

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 38 (1983)
Heft: 1

Artikel: The impossibility of a tessellation of the plane into equilateral triangles whose sidelengths are mutually different, one of them being minimal
Autor: Scherer, Karl
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-37174>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 13.09.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

ELEMENTE DER MATHEMATIK

Revue de mathématiques élémentaires – Rivista di matematica elementare

*Zeitschrift zur Pflege der Mathematik
und zur Förderung des mathematisch-physikalischen Unterrichts*

El. Math.

Band 38

Nr. 1

Seiten 1–32

Basel, den 10. Januar 1983

The impossibility of a tessellation of the plane into equilateral triangles whose sidelengths are mutually different, one of them being minimal

Theorem. *There is no tessellation of the euclidean plane \mathbf{R}^2 into equilateral triangles whose sidelengths are mutually different, one of them being minimal.*

Proof: Assume that there is such a tessellation of \mathbf{R}^2 into equilateral triangles $t_i, i \in I$, where I is an arbitrary set of indices. We shall eventually see that this assumption leads to a contradiction.

For $i \in I$, let l_i denote the sidelength of t_i . Let l be the minimum of the sidelengths. By scaling we can attain $l = 1$. Then the area of each triangle is at least

$$\frac{1}{4} \cdot \sqrt{3}.$$

Therefore the triangle can be enumerated and we can assume $I = \mathbf{N}$ and $l_1 = 1$.

For each $i \in \mathbf{N}$ let d_i denote the boundary of t_i . Further we define D to be the ‘grid’ of the tessellation: $D = \cup \{d_i, i \in \mathbf{N}\}$.

If n and ε are positive real numbers, the points

$$P_1 = \left(-\frac{n}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{3}\right), \quad P_2 = \left(-\frac{n}{2}, 0\right), \quad P_3 = \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{3}\right)$$

$$\text{and } P_4 = \left(\frac{n}{2}, 0\right)$$

define a parallelogram in \mathbf{R}^2 with the sidelengths ε and n and with angles of 60 and 120 degrees. Let $S(n, \varepsilon)$ denote the union of the three sides $\overline{P_1 P_2}$, $\overline{P_2 P_3}$ and $\overline{P_3 P_4}$ (fig. 1).

More generally we denote by $S(n, \varepsilon)$ any subset of the plane that can be obtained from this special $S(n, \varepsilon)$ by translations, rotations and reflections. If any such $S(n, \varepsilon)$ is given, let R_n denote the interior of the associated parallelogram.

We show that there are a strongly decreasing sequence of positive numbers $n_j, j \in \mathbf{N}$, with $n_j \leq n_{j-1} - 1$ ($j \geq 2$) and for each j a number $\varepsilon_j \in (0, \infty)$ as well as a set $S_{n_j} = S(n_j, \varepsilon_j)$, contained in D , such that S_{n_j} suffices one of the following two properties (or both):




Figure 1




Figure 2

- a) There is a natural number k depending on j such that $n_j = l_k$ and $R_{l_k} \cap t_k = \emptyset$.
 b) The 'base' of S_{n_j} (P_2P_3 in fig. 1) is not a side of a triangle of the tessellation.

The numbers n_j and the associated sets S_{n_j} will be constructed by induction on j .

Since $n_j \leq n_{j-1} - 1$ for $j \geq 2$, some of the numbers n_j must be negative. But we assumed that each n_j is positive. Thus we get a contradiction and the theorem is proved.

The induction is performed in two steps.

First step

We show that there is a subset S_{n_1} of D for some positive real number $n = n_1$ which has property a) or property b).

Look at figure 2. Let P_1, P_2 and P_3 be the vertices of t_1 . Since t_1 is the smallest triangle of the tessellation, each neighbor of t_1 (i.e. each triangle that shares a boundary segment of positive measure with t_1) must be larger than t_1 . It is obvious that this is only possible if there are only three neighbors of t_1 , say t_2, t_4 and t_6 , and if (up to symmetry) they are arranged like in figure 2.

Hence there exist three triangles in the tessellation that have only a vertex in common with t_1 . We can assume that these are the triangle t_3, t_5 and t_7 and that the triangles t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6 and t_7 are arranged around t_1 in a clockwise manner.

Let us call $t_i, i = 2, \dots, 7$, the *surrounding triangles*. The vertices of the surrounding triangles that are not points of t_1 may be called the *outer corners*. Clearly each surrounding triangle has exactly two outer corners. For each surrounding triangle t_k , let P_{2k} and P_{2k+1} be the outer corners written clockwise (see fig. 3). Since the triangles of the tessellation have pairwise different sizes, we get the inequalities $P_5 \neq P_6$,




Figure 3

$P_9 \neq P_{10}$ and $P_{13} \neq P_{14}$.

We now have to distinguish the two cases whether t_2 is larger or smaller than t_3 .

Case 1. We assume that $l_2 > l_3$.

Consider figure 3. If D would contain a horizontal straight line whose right endpoint is P_7 , the points P_6 and P_7 would define a set $S_{l_3} \subset D$ with $R_{l_3} \cap t_3 = \emptyset$.

If this is not the case, D contains a straight line which elongates $\overline{P_6 P_7}$ over P_7 and therefore a straight line whose left endpoint is P_7 , too.

If $P_7 \neq P_8$, the points P_2 and P_7 define a set $S_{n_1} \subset D$ that has property b). So we may assume $P_7 = P_8$.

Now consider all systems of straight lines that may start at P_9 (fig. 4). In the cases i) and ii) of figure 4 there is a set $S_{l_4} \subset D$ with $R_{l_4} \cap t_4 = \emptyset$, defined by P_7 and P_9 . In case iii) there is a set $S_{l_4} \subset D$ with $R_{l_4} \cap t_4 = \emptyset$, defined by $\overline{P_2 P_{11}}$, $\overline{P_2 P_9}$ and the elongation of $P_8 P_9$ over P_9 . Therefore we may assume that the lines starting from P_9 look as in figure 4 iv).

This implies $l_4 > l_5$.

Two further quite similar argumentations – we now have $l_4 > l_5$ like we had $l_2 > l_3$, and we will get $l_6 > l_7$ – show that if there is not a set $S_{n_1} \subset D$ with property a) or b), the conditions $P_{11} = P_{12}$ and $P_4 = P_{15}$ must be fulfilled. Moreover, the line systems starting at P_5 , P_9 and P_{13} are of type iv) of figure 4 (see fig. 5).

Let d_1 , d_2 and d_3 denote the (positive) lengths of $\overline{P_5 P_6}$, $\overline{P_9 P_{10}}$ and $\overline{P_{13} P_{14}}$, respectively. Then each d_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ is a sum of sidelengths of some triangles of the tessellation. Therefore $d_i > l_1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence $d_1 + d_2 + d_3 > 3 l_1$.

Comparing the sidelength of the seven triangles t_1 , t_2 , t_3 , t_4 , t_5 , t_6 and t_7 yields the equations $l_2 = l_1 + l_7$, $l_2 = l_3 + d_1$, $l_4 = l_1 + l_3$, $l_4 = l_5 + d_2$, $l_6 = l_1 + l_5$, $l_6 = l_7 + d_3$. They tell us that $3 l_1 = d_1 + d_2 + d_3$ in contradiction to the last inequality.

Therefore in case 1 there must be a set $S_{n_1} \subset D$ with property a) or b).

Case 2. We assume that $l_2 < l_3$.

If there is not a set $S_{l_2} \subset D$ with $R_{l_2} \cap t_2 = \emptyset$ defined by P_4 and P_5 , the situation at P_4 can only be as indicated in figure 6. Then the points P_1 and P_4 define a set $S_{l_2} \subset D$ with $P_{l_2} \cap t_2 = \emptyset$.

Second step

A set $S_n (= S_{n_j}) \subset D$ may be given that has property a) or b). It will be shown that there is a set $S_m \subset D$ with $m \leq n - 1$ which has property a). We then put $n_{j+1} := m$.




Figure 4




Figure 5



Figure 6




Figure 7a




Figure 7b




Figure 7c

After a suitable translation, rotation and reflection if necessary we can assume that the given S_n has the position shown in figure 1. With the notations of figure 1, let t_r be the triangle of the tessellation which 'stands on the base of S_n at the left corner', which means that t_r is defined by the three conditions $P_2 \in t_r$, $R_n \cap t_r \neq \emptyset$, $\overline{P_2P_3}$ contains a side of t_r (see fig. 7a). The vertices of t_r may be P_2 , P_5 and P_6 , where $P_5 \in \overline{P_2P_3}$. Since S_n has property a) or b), P_3 is different from P_5 .

Let t_s denote the neighbor of t_r at the side $\overline{P_5P_6}$ such that $P_5 \in t_s$. We now have to distinguish whether l_s is greater or less than l_r .

Case 1. If $l_s > l_r$, the points P_2 and P_6 define a set $S_{l_r} \subset D$ with $R_{l_r} \cap t_r = \emptyset$ (see fig. 7b). Moreover, $l_r \leq n-1$ because $S_n \subset D$ and $l_1 = 1$. So we can define $m = l_r$.

Case 2. If $l_s < l_r$, let P_7 denote the vertex of t_s that is not a point of t_r and let P_8 denote its third vertex (fig. 7c). An examination of all possible line systems starting at P_7 (they are listed in fig. 4) shows that in each case there is a set $S_{l_s} \subset D$ with $R_{l_s} \cap t_s = \emptyset$, either defined by the points P_5 and P_7 or by P_7 and P_8 . Moreover, $l_s < l_r \leq n-1$. So we can define $m = l_s$.

Hence the induction step is completed, q.e.d.

Karl Scherer, Universität Kaiserslautern

REFERENCES

- 1 W. Tutte: Squaring the Square. Can. J. Math. 1950, 197-209.
- 2 Ross Honsberger: Ingenuity in Mathematics. Mathematical Association of America 23, Yale University, 1970.

Quelques considérations concernant le problème de l'aiguille de Buffon dans l'espace euclidien E_n

0. Soit E_n l'espace euclidien à n dimensions de coordonnées x_1, \dots, x_n .

La mesure élémentaire cinématique dans E_n , invariante par rapport au groupe de mouvements euclidiens, est [1]:

$$dK = dP \wedge dO_{n-1} \wedge \dots \wedge dO_1, \quad (1)$$