

On a certain triangle transformation and some of its applications

Autor(en): **Jordanov Bilchev, Svetoslav**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Elemente der Mathematik**

Band (Jahr): **45 (1990)**

Heft 3

PDF erstellt am: **27.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42413>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

On a certain triangle transformation and some of its applications

In this article we introduce an interesting transformation (called $GT_l(k)$ -transformation (duality), cf. [2]) for any triangle and discuss its applications in producing new geometrical inequalities from well-known ones. Examples will be given in which the $GT_l(k)$ -dual inequality is better than the initial inequality.

In a triangle ABC we denote by a, b, c the lengths of the sides BC, AC and AB respectively and by F, R, r its area and the radii of its circumscribed and inscribed circle. As usual, the letter s denotes the semiperimeter $\frac{1}{2}(a+b+c)$ of the triangle. Also if f is a function of three variables, $\Sigma f(a, b, c)$ (resp. $\Pi f(a, b, c)$) denotes the sum (resp. the product), of the values of $f(a, b, c)$ over all cyclic permutations of a, b, c .

Theorem. *Let ABC be triangle with the usual elements a, b, c, F, R, r . Then there also exists a triangle $A'B'C'$ with elements*

$$\begin{aligned} a' &= [a(2ks - (k+1)a)]^{1/2} \\ b' &= [b(2ks - (k+1)b)]^{1/2} \\ c' &= [c(2ks - (k+1)c)]^{1/2} \\ F' &= F(2k(k-1)R/r + 1)^{1/2}, \\ R' &= (R/2)^{1/2} [k(k-1)^2 s^2 + (k+1)^2 r(2(k-1)R + kr)]^{1/2} [2k(k-1)R + r]^{-1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where k is any real number greater than or equal to one.

Proof. It is well-known that from the existence of a triangle with sides a_1, b_1, c_1 follows the existence of a triangle with sides $a_1^{1/2}, b_1^{1/2}, c_1^{1/2}$. Let $a_1 = a'^2, b_1 = b'^2, c_1 = c'^2$, where a', b', c' are given by (1). Such a triangle exists because $a_1 + b_1 > c_1$, i.e. $a'^2 + b'^2 > c'^2$ implies

$$a[2ks - (k+1)a] + b[2ks - (k+1)b] > c[2ks - (k+1)c],$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 2ks(a+b-c) &> (k+1)(a^2 + b^2 - c^2), & \text{i.e.} \\ k[(a+b)^2 - c^2] &> (k+1)(a^2 + b^2 - c^2), & \text{i.e.} \\ 2kab &> a^2 + b^2 - c^2 = 2ab \cos C, & \text{i.e.} \\ k &> \cos C \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and conversely. The inequality (2) is obvious because $k \geq 1$. Thus, there exists a triangle with sides $a_1^{1/2} = a', b_1^{1/2} = b', c_1^{1/2} = c'$.

Further, with the help of the well-known equalities: $\Sigma bc = s^2 + r^2 + 4Rr$, $\Sigma a^2 = 2(s^2 - r^2 - 4Rr)$, $\Sigma a^3 = 2s(s^2 - 3r^2 - 6Rr)$, $\Sigma bc(b+c) = 2s(s^2 + r^2 - 2Rr)$ it is

not difficult to compute the area F' and the circumradius R' of the triangle $A'B'C'$:

$$\begin{aligned} 16 F'^2 &= 2 \Sigma b'^2 c'^2 - \Sigma a'^4 \\ &= 2 \Sigma b c [2 k s - (k+1) b] [2 k s - (k+1) c] - \Sigma a^2 [2 k s - (k+1) a]^2 \\ &= 16 F^2 [2 k (k-1) R/r + 1], \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } F' = F [2 k (k-1) R/r + 1]^{1/2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} R' &= [a b c \Pi [2 k s - (k+1) a]]^{1/2} / 4 F [2 k (k-1) R/r + 1]^{1/2} \\ &= (R/2)^{1/2} [k(k-1)^2 s^2 + (k+1)^2 r (2(k-1) R + k r)]^{1/2} [2 k (k-1) R + r]^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Consequently, for any triangle inequality (or equality) of the form

$$I(a, b, c, F, R) \cong 0 \quad (3)$$

we also have the dual inequality (or equality)

$$I_{GT_l(k)} \equiv I(a', b', c', F', R') \cong 0 \quad (4)$$

where a', b', c', F', R' one given by (1), i.e.

$$I \cong 0 \xrightarrow{GT_l(k)} I_{GT_l(k)} \cong 0,$$

where $k \geq 1$ is any real number. (In [2] the $GT_l(1)$ -transformation is also called T_l -transformation).

We will illustrate the applications of $GT_l(k)$ -duality with the following characteristic examples (GI $\alpha.\beta$ denotes the geometric inequality in [1] with numbering $\alpha.\beta$).

Example 1.

$$\text{GI 4.4: } \Sigma a^2 \geq 4 F \sqrt{3} \xrightarrow{GT_l(k)} 2 k \Sigma b c - \Sigma a^2 \geq 4 \sqrt{3} F (2 k (k-1) R/r + 1)^{1/2}. \quad (5)$$

Remark 1.1. From (5) for $k = 1$ we obtain the Finsler-Hadwiger inequality GI 4.7 – the left one, which is sharper than GI 4.4.

Remark 1.2. From GI 5.1 of [1] and (5) follows the inequality

$$2 k \Sigma b c - \Sigma a^2 \geq 4 \sqrt{3} F (2 k (k-1) R/r + 1)^{1/2} \geq (2 k - 1) 4 \sqrt{3} F. \quad (5')$$

Example 2. GI 4.12: $\Sigma b^2 c^2 \geq 16 F^2 \xrightarrow{GT_l(k)}$

$$\Sigma b^2 c^2 \geq 4 s^2 [k s^2 + 2 k (k-5) R r + (k+4) r^2] / (k+1)^2. \quad (6)$$

Remark 2.1. From (6), GI 5.8: $s^2 \geq 16 R r - 5 r^2$ and GI 5.1: $R \geq 2 r$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma b^2 c^2 &\geq 4 s^2 [k s^2 + 2 k (k-5) R r + (k+4) r^2] / (k+1)^2 \\ &\geq 8 s^2 [k (k+3) R r - 2 (k-1) r^2] / (k+1)^2 \geq 16 F^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6')$$

Remark 2.2. The inequalities (6') show that the $GT_1(k)$ -dual inequality (6) is sharper than initial inequality GI 4.12.

Remark 2.3. By setting $k = 1$ in (6) we get

$$\Sigma b^2 c^2 \geq s^2 (s^2 - 8 R r + 5 r^2) \quad (7)$$

which immediately implies

$$\Sigma b^2 c^2 \geq s^2 (s^2 - 8 R r + 5 r^2) \geq 8 s^2 R r \geq 16 F^2. \quad (7')$$

Example 3. GI 5.13: $\Sigma a^2 \leq 9 R^2 \xrightarrow{GT_1(k)}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (k+1) r [2(k-1)(7k-9) R^2 - (k^2 + 17k - 16) R r + 4r^2] \\ & \leq (k-1) [k(k-1) R - 4r] s^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Remark 3.1. From (8) we obtain GI 5.1 (by setting $k = 1$), which is equivalent to GI 5.20: $\Sigma a(s-a) \leq 9 R r$.

Remark 3.2. We will not consider the case $1 < k < 2$ because then the multiplier $k(k-1) R - 4r$ in the right-hand side of (8) has variable sign.

Remark 3.3. From (8) (for $k = 2$), we get

$$s^2 \geq 15 R r - 3 r^2,$$

which is weaker than the left inequality of GI 5.8.

Remark 3.4. The inequality (8) gives a lower bound for s^2 which is a rational function of k, R, r ($k > 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 & \geq r(k+1) [2(k-1)(7k-9) R^2 - (k^2 + 17k - 16) R r + 4r^2] / \\ & (k-1) [k(k-1) R - 4r] = f(k, R, r). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It is interesting to find the value of k for which we get the best possible inequality of type (9).

Case 4.1. For $k = 3$, (9) yields

$$s^2 \geq 4r(12R^2 - 11Rr + r^2)/(3R - 2r) = f(3, R, r), \quad (10)$$

which is sharper than the best possible quadratic inequality GI 5.8 for s^2 , i.e.

$$s^2 \geq 4r(12R^2 - 11Rr + r^2)/(3R - 2r) \geq r(16R - 5r). \quad (10')$$

Case 4.2. For $k \geq 3$ we will prove the inequality

$$f(3, R, r) \geq f(k, R, r), \quad (11)$$

which is equivalent to following

$$(k-3)(x-2)\varphi(k, x) \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

where $x = R/r \geq 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(k, x) &= x(6x-1)k^2 - x(24x-19)k + 2(9x^2-12x+2) \\ &= 6(k-1)(k-3)x^2 + (-k^2+19k-4)x + 4. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The inequality (12) is true, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi(k, x)}{\partial k} &= 2x(6x-1)k - x(24x-19) \geq 2x(6x-1)3 - x(24x-19) \\ &= 12x^2 + 15x > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(k, x) &\geq \varphi(3, x) = 9x(6x-1) - 3x(24x-19) + 2(9x^2-12x+2) \\ &= 24x + 4 \geq 52 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (10)–(12) we have

$$s^2 \geq f(3, R, r) \geq f(k, R, r) \quad \text{for } k \geq 3. \quad (14)$$

Case 4.3. For $k \in (2, 3)$ we will determine the sign of the function $\varphi(k, x)$ for $x \geq 2$. From $\varphi(k, x) = 0$ we get

$$x_{1,2} = [p \pm (p^2 + 96q)^{1/2}] / (-12q) = 8/[p \mp (p^2 + 96q)^{1/2}], \quad (15)$$

where

$$p = k^2 - 19k + 24, \quad q = (k-1)(3-k) > 0.$$

The roots x_1 and x_2 from (15) belong respectively to the intervals $(-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{6})$ and $(2, +\infty)$. Indeed, the inequalities $-\frac{1}{6} < x_1 < -\frac{1}{3}$ are equivalent to

$$p + 24 < (p^2 + 96q)^{1/2} < p + 48,$$

which together with $p + 24 = (3-k)(16-k) > 0$ imply the obvious inequalities

$$-(k-2)(7-k) < 0 < (3-k)(17-2k).$$

Also the inequality $2 < x_2$ is equivalent to

$$(p^2 + 96q)^{1/2} < 4 - p,$$

and taking into account that

$$-k^2 + 19k - 34 = (17 - k)(k - 2) > 0,$$

i.e.

$$0 < 14 < -k^2 + 19k - 20 = 4 - p,$$

we obtain the obvious inequality

$$0 < (k - 2)(11k - 7).$$

Moreover it is not difficult to prove that:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 2^+} x_1 = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 2^+} x_2 = 2, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 3^-} x_1 = -\frac{1}{6}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 3^-} x_2 = +\infty.$$

From all the above, it follows that

$$\varphi(k, x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq x \leq x_2, \quad 2 < k < 3$$

and

$$\varphi(k, x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x_2 \leq x < +\infty, \quad 2 < k < 3.$$

Therefore, the inequality (11) or its equivalent (12) holds, for $x_2 \leq x < +\infty$, when $k \in (2, 3)$, but not for all $x \geq 2$. For example:

- i) if $k = 2,001$ then (11) holds for $x \geq 2,0021452 \dots$
- ii) if $k = 2,999$ then (11) holds for $x \geq 2000, 5001 \dots$

Consequently, in the case $k \in (2, 3)$, we may not say anything about the truth of the inequality (11) without further restrictions on $x = R/r \geq 2$.

And now, if we suppose there exists $k \in (2, 3)$ such that the inequality

$$f(k, R, r) \geq f(3, R, r) \tag{16}$$

holds for all $x \geq 2$, we get a contradiction, because for each $k \in (2, 3)$ there always exists a $x_2 \geq 2$ such that the inequality (11) (which is the inverse inequality of (16)) is realized for all $x \geq x_2$.

Remark 3.5. By setting in (8) $k = 1 + m$, $m \geq 0$, we get

$$(m + 2)r[2m(7m - 2)R^2 - (m^2 + 19m + 2)Rr + 4r^2] \leq m[m(m + 1)R - 4r]s^2. \tag{17}$$

Then for $m \geq 1$ we have

$$s^2 \geq (m+2)r[2m(7m-2)R^2 - (m^2 + 19m + 2)Rr + 4r^2]/m[m(m+1)R - 4r]. \quad (17')$$

The same inequality (17') is obtained for $0 \leq m \leq 1$ and $R > 4r/m(m+1)$. In the case, when $0 \leq m \leq 1$ and $2r \leq R < 4r/m(m+1)$, we obtain

$$s^2 \leq (m+2)r[2m(7m-2)R^2 - (m^2 + 19m + 2)Rr + 4r^2]/m[m(m+1)R - 4r]. \quad (17'')$$

Finally, we note that the inequality (10) gives the best presently known rational lower bound for s^2 , because of the following chain of well-known inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &\geq 4r(12R^2 - 11Rr + r^2)/(3R - 2r) \geq r(16R - 5r) \geq r(4R + r)^2/(R + r) \\ &\geq r(16R + 3r)(4R + r)^2/(4R - r)(4R + 7r) \geq r(4R + r)^3/(2R - r)(2R + 5r) \\ &\geq 3r(4R + r) \geq r(4R + r)^2/(2R - r) \geq 3r(4R + r)^3/(7R - 5r)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Svetoslav Jordanov Bilchev,
Emilia Angelova Velikova, Russe, Bulgaria

REFERENCES

- [1] Bottema O., Djordjević R. Z., Janić R. R., Mitrović D. S., Vasić P. M.: Geometric inequalities, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands (1969).
- [2] Bilchev S. J., Velikova E. A.: Priloženie na njakoi preobrazuvanija za poluchavane na novi geometrichni neravenstva, Nauchni trudove na VTU. "A. Kanchev"-Russe, XXVIII, Ser. 11, pp. 21–26 (1986).

Funktionen beschränkter Homogenität

Wir führen in dieser Arbeit eine neue Funktioneneigenschaft ein, die zur Unterscheidung vom gewöhnlichen Homogenitätsbegriff (Gl. 1–3) als «beschränkte Homogenität» (Gl. 5–6) bezeichnet wird. Anschliessend veranschaulichen wir diese spezielle Skaleneigenschaft durch mehrere praktische Beispiele (Gl. 8–23).

Wir betrachten hier stetig differenzierbare Funktionen $f: R^m \rightarrow R$ wobei $R^m = \{X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) | x_k \in R\}$. Einfachheitshalber schreiben wir aber fast alle Gleichungen nur für $m = 2$ oder $m = 1$ auf, weil die Verallgemeinerung für beliebiges m offensichtlich ist.

Nach der gewöhnlichen Definition ist $f = f(X)$ eine homogene Funktion vom Homogenitätsgrad s , wenn

$$f(\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2) = \lambda^s f(x_1, x_2) \quad (1)$$

für beliebiges $\lambda \in R^+$ erfüllt ist.