

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 10 (1964)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ARITHMETICAL NOTES, XI. SOME DIVISOR IDENTITIES
Autor: Cohen, Eckford
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-39421>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 04.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

ARITHMETICAL NOTES, XI.
SOME DIVISOR IDENTITIES

by Eckford COHEN

1. *Introduction.* In a series of papers [1, 2, 3, 4], the author has discussed arithmetical functions related to the unitary divisors d of a positive integer r , that is, divisors d of r , such that $(d, \delta) = 1$, where δ is the complementary divisor of r . It is the purpose of this note to derive in a unified manner some of the basic identities proved in these papers.

The second section is concerned with the unitary analogue $c^*(n, r)$ of Ramanujan's trigonometric sum $c(n, r)$, § 3 with the unitary analogue of Möbius inversion, and § 4 with orthogonality properties of $c^*(n, r)$.

2. *The sum $c^*(n, r)$.* We recall that for integers n , $c(n, r)$ is defined by

$$c(n, r) = \sum_{(x, r)=1} e(nx, r), \quad e(n, r) = \exp(2\pi in/r), \quad (1)$$

where the summation is over a reduced residue system (mod r). Let us define $(n, r)_*$ to be the largest unitary divisor of r which is a factor of n . Analogous to (1) we place [1, § 2]

$$c^*(n, r) = \sum_{(x, r)_*=1} e(nx, r), \quad (2)$$

where the summation is over those integers x (mod r) such that $(x, r)_* = 1$. Such a system of numbers is said to form a semi-reduced residue system (mod r).

We first express $c^*(n, r)$ in terms of $c(n, r)$. Let $\gamma(r)$ denote the largest divisor of r with no square factors other than 1.

Identity 1 ([3, (3.1)]).

$$c^*(n, r) = \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ \gamma(d)=\gamma(r)}} c(n, d). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Classifying the x in (2) according to their greatest common divisor with r , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} c^*(n, r) &= \sum_{d\delta=r} \sum_{\substack{(x, r)=\delta \\ x \pmod{r}}} e(nx, r) = \sum_{d\delta=r} \sum_{(X, d)=1} e(n\delta X, r) \\ &\quad \delta \left| \frac{r}{\gamma(r)} \quad (x=\delta X) \quad \delta \left| \frac{r}{\gamma(r)} \right. \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d\delta=r \\ \delta \left| \frac{r}{\gamma(r)} \right.}} \sum_{(X, d)=1} e(nX, d) = \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ \gamma(r)|d}} c(n, d), \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as (3).

Let $\phi(r)$ denote the Euler ϕ -function and $\mu(r)$ the Möbius function. It is well known that

$$c(n, r) = \sum_{d|(n, r)} d\mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right). \quad (4)$$

We also note that

$$\phi(r) = c(0, r) = \sum_{d\delta=r} d\mu(\delta), \quad \mu(r) = c(1, r). \quad (5)$$

Definition. Place

$$\phi^*(r) = c^*(0, r), \quad \mu^*(r) = c^*(1, r), \quad (6)$$

so that $\phi^*(r)$ is the number of integers in a semi-reduced residue system $(\text{mod } r)$.

As corollaries of (3) we obtain the following two formulas, by virtue of (5).

Identity 2 (cf. [2, Lemma 3.1, $k = 1$]).

$$\phi^*(r) = \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ \gamma(d)=\gamma(r)}} \phi(d). \quad (7)$$

Identity 3 ([1, (2.9)], [3, (3.5)]).

$$\mu^*(r) = \sum_{\substack{d|r \\ \gamma(d)=\gamma(r)}} \mu(d) = \mu(\gamma(r)). \quad (8)$$

Notation. Let $d \parallel r$ and $d^* \delta = r$ be used to signify that d is a unitary divisor of r .

We shall need the following relation for a proof of the unitary analogue of (4).

LEMMA 1. Let k be a divisor of r . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{d \mid \frac{r}{k} \\ \gamma(r) = \gamma(dk)}} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} \mu^*(r/k) & \text{if } k \parallel r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Remark 1. We first recall that

$$\sum_{d \mid r} \mu(d) = \varepsilon(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & (r = 1) \\ 0 & (r > 1), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

and that $\mu(r) = 0$ unless r is square-free.

Proof. Let \sum denote the sum in (9).

Case 1 ($k \parallel r$). In this case, by the above remark,

$$\sum = \sum_{\substack{d \mid \frac{r}{k} \\ \gamma(r) = \gamma(k)\gamma(d)}} \mu(d) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid \frac{\gamma(r)}{\gamma(k)} \\ d\gamma(k) = \gamma(r)}} \mu(d) = \mu\left(\frac{\gamma(r)}{\gamma(k)}\right) = \mu\left(\gamma\left(\frac{r}{k}\right)\right),$$

and the first part of (9) results by (8).

Case 2 ($k \nparallel r$). In this case let r_1 be the largest common unitary divisor of k and r , $r = r_1^* r_2$, $k = r_1^* k_2$, so that $k_2 \mid r_2$, $r_2/k_2 > 1$, and $\gamma(r_2) = \gamma(k_2) = \gamma(r_2/k_2)$. Hence by Remark 1,

$$\sum = \sum_{\substack{d \mid \frac{r_2}{k_2} \\ \gamma(r_2) = \gamma(k_2 d)}} \mu(d) = \sum_{d \mid \frac{r_2}{k_2}} \mu(d) = 0.$$

Identity 4 ([1, (2.7)]).

$$c^*(n, r) = \sum_{d \parallel (n, r)^*} d \mu^*\left(\frac{r}{d}\right). \quad (11)$$

Proof. By Identity 1, (4), and Lemma 1, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} c^*(n, r) &= \sum_{\substack{d\delta = r \\ \gamma(d) = \gamma(r)}} \sum_{D \mid (n, d)} D \mu\left(\frac{d}{D}\right) = \sum_{D \mid (n, r)} D \sum_{\substack{DE = d \\ d\delta = r \\ \gamma(r) = \gamma(d)}} \mu(E) \\ &= \sum_{D \mid (n, r)} D \sum_{\substack{E\delta = r/D \\ \gamma(r) = \gamma(DE)}} \mu(E) = \sum_{\substack{D \mid (n, r) \\ D \parallel r}} D \mu^*\left(\frac{r}{D}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as (11).

In the special case $n = 0$, one gets

Identity 5 ([1, (2.8)]).

$$\vartheta^*(r) = \sum_{d \parallel r} d \mu^*\left(\frac{r}{d}\right). \quad (12)$$

3. *Unitary inversion.* In this section the following analogue of (10) is basic.

Identity 6 ([1, (2.5)]).

$$\sum_{d \parallel r} \mu^*(d) = \epsilon(r). \quad (13)$$

Remark 2. As d ranges over the unitary divisors of r , $\gamma(d)$ ranges over all divisors of $\gamma(r)$.

Proof. By Remark 2, (8), and (10), it follows that

$$\sum_{d \parallel r} \mu^*(d) = \sum_{d \parallel r} \mu(\gamma(d)) = \sum_{d | \gamma(r)} \mu(d) = \epsilon(\gamma(r)),$$

which proves (13).

We define the unitary product f^*g of two arithmetical functions f, g , with values in the complex field, by

$$f^*g = \sum_{d^*\delta=r} f(d)g(\delta). \quad (14)$$

LEMMA 2. *The set of all arithmetical functions forms a semi-group S relative to the unitary product. The function ϵ defined by (10) is the identity of S.*

Proof. Evidently $\epsilon^*f = f^*\epsilon = f$ for every function f . Moreover, the associative law, $f_1^*(f_2^*f_3) = (f_1^*f_2)^*f_3$, is easily verified for arbitrary functions, f_1, f_2, f_3 .

Identity 7 [Inversion formula, [1, Theorem 2.3]]. *For functions f, g of S,*

$$f(r) = \sum_{d \parallel r} g(d) \Leftrightarrow g(r) = \sum_{d^*\delta=r} f(d) \mu^*(\delta). \quad (15)$$

Proof. Let I denote the function of S defined to have the value 1 for all r , $I(r) \equiv 1$. Then (13) may be written $\mu^* * I = \epsilon$. Thus by Lemma 2, μ^* is invertible in S with the (unique) inverse

$(\mu^*)^{-1} = I$. Hence, $g * I = f \xrightarrow{\mu^*} g = f * \mu^*$, which is merely a reformulation of (15).

We note that (11) can be rewritten as

$$c^*(n, r) = \sum_{d^* \delta = r} \epsilon_d(n) \mu^*(\delta), \quad \epsilon_r(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & (r | n) \\ 0 & (r \nmid n). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Application of the inversion formula to (16) leads to

Identity 8 ([1, (2.2)]).

$$\sum_{d \parallel r} c^*(n, d) = \epsilon_r(n). \quad (17)$$

Noting that $\epsilon_r(1) = \epsilon(r)$, the relation (17) reduces to (13) in case $n = 1$. In the case $n = 0$, we have

Identity 9 ([1, (2.4)]).

$$\sum_{d \parallel r} \vartheta^*(d) = r. \quad (18)$$

The latter result can be deduced independently on applying the unitary inversion formula to (12).

4. *Orthogonality properties.* In [3, Theorem 3.2] it was proved that for unitary divisors d_1, d_2 of r ,

$$\sum_{n \equiv a+b \pmod{r}} c^*(a, d_1) c^*(b, d_2) = \begin{cases} rc^*(n, e) & \text{if } e = d_1 = d_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } d_1 \neq d_2, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

the summation on the left extending over all $a, b \pmod{r}$ such that $n \equiv a + b$. This result arose from an analogous relation satisfied by $c(n, r)$ [3, (1.4)] on application of (3). We deduce now a number of consequences of (19).

Letting $n = 0$ and noting that $c^*(-n, r) = c^*(n, r)$, (19) becomes.

Identity 10. If $d_1 \parallel r, d_2 \parallel r$, then

$$\sum_{a \pmod{r}} c^*(a, d_1) c^*(a, d_2) = \begin{cases} r\vartheta^*(e) & \text{if } e = d_1 = d_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } d_1 \neq d_2. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

We prove next

Identity 11. If $d_1 \parallel r, d_2 \parallel r$, then

$$\sum_{d*\delta=r} c^*(d, d_1) c^*(d, d_2) \vartheta^*(\delta) = \begin{cases} r\vartheta^*(e) & \text{if } e = d_1 = d_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } d_1 \neq d_2. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Remark 3. If $(e, r)_* = 1$, then $c^*(ne, r) = c^*(n, r)$.

Remark 4. ([4, Remark 2.1]). If $d \parallel r$, then any semi-reduced residue system (mod r) contains such a system (mod d).

Proof. Let the left member of (20) be denoted Σ . With $(a, r)_* = d$, we may write $a = dX, (X, r/d)_* = 1$. By Remark 4, one may suppose $X \pmod{r/d}$ chosen so that $(X, r)_* = 1$. Hence, since d_1 and d_2 are unitary divisors of r , it follows by Remark 3 that

$$\Sigma = \sum_{\substack{d \parallel r \\ (X, r)_* = 1 \\ X \pmod{r/d}}} c^*(dX, d_1) c^*(dX, d_2) = \sum_{d \parallel r} c^*(d, d_1) c^*(d, d_2) \sum_{(X, r/d)_* = 1} 1,$$

which is the left of (21). The proof is complete, by Identity 10.

Remark 5 ([1, Corollary 2.2.1, also cf. Lemma 6.1]). The function $\phi^*(r)$ is multiplicative.

We require a simple formula proved in [4, (2.2)], namely,

$$\vartheta^*(e_1) c^*\left(\frac{r}{e_1}, e_2\right) = \vartheta^*(e_2) c^*\left(\frac{r}{e_2}, e_1\right), \quad e_1 \parallel r, e_2 \parallel r. \quad (22)$$

Applying (22) to the second factor in the sum in (21), it results that

Identity 12 ([4, Lemma 2.4]). If $d_1 \parallel r, d_2 \parallel r$, then

$$\sum_{\delta \parallel r} c^*\left(\frac{r}{\delta}, d_1\right) c^*\left(\frac{r}{d_2}, \delta\right) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } d_1 = d_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } d_1 \neq d_2. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Identity 13 ([3, Theorem 3.3]). If m and n are integers, then

$$\sum_{\delta \parallel r} \frac{c^*(m, \delta) c^*(n, \delta)}{\vartheta^*(\delta)} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{r}{\vartheta^*(r)}\right) \vartheta^*((n, r)_*) & \text{if } (m, r)_* = (n, r)_*, \\ 0 & \text{if } (m, r)_* \neq (n, r)_*. \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Proof. Apply (22) to the first factor in the sum in (23), with $d_1 = r/(m, r)_*$, $d_2 = r/(n, r)_*$, and use Remarks 3 and 5.

The following relation results from (24) in the case $m = n$,

$$\sum_{d \parallel r} \frac{(c^*(n, d))^2}{\varnothing^*(d)} = \left(\frac{r}{\varnothing^*(r)} \right) \varnothing^*((n, r)_*). \quad (25)$$

Further, the Inversion Theorem and Remark 5 give

$$(c^*(n, r))^2 = \sum_{d \cdot \delta = r} d \varnothing^*((n, d)_*) \varnothing^*(\delta) \mu^*(\delta). \quad (26)$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Eckford COHEN, Arithmetical functions associated with the unitary divisors of an integer, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Vol. 74 (1960), pp. 66-80.
2. — Eckford COHEN, An elementary method in the asymptotic theory of numbers, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 28 (1961), pp. 183-192.
3. — Unitary functions (mod r), *Duke Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 28 (1961), pp. 475-486.
4. — *Unitary functions (mod r)*, II, to appear.

University of Tennessee
Knoxville, Tenn.
U.S.A.

(Reçu le 22 octobre 1962.)