**Zeitschrift:** Elemente der Mathematik
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
**Band:** 65 (2010)

**Artikel:** A straightened proof for the uncountability of R
**Autor:** Hinz, Andreas M.
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-130686

**Nutzungsbedingungen**
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

**Conditions d'utilisation**
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

**Terms of use**
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

**Download PDF:** 04.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch**

Elem. Math. 65 (2010) 26 – 28
0013-6018/10/010026-3
DOI 10.4171/EM/135

© Swiss Mathematical Society, 2010

Elemente der Mathematik

# A straightened proof for the uncountability of $\mathbb{R}$

Andreas M. Hinz

Andreas M. Hinz received his doctoral degree and his habilitation in mathematics from the University of Munich (LMU), where he is now "außerplanmäßiger Professor" in addition to his current professorship for Applied Mathematics at the FernUniversität in Hagen. His main fields of research are real analysis, the history of science, mathematical modelling, and discrete mathematics.

*In re mathematica ars proponendi quaestionem pluris facienda est quam solvendi.*
G. Cantor, 1867 [8, p. 31]

In 1873, Georg Cantor (1845–1918) presented the first proof of the uncountability of the set of real numbers by establishing essentially the following statement.

**Theorem.** *For every sequence of real numbers $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, there is an $x_0 \in ]0,1[$ with $x_0 \neq x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.* □

Cantor's proof of this result [2, §2] makes use of nested intervals, but today a proof based on another ingenious idea of Cantor is more popular, namely the *diagonal method*, which he introduced in 1891 to prove the uncountability of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ [3]. However, Cantor himself did not employ diagonalization directly in the proof of uncountability of $\mathbb{R}$, but gave a rather intricate derivation of $\left|2^{\mathbb{N}}\right| = |\mathbb{R}|$ in [4, §4]. The origin of the now standard argument for

Der heute gebräuchliche Beweis für die Überabzählbarkeit der Menge der reellen Zahlen stützt sich auf das sogenannte *zweite Diagonalisierungsverfahren* von Cantor, das dieser jedoch zum Nachweis der Überabzählbarkeit der Potenzmenge der natürlichen Zahlen verwandt hatte. Wegen der Nicht-Eindeutigkeit der üblicherweise eingesetzten Dezimaldarstellung reeller Zahlen müssen aber künstliche Zusatzbedingungen gestellt werden, die im Dualsystem versagen. Dies wurde von Fraenkel beobachtet, dessen Ausweg in der Betrachtung einer „flacheren" Diagonalen bestand. Doch auch sein Argument enthält eine Bedingung an die Dualdarstellung der Diagonalenelemente. Im vorliegenden Beitrag wird nun ein von Zahlensystem *und* Darstellung unabhängiger Beweis vorgestellt.

the theorem is unknown (to me). It starts off from decimal representations

$$|x_n| = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} x_{n,k} \cdot 10^{-k}, \quad x_{n,k} \in \{0, \ldots, 9\},$$

and assigns a digit different from $x_{n,n}$ to $x_{0,n}$. To avoid the possibility that $x_0$ coincides with some $x_n$ which has two decimal representations, one might either assume that for these the (unique) finite representation had been chosen and exclude 9 as a value of $x_{0,n}$ (w.l., 0 is a member of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that $x_0 \neq 0$), or one might restrict the values for $x_{0,n}$ to $\{1, \ldots, 8\}$ in the first place.

Presumably, it is this "classical procedure" which David Hilbert (1862–1943) considered worth explicating to Albert Einstein (1879–1955), and "Einstein, who seized everything immediately, was totally overwhelmed by the splendor of these thoughts..." (translated from a letter (1918) of Hilbert to Cantor's daughter Else, as quoted in [7, p. 176]). On the other hand, the same proof stirred a lot of controversy among lesser minds, even leading to a court case (cf. [6]).

Although ennobled by its inclusion in *The Book* [1, p. 92f] and therefore regarded as perfect [1, p. V], this proof has the disadvantage of being rather artificial in the construction of the wanted number, depending on the base $p$ of the number system employed and, more seriously, it does not work at all for the dual system! (The second variant even has problems in base $p = 3$, which can, however, be overcome by putting $x_{0,n} = 1$, if $x_{n,n} \neq 1$, and otherwise $x_{0,n} = 0$, if $n$ is odd, and $x_{0,n} = 2$, if $n$ is even.) This was noted by Abraham Fraenkel (1891–1965) [5, p. 66f], whose way out was to insert bits 0 into the binary expansion of $x_0$ between any two switched entries from the sequence of reals, thereby using a less inclined diagonal. Again, this only works if a representation not ending in 1s is assumed for all numbers. Therefore we propose the following most straightforward proof of the theorem for base $p = 2$.

*Proof*. Let

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \ |x_n| = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} x_{n,k} \cdot 2^{-k}, \quad x_{n,k} \in \{0, 1\},$$

and define

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \ x_{0,2n-1} = x_{n,2n}, \quad x_{0,2n} = 1 - x_{n,2n},$$

and

$$x_0 = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} x_{0,k} \cdot 2^{-k}.$$

Then $(x_{0,k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is not eventually constant since $x_{0,2n-1} \neq x_{0,2n}$ and therefore $x_0 \in \,]0, 1[$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \ x_0 \neq x_n$ because $x_{0,2n} \neq x_{n,2n}$. □

This proof does not depend on the base $p$ of the number system, because we may put $x_{0,2n} = (x_{n,2n} + 1) \bmod p$. It is constructive in the sense of Cantor, who asserts that one can determine ("bestimmen") $x_0$ in the theorem. In fact, since he had shown in [2, §1] that the set of algebraic numbers can be arranged in a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, the corresponding $x_0$ from our proof of the theorem is an explicit transcendental number.

**Acknowledgement.** I am grateful to Günter M. Ziegler for a valuable discussion.

## References

[1] Aigner, M.; Ziegler, G.M.: *Proofs from THE BOOK*. Springer, Berlin 1998.

[2] Cantor, G.: Ueber eine Eigenschaft des Inbegriffs aller reellen algebraischen Zahlen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 77 (1874), 258–262 (= [8, pp. 115–118]).

[3] Cantor, G.: Ueber eine elementare Frage der Mannigfaltigkeitslehre. *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.* 1 (1890–91), 75–78 (= [8, pp. 278–280]).

[4] Cantor, G.: Beiträge zur Begründung der transfiniten Mengenlehre (Erster Artikel). *Math. Ann.* 46 (1895), 481–512 (= [8, pp. 282–311]).

[5] Fraenkel, A.A.: *Abstract set theory*. North-Holland, Amsterdam 1953.

[6] Hodges, W.: An editor recalls some hopeless papers. *Bull. Symbolic Logic* 4 (1998), 1–16.

[7] Meschkowski, H.: *Probleme des Unendlichen*. Vieweg, Braunschweig 1967.

[8] Zermelo, E. (ed.): Georg Cantor, Gesammelte Abhandlungen. Springer, Berlin 1932.

Andreas M. Hinz
Mathematisches Institut
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München
Theresienstraße 39
D–80333 München, Germany
e-mail: `hinz@math.lmu.de`

*and*

Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik
FernUniversität in Hagen
Postfach 940
D–58084 Hagen, Germany
e-mail: `andreas.hinz@fernuni-hagen.de`