

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 42 (1987)
Heft: 6

Artikel: A tournament result deduced from harems
Autor: Bryant, V.W.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-40044>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 26.11.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

or,

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{V^{\frac{n-2}{n}}(s^{(n)})}{V^{\frac{n-2}{n}}(s'(n))} \geq \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{\mu}. \tag{10}$$

Therefore from (7) and (10) we obtain

$$D^2 \geq n^4 V^{\frac{2}{n}}(s^{(n)}) V^{\frac{2n-2}{n}}(s'(n)).$$

The author is grateful to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

G. Tsintsifas, Thessaloniki, Greece

REFERENCES

- 1 O. Bottema, R. Z. Djordjević, R. R. Janić, D. S. Mitrinović, and P. M. Vasić: Geometric inequalities. Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen 1969.
- 2 O. Bottema and M. S. Klamkin: Joint triangle inequalities. Simon Stevin, wis-en Natuur’Kundig Tijdschrift 48^e Jeergang (1974–1975), Afleviving I, II (Juli–October 1974).
- 3 G. D. Chakerian: Minimum area of circumscribed polygons. Elemente der Mathematik, Vol. 28, Heft 5, 1973.
- 4 M. M. Day: Polygons circumscribed about closed convex sets. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 62, pp. 315–319, 1957.
- 5 G. D. Chakerian and L. H. Lange: Geometric extremum Problems. Math. Magazine 44, N° 2, 1971.

A tournament result deduced from harems

There is a large class of difficult problems of the type: “does there exist a graph with n vertices having prescribed degrees d_1, \dots, d_n ?” Restricting the problem to particular types of graphs can lead to some very neat characterisations. For example, it is a straightforward exercise to show that a tree exists on $n (\geq 2)$ vertices with degrees d_1, \dots, d_n if and only if the d_1, \dots, d_n are positive integers with

$$d_1 + \dots + d_n = 2(n - 1).$$

We shall now restrict attention to ‘tournaments’. A *tournament* is a directed graph in which each pair of distinct vertices is joined precisely once (in one direction or the other). Alternatively it can be thought of as a competition of a set of players in which each pair plays once resulting in a win for one of the players. Before proceeding, note that, for example, there exists a tournament of 4 players in which their numbers of wins are 1, 1, 2 and 2 (e.g. A beats B, B beats D, C beats A, C beats B, D beats A and

D beats C). However, there exists no tournament of 6 players with totals of wins 0, 1, 1, 4, 4, 5. One way to see this is to note that any 3 players are involved in a total of $5 + 4 + 3$ games and so certainly the top 3 players cannot win a total of $5 + 4 + 4$. Another way is to see that the bottom 3 players must have won between them at least the 3 matches they played amongst themselves.

Given non-negative integers w_1, \dots, w_n , to be able to construct a tournament of n players with those numbers of wins it is clearly necessary that

$$w_1 + \dots + w_n = \text{total number of games played} = \binom{n}{2}; \quad (1)$$

any r of the w_i 's must add to at most

$$(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + (n-r) \quad (2)$$

since those r players have only been involved in that number of games;

$$\text{any } s \text{ of the } w_i \text{'s must add to at least } \binom{s}{2} \text{ since those } s \text{ players will have} \\ \text{played } \binom{s}{2} \text{ games amongst themselves.} \quad (3)$$

In fact, given condition (1), it is easy to see that (2) and (3) are equivalent. It is a very surprising result that given non-negative integers w_1, \dots, w_n satisfying (1) and (3) (or (1) and (2)) there *does* exist a tournament of n players with those numbers of wins. This is known as *Landau's theorem* and its proof of the sufficiency of these conditions is usually a fairly involved argument concerning matrices or graphs (see [2] for example). We shall deduce it a much simpler way from a result on marriages.

I shall first remind the reader of Hall's marriage theorem and its generalisation to harems. From this latter result I shall then deduce Landau's theorem proving those necessary and sufficient conditions for a tournament to exist with prescribed numbers of wins for each player.

Hall's theorem, in its marriage form, says that in a set of boys and girls it is possible to find for each boy a different girl whom he knows if and only if any subset B of the boys knows, between them, at least $|B|$ girls. (The female partners can be thought of as wives for the boys.) Various forms of Hall's theorem can be found, for example, in [1] and [3].

The 'harem problem' is a well-known and natural extension of Hall's theorem: it concerns a set of boys requiring various numbers of wives.

Theorem. Let w_1, \dots, w_n be non-negative integers and assume that boys b_1, \dots, b_n wish to find, respectively, w_1, \dots, w_n different female partners whom they know. This can be done if and only if any subset $B = \{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_r}\}$ of the boys knows, between them, at least $w_{i_1} + \dots + w_{i_r}$ girls.

Proof. Consider a new set of boys in which each of the original boys b_i is duplicated to give w_i copies of that boy, and such that each duplicated boy has the same girlfriends as the original. Then it is not hard to see that

- in the original situation the boys b_1, \dots, b_n can be found w_1, \dots, w_n 'wives' respectively
- \Leftrightarrow in the new situation each boy can be found a wife
- \Leftrightarrow in the new situation any set B^* of boys knows at least $|B^*|$ girls (Hall's theorem)
- \Leftrightarrow in the original situation any set $B = \{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_r}\}$ of boys knows at least $w_{i_1} + \dots + w_{i_r}$ girls.

Hence the harem result follows.

We are now able to deduce our results on tournaments.

Theorem. Let w_1, \dots, w_n be non-negative integers whose sum is $\binom{n}{2}$. Then a tournament of n players exists in which the players win totals of w_1, \dots, w_n games, respectively, if and only if any r of the w_i 's add to at most

$$(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + (n-r).$$

Proof. Consider a collection of n boys b_1, \dots, b_n and $\binom{n}{2}$ girls $g_{1,1}, g_{1,2}, \dots, g_{n-1,n}$ such that girl $g_{i,j}$ is known by just boys b_i and b_j . By regarding boy b_i (or b_j) marrying girl $g_{i,j}$ as the i th (or j th) player winning the game between players i and j it is clear that we can switch from tournaments to marriage (and back) and deduce that

- there exists a tournament of n players winning w_1, \dots, w_n games
- \Leftrightarrow in the new boy/girl situation the boys b_1, \dots, b_n can be found w_1, \dots, w_n wives, respectively
- \Leftrightarrow in the new boy/girl situation any set of boys $\{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_r}\}$ knows between them at least $w_{i_1} + \dots + w_{i_r}$ girls (the harem result)
- [Note that, by the construction of the boy/girl relationships, r boys know between them exactly

$$(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + (n-r)$$

girls.]

- \Leftrightarrow in the new boy/girl situation any set of boys $\{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_r}\}$ satisfies

$$(n-1) + \dots + (n-r) \geq w_{i_1} + \dots + w_{i_r}$$

- \Leftrightarrow any r of the w_i 's add to at most

$$(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + (n-r).$$

The required result follows.

Corollary (Landau's theorem). Let w_1, \dots, w_n be non-negative integers whose sum is $\binom{n}{2}$. Then a tournament of n players exists in which the players win totals of w_1, \dots, w_n games, respectively, if and only if any s of the w_i 's add to at least $\binom{s}{2}$.

Proof. Any s of the w_i 's add to at least $\binom{s}{2}$
 \Leftrightarrow any $n - s$ of the w_i 's add to at most $\binom{n}{2} - \binom{s}{2}$
 \Leftrightarrow any r of the w_i 's add to at most $\binom{n}{2} - \binom{n-r}{2}$
 $= [1 + 2 + \dots + (n-1)] - [1 + 2 + \dots + (n-r-1)]$
 $= (n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + (n-r).$

V. W. Bryant, Department of Pure Mathematics,
Sheffield University

REFERENCES

- 1 V. W. Bryant and H. Perfect: Independence Theory in Combinatorics. Chapman and Hall, 1980.
- 2 D. R. Fulkerson: Upsets in Round Robin Tournaments, Can. J. Math. 17 (1965) 957–969.
- 3 L. Mirsky: Transversal Theory. Academic Press, 1971.

© 1987 Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel

0013-6018/87/060000-00\$1.50+0.20/0

Didaktik und Elementarmathematik

Kombinatorik mit dem Computer: Partitionen und Frankaturen

1. Einleitung

In den verschiedensten Gebieten der Mathematik sieht man sich vor Probleme gestellt, die auf die Abzählung oder die Auflistung bestimmter Partitionen hinauslaufen. Als Partitionen bezeichnet man in der Kombinatorik additive Zerfällungen einer natürlichen Zahl n mit Summanden aus einer vorgegebenen Referenz-Menge, wobei die Reihenfolge der Summanden in einer solchen Figur belanglos ist. Die folgende Aufzählung zeigt die möglichen Partitionen der Zahl 20 über der Referenz-Menge $\{2, 3, 7\}$:

3+3+7+7
 2+3+3+3+3+3+3
 2+2+3+3+3+7
 2+2+2+7+7
 2+2+2+2+3+3+3+3

 2+2+2+2+2+3+7
 2+2+2+2+2+2+3+3
 2+2+2+2+2+2+2+2+2

ANZAHL DER FIGUREN: 8

Die Summanden in den einzelnen Figuren sind hier nach zunehmenden Werten geordnet.

Kommt in einer Partition der Zahl n über der Referenz-Menge

$$\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$$