

# 4. TWO DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS OF THE ORDERS $p$ AND $q$ .

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **8 (1962)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **26.09.2024**

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

the factors  $B(x)$  and  $\Gamma(x)$  also in an alternative form. This is done as follows:

Let  $K$  designate the familiar matrix

$$K(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & - & - & - & - & -b_p \\ 1 & 0 & - & - & - & - & -b_{p-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & - & - & - & -b_{p-2} \\ - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\ - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\ 0 & - & - & - & - & 1 & -b_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

The eigen-values of this are the roots  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$  of the equation  $B(x) = 0$ . If we designate by  $\xi_i$  an eigen-vector corresponding to  $x_i$  we have

$$K^h \xi_i = x_i^h \xi_i, \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, q,$$

and accordingly

$$\Gamma(K) \xi_i = \Gamma(x_i) \xi_i.$$

Thus  $\Gamma(x_i)$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $\Gamma(K)$ , and, since the product of the eigenvalues is the determinant of the matrix, we have

$$|\Gamma(K)| = \prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(x_i).$$

Observing that no factor on the right is zero, and giving to  $\Gamma(K)$  its explicit form, we conclude with the result

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^q c_j(z) K^{q-j}(z) \right| \neq 0 \quad (3.4)$$

#### 4. TWO DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS OF THE ORDERS $p$ AND $q$ .

Let the functions  $\beta_j(z, \lambda)$  and  $\gamma_i(z, \lambda)$  be taken to be polynomials of the degree  $(r-1)$  in  $1/\lambda$ , thus

$$\beta_j(z, \lambda) = \sum_{v=0}^{r-1} \frac{\beta_{j,v}(z)}{\lambda^v}, \quad \beta_{j,0}(z) \equiv b_j(z); \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p,$$

$$\gamma_i(z, \lambda) = \sum_{v=0}^{r-1} \frac{\gamma_{i,v}(z)}{\lambda^v}, \quad \gamma_{i,0}(z) \equiv c_i(z); \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (4.1)$$

As has been indicated, the terms of the zeroth degree are to be the coefficients which appear in the formulas (2. 7). The remaining terms,  $\beta_{j,v}(z)$  and  $\gamma_{i,v}(z)$ , with  $v \geq 1$ , shall be analytic over the  $z$ -region, but beyond that shall be left, for the moment, unspecified. By  $l$  and  $m$  we shall designate the differential operators

$$\begin{aligned}
 l &= \sum_{j=0}^p \lambda^j \beta_j(z, \lambda) D^{p-j}, \quad \beta_0 \equiv 1, \\
 m &= \sum_{i=0}^q \lambda \gamma_i(z, \lambda) D^{q-i}, \quad \gamma_0 \equiv 1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4. 2}$$

The immediate objective will be to show that the unspecified terms in the formulas (4. 1) can be so chosen as to give the differential form  $l(m(u))$  coefficients which differ from those of the form (2. 2) only by terms that are of at least the  $r^{th}$  degree in  $1/\lambda$ .

The  $k$ -fold differentiation of  $m(y)$  yields the formula

$$D^k m(y) = \sum_{i=0}^q \sum_{s=0}^k \lambda^i \binom{k}{s} D^s \gamma_i D^{q-i+k-s} y,$$

in which the symbol  $\binom{k}{s}$  denotes, as customarily, the coefficient of  $x^s$  in the binomial expansion of  $(1+x)^k$ . On using  $i+s$  in place of  $i$  as the variable of summation, and observing that the terms which appear to have been gratuitously included are ones to which the value zero are to be assigned, we find that the formula may be written

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^k m(y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{q+k} \sum_{s=0}^p \lambda^{i-s} \binom{k}{s} D^s \gamma_{i-s} D^{q+k-i} y, \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p. \tag{4. 3}
 \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$l(m(y)) = \sum_{j=0}^p \sum_{i=0}^q \sum_{s=0}^{p-j} \lambda^{j+i-s} \binom{p-j}{s} \beta_j D^s \gamma_{i-s} D^{p-j-i} y.$$

This formula is again improved by using  $i+j$  in place of  $i$  as the variable of summation. It becomes, then

$$l(m(y)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda^i \Psi_i(z, \lambda) D^{n-i} y, \quad (4.4)$$

with

$$\Psi_i(z, \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^p \sum_{s=0}^{p-j} \lambda^{-s} \binom{p-j}{s} \beta_j D^s \gamma_{i-j-s}. \quad (4.5)$$

The functions  $\Psi_i(z, \lambda)$ , inasmuch as they are combinations of those given in (4.1), are polynomials in  $1/\lambda$ . We may therefore write them in the form

$$\Psi_i(z, \lambda) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{r-1} \frac{\psi_{i,\mu}(z)}{\lambda^\mu} + \frac{\psi_{i,r}(z, \lambda)}{\lambda^r}. \quad (4.6)$$

A comparison of the terms in like powers of  $1/\lambda$  in the relations (4.5) and (4.6) yields formulas for the functions  $\Psi_{i,\mu}(z)$ . Those for which  $\mu = 0$  are particularly easy to obtain. On setting  $s = 0$  in (4.5), and replacing  $\beta_j$  and  $\gamma_{i-j}$  by their leading terms  $b_j$  and  $c_{i-j}$ , we find that

$$\psi_{i,0}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^p b_j(z) c_{i-j}(z).$$

Recourse to the relation (2.8) thus shows that

$$\psi_{i,0}(z) = p_{i,0}(z), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (4.7)$$

At least to the extent of the leading terms of their coefficients, the forms (2.2) and (4.4) are, therefore, the same.

## 5. A DETERMINATION OF UNSPECIFIED COEFFICIENTS.

We propose now to deduce a formula for the general coefficient  $\psi_{i,\mu}(z)$  in (4.6) by selecting the multiplier of the appropriate power of  $1/\lambda$  from the formula (4.5). To begin with, it follows from the relations (4.1) that

$$\beta_j D^s \gamma_{i-j-s} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{2r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\mu} \lambda^{-\mu} \beta_{j,k} D^s \gamma_{i-j-s, \mu-k}$$