# 4. Inverse function theorems.

Objekttyp: Chapter

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Band (Jahr): 9 (1963)

Heft 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

PDF erstellt am: 26.09.2024

#### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

### Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek* ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

Then, for the solution u = u(T) in S, the estimate (3.7) holds.

A unique solution of (3.1 a) in  $S(u^*, r)$  also exists for such r and b if (3.6) holds, but in (3.8) the sign ">" cannot be replaced by " $\geq$ ", nor can the constant a in (3.8) be replaced by any larger one.

The last statement can be proved by simple examples in the one-dimensional case and with an operator T which is linear in  $S(u^*, r)$ .

## 4. Inverse function theorems.

Under the conditions of the implicit function Theorem 3.1, the operator T has a local inverse defined in a neighborhood of a point  $w_0$  for which

$$Tu = w. (4.1)$$

has a solution  $u_0$ . This inverse has its range in a neighborhood of  $u_0$ . For the proof set  $T^*u = Tu - w_0$  in Theorem 3.1. However, the conditions of this theorem are still not sufficient for the existence of a solution u of equation (4.1) for all w in  $B_2$  even if T is defined on the whole Banach space  $B_1$  and the conditions are satisfied at each point u of  $B_1$ .

However, this actually is not necessary for the existence of at least one solution u of (4.1) for all  $w \in B_2$  as is indicated by the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. Let the operator T, mapping a non-empty domain  $D \subset B_1$  into  $B_2$ , satisfy the following conditions:

For each  $u \in D$  there exist a sphere  $S(u, r) \subset D$ , a linear operator K, and a constant m such that the following conditions hold:

- $\alpha$ ) K has a bounded inverse  $K^{-1}$  on TS(u, r)
- $\beta$ )  $||Tv T\tilde{v} K(v \tilde{v})|| \le m ||v \tilde{v}||$  for  $v, \tilde{v} \in S(u, r)$
- $\gamma$ ) ( $||K^{-1}||^{-1}-m$ )  $r \ge c > 0$  where the constant c is independent of  $u \in D$ .

<sup>1)</sup> Example:  $Tu \equiv \arctan u = w$ , with  $B_1 = B_2 = \{ \text{ real numbers } \}$ , is not solvable for all  $w \in B_2$ , although the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied at each point  $(u, w = \arctan u)$  for  $T^*u = Tu$ -w.

Then the equation (4.1) has at least one solution for every w in  $B_2$  and each  $w_0 \in B_2$  is the center of a sphere  $||w - w_0|| < c$  for which u = u(w) is continuous and unique in a corresponding neighborhood  $S(u_0, r_0)$  with  $Tu_0 = w_0$  and  $r_0 = r(u_0)$ .

Remark. In this theorem it is not required that T be defined for all  $u \in B_1$  nor that T be continuous, and it cannot be asserted that T has only one solution for each  $w \in B_2$ . The example in the footnote (previous page) shows that the condition  $\gamma$ ) cannot be improved by deleting  $\geq c$  with constant c independent of u. But  $\gamma$ ) can be replaced by other conditions.

Theorem 4.1 a. In Theorem 4.1 the condition  $\gamma$ ) can be replaced by

 $\gamma'$ ) There exists for each R > 0 a constant c = c(R) > 0 such that

$$(\|K^{-1}\|^{-1} - m) r \ge c \text{ for } \|u\| \le R, \text{ and } (4.2)$$

$$||Tu|| \to \infty$$
 as  $||u|| \to \infty$  and  $u \in D$ . (4.3)

Proof of Theorem 4.1. a) Let  $u_0 \in D$  and  $Tu_0 = w_0$  and let  $K_0$ ,  $m_0$ ,  $r_0$  be the corresponding quantities satisfying  $\alpha$ ),  $\beta$ ) and  $\gamma$ ) with  $S_0 = S(u_0, r_0) \subset D$ .

Then by Theorem 3.1 and supplement with  $T^*u = Tu - w_0$ ,  $u^* = u_0$ ,  $r = r^* = r_0$  and b = 0, it follows that each equation  $\tilde{T}u = Tu - w = \theta$  has a unique solution u(w) in  $S_0$  which depends continuously on w provided

$$\| K_0^{-1} \tilde{T} u_0 \| < (1 - m_0 \| K_0^{-1} \|) r_0 = \| K_0^{-1} \| (\| K_0^{-1} \|^{-1} - m_0) r_0.$$

Because of

$$||K_0^{-1} \tilde{T} u_0|| \leq ||K_0^{-1}|| \cdot ||w_0 - w||,$$

and  $\gamma$ ) this inequality holds for  $\|w-w_0\| < c$ , i.e. (4.1) has a solution u(w) for these w. The solution u(w) is unique and continuous in  $S_0$ .

b) Let  $w_1$  be an arbitrary point in  $B_2$ . Then the non-empty set  $\Lambda$  of all real  $\lambda$  with  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$  for which the equation

$$Tu - w_0 + \lambda (w_0 - w_1) = \theta,$$

is solvable is open with respect to the interval [0, 1]. This follows from a). It is also closed, for if  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is the supremum of  $\Lambda$  then there exists a point  $\lambda^* \in \Lambda$  with  $|\tilde{\lambda}^* - \lambda| ||w_0 - w_1|| < c$ . Thus it follows from a), if  $w_0$  is replaced by  $w_0 - \lambda^* (w_0 - w_1)$ , that  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $\Lambda = [0, 1]$  and (4.1) has a solution for all  $w \in B_2$ .

Proof of Theorem 4.1 a. Let  $w_1 \in B_2$  and  $u_0 \in D$  with  $Tu_0 = w_0$  be given. Then the points  $w = w_0 + \lambda (w_1 - w_0)$ ,  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , are bounded:

$$\|w\| \le \max (\|w_0\|, \|w_1\|) = A.$$

Because of  $\gamma'$ ) there exists a number R with ||Tu|| > A for all u in the set  $\{u \in D: ||u|| \ge R\}$ . 1)

Then the same conclusion as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 with c = c(R) applied to  $||u|| \le R$  shows that  $Tu = w_1$  is solvable by an element  $u_1$  with  $||u_1|| < R$  for which the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 with c = c(R) hold. This implies the existence of a sphere  $||w-w_1|| < c$  with the asserted properties.

### 5. AN EXAMPLE.

The simple example  $Tu = \tan u$ , given only for illustration purposes, shows that Theorem 4.1 is general enough to cover cases in which either the domain D is not the whole space  $B_1$  or Tu = w does not have a unique solution, although this equation is solvable for all  $w \in B_2$ .

Let  $B_1 = B_2 = B$  be the Banach space of real numbers. Then by Theorem 4.1 the equation

$$Tu \equiv \tan u = w$$
,  $u, w \in B$ ,

is solvable for all  $w \in B$ . 2)

Proof. We choose

$$Kv = \frac{v}{\cos^2 u}$$
 for  $u \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ .

<sup>1)</sup> This set may be empty.

<sup>2)</sup> This is not true for complex numbers as  $\tan u = i$  is not solvable.