

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 11 (1965)
Heft: 2-3: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE STONE SPACE OF A BOOLEAN RING
Autor: Abian, Alexander
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-39975>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 15.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

THE STONE SPACE OF A BOOLEAN RING

by Alexander ABIAN *1)

This is an expository paper reproducing some of the basic results in [1] and [2].

DEFINITION 1. — *A ring B is called Boolean, if*

$$x^2 = x, \quad \text{for every } x \in B. \quad (1)$$

In what follows B shall represent a given Boolean ring.

The following are well known immediate consequences of Definition 1.

$$x + x = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$xy = yx, \quad (3)$$

$$xy(x+y) = 0, \quad (4)$$

for every two elements x and y of B .

NOTATION. — *In what follows, for every non-zero element x of B , $p(x)$ shall represent a prime ideal of B not containing x , i.e., $x \notin p(x)$*

and

$P(x)$ shall represent the set of all prime ideals $p(x)$, for a given x .

LEMMA 1. — *Let I be an ideal of B and x an element of B such that $x \notin I$. Then there exists a prime ideal $p(x)$ such that $I \subset p(x)$.*

PROOF. — By Zorn's Lemma, in view of (1) and (3), there exists a largest ideal M of B such that $I \subset M$ and $x \notin M$. It can be easily verified that the ideal M is prime [3].

^{1)*} Formerly, Smbat Abian.

Let us observe that since 0 is an element of every ideal of B , hence, in view of Lemma 1,

$$P(x) = \phi \quad \text{if and only if } x = 0. \quad (5)$$

Now, we prove that for every two elements x and y of B ,

$$P(xy) = P(x) \cap P(y) \quad (6)$$

To prove (6), let us observe that since $p(xy)$ is an ideal not containing xy , hence, $x \notin p(xy)$ and $y \notin p(xy)$. Thus, $P(xy) \subset (P(x) \cap P(y))$. Conversely, since $p(x)$ is a prime ideal not containing x , hence, if $y \notin p(x)$ then $xy \notin p(x)$. Thus, $(P(x) \cap P(y)) \subset P(xy)$.

From (6) it follows that for every two elements x and y of B ,

$$xy = x \quad \text{implies } P(x) \subset P(y) \quad (7)$$

Since $p(x+y)$ is an ideal not containing $x+y$, hence $x \notin p(x+y)$ implies $y \notin p(x+y)$. Therefore, for every two elements x and y of B ,

$$P(x+y) \subset (P(x) \cup P(y)) \quad (8)$$

Further, in view of (4), (5) and (6),

$$P(xy) \cap P(x+y) = \phi$$

so that, in view of (8), for every two elements x and y of B ,

$$P(x+y) \subset (P(x) \oplus P(y)), \quad (9)$$

where \oplus is the usual set-theoretical *symmetric difference* operator. Also, let us observe that since $p(x)$ is an ideal not containing x , hence, if $p(x) \notin P(y)$ then $p(x) \in P(x+y)$. Similarly, if $p(y) \notin P(x)$ then $p(y) \in P(x+y)$. Thus,

$$P(x) \oplus P(y) \subset P(x+y)$$

so that in view of (9), for every two elements x and y of B ,

$$P(x+y) = P(x) \oplus P(y). \quad (10)$$

Let us observe that since

$$P(y) - P(x) = (P(y) \oplus P(x)) \cap P(y),$$

hence, in view of (10), (6) and (1), for every two elements x and y of B ,

$$P(y) - P(x) = P(y + xy). \quad (11)$$

Also, in view of (8), for every positive natural number n ,

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \quad \text{implies} \quad P(x) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n P(c_i) \quad (12)$$

where c_i is an element of B . Moreover, in view of (1) and (7),

$$P(ca) \subset P(a), \quad (13)$$

where c and a are any two elements of B .

Now, let \mathcal{P} represent *the set of all proper prime ideals of B* .

THEOREM 1. — *The Boolean ring B is isomorphic to a subring of the algebra of all subsets of \mathcal{P} .*

PROOF. — In view of (5), (6) and (10), the mapping f from B into the power set of \mathcal{P} , given by

$$f(x) = P(x)$$

establishes the desired isomorphism.

Next, in view of (6), we introduce a topology \mathcal{T} in \mathcal{P} such that, for every $x \in B$ the subset $P(x)$ of \mathcal{P} is a basis element of \mathcal{T} .

DEFINITION 2. — *The topological space $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$ is called the Stone space of B .*

LEMMA 2. — *In the space $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$, every basis element is closed.*

PROOF. — Let $P(x)$ be a basis element and let $p(y) \notin P(x)$. Clearly, $p(y) \in (P(y) - P(x))$ and hence in view of (11),

$$p(y) \in P(y + xy).$$

Thus, an element $p(y)$, in the complement $\mathcal{P} - P(x)$ of $P(x)$, is contained in a basis element $P(y + xy)$ which is disjoint from $P(x)$. Hence $P(x)$ is closed.

LEMMA 3. — *The space $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$ is totally disconnected.*

PROOF. — Let $p(x)$ and $p(y)$ be two distinct elements of \mathcal{P} . Thus, there exists $z \in B$ such that, say, $z \in p(x)$ and $z \notin p(y)$. But

then $P(yz)$ is a basis element containing $p(y)$ and not containing $p(x)$. Consequently, in view of Lemma 2, every two distinct elements $p(x)$ and $p(y)$ of \mathcal{P} are contained in two mutually disjoint closed sets of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$ whose union is \mathcal{P} . Thus, $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$ is totally disconnected (and in particular, Hausdorff).

LEMMA 4. — *The space $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$ is locally compact.*

PROOF. — It is sufficient to prove that every basis element $P(x)$ of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$ is compact. Now, let $A \subset B$ and $\bigcup_{y \in A} P(y)$ be a covering of $P(x)$, i.e.,

$$P(x) \subset \bigcup_{y \in A} P(y) \quad (14)$$

Let (A) denote the ideal generated by the elements of A . Claim that $x \in (A)$. Assume the contrary that $x \notin (A)$. But then, in view of Lemma 1, there exists a prime ideal $p(x)$ such that $(A) \subset p(x)$, and therefore, $p(x) \notin \bigcup_{y \in A} P(y)$, contradicting (14).

Hence, our assumption is false and indeed, $x \in (A)$. Consequently, there exists a natural number n such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n (m_i + b_i) a_i$$

where m_i is an integer, $b_i \in B$ and $a_i \in A$. But then, in view of (12) and (13),

$$P(x) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n P((m_i + b_i) a_i) \subset \bigcup_{y \in A} P(y)$$

asserting that, in view of (14), $\bigcup_{i=1}^n P((m_i + b_i) a_i)$ is a finite sub-cover of an arbitrary cover $\bigcup_{y \in A} P(y)$ of $P(x)$. Thus, indeed, $P(x)$ is compact and $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$ is locally compact.

Finally, in view of Lemmas 3 and 4, and Theorem 1, we have,

THEOREM 2. — *Every Boolean ring is isomorphic to a subring of the algebra of all subsets of its Stone space which is totally disconnected and locally compact.*

REFERENCES

1. M. H. STONE, The Theory of Representation for Boolean Algebras, *Transactions of Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 40 (1936), pp. 37-111.
2. —— Applications of the Theory of Boolean Rings to General Topology, *Transactions of Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 41 (1937), pp. 375-481.
3. N. H. McCOY, Rings and Ideals, *Carus Math. Monograph* (1948), pp. 104-105.

(reçu le 1^{er} septembre 1963)

The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio.