $(d_n \neq -1)$ by

$$(x+d_1)(x+d_2)\ldots(x+d_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{nk}\, x^k .$$

Regularity, inclusion, shift-properties and applications to analytic continuation, Fourier series, etc., have been thoroughly investigated (see [9], [7]).

Here we give certain further possible generalizations of the above methods, some of their main properties and their relations to well-known classical summation methods.

2. For given sequences of real or complex numbers $\{c_n\}_1^\infty$, $\{d_n\}_1^\infty$ $(c_n \neq -1,\ d_n \neq -1)$ let $C_0(x) = D_0(x) = 1$ and for $n \geqq 1$

$$\begin{cases} C_n(x) = (x+c_1)(x+c_2)\ldots(x+c_n) \\ D_n(x) = (x+d_1)(x+d_2)\ldots(x+d_n) \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and let the matrix $\{r_{nk}\}$ $(n=0, 1, \ldots;\ k=0, \ldots, n)$ be defined by $r_{00} = 1$

and for $n \geqq 1$ by

$$(2.2) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{n} r_{nk}\, C_k(x) / C_k(1) = D_n(x) / D_n(1) .$$

A sequence $\{ s_n \}_0^\infty$ will be called $[F, c, d]$-summable to σ if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} r_{nk}\, s_k = \sigma$$

The $[F, d_n]$-transformation is the special case $c_n = 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Other special examples are the following: (i) If $d_n = 0$ $(n=1, 2, \ldots)$ and for some fixed $q > 0$, $c_n = q^{n-1}$ $(n=1, 2, \ldots)$ then (2.2) yields

$$r_{nk} = (-1)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1+q^{j-1})$$

where $\left[{n \atop k}\right]$ denotes the q-binomial coefficients (see e.g. [1]). (ii) If $d_n = n$, $c_n = q^{n-1}$ $(n=1, 2, \ldots)$ then (2.2) is equivalent to formula (2) of Carlitz [3]. (iii) If $d_n = 0$ $(n=1, 2, \ldots)$ then $\{ r_{nk} \}$ is the inverse of $[F, c_n]$-transformation (see Formula (8.7) in [9]). (iv) If $c_k = d_{k-1}$ $(k=2, 3, \ldots)$, $c_1 = 0$ then $\{ r_{nk} \}$ is the matrix transforming the $[F, d_n]$-sum of 0 , s_0 , $s_1, \ldots$ into the $[F, d_n]$-sum of $s_0, s_1, \ldots$.

3. Regularity. The following recursion relation is an easy conclusion from (2.2)

$$(3.1) \qquad (1+d_n)\, r_{nk} = (d_n - c_{k+1})\, r_{n-1,k} + (1+c_k)\, r_{n-1,k-1}$$

where $r_{00} = 1$ and $r_{nk} = 0$ if $k > n$, if $k < 0$ or if $n < 0$. Iterating (3.1) one shows by induction that for $n \geqq k \geqq 1$ we have

$$(3.2) \qquad r_{nk} = \sum_{\nu=k}^{n} b_{n\nu}\, r_{\nu-1,k-1}$$

where for $k \leqq \nu \leqq n$

$$(3.3) \qquad b_{n\nu} \equiv b_{n\nu}^{(k)} = \frac{1+c_k}{1+d_\nu} \prod_{j=\nu+1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1+c_{k+1}}{1+d_j}\right)$$

(an empty product being 1 by definition). We formulate now the following

THEOREM. *Suppose* $\{ c_n \}_1^\infty$, $\{ d_n \}_1^\infty$ *satisfy*

$$(3.4) \qquad 0 < (1+c_k)(1+d_{k+\nu}) \leqq 1 , \qquad k = 1, 2, \ldots ; \ \nu = 0, 1, \ldots$$

$$(3.5) \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 + d_n|^{-1} = +\infty .$$

Then $[F, c, d]$*-method of summation is regular.*

Proof. First we observe that from (3.4) it follows by (3.1) that $r_{nk} \geqq 0$ for all n and k and from (2.2) that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} r_{nk} = 1$ for all n. Thus to prove regularity it remains to show that for fixed $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ we have $r_{nk} = 0\,(1)$ as $n \to \infty$. Now, from (3.1) $r_{n0} = (d_n - c_1)(1+d_n)^{-1} r_{n-1,0}$, since $r_{00} = 1$, we have

$$r_{n0} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1+c_1}{1+d_k}\right)$$

and by (3.4)

$$\leqq \exp\left\{-|1 + c_1| \sum_{k=1}^{n} |1 + d_k|^{-1}\right\}.$$

By (3.5) then

$$(3.6) \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} r_{n0} = 0 .$$

We assume now that for some $k \geqq 1$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{n,k-1} = 0$$

and prove that then $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{nk} = 0$ too. This will follow from (3.2) if we establish that, for fixed k, $\{b_{n\nu}\}$ satisfy the inequalities

$$(3.7) \qquad \sum_{\nu=k}^{n} |b_{n\nu}| \leqq H < \infty , \qquad n = k, k+1, \ldots$$

$$(3.8) \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} b_{n\nu} = 0 , \qquad \nu = k, k+1, \ldots .$$

Now from (3.3), $b_{n\nu} \geqq 0$ for all n, ν and by an easy calculation

$$\sum_{\nu=k}^{n} b_{n\nu} = \frac{1+c_k}{1+c_{k+1}} \left\{1 - \frac{d_k - c_{k+1}}{1+d_k} \prod_{j=k+1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1+c_{k+1}}{1+d_j}\right)\right\}$$

$$\leqq \left|\frac{1+c_k}{1+c_{k+1}}\right| \left\{1 + \left|\frac{d_k - c_{k+1}}{1+d_k}\right|\right\}$$

which proves (3.7). Also by (3.4) for fixed $\nu \geqq k$,

$$|b_{n\nu}| \leqq \frac{1+c_k}{1+d_\nu} \exp\left\{-|1+c_{k+1}| \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{n} |1+d_j|^{-1}\right\}$$

which by (3.5)

$$\leqq 0(1), \qquad \text{as} \qquad n \to \infty .$$

This proves (3.8) and thus by induction from (3.6)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{nk} = 0, \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots$$

completing the proof of the Theorem.

4. The $\{ r_{nk} \}$ defined by (2.2) or (3.1) can be expressed on using divided differences (see e.g. [10] p. 8, formula 1.4 (1)) as follows

$$(4.1) \qquad r_{nk} = (1+c_1)(1+c_2)\ldots(1+c_k)[-c_1, -c_2, \ldots, -c_{k+1}; D_n]$$

where $[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k; f]$ denotes the usual divided differences of a function $f(x)$ at $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$. This formula suggests another family of possible transformation methods. For a function $f(x)$ with $f(0) = 1$, for a given sequence $\{ c_k \}_1^\infty$ and for $\lambda > 0$, let for $k \geqq 0$

$$(4.2) \qquad r_k(\lambda) = (-1)^k (\lambda+c_1)\ldots(\lambda+c_k)[\lambda+c_1, \ldots, \lambda+c_{k+1}; f] .$$

If for a sequence $\{ s_n \}_0^\infty$ and for $\lambda \geqq \lambda_0$

$$t(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k(\lambda)\, s_k$$

exists and $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} t(\lambda) = \sigma$, then we say the sequence $\{ s_n \}$ is $\{ f, c \}$ summable to σ. In particular if $f(x) = (1+x)^{-1}$ then

$$r_k(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda+c_1)\ldots(\lambda+c_k)}{(1+\lambda+c_1)\ldots(1+\lambda+c_{k+1})}$$

and this transformation method reduces to the classical Abel method if $c_k = 0$ $(k=1, 2, \ldots)$.

Another modification of (4.1) would suggest to define $\{ r_{nk} \}$ for a function $f(x)$ and a given sequence $\{ c_n \}$ by

$$r_{nk} = (-1)^{n-k} c_{k+1} c_{k+2} \ldots c_n [c_k, \ldots, c_n; f]$$

which, if $f(c_n) = \mu_n$, generates the well known generalized Hausdorff $[H, c_n; \mu_n]$-transformation methods.

REFERENCES

[1] AL-SALAM, W. A. Note on a q-identity. *Math. Scand.* 5 (1957) 202-204.

[2] AGNEW, R. P. The Lototsky method for evaluation of series, *Mich. Math. J.* 4 (1957) 105-128.

[3] CARLITZ, L. Note on a paper of Laksov. *Math. Scand.* 19 (1966) 38-40.

[4] HARLESTAM, T. *On a family of summation-methods.* Thesis.

[5] JAKIMOVSKI, A. A generalization of the Lototsky method of summability. *Mich. Math. J.* 6 (1959) 277-290.

[6] KARAMATA, J. Théorèmes sur la sommabilité exponentielle et d'autres s'y rattachant, *Mathematica*, Cluj 9 (1935) 164-178.

[7] LORCH, L. and D. J. NEWMAN. On the $[F, d_n]$ Summation of Fourier Series. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 15 (1962) 109-118.

[8] LOTOTSKY, A. V. On a linear transformation of sequences and series. *Ivanov Gos. Ped. Inst. Uc. Zap. Fiz. Math. Nauki* 4 (1953) 61-91 (Russian).

[9] MEIR, A. On the $[F, d_n]$-transformations of A. Jakimovski. *Bull. Res. Council Israel* 10 F (1962) 165-187.

[10] MILNE-THOMSON, L. M. *The calculus of finite differences.* Macmillan Publ. New York, 1960.

[11] VUČKOVIČ, V. *Eine neue Klasse von Polynomen und ihre Anwendung in Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren*, Publ. L'Inst. Ac. Serbe 12 (1958) 125-136.

(Reçu le 25 avril 1968)

A. Meir
Department of Mathematics
The University of Alberta
Edmonton, Canada

vide-leer-empty