Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 93 (2018)

Heft: 1

Artikel: Mean curvature in manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded from below

Autor: Choe, Jaigyoung / Fraser, Ailana

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-760268

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 06.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Mean curvature in manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded from below

Jaigyoung Choe* and Ailana Fraser**

Abstract. Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature and Σ a compact embedded 2-sided minimal hypersurface in M. It is proved that there is a dichotomy: If Σ does not separate M then Σ is totally geodesic and $M \setminus \Sigma$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $\Sigma \times (a,b)$, and if Σ separates M then the map $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(M)$ induced by inclusion is surjective. This surjectivity is also proved for a compact 2-sided hypersurface with mean curvature $H \geq (n-1)\sqrt{k}$ in a manifold of Ricci curvature $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)k, k > 0$, and for a free boundary minimal hypersurface in an n-dimensional manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature with nonempty strictly convex boundary. As an application it is shown that a compact (n-1)-dimensional manifold N with the number of generators of $\pi_1(N) < n-1$ cannot be minimally embedded in the flat torus T^n .

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 53C20, 53C42.

Keywords. Ricci curvature, minimal surface, fundamental group.

1. Introduction

Euclid's fifth postulate implies that there exist two nonintersecting lines on a plane. But the same is not true on a sphere, a non-Euclidean plane. Hadamard [11] generalized this to prove that every geodesic must meet every closed geodesic on a surface of positive curvature. Note that a k-dimensional minimal submanifold of a Riemannian manifold M is a critical point of the k-dimensional area functional. Replacing the geodesic with the minimal submanifold, Frankel [6] further generalized Hadamard's theorem: Let Σ_1 and Σ_2 be immersed minimal hypersurfaces in a complete connected Riemannian manifold M of positive Ricci curvature. If Σ_1 is compact, then Σ_1 and Σ_2 must intersect. It should be remarked that a manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature like $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ has many disjoint minimal spheres.

Using the connectivity of the inverse image of Σ_1 under the projection map in the universal cover of M, Frankel also proved that the natural homomorphism

^{*}J. C. was supported in part by NRF 2011-0030044, SRC-GAIA.

^{**} A. F. was supported in part by NSERC.

of fundamental groups: $\pi_1(\Sigma_1) \to \pi_1(M)$ is surjective. This means that the minimality of Σ_1 imposes restrictions on $\pi_1(\Sigma_1)$. This reminds us of a similar restriction on $\pi_1(M)$, as proved by Myers [18], that if M has positive Ricci curvature, then $\pi_1(M)$ is finite.

These two theorems of Frankel have the dual versions in the negatively curved case as follows: If M is a complete Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature, then every compact immersed minimal submanifold Σ must have an infinite fundamental group and moreover, if Σ is totally geodesic, then $\pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(M)$ is 1-1 [13].

It was Lawson [15] who first realized the topological implication of Frankel's theorem; he found that Frankel's proof of the surjectivity works also for each component of $M \setminus \Sigma$ if M is a compact connected orientable Riemannian manifold of positive Ricci curvature and Σ is a compact embedded orientable minimal hypersurface. He then showed that $\pi_1(\bar{D}_j, \Sigma) = 0$, j = 1, 2, where $M \setminus \Sigma = D_1 \cup D_2$. This implies that Σ has as many 1-dimensional holes (loops) as D_j does. Hence, when dim M = 3, D_1 and D_2 are handlebodies and if M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^3 [12], Σ is unknotted.

Recently Petersen and Wilhelm [21] gave a new proof of Frankel's generalized Hadamard theorem. They also showed that if M has nonnegative Ricci curvature and has two nonintersecting minimal hypersurfaces, then these are totally geodesic and a rigidity phenomenon occurs. Whereas Frankel and Lawson used the second variation formula for arc length, Petersen and Wilhelm utilized the superhamonicity of the distance function from a minimal hypersurface. It should be mentioned that Cheeger and Gromoll had used the superhamonicity of the distance function arising from a minimizing geodesic [3]. See also [5,25].

In this paper we show that there is a dichotomy for a compact Riemannian manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature (Theorem 2.5): A compact embedded 2-sided minimal hypersurface Σ does not separate M or separates M into two nonempty components D_1 and D_2 , and consequently, Σ is totally geodesic and M is isometric to a mapping torus or the map $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{D}_j)$, j=1,2, induced by inclusion is surjective. As a result M cannot have more 1-dimensional holes than Σ unless M is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma \times \mathbb{S}^1$. The first part of Theorem 2.5 reminds us of the Cheeger–Gromoll splitting theorem [3]; their *line* is dual to our nonseparating minimal hypersurface. We note that G. Galloway proved in [9] a theorem similar to Theorem 2.5 and to Petersen–Wilhelm's theorem [21]. Also, L. Rodriguez obtained some theorems which are relevant to Theorem 2.5 in [1] and [10].

The surjectivity of $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(D_j)$ is obtained in more general settings as follows. Let M^n be a Riemannian manifold of Ricci curvature $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)k$, k>0 and let Σ be a compact 2-sided hypersurface that bounds a connected region Ω in M. If Ω is mean convex with $H(\Sigma) \geq (n-1)\sqrt{k}$, then Σ is connected and $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{\Omega})$ is surjective. Thus if n=3 then Ω is a handlebody.

We also consider the case when the compact Riemannian manifold M^n of nonnegative Ricci curvature has nonempty boundary ∂M which is strictly convex

with respect to the inward unit normal. Fraser and Li [7] showed that any two properly embedded orientable minimal hypersurfaces in M meeting ∂M orthogonally must intersect. They also showed that if Σ is a properly embedded orientable minimal hypersurface in M meeting ∂M orthogonally, then Σ divides M into two connected components D_1 and D_2 . Generalizing [7], we show that the maps $i_*:\pi_1(\Sigma)\to\pi_1(\bar{M})$ and $i_*:\pi_1(\Sigma)\to\pi_1(\bar{D}_j)$, j=1,2, are surjective. When n=3 it is shown that both components of $M\setminus \Sigma$ are handlebodies and Σ is unknotted. We also prove some corresponding results in the case where $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)k$, k>0.

Finally, from our dichotomy (Theorem 2.5) we derive nonexistence of some minimal embeddings. Let N be an (n-1)-dimensional compact manifold with the number of generators of $\pi_1(N) = k$ that is minimally embedded in the flat n-torus T^n . Then we must have $k \ge n-1$. If k=n-1, then $N \approx T^{n-1}$, and if k > n-1, then $T^n \setminus N$ has two components D_1, D_2 such that the number of generators of $\pi_1(D_j)$ is bigger than n-1, j=1,2. This is a higher dimensional generalization of Meeks' theorem [16] that a compact surface of genus 2 cannot be minimally immersed in T^3 .

The authors thank the referee for helpful comments and suggesting [8, Theorem 2.1].

2. Surjectivity

It is well known that the second variation of arc length involves negative the integral of the sectional curvature. It is for this reason that the Ricci curvature affects both the mean curvature of the level surfaces of the distance function and the Laplacian of the distance function. The following lemma verifies this influence.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that M^n is a complete Riemannian manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature. Let D be a domain in M and $N \subset \partial D$ a hypersurface with mean curvature $H_N \geq c$ with respect to the inward unit normal v to N, i.e. $H_N = \langle \vec{H}_N, v \rangle$. Suppose that the distance function d from N is well defined in D. Then at a point $q \in D$ such that d is smooth in a neighborhood of q,

- (a) locally near q, the level surface of d through q has mean curvature $\geq c$ with respect to the unit normal away from N (in fact, that mean curvature is monotone nondecreasing in d along a minimizing geodesic from a fixed point $p \in N$ to q);
- (b) $\Delta_M d \leq -c$.

Proof. Let S be a smooth level surface of d through a point $q \in D$. Let $\gamma \subset D$ be the geodesic up to q that realizes the distance from N and is parametrized by arc length. Then γ hits S and N orthogonally at q and at a point $p \in N$. Choose any unit vector v tangent to N at p and parallel translate it along γ to q, obtaining a unit parallel vector field V along γ which is normal to γ and tangent to S at q. Consider

the lengths of the curves obtained by moving γ in the direction of V. Then the second variation formula and the assumption that S is a level surface of d give us

$$L_V'' = II_S(V, V) - II_N(V, V) - \int_{\gamma} K(V, \gamma') \ge 0,$$

where II denotes the second fundamental form defined by $II(u, v) = \langle \nabla_u v, v \rangle$ with respect to the inward unit normal v away from N, and $K(V, \gamma')$ is the sectional curvature on the span of V and γ' . We can compute the same for orthonormal vectors v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} spanning the tangent space to N at p and sum up the above inequalities for the corresponding orthonormal parallel vector fields V_1, \ldots, V_{n-1} , to get

$$H_{\mathcal{S}}(q) - H_{\mathcal{N}}(p) - \int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma') \ge 0,$$

which proves (a) because $\int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma')$ is monotone nondecreasing in d. Let E_1, \ldots, E_{n-1} be orthonormal vector fields on S in a neighborhood of q. Extend them to orthonormal vector fields $\bar{E}_1, \ldots, \bar{E}_{n-1}, \bar{E}_n$ on M in a neighborhood of q such that $\bar{E}_n = \gamma'$. Then at q

$$\Delta_M d = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\bar{E}_i \bar{E}_i(d) - \left(\nabla_{\bar{E}_i} \bar{E}_i \right) d \right] = -H_S(q) \le -H_N(p) \le -c.$$

This proves (b). \Box

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that the distance function from a minimal hypersurface in a manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature is superharmonic at points where it is smooth. In the following lemma we show that the distance function is *superharmonic* in the barrier sense at points where it is not smooth, and hence satisfies the maximum principle ([2], [20, Theorem 66]), that is, it is constant in a neighborhood of every local minimum.

Lemma 2.2. Let Σ be a minimal hypersurface in a complete Riemannian manifold M of nonnegative Ricci curvature. Then the distance function d from Σ is superharmonic $\Delta d \leq 0$ in the barrier sense. That is, given $p \in M$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a smooth support function from above d_{ε} defined in a neighborhood of p such that:

- (1) $d_{\varepsilon}(p) = d(p)$,
- (2) $d(x) \le d_{\varepsilon}(x)$ in some neighborhood of p,
- (3) $\Delta d_{\varepsilon}(p) \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1(b) we know that $\Delta d \leq 0$ whenever d is smooth. For any other $p \in M$ choose a unit speed minimizing geodesic $\gamma : [0, l] \to M$ between Σ

and p, with $\gamma(0) \in \Sigma$ and $\gamma(l) = p$. Let ν be the unit normal of Σ near $\gamma(0)$ in the direction toward p, let $\varphi(t) = e^{-t^2/(1-t^2)}$ be a smooth cut-off function, and define

$$\Sigma_{\delta} = \left\{ \exp_{x} \delta \varphi \left(d_{\Sigma} (\gamma(0), x) \right) \nu(x) : x \in \Sigma \cap B_{r} (\gamma(0)) \right\}$$

for small $\delta > 0$, r > 0. Since Σ_{δ} is a small perturbation of Σ , we have $|H_{\Sigma_{\delta}}| \leq C(\delta)$ with $C(\delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ sufficiently small so that $C(\delta) \leq \varepsilon$. We claim that $d_{\varepsilon}(\cdot) := \delta(\varepsilon) + d(\Sigma_{\delta(\varepsilon)}, \cdot)$ is a smooth support function from above for d at p. It is clear from the construction that $d_{\varepsilon}(p) = d(p)$. If x is sufficiently close to p, there is an interior point x' in Σ_{δ} that realizes the distance from x to Σ_{δ} . By the construction of Σ_{δ} , $d(\Sigma, x') \leq \delta$, and we have

$$d(x) = d(\Sigma, x) \le d(\Sigma, x') + d(x', x) \le \delta + d(\Sigma_{\delta}, x) = d_{\varepsilon}(x).$$

If d_{ε} is smooth at p, then by Lemma 2.1(b), $\Delta d_{\varepsilon}(p) \leq C(\delta) \leq \varepsilon$. It remains to show smoothness. Suppose d_{ε} is not smooth at p. Then we know that either

- (1) there are two minimizing geodesics from p to Σ_{δ} , or
- (2) p is a focal point of Σ_{δ} .

In case (1), there is a minimizing geodesic from p to a point $q \neq \gamma(\delta)$ in Σ_{δ} . But by construction of Σ_{δ} , $d(\Sigma,q) < \delta$, and so this implies that

$$d(\Sigma, p) \le d(\Sigma, q) + d(q, p) < \delta + d(\Sigma_{\delta}, p) = l,$$

a contradiction. In case (2), if p is a focal point of Σ_{δ} , there is a Jacobi field J along $\gamma|_{[\delta,I]}$ with $J(\delta)$ tangent to Σ_{δ} at $\gamma(\delta)$, J(l)=0, and such that $J'(\delta)+S_{\gamma'(\delta)}(J(\delta))$ is orthogonal to Σ_{δ} , where $S_{\gamma'(\delta)}$ is the linear operator on $T_{\gamma(\delta)}\Sigma_{\delta}$ given by the second fundamental form of Σ_{δ} in M, that is, $S_{\gamma'(\delta)}X=-(\nabla_X\gamma'(\delta))^T$, $X\in T_{\gamma(\delta)}\Sigma_{\delta}$. The second variation of length of $\gamma|_{[\delta,I]}$ in the direction J is zero:

$$I(J,J) = \int_{\delta}^{l} \left[|\nabla_{\gamma'} J|^{2} - \langle R(J,\gamma')\gamma', J \rangle \right] dt + \langle \nabla_{J} J, \gamma' \rangle \Big|_{\delta}^{l}$$

$$= -\int_{\delta}^{l} \langle J'' + R(J,\gamma')\gamma', J \rangle dt + \left[\langle \nabla_{\gamma'} J, J \rangle + \langle \nabla_{J} J, \gamma' \rangle \right] \Big|_{\delta}^{l}$$

$$= -\int_{\delta}^{l} \langle J'' + R(J,\gamma')\gamma', J \rangle dt - \langle J'(\delta) + S_{\gamma'(\delta)}(J(\delta)), J(\delta) \rangle$$

$$= 0.$$

Let σ be the geodesic in Σ_{δ} with $\sigma(0) = \gamma(\delta)$ and $\sigma'(0) = J(\delta)$. For δ small, there is a unique minimizing geodesic γ_s between $\sigma(s)$ and Σ . Since $\varphi''(0) < 0$, $\gamma(\delta)$ is the point on Σ_{δ} that is furthest from Σ , and the second variation of γ_s is strictly negative,

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \right|_{s=0} L(\gamma_s) < 0.$$

Let W be the variation field of the variation γ_s of $\gamma|_{[0,\delta]}$. Then $W(\delta) = J(\delta)$, and for the vector field V along γ given by

$$V(t) = \begin{cases} W(t) & \text{for } 0 \le t \le \delta, \\ J(t) & \text{for } \delta \le t \le l, \end{cases}$$

the second variation of length of γ is strictly less than zero. This contradicts the fact that γ is a minimizing geodesic from p to Σ . Therefore, d_{ε} is smooth in a neighborhood of p and is a smooth support function from above for d at p.

With the superharmonicity of the distance function in our hands we are now able to prove the main theorem.

Definition 2.3. Let Σ be a compact connected embedded hypersurface in a compact manifold M. Σ is said to be *separating* if $M \setminus \Sigma$ has two nonempty connected components, and *nonseparating* if $M \setminus \Sigma$ is connected.

Definition 2.4. A *handlebody* is a 3-manifold with boundary which is homeomorphic to a closed regular neighborhood of a connected properly embedded 1-dimensional CW complex in \mathbb{R}^3 . A surface Σ in a 3-manifold M is called a *Heegaard surface* if Σ separates M into two handlebodies.

Theorem 2.5. Let M be a compact Riemannian n-manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature and Σ a compact connected embedded 2-sided minimal hypersurface in M. Then either

(a) Σ is nonseparating and totally geodesic and M is isometric to a mapping torus

$$\frac{\Sigma \times [0, a]}{(x, 0) \sim (y, a) \text{ iff } \phi(x) = y},$$

where $\phi: \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is an isometry, or

(b) Σ is separating, and if $D_1, D_2 \subset M$ are the components of $M \setminus \Sigma$, then for j = 1, 2 the maps

$$i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{D}_j), \quad i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(M),$$

and $i_*: \pi_1(D_j) \to \pi_1(M)$

induced by the inclusion are all surjective.

If n = 3 and Σ is separating, then Σ is a Heegaard surface.

Proof. (a) Choose a function that is equal to 0 on Σ and in a neighborhood of one side of Σ and equal to 1 in a neighborhood of the other side of Σ . Since Σ is nonseparating, this function can be extended to a smooth function on $M \setminus \Sigma$, and by passing to the quotient mod \mathbb{Z} we obtain a nonconstant smooth function

$$f: M \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{S}^1$$
.

Let $f_*: \pi_1(M) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the induced map on the fundamental groups, and for the universal cover \tilde{M} of M, consider the cyclic cover $\hat{M} = \tilde{M}/G$ of M corresponding to the subgroup $G = \ker f_*$ of $\pi_1(M)$. Since \hat{M} has a geodesic line, the result follows from the splitting theorem [3]. However, we will give an alternate direct proof, which will be needed for the proof of part (b).

Let $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \subset \hat{M}$ be two adjacent preimages of Σ under the projection $\pi: \hat{M} \to M$ such that Σ_1 and Σ_2 bound a connected domain $D \subset \hat{M}$ on which π is 1-1. Here we adopt the arguments of [21]. If d_i is the distance function on D to Σ_i , then our hypotheses on the Ricci curvature of M and the minimality of Σ_i imply that $\Delta d_i \leq 0$ in the barrier sense, by Lemma 2.2. Hence $d_1 + d_2$ is also superharmonic in the barrier sense. But it has an interior minimum on a minimal geodesic γ between Σ_1 and Σ_2 and so by the maximum principle it is constant on D. Then it follows that d_i is harmonic and smooth on D. Recall the Bochner formula for a smooth function u on \hat{M} :

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|du|^2 = |\text{Hess } u|^2 + \langle \nabla u, \nabla(\Delta u) \rangle + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u).$$

Since |du|=1 for $u=d_i$, the formula yields $\mathrm{Hess}\,d_i=0$ on D. Therefore Σ_i is totally geodesic and \hat{M} is isometric to $\Sigma_i\times\mathbb{R}^1$. Thus M is isometric to a mapping torus

$$\frac{\Sigma \times [0, a]}{(x, 0) \sim (y, a) \text{ iff } \phi(x) = y},$$

where a is the length of γ and $\phi: \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is an isometry.

(b) Let $\pi: \tilde{D}_j \to D_j$ be the universal cover of D_j , j=1,2. Extending π up to $\partial \tilde{D}_j$, we claim that $\partial \tilde{D}_j = \pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is connected. If $\partial \tilde{D}_j$ is not connected, let

$$d_0 = \inf \{ d(\Sigma', \Sigma'') : \Sigma' \text{ and } \Sigma'' \text{ are distinct components of } \partial \tilde{D}_j \}.$$

As in [15], there exist components Σ' and Σ'' such that there is a geodesic γ in \tilde{D}_j from Σ' to Σ'' of length d_0 . By continuity, there is a neighborhood of γ in \tilde{D}_j such that the distance functions d' and d'' to Σ' and to Σ'' in \tilde{D}_j are well defined. By the same arguments as in (a) we see that d'+d'' is superharmonic. Note that d'+d'' has interior minimum at all points of γ . As in (a), it follows that a neighborhood of γ is isometric to a product manifold $(\Sigma'\cap U)\times(0,d_0)$, where U is a neighborhood of $\gamma(0)$ in Σ' . Let $\mathcal U$ be the set of points in Σ' that can be connected to Σ'' by a geodesic of length d_0 . By the argument above, $\mathcal U$ is open and $U\subset \mathcal U$. We claim that $\mathcal U$ is also closed. To see this, let p_m be a sequence of points in $\mathcal U$ converging to $p\in\Sigma'$, and let γ_m be a geodesic in \tilde{D}_j of length d_0 from p_m to Σ'' . By passing to a subsequence we can see that there exists a geodesic γ 0 of length γ 0 from γ 0 to γ 1 such that γ 2 converges to γ 3. It may happen that γ 4 hits $\partial \tilde{D}_j \setminus \Sigma'$ 5 at some point γ 2 with dist γ 3 converges to γ 4. But then dist γ 5 both open and closed, γ 6 contradiction. Therefore γ 7 and γ 8 and γ 9 is isometric to the product manifold γ 1 is both open and closed, γ 1. Therefore γ 2 is isometric to the product manifold γ 2 is both open and closed, γ 3 is isometric to the product manifold γ 4 is lence γ 5.

is isometric to $\Sigma \times (0, d_0)$, and so the closure \bar{D}_j is isometric to $\Sigma \times [0, d_0]$ or to a mapping torus. Either case will lead to a contradiction because ∂D_j is not connected in the first case and ∂D_j is empty in the second. Hence $\partial \tilde{D}_j$ is connected, as claimed.

Let ℓ be a loop in \bar{D}_j with base point $p \in \Sigma$. Lift ℓ to a curve $\tilde{\ell}$ in \tilde{D}_j from $p_1 \in \pi^{-1}(p)$ to $p_2 \in \pi^{-1}(p)$. Since $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is connected, there is a curve $\hat{\ell}$ in $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ connecting p_1 to p_2 . Moreover, $\hat{\ell}$ is homotopic to $\tilde{\ell}$ in \tilde{D}_j as \tilde{D}_j is simply connected. Hence $\pi(\hat{\ell})$ is a loop in Σ that is homotopic in D_j to ℓ . Therefore the map $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{D}_j)$ induced by inclusion is surjective.

Let ℓ be a loop in M. Divide ℓ into two parts ℓ_1, ℓ_2 such that $\ell_j \subset D_j$. Cover ℓ_j with a curve $\tilde{\ell}_j$ in \tilde{D}_j as above. By the connectedness of $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ again we have a curve $\hat{\ell}_j$ in $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ with the same end points as $\tilde{\ell}_j$ and homotopic to $\tilde{\ell}_j$. Then $\pi(\hat{\ell}_1) \cup \pi(\hat{\ell}_2)$ is a loop in Σ that is homotopic to ℓ . Hence $i_* : \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(M)$ is also surjective.

Since Σ is 2-sided there exists $\bar{\ell}_j \subset D_j$ which is very close to $\pi(\hat{\ell}_1) \cup \pi(\hat{\ell}_2)$. Hence $\bar{\ell}_j$ and $\pi(\hat{\ell}_1) \cup \pi(\hat{\ell}_2)$ are homotopic and therefore $i_* : \pi_1(D_j) \to \pi_1(M)$ is surjective.

To prove the final statement, suppose n=3. The surjectivity of $i_*:\pi_1(\Sigma)\to \pi_1(\bar{D}_j)$ implies $\pi_1(\bar{D}_j,\Sigma)\approx 0$. Then by [19] we can use the Loop theorem and Dehn's lemma to show that \bar{D}_1 and \bar{D}_2 are handlebodies. Thus Σ is a Heegaard surface.

Corollary 2.6. Let Σ be a compact connected embedded minimal surface in a Riemannian three-sphere M of nonnegative Ricci curvature. Then Σ is unknotted.

Proof. From the Jordan–Brouwer separation theorem it follows that Σ is separating. We show that Σ is unknotted in the sense that if Σ' is a standardly embedded surface of the same genus as Σ in M, then there exists an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $f:M\to M$ such that $f(\Sigma)=\Sigma'$. By Theorem 2.5(b), Σ is a Heegaard surface. It follows from [24] that there is a PL homeomorphism $\tilde{f}:M\to M$ such that $\tilde{f}(\Sigma)=\Sigma'$. Then by results from [14] there exists a smooth map f as claimed. \square

Remark 2.7. It should be mentioned that Meeks and Rosenberg [17] showed a noncompact properly embedded minimal surface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is unknotted.

The result of Frankel shows that two compact minimal hypersurfaces in a manifold of positive Ricci curvature must intersect. However, a manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature can have many disjoint compact minimal hypersurfaces. Furthermore, in the case of negative curvature, there can even exist disjoint hypersurfaces that bound a connected *mean convex* region; for example, spheres equidistant to two disjoint planes in hyperbolic space. On the other hand two disjoint horospheres in hyperbolic space cannot bound a connected mean convex region. This suggests that there can only exist a connected mean convex region with two disjoint boundary components

if the mean curvature is less than a critical number involving a lower bound on the curvature of the ambient manifold.

Here we show this, that in fact Frankel's argument can be extended to the case of manifolds of negative Ricci curvature provided the Ricci curvature is bounded from below and the hypersurfaces have mean curvature that is sufficiently large. We obtain the corresponding result on surjectivity of the natural homomorphism of fundamental groups for compact 2-sided hypersurfaces with mean curvature above this critical (sharp) threshold involving the lower bound on the Ricci curvature. In the 3-dimensional case, such hypersurfaces must bound handlebodies; for example, a compact connected 2-sided hypersurface with mean curvature $|H| \geq 2$ in hyperbolic 3-space bounds a handlebody.

Theorem 2.8. Let M^n be a complete Riemannian manifold of Ricci curvature bounded from below, $\operatorname{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)k$, k>0. Let Σ be a compact hypersurface that bounds a connected region Ω in M. Suppose that the mean curvature vector of Σ points everywhere into Ω , and $H \geq (n-1)\sqrt{k}$. Then Σ is connected, and the map

$$i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{\Omega})$$

induced by the inclusion is surjective. If n = 3 then Ω is a handlebody.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose Σ is not connected. Let Σ_1 and Σ_2 be distinct connected components of Σ . Then there exists a unit speed geodesic $\gamma:[-l/2,l/2]\to M$ with $\gamma(-l/2)=p\in\Sigma_1$ and $\gamma(l/2)=q\in\Sigma_2$ that realizes distance from Σ_1 to Σ_2 , and meets Σ orthogonally at the endpoints on the mean convex side of Σ . Let e_1,\ldots,e_{n-1} be an orthonormal basis for the tangent space to Σ_1 at p, and parallel transport to obtain parallel orthonormal vector fields E_1,\ldots,E_{n-1} along γ . Since γ meets Σ_2 orthogonally, $E_1(q),\ldots,E_{n-1}(q)$ are tangent to Σ_2 at q. Let $V_i(t)=\varphi(t)E_i(t)$ with $\varphi(t)=\frac{1}{c(l)}\cosh(\sqrt{k}\ t)$ and $c(l)=\cosh(\sqrt{k}\ l/2)$. Note that $\varphi''-k\varphi=0$ and $\varphi(-l/2)=\varphi(l/2)=1$. Consider the sum of the second variations of length of γ in the directions V_i :

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} L_{V_i}''(0) \\ &= \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} \left[(n-1)(\varphi')^2 - \varphi^2 \operatorname{Ric}(\gamma'\gamma') \right] dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varphi^2 \langle \nabla_{E_i} E_i, \gamma' \rangle \Big|_{-l/2}^{l/2} \\ &= -\int_{-l/2}^{l/2} \left[(n-1)\varphi \varphi'' + \varphi^2 \operatorname{Ric}(\gamma'\gamma') \right] dt - H_{\Sigma}(p) - H_{\Sigma}(q) + (n-1)\varphi \varphi' \Big|_{-l/2}^{l/2} \\ &\leq -(n-1) \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} \varphi(\varphi'' - k\varphi) \, dt - 2(n-1)\sqrt{k} + 2(n-1)\sqrt{k} \, \tanh \left(\sqrt{k} \, l/2 \right) \\ &= -2(n-1)\sqrt{k} + 2(n-1)\sqrt{k} \, \tanh \left(\sqrt{k} \, l/2 \right) \\ &< 0, \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore Σ is connected. Similarly $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is connected in the universal cover $\tilde{\Omega}$ of Ω under the covering map $\pi: \tilde{\Omega} \to \Omega$. It then follows by arguments as in the proofs of Theorem 2.5(b) that $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{\Omega})$ is surjective, and if n=3 then Ω is a handlebody.

Remark 2.9. The assumption that Σ bounds a region is not necessary. If M^n is a complete Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)k$, k>0, and Σ is a compact 2-sided hypersurface in M with $|H| \geq (n-1)\sqrt{k}$, then it follows that Σ bounds a collection of disjoint connected regions $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_s$ in M such that the mean curvature vector \vec{H} points everywhere into Ω_i , and each has as boundary $\partial \Omega_i$ a connected component of Σ . To see this, first observe that each component Σ' of Σ is separating. If not, we may construct a cyclic cover \hat{M} of M as in the proof of Theorem 2.5(a). Then $\mathrm{Ric}_{\hat{M}} \geq -(n-1)k$, and each component of $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma')$ divides \hat{M} into two infinite pieces. For one of these pieces, Ω , the mean curvature vector \hat{H} of $\partial \Omega$ points everywhere into Ω and satisfies $|H| \geq (n-1)\sqrt{k}$. It follows from [23, Lemma 1] that

$$\operatorname{Vol}(\Omega) \le \frac{1}{n-1} \operatorname{Vol}(\partial \Omega) < \infty,$$

a contradiction. Therefore each component of Σ is separating, and hence Σ bounds a collection of disjoint regions $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_s$ such that \vec{H} points everywhere into Ω_i for $i=1,\ldots,s$. Finally, Theorem 2.8 implies that $\partial\Omega_i$ is connected for each i and hence is a connected component of Σ .

Remark 2.10. This theorem is sharp in the sense that on a hyperbolic surface, disjoint circles of curvature 1 cannot bound a convex region, but on a hyperbolic surface with a cusp there exists a convex annular region with two boundary components (cross sections of the cusp) that have curvature slightly less than, but arbitrarily close to 1. One can construct analogous *compact* examples in higher dimensions in quotients of hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . Two disjoint horospheres with H = n - 1 cannot bound a connected mean convex region in hyperbolic n-space \mathbb{H}^n , but in the half-space model of \mathbb{H}^n there can exist a connected convex region bounded by the two hyperplanes P_1 , P_2 with $\partial P_1 = \partial P_2 \subset \partial \mathbb{H}^n$ and making angles θ , $\pi - \theta$ with $\partial \mathbb{H}^n$; the boundary components have mean curvature slightly less than, but arbitrarily close to n-1 as $\theta \to 0$.

3. Convex domain

In this section the Riemannian manifold M^n will be assumed to have nonempty boundary ∂M . Suppose that M has nonnegative Ricci curvature and ∂M is strictly convex. Recall that Frankel [6] showed that two compact immersed minimal hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold M of positive Ricci curvature must intersect.

Fraser and Li [7, Lemma 2.4] extended Frankel's theorem to two properly embedded minimal hypersurfaces Σ_1, Σ_2 in M, i.e. $\partial \Sigma_i \subset \partial M$, i=1,2, meeting ∂M orthogonally. They also showed ([7, Corollary 2.10]) that if Σ is a properly embedded orientable minimal hypersurface in M meeting ∂M orthogonally, then Σ divides M into two connected components D_1 and D_2 . We show that the maps $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{D_j}), j=1,2$, are surjective and that Σ is unknotted when n=3. We also prove some corresponding results in the case where the Ricci curvature is bounded from below by a negative constant.

Lemma 3.1. Let M be an n-dimensional compact manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature with strictly convex boundary ∂M . Suppose that Σ is a properly embedded minimal hypersurface in M meeting ∂M orthogonally. Then the maps $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(M)$ and $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{D}_j)$, j=1,2, are surjective, where D_1, D_2 are the components of $M \setminus \Sigma$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{D_j}$ be the universal cover of D_j with the projection map $\pi: \tilde{D_j} \to D_j$. Since $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is connected, by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.5(b) we easily get the surjectivity of $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{D_j})$. Applying the same arguments to $\pi: \tilde{M} \to M$, we get the surjectivity of $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(M)$ as well. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let M be a 3-dimensional compact orientable Riemannian manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature. Suppose M has nonempty boundary ∂M which is strictly convex with respect to the inward unit normal. Then an orientable properly embedded minimal surface Σ in M meeting ∂M orthogonally divides M into two handlebodies.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we have $\pi_1(\bar{D}_1, \Sigma) = \pi_1(\bar{D}_2, \Sigma) = 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.5, using the Loop theorem and Dehn's lemma, we conclude that D_1 and D_2 are handlebodies.

Corollary 3.3. Let M be a 3-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature with nonempty strictly convex boundary ∂M . Then any orientable properly embedded minimal surface Σ in M orthogonal to ∂M is unknotted.

Proof. M is diffeomorphic to the 3-ball B^3 by [7, Theorem 2.11]. Σ divides M into two handlebodies by Theorem 3.2. It then follows from [8, Theorem 2.1] that Σ is unknotted.

We also have a version in the case of curvature with a negative lower bound.

Theorem 3.4. Let M^n be a compact Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary. Suppose M has Ricci curvature bounded from below $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)k$, k>0, and the boundary ∂M is strictly convex with respect to the inward unit normal. Let Σ be a hypersurface in M that bounds a connected region Ω in M and makes a constant

contact angle $\theta \leq \pi/2$ with $\partial \Omega \cap \partial M$. Suppose that the mean curvature vector of Σ points everywhere into Ω , and $H \geq (n-1)\sqrt{k}$. Then Σ is connected, and the map

$$i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{\Omega})$$

induced by the inclusion is surjective.

Proof. Suppose Σ is not connected. Let Σ_1 and Σ_2 be two distinct connected components of Σ . Let d_1 and d_2 be the distance functions on Ω from Σ_1 and Σ_2 respectively. Since ∂M is convex and Σ_i , i=1,2, makes a contact angle $\leq \pi/2$ with $\partial\Omega\cap\partial M$, for any point x in $\Omega\setminus\Sigma_i$, $d_i(x)$ is realized by a geodesic in Ω from x to an interior point y on Σ_i . Then there exists a geodesic y in Ω from some interior point $p\in\Sigma_1$ to some interior point $q\in\Sigma_2$, that realizes the distance from Σ_1 to Σ_2 , and meets Σ_1 and Σ_2 orthogonally. But as in the proof of Theorem 2.8 the Ricci curvature lower bound and assumption on the mean curvature of Σ_1 and Σ_2 imply that y is unstable, a contradiction. Therefore Σ is connected.

Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be the universal cover of Ω with projection map $\pi: \tilde{\Omega} \to \Omega$. By the same argument as above, $\partial \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \pi^{-1}(\partial M)$ must be connected, and we easily get the surjectivity of $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(\bar{\Omega})$.

Corollary 3.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.4, if n = 3 then Ω is a handlebody.

4. Nonexistence

As an application of the surjectivity of $i_*: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_1(M)$ Frankel showed that \mathbb{S}^{n-1} cannot be minimally embedded in \mathbb{P}^n . In this section we further utilize the surjectivity of i_* and prove nonexistence of some minimal embeddings in T^n .

Meeks [16] proved that a compact surface of genus 2 cannot be minimally immersed in a flat 3-torus T^3 . He used the fact that the Gauss map of a minimal surface $\Sigma \subset T^3$ into \mathbb{S}^2 has degree one. A theorem of a similar nature can be proved in higher dimension by using the surjectivity of i_* .

Theorem 4.1. Let N be a compact orientable (n-1)-dimensional manifold and suppose the minimal number of generators of $\pi_1(N)$ is k.

- (a) If k < n 1, N cannot be minimally embedded in the n-dimensional flat torus T^n .
- (b) If k = n 1 and N is minimally embedded in T^n , then N is a flat T^{n-1} .
- (c) If k > n-1 and N is minimally embedded in T^n , then N is separating and the number of generators of $\pi_1(D_j)$ must be bigger than n-1 for j=1,2 $(D_1 \cup D_2 = T^n \setminus N)$.

Proof. Let N^{n-1} be an embedded minimal submanifold in T^n with $k \le n-1$. Then the map $i_*: \pi_1(N) \to \pi_1(T^n)$ is not surjective. Hence from Theorem 2.5 we conclude that N is nonseparating and totally geodesic in T^n . Hence N is a flat T^{n-1} and k = n-1. Therefore N cannot be minimally embedded in T^n in case k < n-1. If k > n-1, then N must be separating and (c) follows from the surjectivity of $i_*: \pi_1(D_i) \to \pi_1(T^n)$ in Theorem 2.5(b).

Remark 4.2. In case n = 3, Theorem 4.1(c) gives a new proof of the Meeks theorem mentioned above for the embedded case.

Let $\Gamma_k \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the union of k loops $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ in \mathbb{R}^2 with $\gamma_i \cap \gamma_j = \{p\}$ for every pair $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ and let Γ_k^n be the ε -tubular neighborhood of Γ_k in \mathbb{R}^n . Γ_k^n can be seen as a high-dimensional handlebody in \mathbb{R}^n . Note that $\partial \Gamma_k^n$ is diffeomorphic to $\#_k(\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{S}^1)$, the connected sum of k copies of $\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{S}^1$, and that $\pi_1(\partial \Gamma_k^n)$ has k generators when $n \geq 4$. Since $\partial \Gamma_{n-1}^n$ is not diffeomorphic to T^{n-1} , Theorem 4.1 implies that $\partial \Gamma_k^n$ cannot be minimally embedded in T^n for any $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Schwarz's P-surface is a minimal surface of genus 3 in the cubic torus T^3 . One can generalize this surface to higher dimension as follows. T^n has a 1-dimensional skeleton L_n which is homeomorphic to Γ_n . There also exists its dual L'_n that is a parallel translation of L_n . One can foliate $T^n \setminus (L_n \cup L'_n)$ by a 1-parameter family of (n-1)-dimensional hypersurfaces which are diffeomorphic to $\partial \Gamma_n^n$ and sweeping out from L_n to L'_n . Applying the minimax argument, one could find a minimal hypersurface Σ from this family of hypersurfaces [4]. Σ should be diffeomorphic to $\partial \Gamma_n^n$ and $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ should have n generators. Therefore the upper bound n-1 in Theorem 4.1 is sharp.

We know that every positively curved \mathbb{S}^2 has a closed geodesic. And the Clifford torus

$$\mathbb{S}^1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

is minimally embedded in \mathbb{S}^3 . Moreover,

$$\mathbb{S}^1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

is minimally embedded in \mathbb{S}^5 ; however, its codimension is 2. Then it is natural to ask the following:

Question. Can one minimally embed T^n $(n \ge 3)$ in a Riemannian sphere \mathbb{S}^{n+1} of nonnegative Ricci curvature?

References

[1] M. Anderson and L. Rodriguez, Minimal surfaces and 3-manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature, *Math. Ann.*, **284** (1989), 461–475. Zbl 0653.53024 MR 1001714

- [2] E. Calabi, An extension of E. Hopf's maximum principle with an application to Riemannian geometry, *Duke Math. J.*, **25** (1958), 45–56. Zbl 0079.11801 MR 92069
- [3] J. Cheeger and D. Gromoll, The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature, *J. Differential Geom.*, **6** (1971/72), 119–128. Zbl 0223.53033 MR 303460
- [4] J. Choe and J. Hoppe, Higher dimensional Schwarz's surfaces and Scherk's surfaces, *preprint*, 2016. arXiv:1607.07153
- [5] C. Croke and B. Kleiner, A warped product splitting theorem, *Duke Math. J.*, 67 (1992), no. 3, 571–574. Zbl 0772.53030 MR 1181314
- [6] T. Frankel, On the fundamental group of a compact minimal submanifold, *Ann. of Math.*, **83** (1966), 68–73. Zbl 0189.22401 MR 187183
- [7] A. Fraser and M. Li, Compactness of the space of embedded minimal surfaces with free boundary in three-manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature and convex boundary, *J. Differential Geom.*, **96** (2014), no. 2, 183–200. Zbl 1295.53062 MR 3178438
- [8] C. Frohman, Heegaard splittings of the three-ball, in *Topology '90 (Columbus, OH, 1990)*, 123–131, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 1, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992. Zbl 0780.57009 MR 1184407
- [9] G. Galloway, A note on the fundamental group of a compact minimal hypersurface, *Pacific J. Math.*, **126** (1987), 243–257. Zbl 0641.53056 MR 869778
- [10] G. Galloway and L. Rodriguez, Intersections of minimal submanifolds, *Geom. Dedicata*, **39** (1991), 29–42. Zbl 0724.53037 MR 1116207
- [11] J. Hadamard, Sur certaines propriétés des trajectoires en Dynamique, J. Math. (5), 3 (1897), 331–387. Zbl 28.0643.01
- [12] R. Hamilton, Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, *J. Differential Geom.*, **17** (1982), no. 2, 255–306. Zbl 0504.53034 MR 664497
- [13] R. Hermann, Focal points of closed submanifolds of Riemannian spaces, *Proc. Ned. Akad. Wet.*, **66** (1963), 613–628. Zbl 0117.38702 MR 158333
- [14] M. Hirsch and B. Mazur, *Smoothings of piecewise linear manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 80, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974. Zbl 0298.57007 MR 415630
- [15] H. B. Lawson, The unknottedness of minimal embeddings, *Invent. Math.*, **11** (1970), 183–187. Zbl 0205.52002 MR 287447
- [16] W. H. Meeks, The conformal structure and geometry of triply periodic minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1977), 134–136. Zbl 0349.53005 MR 425787
- [17] W. H. Meeks and H. Rosenberg, The theory of minimal surfaces in $M \times \mathbb{R}$, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **80** (2005), 811–858. Zbl 1085.53049 MR 2182702
- [18] S. B. Myers, Riemannian manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, *Duke Math. J.*, **8** (1941), 401–404. Zbl 0025.22704 MR 4518
- [19] C. D. Papakyriakopoulos, On solid tori, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3 (1957), 281–299.
 Zbl 0078.16305 MR 87944
- [20] P. Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 171, Springer, New York, 2006. Zbl 1220.53002 MR 2243772
- [21] P. Petersen and F. Wilhelm, On Frankel's theorem, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 46 (2003), 130–139. Zbl 1035.53080 MR 1955620

- [22] R. Reilly, Applications of the Hessian operator in a Riemannian manifold, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **26** (1977), 459–472. Zbl 0391.53019 MR 474149
- [23] R. Schoen, A lower bound for the first eigenvalue of a negatively curved manifold, *J. Differential Geom.*, **17** (1982), no. 2, 233–238. Zbl 0516.53048 MR 664495
- [24] F. Waldhausen, Heegaard-Zerlegungen der 3-Sphäre, Topology, 7 (1968), 195–203. Zbl 0157.54501 MR 227992
- [25] W. Wylie, A warped product version of the Cheeger–Gromoll splitting theorem, 2016. arXiv:1506.03800

Received May 20, 2016

J. Choe, Korea Institute for Advanced Study,85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02455, Korea

E-mail: choe@kias.re.kr

A. Fraser, Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, 121-1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada

E-mail: afraser@math.ubc.ca