

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 71 (2016)
Heft: 1

Artikel: Sinc integrals and tiny numbers
Autor: Bäsel, Uwe / Baillie, Robert
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-630608>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 23.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Sinc integrals and tiny numbers

Uwe Bäsel and Robert Baillie

Uwe Bäsel is a professor for machine elements and mechanism theory at the Faculty of Mechanical and Energy Engineering at the Hochschule für Technik, Wirtschaft und Kultur (HTWK) Leipzig, with interests in applied mathematics, especially geometry and kinematics.

Robert Baillie is a retired computer programmer with an interest in number theory and numerical analysis. He helped develop the BPSW primality test.

Von den Borwein-Integralen

$$B_n := \int_0^\infty \prod_{k=1}^n \operatorname{sinc}(a_k x) \, dx, \quad a_k = \frac{1}{2k-1},$$

ist bekannt, dass $B_1 = B_2 = \dots = B_7 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ aber $B_n < \frac{\pi}{2}$ für $n \geq 8$. Die Abweichung vom Wert $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ist bei $n = 8$ enorm klein. Betrachtet man für $\lambda \geq 1$ die Variante

$$I(\lambda) = \lambda \int_0^\infty \operatorname{sinc}(\lambda x) \prod_{k=1}^n \operatorname{sinc}(a_k x) \, dx,$$

wobei n so gewählt wird, dass $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k > \lambda \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k$, so folgt aus einem Resultat von David und Jon Borwein

$$I(\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - t(\lambda)) \quad \text{mit} \quad t(\lambda) = \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n - \lambda)^n}{2^{n-1} n! \prod_{k=1}^n a_k}.$$

Mit wachsendem λ werden die Zahlen $t(\lambda)$ schnell sehr klein. In der vorliegenden Arbeit wird gezeigt, wie numerische Werte dieser Zahlen, und damit die Integrale $I(\lambda)$, schnell und mit hoher Genauigkeit berechnet werden können. Zum Beispiel ist der Integrand von $I(10)$ das Produkt von 68100152 sinc-Funktionen und

$$t(10) = 9.6492736004286844634795531209398105309232 \dots \cdot 10^{-554381308}.$$

1 Introduction

The sinc function is defined as

$$\operatorname{sinc}(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(x)/x & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

We consider the integral

$$I_n(\lambda) = \lambda \int_0^\infty \operatorname{sinc}(\lambda x) \prod_{k=1}^n \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{x}{2k-1}\right) dx, \quad (1)$$

where λ is a real number ≥ 1 . For abbreviation we put

$$s(n) := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2k-1}. \quad (2)$$

From [1, pp. 3–4] it is known that $I_n(\lambda) = \pi/2$ if $s(n) \leq \lambda$, and $I_n(\lambda) < \pi/2$ if $s(n) > \lambda$. We will show that, when n becomes large enough that

$$s(n) > \lambda \geq s(n-1) \quad (3)$$

holds, then $I_n(\lambda)$ can be less than $\pi/2$ by a *very* tiny amount.

From Corollary 1 of [4] (see also Theorem 2 of [3]), we know that, if

$$2a_k \geq a_n > 0 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k > a_0 \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k, \quad (5)$$

then

$$\int_0^\infty \prod_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin(a_k x)}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k - \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n - a_0)^n}{2^{n-1} n!} \right). \quad (6)$$

Eq. (6) may be written as

$$a_0 \int_0^\infty \prod_{k=0}^n \operatorname{sinc}(a_k x) dx = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n - a_0)^n}{2^{n-1} n! \prod_{k=1}^n a_k} \right). \quad (7)$$

We put

$$a_0 = \lambda, \quad a_k = \frac{1}{2k-1} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (8)$$

so the left-hand side of Eq. (7) is equal to $I_n(\lambda)$ (see Eq. (1)). Since $\lambda \geq 1$, the a_k in Eq. (8) satisfy the inequalities (4): they are all positive with $a_k \geq a_n$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$,

which implies that $2a_k \geq a_n$. If, in addition, (5) and, equivalently, (3) hold, then the value of $I_n(\lambda)$ is given by the right-hand side of (7).

If inequalities (3) hold, then n is uniquely determined by the value of λ . In this case, we can use the simpler notation $I(\lambda)$ instead of $I_n(\lambda)$. We have

$$\prod_{k=1}^n a_k = \frac{1}{2n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2n-3} \cdots \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{1} = \frac{2n \cdot (2n-2) \cdots 4 \cdot 2}{2n \cdot (2n-1) \cdots 2 \cdot 1} = \frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!},$$

so it easily follows that

$$I(\lambda) = \lambda \int_0^\infty \text{sinc}(\lambda x) \prod_{k=1}^n \text{sinc}\left(\frac{x}{2k-1}\right) dx = \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - t(\lambda)), \quad (9)$$

where

$$t(\lambda) = \frac{(s(n) - \lambda)^n}{2^{2n-1}} \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{n!^2}. \quad (10)$$

We now describe the problem to be solved in the present paper: $t(\lambda)$ can be a *very* tiny number. *We will show how to calculate these numbers, and therefore the integrals $I(\lambda)$, with high precision in short time.* Numerical examples will be given for integer values of λ .

2 Calculating $t(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = 1, \dots, 10$

Given the value of λ , the first task is to find the value of n such that the inequalities (3) are satisfied. For $\lambda \leq 10$, it is not difficult to find this by simply computing the partial sums $s(n)$ until one finally exceeds λ . Then, with the help of (10) we compute the decimal approximations of the $t(\lambda)$ values for $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, shown in Table 1. These values are rounded in the last (40th) decimal place. In *Mathematica* on a standard laptop, only the last two n values took more than a minute to calculate. One sees that the numbers $t(\lambda)$ quickly become rather tiny.

Examples: For $\lambda = 1$ we have

$$s(1) = 1 \leq \lambda < 1 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{4}{3} = s(2)$$

and therefore, using the inequalities (3), $n = 2$. Hence Eq. (9) delivers

$$t(1) = \frac{(\frac{4}{3} - 1)^2}{2^3} \frac{4!}{2!^2} = \frac{1}{12} \quad \text{and} \quad I(1) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{12}\right) = \frac{11\pi}{24} \approx 0.458333\pi.$$

Mathematica can calculate this integral directly:

$$I(1) = \int_0^\infty \text{sinc}(1 \cdot x) \text{sinc}\left(\frac{x}{1}\right) \text{sinc}\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) dx = \frac{11\pi}{24}.$$

For $\lambda = 2$ we find

$$s(7) = \frac{88069}{45045} = 1.95513\dots < \lambda < 2.02181\dots = \frac{91072}{45045} = s(8),$$

hence $n = 8$, and, therefore,

$$t(2) = \frac{\left(\frac{91072}{45045} - 2\right)^8 16!}{2^{15} 8!^2} = \frac{3377940044732998170721}{168579263752214678679209808915000000},$$

$$I(2) = \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - t(2)) = \frac{168579263752211300739165075916829279 \pi}{337158527504429357358419617830000000}$$

$$\approx 0.499999999999998998115 \pi.$$

Mathematica is able to calculate

$$I(2) = 2 \int_0^\infty \operatorname{sinc}(2 \cdot x) \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{x}{1}\right) \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) \cdots \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{x}{15}\right) dx$$

directly and finds the same result (see also [1, p. 4]).

Look what happens when we take ratios of successive n values:

$$\begin{aligned} 419/57 &\approx 7.35087719 \\ 3092/419 &\approx 7.37947494 \\ 22846/3092 &\approx 7.38874515 \\ 168804/22846 &\approx 7.38877703 \\ 1247298/168804 &\approx 7.38903107 \\ 9216354/1247298 &\approx 7.38905538 \\ 68100151/9216354 &\approx 7.38905548 \end{aligned}$$

These ratios appear to be approaching $e^2 \approx 7.38905610$. That is, the n that corresponds to $\lambda + 1$ is roughly e^2 times the n that corresponds to λ . Here is the explanation. The sum of N terms of the harmonic series, $\sum_{k=1}^N 1/k$, is about $\ln(N)$. We have $\ln(e \cdot N) = \ln(N) + 1$. Therefore, if N terms of the harmonic series are required to reach a sum $S (\approx \ln(N))$, then about $e \cdot N$ terms are needed to make the sum reach $S + 1$. The terms in our series $s(n)$ are about $1/2$ as large as the corresponding terms in the harmonic series. Therefore, to increase our sum by 1 requires about as many terms as the harmonic series needs to increase its sum by 2, which is about $e \cdot e = e^2$. As a result, we can estimate that, for a given λ , the corresponding n is about $68100151 \cdot e^{2(\lambda-10)}$, so that n is an exponentially increasing function of λ .

Let $t(\lambda)$ be written in the form $t(\lambda) = P/Q$ with coprime integers P and Q . As λ increases, P and Q quickly become very large. For example, with $\lambda = 6$, P and Q have 453130185 and 453237210 digits, respectively. Displaying the first and last 20 digits for this case, we have

$$t(6) = \frac{P}{Q} = \frac{34293043773392420460 \text{ (453130145 digits)} \ 34573721229967337961}{26251415654224851611 \text{ (453237170 digits)} \ 00000000000000000000}.$$

Standard IEEE double precision (“machine precision”) uses mantissas with 53 bits (about 15 decimal digits). This simply is not enough for most of the calculations we discuss here.

- The differences $s(n) - \lambda$ become smaller as λ increases. High-precision values of $s(n) - \lambda$ are needed because these differences are raised to the power n .
- The calculations involve both very large and very small numbers. This may lead to overflow and underflow.

So our program to compute high-precision values of $t(\lambda)$ for $\lambda > 10$ in short time includes the following measures:

- We will use logarithms. Taking the natural logarithm of (10), we get

$$\ln t(\lambda) = n \ln(s(n) - \lambda) - (2n - 1) \ln 2 + \ln((2n)!) - 2 \ln(n!). \quad (11)$$

- We will use the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula to calculate approximate values for $s(n)$ and the logarithms of the factorials. In order to yield the desired precision we will compute partial sums exactly to many digits and obtain error bounds.
- Let ℓ denote the value of $\lg t(\lambda) = (\ln t(\lambda))/(\ln 10)$ where $\ln t(\lambda)$ is obtained with Eq. (11) and \lg is the log base 10. In order to avoid underflow when trying to evaluate $t(\lambda)$ with $t(\lambda) = 10^\ell$, we will extract the mantissa m and the exponent p of $t(\lambda)$, and then display $t(\lambda)$ in scientific notation

$$t(\lambda) = m \cdot 10^p \quad \text{with} \quad m = 10^{\ell - \lfloor \ell \rfloor} \quad \text{and} \quad p = \lfloor \ell \rfloor.$$

4 Calculating n

Now, we calculate the value of n that satisfies the inequalities (3) for given value of λ . With the n th harmonic number

$$H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k},$$

Eq. (2) may be written as

$$s(n) = H_{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} H_{n-1}.$$

From the inequalities (see [5, p. 76] and [7, Eq. 15])

$$\frac{1}{24(n+1)^2} < H_n - \ln\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \gamma < \frac{1}{24n^2},$$

where γ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\left(2n - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \gamma + \frac{1}{24(2n)^2} &< H_{2n-1} < \ln\left(2n - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \gamma + \frac{1}{24(2n-1)^2}, \\ \ln\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \gamma + \frac{1}{24n^2} &< H_{n-1} < \ln\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \gamma + \frac{1}{24(n-1)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$s_\ell(n) < s(n) < s_u(n),$$

where

$$s_\ell(n) = s^*(n) + \frac{1}{24(2n)^2} - \frac{1}{48(n-1)^2}, \quad s_u(n) = s^*(n) + \frac{1}{24(2n-1)^2} - \frac{1}{48n^2}, \quad (12)$$

with

$$s^*(n) = \ln\left(2n - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

Note that

$$s_u(n) - s_\ell(n) = \frac{20n^3 - 33n^2 + 18n - 3}{96(4n^6 - 12n^5 + 13n^4 - 6n^3 + n^2)} = O(n^{-3}).$$

Therefore, if n is large, the bounds in (12) provide very good approximations to $s(n)$.

Now we consider the equation $s^*(n) = \lambda$ for a fixed value $\lambda \geq 2$. From this equation we get

$$\left(2n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1/2} = e^{\lambda - \gamma/2}.$$

Solving for n yields

$$n = \frac{1}{8} \left(2 + e^{2\lambda - \gamma} \pm e^{-\gamma} \sqrt{e^{4\lambda} - 4e^{2\lambda + \gamma}}\right).$$

The equation

$$n = \left\lceil \frac{1}{8} \left(2 + e^{2\lambda - \gamma} + e^{-\gamma} \sqrt{e^{4\lambda} - 4e^{2\lambda + \gamma}}\right) \right\rceil, \quad (13)$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes the ceiling function, gives an integer value of n . This n satisfies inequalities (3) if it satisfies the inequalities

$$s_u(n-1) < \lambda < s_\ell(n). \quad (14)$$

Example: We will calculate n for $\lambda = 10$. This allows us to check our result against Table 1. Eq. (13) gives

$$n = \lceil 68100150.0149 \rceil = 68100151.$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} s_u(68100150) &\approx 10 - 1.09045 \cdot 10^{-10}, \\ s_\ell(68100151) &\approx 10 + 7.23308 \cdot 10^{-9}, \end{aligned}$$

and know that we have found the correct value of n .

5 Estimating $s(n)$

We use the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula to calculate the sum of the a_k for any large n . This method applies to general a_k , and gives us an estimate of the error.

One version of the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula is (see, e.g., [6, pp. 542–543])

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=m}^n f(k) &= \int_m^n f(x) dx + \frac{f(m) + f(n)}{2} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \frac{B_{2j}}{(2j)!} \left(f^{(2j-1)}(n) - f^{(2j-1)}(m) \right) + R_{\mu}(m, n) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with the remainder term

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu}(m, n) &= \int_m^n \frac{B_{2\mu+1}(x - \lfloor x \rfloor)}{(2\mu + 1)!} f^{(2\mu+1)}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\mu + 1)!} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \int_0^1 B_{2\mu+1}(x) f^{(2\mu+1)}(k + x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$B_k(x)$ denotes the k th Bernoulli polynomial, and $B_k = B_k(0)$ the k th Bernoulli number. In our case we have

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2x - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad a_k = f(k) = \frac{1}{2k - 1}.$$

Now we will derive an estimate of $R_{\mu}(m, n)$. For the k th derivative of f , one finds

$$f^{(k)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^k 2^k k!}{(2x - 1)^{k+1}}.$$

Since all the functions $|f^{(k)}(x)|$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are strictly decreasing, for the terms in the sum of (16) we find

$$\left| \int_0^1 B_{2\mu+1}(x) f^{(2\mu+1)}(k + 1 + x) dx \right| < \left| \int_0^1 B_{2\mu+1}(x) f^{(2\mu+1)}(k + x) dx \right|.$$

The absolute value of each integral on the right-hand side of Eq. (16) is at most

$$\left| \int_0^1 B_{2\mu+1}(x) f^{(2\mu+1)}(m + x) dx \right|$$

and there are $n - m$ of these integrals. Therefore

$$|R_{\mu}(m, n)| < |\tilde{R}_{\mu}(m, n)| \quad (17)$$

where

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu}(m, n) = \frac{n - m}{(2\mu + 1)!} \int_0^1 B_{2\mu+1}(x) f^{(2\mu+1)}(m + x) dx.$$

Eq. (17) is the desired estimate for $R_\mu(m, n)$. Furthermore, all

$$\int_0^1 B_{2\mu+1}(x) f^{(2\mu+1)}(k+x) dx, \quad k = m, \dots, n-1,$$

have the same sign which is equal to the sign of $R_\mu(m, n)$, and to the sign of $\tilde{R}_\mu(m, n)$.

Using the integral

$$\int_m^n f(x) dx = \int_m^n \frac{dx}{2x-1} = \frac{1}{2} [\ln(2n-1) - \ln(2m-1)],$$

we get the explicit summation formula

$$\sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{2k-1} = \varphi_\mu(m, n) + R_\mu(m, n) \quad (18)$$

with the approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\mu(m, n) = & \frac{1}{2} \left(\ln(2n-1) - \ln(2m-1) + \frac{1}{2m-1} + \frac{1}{2n-1} \right) \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \frac{2^{2j-1} B_{2j}}{2j} \left(\frac{1}{(2n-1)^{2j}} - \frac{1}{(2m-1)^{2j}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and the remainder term

$$R_\mu(m, n) = -2^{2\mu+1} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \int_0^1 \frac{B_{2\mu+1}(x)}{[2(k+x)-1]^{2\mu+2}} dx.$$

The explicit formula for the error bound is

$$\tilde{R}_\mu(m, n) = -2^{2\mu+1} (n-m) \int_0^1 \frac{B_{2\mu+1}(x)}{[2(m+x)-1]^{2\mu+2}} dx. \quad (20)$$

Using Eq. (18), we have

$$s(n) = s(m-1) + \varphi_\mu(m, n) + R_\mu(m, n).$$

Hence an approximation for $s(n)$ is

$$\tilde{s}_{m, \mu} = s(m-1) + \varphi_\mu(m, n).$$

As an example we estimate $s(68100151)$ for $\lambda = 10$, which is used in Eq. (10) to compute the value of $t(\lambda)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s(68100151) & \approx \tilde{s}_{100001, 3}(68100151) = s(100000) + \varphi_3(100001, 68100151) \\ & = 10.000000007233082813117 \dots \end{aligned}$$

λ	n
10	68100151
11	503195829
12	3718142208
13	27473561358
14	203003686106
15	1500005624924
16	11083625711271
17	81897532160125
18	605145459495141
19	4471453748222757
20	33039822589391676
21	244133102611731231
22	1803913190804074904
23	13329215764452299411
24	98490323038288832267
25	727750522131718025058

Table 2 Values of n

Eq. (10) requires that we raise the difference $s(n) - \lambda$ to the high power n . (Note the loss of precision that occurs when we perform this subtraction.) So, we may need to compute more accurate approximations $\varphi_\mu(m, n)$ using values of $\mu > 3$. Table 2 above and Table 3 below display n and the approximate values of $s(n)$ for $\lambda = 10, 11, \dots, 25$. To obtain these values, we use $m = 100001$ and compute $s(m - 1)$ to 100 decimal places, then use $\mu = 10$ to compute each n and $\varphi_\mu(m, n)$.

Note that if we compute the initial sum $s(m - 1)$ to only D decimal places, then we can never compute $s(j)$ to more than D correct decimal places for any $j > m - 1$, *even if* the error estimate $|\tilde{R}_\mu|$ is less than 10^{-D} .

For a given λ , we first compute n and the approximate value of $s(n)$. The next task is to compute the value of $I(\lambda)$ using Eq. (9). The value of $(s(n) - \lambda)^n$ can easily be obtained from the approximate value of $s(n)$ in Table 3, although for large n , we must use logarithms to prevent underflow.

6 Estimating the logarithm of the factorials

We can write

$$\ln t(\lambda) = n \ln(s(n) - \lambda) - (2n - 1) \ln 2 + \sigma(n)$$

with

$$\sigma(n) := \ln \frac{(2n)!}{n!^2} = \ln((2n)!) - 2 \ln(n!) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \ln k - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \ln k.$$

λ	$\tilde{s}_{m,\mu}(n)$	$\tilde{R}_\mu(m, n)$
10	$10 + 7.23308281311740815495440938881892875629793229610802275303838659 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$2.10 \cdot 10^{-104}$
11	$11 + 1.93429694721571938243592220609208607459386666993511996115170447 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$1.56 \cdot 10^{-103}$
12	$12 + 2.81704757017003986061562163359221047582420212335754506062428273 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$1.15 \cdot 10^{-102}$
13	$13 + 1.51784528343340657974855459172890208869659078869207257172632024 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$8.50 \cdot 10^{-102}$
14	$14 + 1.20004359101609629122080445652372955218041261117217502496026183 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$6.28 \cdot 10^{-101}$
15	$15 + 2.19180272149887470909606761989402891098093034885435056479463010 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$4.64 \cdot 10^{-100}$
16	$16 + 4.03776701092542650935062088404145888641302878626731173386452500 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$3.43 \cdot 10^{-99}$
17	$17 + 3.96811610610919880012621568968292119992007054389850812439945895 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$2.54 \cdot 10^{-98}$
18	$18 + 6.44184629552359167120616511513071089671769035954843683552410240 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$1.87 \cdot 10^{-97}$
19	$19 + 4.40658835470283585071853820223887285629968834008507731684755191 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.38 \cdot 10^{-96}$
20	$20 + 2.90258683104894913499203070153167600669577064916856020926287204 \cdot 10^{-18}$	$1.02 \cdot 10^{-95}$
21	$21 + 7.34280669057054306832818424563959102068261955548079016234613646 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$7.56 \cdot 10^{-95}$
22	$22 + 1.30683560567708459204537388912129731492458474471888171662329379 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$5.58 \cdot 10^{-94}$
23	$23 + 3.40633844408955109014083203224199839911999656758748815953125439 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$4.13 \cdot 10^{-93}$
24	$24 + 3.79499658486046318316555581259771062170888781423675014763472623 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$3.05 \cdot 10^{-92}$
25	$25 + 1.14325480646582051223669818246654129326458197624224895807362049 \cdot 10^{-22}$	$2.25 \cdot 10^{-91}$

Table 3 Approximations for $s(n)$ with error bound for $m = 100001$ and $\mu = 10$

To get a good estimate for $\sigma(n)$, we will use the exact sum of $m - 1$ initial terms. Therefore, we split the sums:

$$\sigma(n) = \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} + \sum_{k=m}^{2n} - 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} + \sum_{k=m}^n \right) \right] \ln k = - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} + \sum_{k=m}^n - \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \right) \ln k. \quad (21)$$

It remains to estimate $\sum_{k=m}^n \ln k$ and $\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \ln k$. Therefore, we apply the Euler–MacLaurin summation formula (15) with

$$f(x) = \ln x.$$

The derivatives are given by

$$f^{(k)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1} (k-1)!}{x^k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (22)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\int_m^n f(x) dx = \int_m^n \ln x dx = x(\ln x - 1) \Big|_m^n = n(\ln n - 1) - m(\ln m - 1).$$

This yields

$$\sum_{k=m}^n \ln k = \psi_\mu(m, n) + R_\mu^*(m, n)$$

with the approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\mu(m, n) &= n(\ln n - 1) - m(\ln m - 1) + \frac{\ln m + \ln n}{2} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \frac{B_{2j}}{2j(2j-1)} \left(\frac{1}{n^{2j-1}} - \frac{1}{m^{2j-1}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and the remainder term

$$R_\mu^*(m, n) = \frac{1}{2\mu+1} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \int_0^1 \frac{B_{2\mu+1}(x)}{(k+x)^{2\mu+1}} dx.$$

Since the absolute values of all derivatives in Equation (22) are strictly decreasing, we find the error estimate

$$|R_\mu^*(m, n)| < |\tilde{R}_\mu^*(m, n)|$$

with

$$\tilde{R}_\mu^*(m, n) = \frac{n-m}{2\mu+1} \int_0^1 \frac{B_{2\mu+1}(x)}{(m+x)^{2\mu+1}} dx. \quad (24)$$

It follows that the approximation for (21) is given by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{m,\mu}(n) = - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \ln k - \psi_\mu(m, n) + \psi_\mu(n+1, 2n) \quad (25)$$

with the error bound

$$|\tilde{\sigma}_{m,\mu}(n) - \sigma(n)| < |\tilde{R}_\mu^*(m, n)| + |\tilde{R}_\mu^*(n+1, 2n)|. \quad (26)$$

7 Results for $\lambda \geq 10$

We can now put all of this together to compute the values of $t(\lambda)$ for many λ values. For example, Table 4 shows the value $t(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = 10 \dots 25$. All digits shown below are correct, rounded in the last decimal place.

The original draft of this paper [2] contains all of the *Mathematica* code that gives these results. Although only results for integer values of λ are shown here, this code computes $t(\lambda)$ for real $\lambda \geq 1$.

To obtain the values in Table 4, we used $m = 100001$ and $\mu = 10$ in Eq. (19). We summed the first $m - 1 = 100000$ terms with Eq. (2), and then used Eq. (23) with $\mu = 5$ and $m = 100001$ to compute logs of factorials. We computed the sum of the logs of the first $m - 1$ integers to 100 decimal places. The integral in Eq. (24) was computed in *Mathematica* with the option `WorkingPrecision = 40`.

On a 2010-vintage laptop, it took about 9 seconds for the code in [2] to generate Table 4. This includes about 3.7 seconds to compute the sums of 100000 terms in Eq. (2), and about 2.4 seconds to compute the sum of the logarithms of the integers ≤ 100000 .

λ	$t(\lambda)$
10	$9.649273600428684463479553120939810530923242208735398 \cdot 10^{-554381308}$
11	$7.57929806494947536128349934756162195412431861759227 \cdot 10^{-4887781043}$
12	$5.30200436015724605246826614752108917188558325098544 \cdot 10^{-39227165565}$
13	$1.52739916984845667363367296109645541442392755493153 \cdot 10^{-297230209953}$
14	$6.617345077783595182168242992545965700461478406777 \cdot 10^{-2419966945909}$
15	$5.26019597269976433379615815051550875066124252042 \cdot 10^{-18988869014266}$
16	$4.06751521421327233190115950829638686972451899823 \cdot 10^{-148452517153987}$
17	$2.8703074957720537216132995767534053015103162770 \cdot 10^{-1261337931785960}$
18	$1.46932966274512803735093876340436661798499994 \cdot 10^{-9192758406970262}$
19	$7.36887339695623805028019042180415757921528157 \cdot 10^{-73134639260589997}$
20	$5.8024461422390775663611817270349845938468954 \cdot 10^{-579426465025122292}$
21	$1.3869021709986676325063938918439160007102035 \cdot 10^{-4427143349945912840}$
22	$4.10151245193385022941804060193305405447094 \cdot 10^{-34064698104956009918}$
23	$1.71715972357092319138607947022428968556534 \cdot 10^{-259489336406929338805}$
24	$1.4982758030623762036996263232893870122517 \cdot 10^{-2011250066953860707590}$
25	$2.723848647528233561685563127899349771647 \cdot 10^{-15968197862152240928105}$

Table 4 Values of $t(\lambda)$ for the evaluation of the integrals $I(\lambda)$

These calculations can also be extended beyond $\lambda = 25$. For example, running the code with the above parameters gives, for $\lambda = 40$,

$$t(40) \approx 1.8758610 \cdot 10^{-266134053348172015148849587491648267}.$$

As λ increases, more and more of the significant digits in the calculation are consumed in the exponent.

8 Conclusion

We show how to evaluate highly-oscillatory integrals involving the sinc function. Our procedure has two main ingredients: a result of David and Jon Borwein, and the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula. We show how to evaluate these integrals to high precision, and avoid overflow and underflow, even though intermediate results are well beyond the range of ordinary floating-point arithmetic.

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the reviewer for his/her valuable and detailed comments that helped to improve this paper.

References

- [1] Uwe Bäsel, *A remark concerning sinc integrals*, 22 April 2014, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.5413>.
- [2] Uwe Bäsel and Robert Baillie, *Sinc integrals and tiny numbers*, 12 October 2015, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.03200>.

- [3] Robert Baillie, David Borwein, and Jonathan M. Borwein, *Surprising Sinc Sums and Integrals*, American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 115, no. 10, (December, 2008), pp. 888–901.
- [4] David Borwein and Jonathan M. Borwein, *Some remarkable properties of sinc and related integrals*, Ramanujan Journal, **5** (2001), pp. 73–89.
- [5] Julian Havil, *Gamma: Exploring Euler's Constant*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 2003.
- [6] Konrad Knopp, *Theorie und Anwendung der unendlichen Reihen*, 6. Aufl., Springer, Berlin/Göttingen/Heidelberg/New York 1996.
(Translation: *Theory and Application of Infinite Series*, Dover Publications, New York, 1990.)
- [7] Eric Weisstein, *Harmonic Number* from MathWorld, A Wolfram Web Resource,
<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/HarmonicNumber.html>.

Uwe Bäsel
HTWK Leipzig
Faculty of Mechanical and Energy Engineering
Leipzig, Germany
e-mail: uwe.baesel@htwk-leipzig.de

Robert Baillie
State College
Pennsylvania, USA
e-mail: rjbaillie@frii.com