Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 12 (1966)

Heft: 3: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE PRINCIPLE OF SIGNS

Autor: Boardman, J. M.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-40740

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 04.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

THE PRINCIPLE OF SIGNS

by J. M. BOARDMAN

In algebraic topology one frequently encounters the following algebraic situation. One finds a graded ring, consisting of a sequence of abelian groups A_n (n=0,1,2,...) and an associative system of bilinear multiplication maps $\mu_{m,n}: A_m \times A_n \to A_{m+n}$. It often happens that for geometric reasons this multiplication commutes up to sign,

$$\mu_{m,n}(x,y) = \varepsilon_{m,n} \mu_{n,m}(y,x) \quad (x \in A_m, y \in A_n),$$

where the sign $\varepsilon_{m,n} = \pm 1$ depends only on m and n.

Now the multiplication is usually not canonically defined, to the extent that each $\mu_{m,n}$ may reasonably be changed by a sign depending on m and n. This modification may alter $\varepsilon_{m,n}$ if $m \neq n$, but leaves $\varepsilon_{n,n}$ fixed. This freedom is used to simplify $\varepsilon_{m,n}$ into a memorable form, while retaining associativity. It is not usually possible to abolish the signs completely. In important cases, however, we can arrange $\varepsilon_{m,n} = (-1)^{mn}$. This simple choice is found in practice to work remarkably well, even in much more complicated algebraic structures. It has therefore acquired the status of a metaphysical rule of thumb lacking adequate justification. It is much used, and therefore also much misused, expressed in the form:

Whenever two elements of dimensions m and n are interchanged, one ought to insert the sign $(-1)^{mn}$, in order to make the algebra consistent.

We show that this *Principle of Signs* can be made quite precise.

We take a general algebraic system, consisting of:

- (1) (a) A class Ω of elements.
 - (b) A class \mathfrak{A} of *n*-ary operations on Ω , for various values $n \geq 0$, which need not be everywhere defined. (A *n*-ary operation is a function from some subset of the *n*-fold cartesian product Ω^n to Ω . A non-empty 0-ary operation is simply an element of Ω .)
 - (c) An involution $T \in \mathfrak{A}$ (to be thought of as "minus").

(d) A dimension function | | defined on the whole of Ω , taking values in the additive cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_2 of order 2.

We subject these to the axioms:

- (2) (a) TTx = x whenever Tx is defined.
 - (b) $|\alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)| = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} |x_i|$ whenever $\alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ is defined, for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$.
 - (c) $\alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., Tx_i, ..., x_n) = T\alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_i, ..., x_n)$ whenever $\alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ is defined, and $1 \le i \le n$, for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Given a m-ary operation α , a n-ary operation β , and an integer $i (1 \le i \le m)$, we construct the composite (m+n-1)-ary operation γ by setting

$$\gamma(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n, x_{i+1}, ..., x_m)
= \alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, \beta(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n), x_{i+1}, ..., x_m).$$
(3)

If α and β are in \mathfrak{A} , it is clear that γ also satisfies (2). In particular, specialization of the argument x_i in α $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)$ is included as composition with a 0-ary operation β . It is convenient to enlarge \mathfrak{A} so as to include all operations such as γ obtained by composing operations in \mathfrak{A} , and also all those operations obtained by restricting the domain of definition of an operation in \mathfrak{A} , subject to (2c).

DEFINITION. We say the identity

$$\alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = T^{\varepsilon} \beta(x_{\pi 1}, x_{\pi 2}, ..., x_{\pi n})$$
 (4)

is in standard form if α and β are in $\mathfrak A$, both sides have the same domain of definition, π is a permutation of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, and

$$\varepsilon = \sum |x_{\pi i}| |x_{\pi j}|, \qquad (5)$$

where we sum over all pairs (i, j) such that i < j and $\pi i > \pi j$.

Thus the term $|x_{\pi i}| |x_{\pi j}|$ appears in (5) if and only if the arguments $x_{\pi i}$ and $x_{\pi j}$ appear in opposite orders on the two sides of (4).

Theorem. Suppose we are given an algebraic system as in (1) and (2), with $\mathfrak A$ enlarged as indicated above, and a class of identities in standard form. Then all allowable consequent identities are in standard form.

We allow as consequent identities all those obtained from the given identities in a finite number of steps of any of the following types:

Type 1. We may deduce the trivial identity

$$\alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$$

for any operation $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Type 2. Reversal. From the identity (4) we deduce the identity

$$\beta(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = T^{\varepsilon} \alpha(x_{\sigma 1}, x_{\sigma 2}, ..., x_{\sigma n}),$$

where σ is the inverse permutation to π , by using (2a).

Type 3. Transitivity. From the identities

$$\alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = T^{\varepsilon} \beta(x_{\pi 1}, x_{\pi 2}, ..., x_{\pi n})$$

and

$$\beta(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) = T^{\eta} \gamma(y_{\rho 1}, y_{\rho 2}, ..., y_{\rho n})$$

we deduce the identity

$$\alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = T^{\varepsilon + \eta} \gamma(x_{\pi \rho 1}, x_{\pi \rho 2}, ..., x_{\pi \rho n}).$$

Type 4. Substitution. Given a m-ary operation $\omega \in \mathfrak{A}$ and an integer j $(1 \le j \le m)$, we deduce from (4) the identity

$$\omega(y_1, ..., y_{j-1}, \alpha(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), y_{j+1}, ..., y_m) = T^{\varepsilon} \omega(y_1, ..., y_{j-1}, \beta(x_{\pi 1}, x_{\pi 2}, ..., x_{\pi n}), y_{j+1}, ..., y_m)$$
(6)

by using (2c). We use (3) to express this in the form (4).

Type 5. Substitution. Given a m-ary operation $\omega \in \mathfrak{A}$ and an integer $i \ (1 \le i \le n)$, we deduce from (4) the identity

$$\alpha(x_{1},...,x_{i-1},\omega(y_{1},y_{2},...,y_{m}),x_{i+1},...,x_{n}) = T^{\varepsilon}\beta(x_{\pi 1},...,\omega(y_{1},y_{2},...,y_{m}),...,x_{\pi n}),$$
(7)

by substituting for x_i . We use (3) to express this in the form (4).

Type 6. Cancellation. Suppose the m-ary operation $\omega \in \mathfrak{A}$ satisfies the cancellation law for the argument y_i $(1 \le j \le m)$, namely that

$$\omega(y_1, y_2, ..., y_j, ..., y_m) = \omega(y_1, y_2, ..., y_j, ..., y_m)$$

implies $y'_j = y_j$. Then we deduce the identity (4) from the identity (6), making use of (2c), provided that α and β are in $\mathfrak A$ and have the same domain of definition.

Type 7. Synthesis. Given a m-ary operation $\omega \in \mathfrak{A}$ and an integer i $(1 \le i \le n)$, suppose that whenever α $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ is defined, x_i can

be expressed in the form $\omega(y_1, y_2, ..., y_m)$. Then we may deduce the identity (4) from the identity (7), provided the operations α and β are in $\mathfrak A$ and have the same domain of definition.

Proof of Theorem. We must check that the deduced identities are in standard form whenever the assumed identities are. For types 1, 4 and 6, this is trivial.

It is well known that the signature homomorphism from a permutation group to \mathbb{Z}_2 can be given by a formula similar to (5). Our situation is a slight variant. Put $y_i = x_{\pi i}$ in Types 2 and 3. Put $p = \pi j$ and $q = \pi i$ in (5); we find

$$\varepsilon = \sum |x_p| |x_q| = \sum |y_{\sigma p}| |y_{\sigma q}| \qquad (p < q, \sigma p > \sigma q).$$

This proves that Type 2 yields identities in standard form. Again, this time putting $i = \rho p$ and $j = \rho q$, we find

$$\varepsilon = \sum |x_{\pi i}| |x_{\pi j}| = \sum |x_{\pi \rho p}| |x_{\pi \rho q}| \qquad (\rho p < \rho q, \pi \rho p > \pi \rho q)$$
 and

$$\eta = \sum |y_{\rho i}| |y_{\rho j}| = \sum |x_{\pi \rho i}| |x_{\pi \rho j}| \quad (i < j, \rho i > \rho j),$$

summing as indicated. From these we deduce

$$\varepsilon + \eta = \sum |x_{\pi\rho i}| |x_{\pi\rho j}| \quad (i < j, \pi\rho i > \pi\rho j),$$

and hence that Type 3 yields identities in standard form.

As for Types 5 and 7, we see from (2b) that we have the required power of T.

This completes the proof.

The standard application is to graded algebras and modules. In this case, Ω is the class of all elements of all the graded groups A, B, \ldots under consideration, including constructed ones such as $A \otimes B$ and Hom (A, B). The involution T is -, and dimension is the grading, reduced modulo 2. The operations usually include \otimes , multiplication, module actions, evaluation, composition, etc. Natural transformations are to be regarded as unary operations.

However, the theorem has much scope in situations outside pure algebra, for example in the formal aspects of stable homotopy theory.

Mathematics Institute
The University of Warwick
Coventry (England)

(Reçu le 30 mars 1966)