Zeitschrift:	L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber:	Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band:	12 (1966)
Heft:	4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE
Artikel:	ON L(p,q) SPACES
Autor:	Hunt, Richard A.
Kapitel:	Section 3. Interpolation theorems
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-40747

### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. <u>Mehr erfahren</u>

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. <u>En savoir plus</u>

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. <u>Find out more</u>

### Download PDF: 19.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

such that  $l(f) = \int_{M} f(x) g(x) dm(x)$  for all  $f \in L(1,1)$  and  $|\int_{M} f(x) dm(x)| \le B ||f||_{1q}^{*}$ . If (M, m) is non-atomic we can use this to show that g = 0 a.e. and, hence the trivial functional  $l \equiv 0$  is the only continuous linear functional on L (1, q),  $1 < q < \infty$ .

# Section 3. INTERPOLATION THEOREMS

Suppose T is an operator which maps  $L(p_i, q_i)$  boundedly into  $L(p'_i, q'_i)$ , i = 0, 1. An interpolation theorem for L(p, q) spaces can then be described as a method which leads to inequalities of the form  $||Tf||_{p'q'}^* \leq B ||f||_{pq}^*$ , B independent of  $f \in L(p, q)$ . The intermediate spaces L(p, q) and L(p', q') and the corresponding constant B are determined by the method of interpolation.

Interpolation theorems can generally be classified as either weak type or strong type. The two types of theorems are easily characterized. The weak type theorems are proved by real variable methods which utilize only minimal hypotheses. Since the weak hypotheses are characteristic of the real method of proof, the conclusions are limited. In the case of Lorentz spaces the essential part of the weak type hypothesis is that the range spaces of the given end point conditions are weak  $L^p$  spaces. We can then conclude only that an intermediate space L(p, q) is mapped boundedly into an appropriate space L(p', q'), where  $q' \ge q$ . In order to utilize a stronger hypothesis to arrive at a stronger conclusion, we must go to the complex methods of proof which are characteristic of the strong type theorems. The two methods also differ in the intermediate spaces obtained and in the behavior of the corresponding constants B. In general, we obtain more intermediate spaces by the weak type methods. However, the constants corresponding to the weak type methods are, in some sense, not as satisfactory. This is seen in the prototypes of the weak and strong type theorems, the interpolation theorem of Marcinkiewicz and the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem.

An operator T mapping functions on a measure space into functions on another measure space is called *quasi-linear* if T(f+g) is defined whenever Tf and Tg are defined and if  $|T(f+g)| \leq K(|Tf|+|Tg|)$  a.e., where K is independent of f and g. An argument similar to that which led to (1.6) gives

(3.1) 
$$(T(f+g))^*(t) \leq K((Tf)^*(t/2) + (Tg)^*(t/2)).$$

Our weak type theorem is a consequence of Hardy's inequality. WEAK TYPE THEOREM: If T is quasi-linear and

H) 
$$|| Tf ||_{p_i q_i}^* \leq B_i || f ||_{p_i q_i}^*, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad p_o < p_1, \quad p'_o \neq p'_1,$$
  
then

C) 
$$\| Tf \|_{p_{\theta}^{s}}^{*} \leq B_{\theta} \| f \|_{p_{\theta}^{q}}^{*},$$

where  $q \leq s$  and, for  $0 < \theta < 1$ ,  $1/p_{\theta} = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$ ,  $1/p'_{\theta} = (1-\theta)/p'_0 + \theta/p_1$ ,  $1/p'_{\theta} = (1-\theta)/p'_0 + \theta/p'_1$ . If  $r = \min(q, q_0, q_1)$ , then  $B_{\theta} = O([\theta(1-\theta]^{-1/r})$ . Proof. Let  $p = p_{\theta}$  and  $p' = p'_{\theta}$ . Since  $q \leq s$  implies  $||Tf||^*_{p's} \leq ||Tf||^*_{p'q'}$ , it is sufficient to prove C) with s = q. Similarly, we assume that  $q'_0 = q'_1 = \infty$  and that  $q_0, q_1 \leq q$ , except when  $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ . Put

$$f^{t}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } |f(x)| > f^{*}(t^{\gamma}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $f_t(x) = f(x) - f(x)$ , where  $\gamma = \frac{1/p'_0 - 1/p'}{1/p_0 - 1/p} = \frac{1/p' - 1/p'_1}{1/p - 1/p_1}$ .

It follows from the definitions that

(3.2) 
$$\begin{cases} f^{t^{*}}(y) \leq \begin{cases} f^{*}(y) & 0 < y < t^{\gamma} \\ 0 & y \geq t^{\gamma} \end{cases} \\ f^{*}_{t}(y) \leq \begin{cases} f^{*}(t) & 0 < y < t^{\gamma} \\ f^{*}(y) & y \geq t^{\gamma} \end{cases} \\ \end{cases}$$
and

Case 1:  $p_1 < \infty$ ,  $q < \infty$ .

We use (3.1), a change of variables and Minkowski's inequality (or, if q < 1, an obvious substitute which introduces an additional factor of  $2^{1/q}$ ) to obtain

$$\| Tf \|_{p'q}^{*} \leq K2^{1/p'} (q/p')^{1/q} \left\{ \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ t^{1/p'} (Tf^{t})^{*}(t) \right]^{q} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} + \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ t^{1/p'} (Tf_{t})^{*}(t) \right]^{q} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right\}.$$

— 265 —

By H), this sum is majorized by

$$K2^{1/p'}(q/p')^{1/q} \left\{ \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ B_{0} t^{1/p'-1/p'_{0}} \| f^{t} \|_{p_{0}q_{0}}^{*} \right]^{q} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} + \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ B_{1} t^{1/p'-1/p'_{1}} \| f_{t} \|_{p_{1}q_{1}}^{*} \right]^{q} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right\}.$$

By using (3.2) and Minkowski's inequality again, we dominate this by

$$\left\{ K2^{1/p'}(q/p')^{1/q} \right\} \cdot \left\{ B_0 \left( \int_0^\infty t^{-q(1/p'_0 - 1/p')} \left[ \frac{q_0}{p_0} \int_0^{t\gamma} \left[ f^*(y) \right]^{q_0} y^{(q_0/p_0) - 1} dy \right]^{q/q_0} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} + B_1 \left( \int_0^\infty t^{q(1/p' - 1/p'_1)} \left[ \frac{q_1}{p_1} \int_0^\infty \left[ f^*(y) \right]^{q_1} y^{(q_1/p_1) - 1} dy \right]^{q/q_1} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} + B_1 \left( \int_0^\infty t^{q(1/p' - 1/p_1)} \left[ \frac{q_1}{p_1} \int_0^{t\gamma} \left[ f^*(t^{\gamma}) \right]^{q_1} y^{(q_1/p_1) - 1} dy \right]^{q/q_1} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right\}$$

Again changing variables and then using Hardy's inequality, we majorize the last sum by

$$K2^{1/p'} |\gamma|^{-1/q} (p/p')^{1/q} \left\{ \frac{B_0}{\left(1 - (p_0/p)\right)^{1/q_1}} + \frac{B_1}{\left((p_1/p) - 1\right)^{1/q_1}} + B_1 \right\} \|f\|_{pq}^*.$$

(Note that in order to apply Hardy's inequality it was necessary to weaken the hypothesis so that  $q/q_i \ge 1, i = 0, 1$ .)

Case 2:  $p_1 < \infty, q = \infty$ .

Following the proof of case 1, we obtain

$$t^{1/p'}(Tf)^{*}(t) \leq K \cdot 2^{1/p'} \left\{ B_0 t^{1/p'-1/p'_0} \left( \frac{q_0}{p_0} \int_0^{t^{\gamma}} [f^{*}(y)]^{q_0} y^{(q_0/p_0)-1} dy \right)^{1/q_0} \right. \\ \left. + B_1 t^{1/p'-1/p'_1} \left( \frac{q_1}{p_1} \int_0^{\infty} [f^{*}(y)]^{q_1} y^{(q_1/p_1)-1} dy \right)^{1/q_1} \right. \\ \left. + B_1 t^{1/p'-1/p'_1} \left( \frac{q_1}{p_1} \int_0^{t^{\gamma}} [f^{*}(t)]^{q_1} y^{(q_1/p_1)-1} dy \right)^{1/q_1} \right\}.$$

Then, after use of the estimate  $y^{1/p} f^*(y) \leq ||f||_{p\infty}^*$ , the proof of case 2 is clear.

The remaining cases are

Case 3:  $p_1 = q_1 = \infty, q < \infty$ , and Case 4:  $p_1 = q_1 = q = \infty$ .

The proofs of these cases follows the proofs of cases 1 and 2, except we now use the estimate  $||f_t||_{\infty\infty}^* \leq f^*(t^{\gamma})$ .

An operator T which maps functions on a measure space into functions on another measure space is called *sublinear* if whenever Tf and Tg are defined and c is a constant, then T(f+g) and T(cf) are defined with

(3.3) 
$$\begin{cases} |T(f+g)| \leq |Tf| + |Tg| \text{ and} \\ |T(cf)| = |c| \cdot |Tf|. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

(3.4) 
$$||Tf| - |Tg|| \leq |T(f-g)|.$$

Our analogue of the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem depends on harmonic majorization of subharmonic functions.

STRONG TYPE THEOREM: Suppose T is a sublinear operator and

 $\|Tf\|_{p'_iq'_i} \leq B_i \|f\|_{p_iq_i}^*, \quad i = 0, 1.$ 

Then  $\| \operatorname{Tf} \|_{p_{\theta}q_{\theta}}^{*} \leq \operatorname{BB}_{0}^{1-\theta} \operatorname{B}_{1}^{\theta} \| f \|_{p_{\theta}q_{\theta}}^{*}$ , where  $1/p_{\theta} = (1-\theta)/p_{0} + \theta/p_{1}$ ,  $1/p_{\theta}^{'} = (1-\theta)/p_{\theta}^{'} + \theta/p_{1}^{'}$ ,  $1/q_{\theta} = (1-\theta)/q_{0} + \theta/q_{1}$  and  $1/q_{\theta}^{'} = (1-\theta)/q_{\theta}^{'} + \theta/q_{1}^{'}$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p_{\theta} = p$ ,  $q_{\theta} = q$ ,  $p'_{\theta} = p'$ , and  $q'_{\theta} = q'$ . Suppose that f is a simple function. Then f can be written in the form

$$f(x) = e^{i \arg f(x)} (G_0(x))^{1-\theta} (G_1(x))^{\theta},$$

where  $G_i$  is a non-negative simple function such that

(3.5) 
$$|| G_i ||_{p_i q_i}^* \leq B(|| f ||_{pq}^*)^{q/q_i}, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

To see this, consider  $(f^*)^{**}$ ,  $0 < r < \min(p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, q_0', q_1')$ . We have  $(f^*)^{**}(t) = (h_0(t))^{1-\theta} (h_1(t))^{\theta}$ , where

$$h_i(t) = \left[ (f^*)^{**}(t) \right]^{q/q_i} t^{(q/q_i)(q/p - q_i/p_i)}, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

If 
$$Sh(u) = (\int_{u}^{\infty} [h(t)]^{r} \frac{dt}{t})^{1/r}$$
, it is not difficult to see that  $f^{*}(u)$ 

 $\leq S((f^*)^{**})(u)$ , and hence, by Holder's inequality, that  $f^*(u) \leq (Sh_0(u))^{1-\theta}$  $(Sh_1(u))^{\theta}$ .  $G_i$  is obtained by choosing values smaller than  $Sh_i$ . (3.5) follows from Hardy's inequality.

Let  $F(x, z) = e^{i \arg f(x)} [G_0(x)]^{1-z} [G_1(x)]^z$ , z complex,  $0 \leq Re z \leq 1$ . Since  $G_i$  is simple and non-negative, i = 0, 1, TF(, z) is defined for z fixed. By considering first a countable dense set  $\{z_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  and then extending by continuity to all z, we may assume that except for a set of measure zero |TF(y, z)| is defined for all z and y fixed and (3.3) and (.3.4) are true pointwise in y. Fix such a point y. (3.3) and (3.4) imply that |TF(y, z)|

is a bounded and continuous function of z,  $0 \leq Re z \leq 1$ . We need that log |TF(y, z)| is subharmonic in 0 < Re z < 1. This follows from the fact that  $|TF(y, z)| e^{h(z)}$  is subharmonic for every harmonic function h(z). That is, let H(z) be analytic with real part h(z). For a fixed point z let  $z_{km}$ , k = 1, ..., m, be points which are evenly distributed over the circle with radius r and center z,  $m \geq 1$ . If D(x, m, z) is defined by

$$e^{H(z)} F(x, z) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} F(x, z_{km}) e^{H(z_{km})} + D(x, m, z),$$

then

$$e^{h(z)} | TF(y, z) | \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e^{h(z_{km})} | TF(x, z_{km}) | + | TD(y, m, z) |.$$

Since D(x, m, z) is of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} (\varphi_j(z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \varphi_j(z_{km})) \chi_{E_j}(x)$ , with  $\varphi_j$  analytic, we may again assume that (3.3) holds pointwise in y, so  $|TD(y, m, z)| \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ . Then

$$e^{h(z)} | TF(y, z) | \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{h(z+re^{i\theta})} | TF(y, z+re^{i\theta}) | d\theta,$$

so  $\log |TF(v, z)|$  is subharmonic.

The preceding paragraph implies that  $\log |TF(y, z)|$  is majorized in 0 < Re z < 1 by the Poisson integral of its boundary values. In particular,

$$\log | TF(y,\theta) | \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta,t) \log | TF(y,it) | dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta,t) \log | TF(y,t) | dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta,t) | dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta,t)$$

 $(1+it) \mid dt$ , where  $P_0(\theta, t)$  and  $P_1(\theta, t)$  and  $P_1(\theta, t)$  are positive,  $\int_{0}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t)$  $dt = 1 - \theta$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta, t) dt = \theta$ . We then obtain  $|TF(y,\theta)|^{r} \leq \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\int_{-\pi}^{\infty}P_{0}(\theta,t)\log|TF(y,it)|^{r}dt\right) \right\}^{1-\theta}$  $\cdot \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta, t) \log | TF(y, 1+it)|^r dt \right) \right\}^{\theta}.$ 

Noting that  $TF(y, \theta) = Tf(y)$ , we use Jensen's inequality to obtain  $|Tf(y)| \leq H_0(y)^{1-\theta} \cdot H_1(y)^{\theta},$ 

where

$$H_0(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) \mid TF(y, it) \mid^r dt\right)^{1/r}$$

and

$$H_1(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta, t) \mid TF(y, 1+it) / r \, dt\right)^{1/r}.$$

Holder's inequality implies  $(Tf)^{**}(y) \leq \{H_0^{**}(y)\}^{1-\theta} \{H_1^{**}(y)\}^{\theta}$  and then  $||Tf||_{p'q'} \leq B ||H_0||_{p'_0q'_0}^{1-\theta} ||H_1||_{p'_1q'_1}^{\theta}$ .

By Fubini's theorem,  $H_0^{**}(y) \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\theta, t) \left[TF^{**}(y, it)\right]^r dt\right)^{1/r}$ . Hence

$$\|H_0\|_{p_0q_0'} \leq \left(\frac{q_0'}{p_0'}\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\int_{-\infty}^\infty P_0(\theta,t)\left[TF^{**}(y,it)\right]^r dt\right)^{q_0/r} y^{(q_0'/p_0')-1} dy\right)^{1/q}$$

By Jensen's inequality the right hand term is dominated by

$$\Big(\frac{q'_0}{p'_0}\int_0^\infty \Big(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\int_{-\infty}^\infty P_0(\theta,t) \Big[TF^{**}(y,it)\Big]^{q'_0}dt\Big) y^{(q'_0/p'_0)-1} dy\Big)^{1/q'_0}.$$

Thus, using Fubini's theorem, our hypothesis and (3.5), we have

$$\| H_0 \|_{p'_0 q'_0} \leq \left( \frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) \| TF(\cdot, it) \|_{p'_0 q'_0}^{q'_0} dt \right)^{1/q'_0}$$

— 269 —

$$\leq BB_0 \left( \frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) \| F(\cdot, it) \|_{p_0 q_0}^{q_0'} dt \right)^{1/q_0'}$$
$$= BB_0 \| G_0 \|_{p_0 q_0}^* \leq BB_0 [\| f \|_{pq}^*]^{q/q_0}.$$

Similarly,  $|| H_1 ||_{p'_1q'_1} \leq BB_1 [|| f ||^*_{pq}]^{q/q_1}$ .

We now have

(3.6) 
$$|| Tf ||_{p'q'}^* \leq BB_0^{1-\theta} B_1^{\theta} || f ||_{pq}^*$$

where f is any simple function.

For any  $f \in L(p, q)$  we find a sequence of simple functions  $f_n$  such that  $||f_n||_{pq} \to ||f||_{pq}$  and  $|Tf_n| \to |Tf|$  a.e. Then, using Fatou's lemma, we have  $(Tf)^{**}(t) \leq \liminf (Tf_n)^{**}(t)$  and  $||Tf||_{p'q'} \leq \liminf ||TF_n||_{p'q'}$ . (3.6) then implies that  $||Tf||_{p'q'} \leq BB_0^{1-\theta} B_1^{\theta} ||f||_{pq}^*$ .

Note that in case  $p_0 = q_0$ ,  $p_1 = q_1$ ,  $p'_0 = q'_0$  and  $p'_1 = q'_1$  the proof is simpler and the constant *B* may be omitted from the conclusion so the constant  $B_0^{1-\theta} B_1^{\theta}$  of the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem is retained.

## Section 4. APPLICATIONS

Many classical operators are known to map  $L^p$  boundedly into  $L^{p'}$ , where the points (1/p, 1/p') form a non-degenerate line segment and  $p \leq p'$ . Operators of this type are, for example, the Fourier transform [32, Vol. I, p. 254], the Hilbert transform [23], the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator [32, Vol. I, p. 32], singular integral operators [4] and fractional integral operators [12] and [28]. We see from the weak type interpolation theorem that operators of this type map L(p, q) boundedly into L(p', q'),  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . Hence, we know the behavior of the operators acting on some additional spaces. If p = p', this is the only extension of the  $L^p$  results. However, if p < p', the  $L^p$  result is improved, since we see that  $L^p$  is mapped boundedly into L(p', p), a space which is continuously contained in  $L^{p'}$ .

The germ of the weak type theorem can be seen in a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood on the rearrangement of Fourier coefficients. (See [32, Vol. II, p. 130].) Let us develop an L(p, q) version of this result for the Fourier integral transform.