

**Zeitschrift:** Elemente der Mathematik  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 72 (2017)  
**Heft:** 1

**Artikel:** From Pappus to Kocik's diagram for relativistic velocity addition  
**Autor:** Wanner, Gerhard  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-735176>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 22.07.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Short note From Pappus to Kocik's diagram for relativistic velocity addition

Gerhard Wanner

Recently, J. Kocik [4] discovered a nice diagram for Poincaré's formula for relativistic velocity addition

$$w = \frac{u + v}{1 + uv} \quad (1)$$

(see Fig. 3, right). A. Sasane and V. Ufnarovski [7] gave three alternative geometric proofs. The purpose of this note is to show that still another proof is closely related to Carnot's solution of an ancient problem of Pappus (Prop. VII.117 in [6]).





Figure 1 Pappus' problem VII.117 (from the 1660 edition, left), Pappus' solution and proof (right)

**Pappus' problem.** For a given circle and three given points  $DEF$  located on a straight line  $\ell$  ("tribus punctis  $DEF$  in recta linea"), find a triangle  $ABC$  inscribed in this circle whose sides (possibly extended) pass through  $D, E, F$  (see Fig. 1).

Figure 2 Carnot's formula (2) for the map  $A \mapsto B$  through  $U$ 

**Pappus' solution.** The points  $A, B, C$  are unknown, but we know the products  $AE \cdot CE$  as well as  $BF \cdot CF$  (Eucl. III.36)<sup>1</sup>. These quantities were later called *power of  $E$  and  $F$  with respect to this circle* by Steiner<sup>2</sup>. We now suppose the points  $A, B, C$  to be known and draw the chord  $BG$  parallel to  $\ell$  and the line  $GCH$  with  $H$  on  $\ell$ . We also draw the tangent  $BK$  with  $K$  on  $\ell$ . Then (see Fig. 1, right)

$$\alpha \text{ at } A = \alpha \text{ at } G \text{ (Eucl. III.21)} = \alpha \text{ at } H \text{ (Eucl. I.29)} \Rightarrow ACHD \text{ cocyclic}$$

(the dashed circle, inverse of Eucl. III.22). Similarly,

$$\beta \text{ at } C = \beta \text{ at } B \text{ (Eucl. III.32)} = \beta \text{ at } K \text{ (Eucl. I.30)} \Rightarrow BCHK \text{ cocyclic}$$

(the dotted circle, again by Eucl. III.22). Now Eucl. III.36 applied to the dashed circle gives  $DE \cdot HE = AE \cdot CE$ , which determines the point  $H$ ; Eucl. III.36 applied to the dotted circle gives  $KF \cdot HF = BF \cdot CF$ , which determines  $K$  (this last step is not drawn in Pappus' picture of Fig. 1, left, because it was the subject of his earlier Proposition VII.105). Finally the tangent from  $K$  gives  $B$ , the line  $BF$  gives  $C$  and the line  $EC$  gives  $A$ .

This beautiful proof remained standard for 15 centuries, until during the XVIII<sup>th</sup> century mathematicians (G. Cramer, L. Euler, J. Castillon, J.L. Lagrange) struggled to find simpler proofs, extensions to points  $DEF$  in arbitrary position, or more than three such points. Eventually, L.N.M. Carnot [3] in 1803 found an elegant solution for all cases.

**Carnot's solution of the general problem.** The main idea of Carnot was the use of  $t_A = \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}$  and  $t_B = -\tan \frac{\beta}{2}$  as "coordinates" for the points  $A$  and  $B$  on the unit circle (see Fig. 2). The use of the tangens theorem of Viète [8] applied to the triangle  $OUA$  gives

$$\frac{u-1}{u+1} = \frac{\tan \frac{\delta-v}{2}}{\tan \frac{\delta+v}{2}} = -\tan \frac{\beta}{2} \cdot \tan \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad t_B = \frac{u-1}{u+1} \cdot \frac{1}{t_A} \quad (2)$$

<sup>1</sup> All cited theorems Eucl. III.36, Eucl. III.21 etc. are known from high school, but perhaps not under these names.

<sup>2</sup> see, e.g., [5], p. 98.

because, by adding up the angles of the triangles  $OUA$  and  $OBA$ , we obtain, with Eucl. I.32,  $\frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{v-\delta}{2}$  and  $\frac{\alpha}{2} = 90^\circ - \frac{\delta+v}{2}$ .

In the case where  $U$  does not lie on the  $x$ -axis, a little bit more complicated proof leads to a so-called Möbius transform between  $t_A$  and  $t_B$ . The group property of these transforms, discovered by Carnot when Möbius was still a boy, allowed him to solve any Pappus-like problem with arbitrary many points (see, e.g., [9]).




Figure 3 Kocik's diagram (left), generalization (right)

**Proof of Kocik's diagram.** We use here the right-hand formula of (2) for evaluating the map  $A \mapsto B \mapsto C \mapsto D \mapsto A$  (see Fig. 3, left) with tangents  $t_A \mapsto t_B \mapsto t_C \mapsto t_D \mapsto t_A$  through the points  $U, W, V, O$  and obtain by applying (2) repeatedly

$$t_A = \frac{-1}{1} \frac{1}{t_D} = \frac{-1}{1} \frac{v+1}{v-1} t_C = \dots = \frac{-1}{1} \frac{v+1}{v-1} \frac{w-1}{w+1} \frac{u+1}{u-1} t_A. \quad (3)$$

This map returns for any initial point  $A$  back to  $A$  exactly if

$$(v-1)(w+1)(u-1) = -(v+1)(w-1)(u+1) \quad (4)$$

which, when solved for  $w$ , gives equation (1). The particular case where  $A$  lies at the North Pole is Kocik's original diagram.

**Proof with projective geometry.** We move  $O$  to an arbitrary position  $U_4$  with coordinate  $u_4$ , so that equations (3) and (4) become (see Fig. 3, right)

$$\frac{u_4-1}{u_4+1} \frac{u_3+1}{u_3-1} \frac{u_2-1}{u_2+1} \frac{u_1+1}{u_1-1} = 1 \quad (5)$$

which, with  $-1 = u_0$  and  $1 = u_5$ , can be written as

$$\frac{u_4-u_5}{u_4-u_0} : \frac{u_1-u_5}{u_1-u_0} = \frac{u_3-u_5}{u_3-u_0} : \frac{u_2-u_5}{u_2-u_0}. \quad (6)$$

This is another theorem of Pappus (VII.129), saying that under perspective projections the cross ratios  $(U_5, U_0, U_4, U_1)$  and  $(U_5, U_0, U_3, U_2)$  are the same. This general case makes

thus the third proof of [7] much simpler. Relation (5) (in a different notation) is due to A.L. Candy [2] and the elegant proof via Pappus' theorem to L. Bankoff [1].

**Direct trigonometric proof.** We connect in Fig. 2  $A$  with the “South-Pole” and  $B$  with the “North-Pole” and create so Kocik's original diagram. The angles  $90^\circ - \alpha$  and  $90^\circ - \beta$  then lead to the angles  $45^\circ - \frac{\alpha}{2}$  and  $45^\circ - \frac{\beta}{2}$  at the periphery (Eucl. III.20). Kocik's  $u$  and  $v$  then become (remember  $\tan 45^\circ = 1$ )

$$u = \tan\left(45^\circ - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = \frac{1 - \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}}{1 + \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}} \Rightarrow \tan \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{1 - u}{1 + u}, \text{ also } \tan \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{1 - v}{1 + v}, \quad (7)$$

so that Viète's formula in (2) (with  $u$  replaced by  $w$ ) is

$$\frac{1 - w}{1 + w} = \tan \frac{\beta}{2} \cdot \tan \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{1 - u}{1 + u} \cdot \frac{1 - v}{1 + v}, \quad (8)$$

another nice way to write (4) and thus (1).

*Remark.* The author wishes to acknowledge helpful hints from F. Sigrist (Neuchâtel) and Djura Paunić (Novi Sad).

## References

- [1] L. Bankoff, *The metamorphosis of the Butterfly problem*, Mathematics Magazine **60**, (1987), pp. 195–210.
- [2] A.L. Candy, *A general theorem relating to transversals, and its consequences*, Annals of Math. **10**, (1896), pp. 175–190; **11**, pp. 10–19.
- [3] L.N.M. Carnot, *Géométrie de position*, Duprat, Paris 1803.
- [4] J. Kocik, *Geometric diagram for relativistic addition of velocities*, Amer. J. of Physics **80**, (2012) pp. 737–739.
- [5] A. Ostermann, G. Wanner, *Geometry by its History*, Springer (2012).
- [6] Pappus of Alexandria (appr. 300 A.D.), *Collection* (or  $\sigma\nu\nu\alpha\gamma\omega\gamma\eta$ ), first Latin translation by F. Commandino (published in 1588 and 1660); definite Greek-Latin edition by F. Hultsch, Berolini 1876–1878; French translation: Ver Eecke (1933).
- [7] A. Sasane, V. Ufnarovski, *Alternative proofs for Kocik's geometric diagram for relativistic velocity addition*, Elemente der Mathematik, **71** (2016), pp. 122–130.
- [8] F. Viète, *Variorum de rebus Mathematicis Responsorum Liber VIII*, Tours 1593; see van Schooten (1646), *Opera Mathematica*, pp. 347–436.
- [9] G. Wanner, *The Cramer–Castillon problem and Urquart's 'most elementary' theorem*, Elemente der Mathematik, **61** (2006), pp. 58–64.

Gerhard Wanner

Univ. Geneva

e-mail: Gerhard.Wanner@unige.ch