

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 42 (1987)
Heft: 2

Artikel: A basis of the set of sequences satisfying a given m-th order linear recurrence
Autor: Levesque, Claude
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-40030>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 29.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

A basis of the set of sequences satisfying a given m -th order linear recurrence

Given fixed numbers u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m-1} , define u_{m+n} for every $n \geq 0$ by means of the m preceding terms with the rule

$$u_{m+n} - k_1 u_{m+n-1} - \dots - k_m u_n = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad k_m \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

Here we are working within a fixed field F of characteristic 0. It is easy to see that with respect to addition and multiplication by scalars of F , the set \mathcal{L}_m of sequences of elements of F satisfying (1) forms a vector space over F . The purpose of this note is to exhibit a basis for \mathcal{L}_m . The result can in fact be found in the literature, but the details of a proof involving linear algebra may be useful to the lazy persons (like myself) interested in seeing the details written down without working them out.

Let us first state a proposition which can be found in any classical reference on finite differences; see for instance [2], [6] or [5].

Proposition 1. *Suppose that $\{u_{n1}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, $\{u_{n2}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, \dots , $\{u_{nm}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ are m sequences satisfying (1). Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be given scalars. Then $\{a_1 u_{n1} + a_2 u_{n2} + \dots + a_m u_{nm}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is also a sequence satisfying (1).*

Conversely, if $\{u_{n1}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, $\{u_{n2}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, \dots , $\{u_{nm}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ are m sequences satisfying (1) and such that

$$\det [u_{ij}] \neq 0 \quad (\text{with } 0 \leq i \leq m-1, 1 \leq j \leq m),$$

then any sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ satisfying (1) can be written as

$$\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} = \{a_1 u_{n1} + a_2 u_{n2} + \dots + a_m u_{nm}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$$

for a unique choice of scalars a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m .

Corollary 2. *Suppose that $\{u_{n1}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, $\{u_{n2}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, \dots , $\{u_{nm}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ are m sequences satisfying (1) and such that for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ and for $1 \leq j \leq m$,*

$$u_{ij} = \text{Kronecker } \delta_{i+1,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i+1=j, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then they form a basis of \mathcal{L}_m .

Proof. We have $\det [u_{ij}] = \det I_m = 1$. Q.E.D.

Associated with the recursive sequence (1) is the following polynomial

$$f(X) = X^m - k_1 X^{m-1} - \dots - k_{m-1} X - k_m,$$

whose roots are nonzero since $k_m \neq 0$.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m ,*

$$f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r (X - \alpha_i)^{s_i}, \tag{2}$$

such that the roots α_i are all distinct with multiplicity s_i . Then the $s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_r = m$ sequences

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \alpha_1^n \}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, \left\{ \frac{n}{1!} \alpha_1^{n-1} \right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, \dots, \left\{ \frac{n(n-1) \dots (n-s_1+2)}{(s_1-1)!} \alpha_1^{n-s_1+1} \right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, \\ & \{ \alpha_2^n \}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, \left\{ \frac{n}{1!} \alpha_2^{n-1} \right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, \dots, \left\{ \frac{n(n-1) \dots (n-s_2+2)}{(s_2-1)!} \alpha_2^{n-s_2+1} \right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, \\ & \dots \\ & \{ \alpha_r^n \}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, \left\{ \frac{n}{1!} \alpha_r^{n-1} \right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, \dots, \left\{ \frac{n(n-1) \dots (n-s_r+2)}{(s_r-1)!} \alpha_r^{n-s_r+1} \right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$$

form a basis of \mathcal{L}_m .

Proof. Let it be clear that if $s_k = 1$, then we take only $\{ \alpha_k^n \}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$.

To see that we have a basis of \mathcal{L}_m , let V be the $m \times m$ matrix which we obtain by writing as elements of its k -th row the first m elements of the above k -th sequence. If for $1 \leq k \leq r$, we let $i_k = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_{k-1}$ with $i_1 = 0$, then the (i, j) element of V is

$$v_{i_k+i, j} = \frac{1}{(i-1)!} \cdot \frac{d^{i-1} \alpha_k^{j-1}}{d \alpha_k^{i-1}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq s_k. \tag{3}$$

Here $d^i X/dX^i$ is the i -th derivative. We want to prove that

$$|V| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } r = 1, \\ \prod_{r \geq j > i \geq 1} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)^{s_j s_i} & \text{elsewhere;} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

we will then be finished since the non vanishing of $|V|$ implies that the above sequences form indeed a basis of \mathcal{L}_m .

Let us proceed by induction on m . Formula (4) is correct for $m = 1, 2$ as is easily seen and for any m when $r = 1$. Suppose it true for $m - 1$, i.e. for h distinct roots of multiplicity t_1, t_2, \dots, t_h respectively such that $t_1 + \dots + t_h = m - 1$.

Make on V the following elementary row operations: for $i = m, m - 1, \dots, 2$ (in this order), subtract from the i -th row α_1 times the $(i - 1)$ -th row. Delete from the obtained matrix the first row and the first column and call V^* the resulting $(m - 1) \times (m - 1)$ matrix.

For $j = s_1, s_1 + s_2, \dots, s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_{r-1}$, we can factorize from the j -th column of V^* the factors $(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1), (\alpha_3 - \alpha_1), \dots, (\alpha_r - \alpha_1)$ respectively to obtain a matrix M verifying

$$|V| = |V^*| = \left(\prod_{j=2}^r (\alpha_j - \alpha_1) \right) |M|.$$

For any $k \geq 2$ such that $s_k \geq 2$, make on M the following elementary column operations: for $j = s_1 + \dots + s_{k-1} + 1, s_1 + \dots + s_{k-1} + 2, \dots, s_1 + \dots + s_{k-1} + s_k - 1$ (in this order), subtract from the i -th column the $(j-1)$ -th column and factorize $(\alpha_k - \alpha_1)$.

We then obtain a matrix W such that

$$|V| = \left(\prod_{j=2}^r (\alpha_j - \alpha_1)^{s_j} \right) |W|, \quad (5)$$

where W is the very matrix we get by deleting the last row and the s_1 -th column of V . The induction hypothesis gives

$$|W| = \left(\prod_{r \geq j > i \geq 2} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)^{s_j s_i} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^r (\alpha_j - \alpha_1)^{s_j (s_i - 1)} \right),$$

(with the convention that an empty product is 1), from which we deduce the value of $|V|$. Q.E.D.

Notice also that the determinant of the matrix considered by Jarden [3] differs from $|V|$ by the factor

$$(s_1 - 1)! (s_2 - 1)! \dots (s_r - 1)!.$$

Corollary 4. *If $f(X)$ has m distinct roots, the m sequences $\{\alpha_1^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\alpha_2^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, \{\alpha_m^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ form a basis of \mathcal{L}_m .*

Proof. The Vandermonde determinant

$$\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$$

is $\neq 0$. Q.E.D.

Note in passing that Corollary 2 and Corollary 4 are in fact particular cases of a general theorem involving the so-called Casorati determinants, named after Felice Casorati (1835–1890): see Theorem 5.1 of [6], or see [5].

Let us finally remark that the matrix V introduced in the proof of Theorem 3 is the transpose of Kalman's so-called generalized Vandermonde matrix which has previously appeared as a special kind of confluent alternant matrix: for the proof of formula 4, see [4], chapter VI of [1], chapter 3 of [2], and [3]; however the proofs involving elementary row operations are either sketched (5×5 example) or left to the reader.

Claude Levesque, Département de mathématiques, Université Laval, Quebec

REFERENCES

- 1 A. C. Aitken: *Determinants and Matrices*. Ninth edition, New York: Interscience (1956), VII + 144 pages.
- 2 S. Goldberg: *Introduction to Difference Equations*. Third edition, New York: John Wiley & Sons (1966), XII + 260 pages.

- 3 D. Jarden, Recurring Sequences. Third edition (1973), Riveon Lematematika: Israel, Chapter 29.
 4 D. Kalman: The Generalized Vandermonde Matrix. Math. Magazine 57, no. 1, 15–21 (1984).
 5 K. S. Miller: Linear Difference Equations. New York: W. A. Benjamin Inc. (1968), X + 165 pages.
 6 M. R. Spiegel: Finite Differences and Difference Equations. Schaum's Outline Series (1971), McGraw-Hill, 259 pages.

© 1987 Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel

0013-6018/87/020032-04\$1.50+0.20/0

Zur Abschätzung des Brocardschen Winkels, II

Für Definitionen und Bezeichnungen sei auf [1] verwiesen.

In [1] wurde gezeigt, dass

$$2\omega \leq \frac{3}{\sum 1/\alpha_i}, \quad (1)$$

und dass Gleichheit genau im gleichseitigen Fall besteht (*GGG*). Diese Note ist dem Beweis der in [1] vermuteten Ungleichung

$$\sqrt{\frac{3}{\sum 1/\alpha_i^2}} \leq 2\omega \quad (GGG) \quad (2)$$

gewidmet. (1) und (2) bedeuten schon eine sehr gute Abschätzung von ω , wenn man beachtet, dass vor zwanzig Jahren nur

$$\min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \leq 2\omega \leq \frac{\pi}{3} \quad (GGG)$$

bekannt war. Wir werden im Satz 3 die Frage nach der besten Abschätzung genauer stellen.

Der Beweis von (2) stützt sich auf dieselbe Beweisidee wie (1). Dabei stösst man aber auf viele neue technische Schwierigkeiten. Nach zwei Lemmas werden wir zunächst (2) auf den gleichschenkligen Fall reduzieren.

Lemma 1: (i) Die Funktion $f(x) := \frac{1}{x} - \cot x$ ist strikt konvex in $(0, \pi)$.
 (ii) Es gilt

$$\frac{1}{x} - \cot x > \frac{x}{3} > \frac{\operatorname{tg} x}{3 + \operatorname{tg}^2 x} =: g(x)$$

$$\text{für } x \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

(iii) Die Funktion $h(x) := \left(\frac{x}{\sin x}\right)^4 (1 + 2 \cos^2 x)$ ist strikt monoton wachsend in $(0, \pi)$.