Zeitschrift:	Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber:	Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band:	92 (2017)
Heft:	1
Artikel:	Free loci of matrix pencils and domains of noncommutative rational functions
Autor:	Klep, Igor / Voli, Jurij
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-685881

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. <u>Mehr erfahren</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. <u>En savoir plus</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. <u>Find out more</u>

Download PDF: 20.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Comment. Math. Helv. 92 (2017), 105–130 DOI 10.4171/CMH/408

Free loci of matrix pencils and domains of noncommutative rational functions

Igor Klep* and Jurij Volčič**

Abstract. Consider a monic linear pencil $L(x) = I - A_1 x_1 - \cdots - A_g x_g$ whose coefficients A_j are $d \times d$ matrices. It is naturally evaluated at g-tuples of matrices X using the Kronecker tensor product, which gives rise to its free locus $\mathscr{Z}(L) = \{X : \det L(X) = 0\}$. In this article it is shown that the algebras \mathcal{A} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by the coefficients of two linear pencils L and \widetilde{L} , respectively, with equal free loci are isomorphic up to radical, i.e., $\mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad}\mathcal{A} \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}/\operatorname{rad}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Furthermore, $\mathscr{Z}(L) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(\widetilde{L})$ if and only if the natural map sending the coefficients of \widetilde{L} to the coefficients of L induces a homomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}/\operatorname{rad}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad}\mathcal{A}$. Since linear pencils are a key ingredient in studying noncommutative rational functions via realization theory, the above results lead to a characterization of all noncommutative rational functions with a given domain. Finally, a quantum version of Kippenhahn's conjecture on linear pencils is formulated and proved: if hermitian matrices A_1, \ldots, A_g generate $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ as an algebra, then there exist hermitian matrices X_1, \ldots, X_g such that $\sum_i A_i \otimes X_i$ has a simple eigenvalue.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 15A22, 14P05, 16R30; 26C15, 16K40, 16N40.

Keywords. Linear pencil, noncommutative rational function, realization theory, free locus, real algebraic geometry, hyperbolic polynomial, Kippenhahn's conjecture.

1. Introduction

Let k be a field of characteristic 0 and let $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_g \in M_d(k)$. The formal affine linear combination $L(x) = A_0 - A_1 x_1 - \cdots - A_g x_g$, where x_i are freely noncommuting variables, is called an *affine linear pencil*. If $A_0 = I_d$ is the $d \times d$ identity matrix, then L is a *(monic) linear pencil*.

Linear pencils are a key tool in matrix theory and numerical analysis (e.g. the generalized eigenvalue problem), and they frequently appear in algebraic geometry (cf. [4, 16]). Linear pencils whose coefficients are symmetric or hermitian matrices give rise to linear matrix inequalities (LMIs), a pillar of control theory, where many classical problems can be converted to LMIs [2, 12, 39]. LMIs also give rise to

^{*}Supported by the Marsden Fund Council of the Royal Society of New Zealand. Partially supported by the Slovenian Research Agency grants P1-0222, L1-4292 and L1-6722.

^{**}Research supported by The University of Auckland Doctoral Scholarship.

I. Klep and J. Volčič

feasible regions of semidefinite programs in mathematical optimization [42]. In quantum information theory [31] and operator algebras [33] hermitian linear pencils are intimately connected to operator spaces and systems, and completely positive maps [21]. Lastly, LMIs, linear pencils and their determinants are studied from a theoretical perspective in real algebraic geometry [10, 23, 27, 30].

In this paper we associate to each linear pencil L its free (singular) locus $\mathscr{Z}(L)$, which is defined as the set of all tuples of matrices X over \Bbbk such that

$$L(X) = I \otimes I - \sum_{i=1}^{g} A_i \otimes X_i$$

is a singular matrix; here \otimes denotes the Kronecker tensor product. We will address the following question: If $\mathscr{Z}(L) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(\widetilde{L})$, what can be said about the relation between the coefficients of L and L?

Our interest in linear pencils originates from their relation with the free skew field of noncommutative rational functions [7, 15, 36]. Namely, if r is a noncommutative rational function that is regular at the origin, then there exists a monic linear pencil Land vectors **b**, **c** over \mathbb{k} such that

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{c}^t L^{-1} \mathbf{b}. \tag{1.1}$$

Such presentations of noncommutative rational functions, called realizations, are powerful tools in automata theory [8], control theory [2,25] and free probability [5]. One way of defining noncommutative rational functions is through matrix evaluations of formal noncommutative rational expressions [22, 24, 40]. This gives rise to the notion of a domain of a noncommutative rational function, i.e., the set of all matrix tuples where it can be evaluated. While a realization of the form (1.1) is not unique, there is a canonical, "smallest" one $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{c}_0^t L_0^{-1} \mathbf{b}_0$. The domain of \mathbf{r} is then the complement of the free locus $\mathscr{Z}(L_0)$ [24]. It is thus natural to ask: (a) When is a noncommutative rational function regular, i.e., defined everywhere? (b) When is the domain of a rational function contained in the domain of another one? (c) What can be said about the set of all rational functions with a given domain?

1.1. Main results. Our first main result is a Singularitätstellensatz for linear pencils explaining when free loci of two linear pencils are comparable. If $L = I - \sum_{i} A_{i} x_{i}$ is a monic pencil of size d, let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq M_d(\mathbb{k})$ be the k-algebra generated by A_i . We say that L is minimal if it is of minimal size among all pencils with the same free locus.

Theorem A (Singularitätstellensatz). Let L and \widetilde{L} be monic linear pencils. Then $\mathscr{Z}(L) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(\widetilde{L})$ if and only if there exists a homomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}/\operatorname{rad}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad}\mathcal{A}$ induced by $\widetilde{A}_i \mapsto A_i$.

Moreover, if L, \widetilde{L} are minimal and $\mathcal{A}, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ are semisimple, then $\mathscr{Z}(L) = \mathscr{Z}(\widetilde{L})$ if and only if there exists an invertible matrix P such that $\widetilde{A}_i = PA_iP^{-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq g$, i.e., the linear pencil \widetilde{L} is a conjugate of L.

The first part of Theorem A is proved as Theorem 3.6 in Subsection 3.3. The second statement appears in Subsection 3.4 as Theorem 3.11.

Next we combine the Singularitätstellensatz with the aforementioned realization theory. First we elucidate everywhere-defined noncommutative rational functions. Theorem 4.2 is an effective version of the following statement.

Theorem B. A regular noncommutative rational function is a noncommutative polynomial.

A domain of a noncommutative rational function is co-irreducible if it is not an intersection of larger domains. We say that a noncommutative rational function \mathbf{r} is irreducible if $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{c}^t L_A^{-1} \mathbf{b}$, where L_A is a minimal monic pencil and \mathcal{A} is simple. For every co-irreducible domain D we can find a finite family of linearly independent irreducible functions $\mathcal{R}(D)$ such that every irreducible function with domain D lies in the linear span of $\mathcal{R}(D)$. A precise characterization of noncommutative rational functions with a given domain is now as follows.

Theorem C. If a noncommutative rational function \mathbb{r} is defined at the origin, then its domain equals $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and co-irreducible D_j , and \mathbb{r} is a noncommutative polynomial in $\{x_1, \ldots, x_g\} \cup \mathcal{R}(D_1) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{R}(D_s)$.

See Theorem 4.6 in Subsection 4.2 for the proof.

Lastly, we apply our techniques to prove the quantum version of Kippenhahn's conjecture [26]. The original conjecture was as follows: if hermitian $d \times d$ matrices H_1 and H_2 generate the whole $M_d(\mathbb{C})$, then there exist real numbers α_1 and α_2 such that $\alpha_1 H_1 + \alpha_2 H_2$ has a simple nonzero eigenvalue. While this is false in general [28], we show it is true in a quantum setting.

Theorem D. If $A_1, \ldots, A_g \in M_d(\mathbb{k})$ generate $M_d(\mathbb{k})$ as a \mathbb{k} -algebra, then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_g \in M_n(\mathbb{k})$ such that $\sum_i X_i \otimes A_i$ has a nonzero eigenvalue with geometric multiplicity 1. If $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$ and A_i are hermitian, then X_i can also be chosen hermitian.

The proof of Theorem D is given in Subsection 5.2.

1.2. Reader's guide. The paper is organized as follows. We start by introducing the basic notation and terminology of monic linear pencils, noncommutative rational functions and realizations in Section 2. The inclusion problem for free loci is treated in Section 3. Our main tools are the algebraization trick (Lemma 3.1) and the role of the nilradical of the algebra generated by the coefficients of a monic pencil (Proposition 3.3). The first part of the Singularitätstellensatz is stated in Theorem 3.6, while Theorem 3.11 asserts that minimal pencils with the same free locus are unique up to conjugation. The connection between the free locus and the semisimple

algebra assigned to a pencil is further investigated in Proposition 3.12 that relates irreducible components of the free locus to the Artin–Wedderburn decomposition of the corresponding semisimple algebra.

In Section 4 we apply the preceding results to noncommutative rational functions and their domains. Corollary 4.1 solves the inclusion problem for domains of noncommutative rational functions in terms of their minimal realizations. As a consequence, Theorem 4.2 proves that every regular noncommutative rational function (in the sense of being defined everywhere) is a polynomial, which furthermore implies Douglas' lemma for noncommutative rational functions (Corollary 4.3). In Subsection 4.2 we introduce the notion of co-irreducible domains and derive a precise description of functions with a given domain in Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 4.6.

Finally we focus on symmetric and hermitian pencils, which are ubiquitous in real algebraic geometry [23,30] and optimization [21,27]. Section 5 starts by introducing the free real locus assigned to a symmetric or hermitian pencil. Theorem 5.4 is the *-analog of the Singularitätstellensatz, but instead of noncommutative ring theory its proof crucially relies on properties of hyperbolic polynomials [20,35] and the real Nullstellensatz [9]. Subsection 5.2 discusses a relaxation of Kippenhahn's conjecture; its involution-free and hermitian version are resolved by Corollaries 5.6 and 5.7, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce basic notation and the main objects of our study: linear pencils and their (zero) loci, and noncommutative rational functions together with their domains.

2.1. Basic notation. Throughout the text let k be a field of characteristic 0. If $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_g\}$ is an alphabet, then $\langle x \rangle$ denotes the free monoid over x and $1 \in \langle x \rangle$ denotes the empty word. Let $k \langle x \rangle$ be the free k-algebra of noncommutative (nc) polynomials. By $k \langle x \rangle_+$ we denote its subspace of nc polynomials with zero constant term. For $w \in \langle x \rangle$ let $|w| \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the length of w and $\langle x \rangle_h = \{w \in \langle x \rangle: |w| = h\}$. If y is another alphabet and $x \cap y = \emptyset$, then for $w \in \langle x \cup y \rangle$ let $|w|_y$ denote the number of occurrences of elements from y in w. Lastly, $\stackrel{\text{ove}}{\sim}$ denotes the cyclic equivalence relation on words, i.e., $w_1 \stackrel{\text{ove}}{\sim} w_2$ if and only if there exist words u and v such that $w_1 = uv$ and $w_2 = vu$. Equivalently, w_1 is a cyclic permutation of w_2 .

2.1.1. Free locus of a linear pencil. If $A_1, \ldots, A_g \in M_d(\mathbb{k})$, then

$$L = I - \sum_{i=1}^{g} A_i x_i \in M_d(\mathbb{k} < \mathbf{x} >)$$

is called a *monic linear pencil* of size d. We write $L = L_A$ if we want to emphasize which coefficients appear in L. The evaluation of L at a point $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_g) \in M_n(\mathbb{k})^g$ is defined using the (Kronecker) tensor product

$$L(X) = I \otimes I - \sum_{i=1}^{g} A_i \otimes X_i \in M_{nd}(\Bbbk).$$

The free (singular) locus of L is the set

$$\mathscr{Z}(L) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathscr{Z}_n(L), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathscr{Z}_n(L) = \{X \in M_n(\mathbb{k})^g : \det(L(X)) = 0\}.$$

$$(2.1)$$

Clearly, each $\mathscr{Z}_n(L)$ is an algebraic subset of $M_n(\Bbbk)^g$.

2.2. Noncommutative rational functions. We introduce noncommutative rational functions using matrix evaluations of formal rational expressions following [22,25]. Originally they were defined ring-theoretically, cf. [7, 15]. A syntactically valid combination of nc polynomials, arithmetic operations +, \cdot , $^{-1}$ and parentheses (,) is called a *noncommutative* (*nc*) rational expression. The set of all nc rational expressions is denoted $\mathcal{R}_{\Bbbk}(\mathbf{x})$. For example, $(1 + x_3^{-1}x_2) + 1$, $x_1 + (-x_1)$ and 0^{-1} are elements of $\mathcal{R}_{\Bbbk}(\mathbf{x})$.

Every polynomial $f \in \mathbb{k} < x >$ can be naturally evaluated at a point $A \in M_n(\mathbb{k})^g$ by replacing x_j with A_j and 1 with I; the result is $f(A) \in M_n(\mathbb{k})$. We can naturally extend evaluations of nc polynomials to evaluations of nc rational expressions. Given $r \in \mathcal{R}_k(x)$, then r(A) is defined in the obvious way if all inverses appearing in rexist at A. Let dom_n r be the set of all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{k})$ such that r is defined at r. Then the *domain* of a nc rational expression r is

$$\operatorname{dom} r = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{dom}_n r$$

and *r* is *non-degenerate* if dom $r \neq \emptyset$.

On the set of all non-degenerate nc rational expressions we define an equivalence relation $r_1 \sim r_2$ if and only if $r_1(A) = r_2(A)$ for all $A \in \text{dom } r_1 \cap \text{dom } r_2$. Then *noncommutative* (*nc*) rational functions are the equivalence classes of nondegenerate nc rational expressions. By [25, Proposition 2.1] they form a skew field denoted $\Bbbk \langle x \rangle$. It is the universal skew field of fractions of $\Bbbk \langle x \rangle$ [15, Section 4.5]. I. Klep and J. Volčič

For $r \in k \langle x \rangle$ let dom_n r be the union of dom_n r over all representatives $r \in \mathcal{R}_k(x)$ of r. Then the *domain* of a nc rational function r is

$$\operatorname{dom} \mathbb{r} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{dom}_n \mathbb{r}.$$

2.2.1. Realizations. Let $\Bbbk \langle x \rangle_0 \subset \Bbbk \langle x \rangle$ denote the local subring of nc rational functions that are regular at the origin:

$$\mathbb{k} \langle x \rangle_0 = \{ \mathbb{r} \in \mathbb{k} \langle x \rangle : 0 \in \operatorname{dom} \mathbb{r} \}.$$

A very powerful tool for operating with elements from $\mathbb{k} \langle x \rangle_0$ is realization theory. If $\mathbb{r} \in \mathbb{k} \langle x \rangle_0$, then there exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{k}^d$ and a monic linear pencil *L* of size *d* such that

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{c}^t L^{-1} \mathbf{b}.$$

Such a triple $(\mathbf{c}, L, \mathbf{b})$ is called a *realization of* \mathbf{r} of size d. We refer to [8, 22] for a good exposition on classical realization theory; also see [40] for realizations about arbitrary matrix points which can consequently be applied to arbitrary nc rational functions.

Let us fix $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{k} \langle x \rangle_0$. In general, \mathbf{r} admits various realizations. A realization of \mathbf{r} whose size is minimal among all realizations of \mathbf{r} is called *minimal*. The following facts comprise the importance of minimal realizations.

- Minimal realizations are unique up to similarity by [8, Theorem 2.4]. That is, if (c, L, b) and (c', L', b') are minimal realizations of r of size d, then there exists P ∈ GL_d(k) such that c' = P^{-t}c, L' = PLP⁻¹ and b' = Pb.
- (2) If $(\mathbf{c}, L, \mathbf{b})$ is a minimal realization of \mathbf{r} , then

dom
$$\mathbb{r} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{ X \in M_n(\mathbb{k})^g : \det(L(X)) \neq 0 \} = \mathscr{Z}(L)^c$$

by [24, Theorem 3.1] and [41, Theorem 3.10].

(3) By [8, Section II.3], there is an efficient algorithm that provides us with a minimal realization of r.

Hence the domain of a nc rational function regular at 0 can be described as a complement of a free locus. Similar result also holds for an arbitrary rational function [40, Corollary 5.9].

3. Inclusion problem for free loci

In this section we investigate when free loci of two linear pencils are comparable. The main results are the Singularitätstellensätze 3.6 and 3.11. Theorem 3.6 shows

CMH

that inclusion of free loci is equivalent to the existence of a homomorphism between semisimple algebras associated to the two pencils. Theorem 3.11 proves that (under natural minimality assumptions) two pencils with the same free locus are similar, i.e. one is a conjugate of the other. Our main technical ingredient in the proofs is the algebraization trick of Subsection 3.1, which relates properties of a linear pencil to properties of the matrix algebra generated by the coefficients of the pencils.

3.1. Algebraization trick. Lemma 3.1 will be used repeatedly in the sequel to pass from a pencil L_A to the k-algebra \mathcal{A} generated by matrices A_1, \ldots, A_g .

Lemma 3.1. For every $f \in \mathbb{k} < x >_+$ and $X_i, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{k})$ there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X'_i \in M_N(\mathbb{k})$ such that

$$\dim \ker(L_A(X) - f(A) \otimes Y) = \dim \ker L_A(X')$$
(3.1)

for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_i \in M_d(\mathbb{k})$.

Proof. We prove a slightly stronger statement: for every $f \in \mathbb{k} < x >_+$, $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_g, Z_1, \ldots, Z_h, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{k})$ there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X'_1, \ldots, X'_g, Z'_1, \ldots, Z'_h \in M_N(\mathbb{k})$ such that

$$\dim \ker(L_{A,C}(X,Z) - f(A) \otimes Y) = \dim \ker L_{A,C}(X',Z')$$
(3.2)

for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_1, \ldots, A_g, C_1, \ldots, C_h \in M_d(\mathbb{k})$, where $L_{A,C}(x, z) = I - \sum_i A_i x_i - \sum_k C_k z_k$.

First observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \ker \begin{pmatrix} I & M_1 \\ M_2 & M \end{pmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad u_2 \in \ker(M - M_2 M_1), \ u_1 = -M_1 u_2$$

for all matrices M, M_1, M_2 of consistent sizes and therefore

$$\dim \ker(M - M_2 M_1) = \dim \ker \begin{pmatrix} I & M_1 \\ M_2 & M \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.3)

If the stronger statement holds for f and g, then it also holds for $\alpha f + \beta g$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{k}$ since

$$\dim \ker(L_{A,C}(X, Z) - (\alpha f + \beta g)(A) \otimes Y)$$

=
$$\dim \ker(L_{A,C,f(A)}(X, Z, \alpha Y) - g(A) \otimes \beta Y)$$

=
$$\dim \ker L_{A,C,f(A)}(X', Z', Y')$$

=
$$\dim \ker(L_{A,C}(X', Z') - f(A) \otimes Y')$$

=
$$\dim \ker L_{A,C}(X'', Z'')$$

for appropriate $X'_i, Z'_j, Y' \in M_{N_1}(\Bbbk)$ and $X''_i, Z''_j \in M_{N_2}(\Bbbk)$ that exist by assumption. Hence it suffices to establish the statement for $f = w \in \langle x \rangle \setminus \{1\}$.

We prove (3.2) by induction on |w|. The case |w| = 1 is clear, so assume that (3.2) holds for all words of length $\ell \ge 1$. If $w = x_j v$ for $|v| = \ell$, then

$$\dim \ker (L_{A,C}(X,Z) - w(A) \otimes Y)$$

$$= \dim \ker \begin{pmatrix} I \otimes I & -v(A) \otimes I \\ -A_j \otimes Y & L_{A,C}(X,Z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \dim \ker \left(L_{A,C} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix} \right) - A_j \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix} - v(A) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \dim \ker L_{A,C}(X',Z')$$

for some $X'_i, Z'_j \in M_N(\Bbbk)$ by (3.3), conjugation with an invertible matrix, and the induction hypothesis.

As it follows from the proof, the number N in the statement of Lemma 3.1 can be bounded by a function which is polynomial in n and exponential in the degree of fand number of terms in f.

Corollary 3.2. If $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_B)$, then $\mathscr{Z}(L_A - f(A)y) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_B - f(B)y)$ for every $f \in \mathbb{k} < x >_+$.

Proof. If $(X, Y) \in \mathscr{Z}(L_A - f(A)y)$, let X' be as in Lemma 3.1. Then $X' \in \mathscr{Z}(L_A)$ and therefore $X' \in \mathscr{Z}(L_B)$ by assumption, so $(X, Y) \in \mathscr{Z}(L_B - f(B)y)$ since the choice of X' is independent of the pencils L_A and L_B .

3.2. Jointly nilpotent coefficients. The question whether an evaluation of a pencil $L_A(x)$ is invertible might be independent of some of the variables in x. In this subsection we show that in this case their corresponding coefficients in L_A generate a nilpotent ideal. Moreover, we provide explicit polynomial bounds originating from the theory of polynomial trace identities [34] and bounds on lengths of generating sets of matrix subalgebras [32] to check whether this happens.

Let \mathcal{A} be a (possibly non-unital) finite-dimensional k-algebra. If $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is its generating set, then we define the *length* of S as

$$\ell(S) = \min \left\{ l \in \mathbb{N} : \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} S^{j} \text{ linearly spans } \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

Here S^{j} is the set of all products of j elements of S. Denote

$$\lambda(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & d = 1, \\ \left\lceil d\sqrt{\frac{2d^2}{d-1} + \frac{1}{4}} + \frac{d}{2} - 2 \right\rceil & d \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

By [32, Theorem 3.1] we have $\ell(S) \leq \lambda(d) \approx \sqrt{2}d^{3/2}$ for every generating set S of $\mathcal{A} \subseteq M_d(\mathbb{k})$.

In the sequel we also require the following notion. For $g, n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\mathbb{k}[\boldsymbol{\xi}] = \mathbb{k}\Big[\xi_{\iota j}^{(i)}: 1 \le i \le g, \ 1 \le \iota, \ j \le n\Big]$$

be the ring of polynomials in gn^2 commutative indeterminates. The distinguished matrices

$$\Xi_{i} = \left(\xi_{ij}^{(i)}\right)_{ij} \in M_{n} \left(\mathbb{k}\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}\right]\right)$$

are called the *generic* $n \times n$ matrices [11, Section 6.7].

Proposition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq M_d(\mathbb{k})$ be the \mathbb{k} -algebra generated by

$$A_1,\ldots,A_g,N_1,\ldots,N_h\in M_d(\Bbbk),$$

and let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be the ideal generated by N_1, \ldots, N_h . If $m \geq \lambda(d)$ and

$$\det\left(L_A(X) - \sum_j N_j \otimes Y_j\right) = \det(L_A(X)) \tag{3.4}$$

holds for all $X_i, Y_j \in M_m(\mathbb{k})$, then \mathcal{N} is a nilpotent ideal in \mathcal{A} .

Conversely, if \mathcal{N} is nilpotent, (3.4) holds for all $X_i, Y_j \in M_n(\mathbb{k})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume (3.4) holds. Let Ξ_i be generic $m \times m$ matrices. As a matrix over the ring of formal power series $\mathbb{k}[[\xi]]$, $L_A(\Xi)$ is invertible and

$$L_A(\Xi)^{-1} = \sum_{w \in \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle} w(A) \otimes w(\Xi)$$
(3.5)

by the Neumann series expansion. Then (3.4) implies

$$\det\left(I\otimes I - \left(\sum_{j}N_{j}\otimes Y_{j}\right)L_{A}(\Xi)^{-1}\right) = 1$$

for every $Y_i \in M_m(\Bbbk)$. In particular, for

$$p(t) = \det\left(I \otimes I - t\left(\sum_{j} N_{j} \otimes Y_{j}\right) L_{A}(\Xi)^{-1}\right) \in \mathbb{k}[[\boldsymbol{\xi}]][t]$$

we have p(t) = 1, so $(\sum_j N_j \otimes Y_j)L_A(\Xi)^{-1}$ does not have nonzero eigenvalues and is therefore a nilpotent matrix. Hence $(\sum_j N_j \otimes \Upsilon_j)L_A(\Xi)^{-1}$ is nilpotent, where Υ_i are generic $m \times m$ matrices, so

$$0 = \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\left(\sum_{j} N_{j} \otimes \Upsilon_{j}\right)\left(\sum_{w \in \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle } w(A) \otimes w(\Xi)\right)\right)^{\ell}\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{w \in \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{x} > \cdots + \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{x} > , \\ |w|_{Y} = \ell} w(A, N) \otimes w(\Xi, \Upsilon)\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{x} > \cdots + \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{x} > , \\ |w|_{Y} = \ell} \operatorname{tr}(w(A, N)) \operatorname{tr}(w(\Xi, \Upsilon))$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{x} > , \\ |w|_{Y} = \ell}} \mu_{w} \operatorname{tr}(w(A, N)) \operatorname{tr}(w(\Xi, \Upsilon))$$
$$[w]_{\varepsilon = \ell} \in \mathbb{C}$$

for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, where $0 < \mu_w = |[w] \cap y < x > \cdots y < x > |$ for $w \in \langle x \cup y \rangle$ with $|w|_y = \ell$. Here [w] denotes the equivalence class of w with respect to \sim . For every $h \in \mathbb{N}$, the pure trace polynomial

$$p_h = \sum_{\substack{[w] \in \langle \mathbf{x} \cup \mathbf{y} >_h / \stackrel{\text{sec}}{\sim}, \\ |w|_{\mathbf{y}} > 0}} \mu_w \operatorname{tr}(w(A, N)) \operatorname{tr}(w)$$

of degree *h* therefore vanishes on all tuples of $m \times m$ matrices. By [34, Theorem 4.5, Proposition 8.3] we have $p_h = 0$ for all $h \le m$. Therefore tr(w(A, N)) = 0 for every $w \in \langle \mathbf{x} \cup \mathbf{y} \rangle_h$ with $|w|_{\mathbf{y}} > 0$ and $h \le m$. Since $m \ge \lambda(d)$, the discussion above implies that

$$\{w(A, N): 1 \le |w| \le m, |w|_{y} > 0\}$$

linearly spans \mathcal{N} . Therefore tr(w(A, N)) = 0 for every $w \in \langle \mathbf{x} \cup \mathbf{y} \rangle$ with $|w|_{\mathbf{y}} > 0$, hence $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a nilpotent ideal.

Conversely, suppose \mathcal{N} is nilpotent. Let $\overline{\Bbbk}$ be the algebraic closure of \Bbbk . Burnside's theorem on the existence of invariant subspaces [11, Corollary 5.23] applied to $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\Bbbk} \overline{\Bbbk}$ yields a vector space decomposition

$$\overline{\Bbbk}^d = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_s \tag{3.6}$$

such that $\mathcal{A}U_k \subseteq U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_k$ and $\pi_k(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \overline{\mathbb{k}})\iota_k$ is either {0} or $\operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}(U_k)$, where $\iota_k : U_k \to \overline{\mathbb{k}}^d$ and $\pi_k : \overline{\mathbb{k}}^d \to U_k$ are the canonical inclusion and projection, respectively. We claim that $\mathcal{N}U_k \subseteq U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{k-1}$; indeed, if $\pi_k(\mathcal{N}U_k) \cap U_k \neq \{0\}$, then the simplicity of $\operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{k}}}(U_k)$ implies $I \in \pi_k(\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \overline{\mathbb{k}})\iota_k$, which is a contradiction since $\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \overline{\mathbb{k}}$ is nilpotent.

Because the determinant of a block-upper-triangular matrix is equal to the product of determinants of its diagonal blocks, the decomposition (3.6) and the structure of

the Kronecker product imply

$$\det\left(L_A(X) - \sum_j N_j \otimes Y_j\right) = \det(L_A(X))$$

for all $X_i, Y_j \in M_n(\Bbbk)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 3.4. If L is a monic linear pencil, then L(X) is invertible for all matrix tuples X if and only if the coefficients of L are jointly nilpotent.

Of course, just assuming that $L(\alpha)$ is invertible for all scalar tuples $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}^g$ does not imply that coefficients of L are jointly nilpotent. For example, if

$$L = I - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x_1 - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x_2,$$

then every linear combination of the coefficients of L is nilpotent and hence $\mathscr{Z}_1(L) = \emptyset$, but the coefficients are not jointly nilpotent. For an investigation of linear spaces of nilpotent matrices see e.g. [29].

3.3. Singularitätstellensatz. This subsection contains the main result of this section. Theorem 3.6 translates the inclusion between two free loci $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_B)$ into a purely algebraic statement about algebras generated by the matrices A_i and B_i .

For a (possibly non-unital) finite-dimensional k-algebra \mathcal{R} let rad \mathcal{R} be its largest nilpotent ideal; we call it the (nil)radical of \mathcal{R} . If $\mathcal{R} \neq \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{R}$, then $\mathcal{R}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{R}$ is semiprime and hence semisimple [11, Theorem 2.65]. Note that such a ring contains a multiplicative identity **1** and that an epimorphism of unital rings preserves the identity.

Remark 3.5. Let $N \in M_n(\Bbbk)$ and consider $p = \det(I - tN) \in \Bbbk[t]$. Then N is nilpotent if and only if p = 1. This is furthermore equivalent to

$$p(T) = \det \left(I \otimes I - T \otimes N \right) \neq 0$$

for all $T \in M_n(\Bbbk)$ because the companion matrix associated to p is of size deg $p \le n$. If \Bbbk is an algebraically closed field or a real closed field, then it of course suffices to test $p(T) \ne 0$ for all $T \in \Bbbk$ or $T \in M_2(\Bbbk)$, respectively.

Theorem 3.6 (Singularitätstellensatz). Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq M_d(\mathbb{k})$ be the subalgebra generated by A_1, \ldots, A_g and let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq M_e(\mathbb{k})$ be the subalgebra generated by B_1, \ldots, B_g . Then $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_B)$ if and only if there exists a homomorphism of \mathbb{k} -algebras $\mathcal{B}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$ induced by $B_i \mapsto A_i$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) It suffices to prove that for every $f \in \Bbbk < x >_+$, $f(B) \in \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{B}$ implies $f(A) \in \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$. If f(B) generates a nilpotent ideal in \mathcal{B} , then

$$\mathscr{Z}(L_A - f(A)y) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_B - f(B)y) = \mathscr{Z}(L_B - 0 \cdot y)$$
(3.7)

by Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 3.3.

115

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let Ξ_i , Υ be $n \times n$ generic matrices and let

$$p = \det (L_A(\Xi) - f(A) \otimes \Upsilon)$$

Suppose there exist $1 \le \iota_0$, $J_0 \le n$ such that $\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \ne 0$, where $t = (\Upsilon)_{\iota_0 J_0}$. Because k is infinite, there exist $X_i \in M_n(\Bbbk)$ and $\alpha_{\iota_J} \in \Bbbk$ for all $\iota \ne \iota_0$ and $J \ne J_0$ such that

$$\det(L_B(X)) \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(X, \alpha, t) \neq 0.$$

Let $q = p(X, \alpha, t) \in k[t]$; since q is non-constant polynomial of degree at most nd, there exists $T \in M_{nd}(k)$ such that q(T) = 0 by Remark 3.5. Now let $Y' \in M_{n^2d}(k)$ be a block $n \times n$ matrix such that its (i, j)-block equals T if $i = i_0$ and $j = j_0$, and $\alpha_{ij}I$ otherwise. Then

$$\det(L_A(X \otimes I) - f(A) \otimes Y') = 0,$$

which contradicts (3.7) since $det(L_B(X \otimes I)) \neq 0$.

Hence the free locus of $I - \sum_i A_i x_i - f(A)y$ does not depend on y and so $\mathscr{Z}(L_A - f(A)y) = \mathscr{Z}(L_A - 0 \cdot y)$. Therefore f(A) generates a nilpotent ideal in \mathcal{A} by Proposition 3.3.

 (\Leftarrow) Let a_i and b_i be equivalence classes of A_i and B_i in $\mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{B}$, respectively, and assume there is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{B}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\phi(b_i) = a_i$. Suppose det $(L_B(X)) \neq 0$ for $X \in M_n(\Bbbk)^g$. Then there exists $p \in \Bbbk[t]$, p(0) = 0, such that $p(I \otimes I - \sum_i B_i \otimes X_i) = I \otimes I$ by the Cayley–Hamilton theorem. Let q(t) = p(1-t) - p(1); then q(0) = 0 and $q(\sum_i B_i \otimes X_i) = (1 + q(1))I \otimes I$. If $q(1) \neq -1$, then $I \otimes I \in M_n(\mathcal{B})$ and hence $I \in \mathcal{B}$, so

$$q\left(\sum_{i} b_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X_{i}\right) = (1 + q(1))\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}/\operatorname{rad}\mathcal{B}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} I \in (\mathcal{B}/\operatorname{rad}\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} M_{n}(\mathbb{k});$$

On the other hand, if q(1) = -1, then $q(\sum_i B_i \otimes X_i) = 0$ and so $q(\sum_i b_i \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} X_i) = 0$. Since $\phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$, both cases imply

$$q\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X_{i}\right) = (1 + q(1))\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad}\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} I \in (\mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad}\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} M_{n}(\mathbb{k})$$

Consequently $q\left(\sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes X_{i}\right) = (1 + q(1))I \otimes I + N$ for some $N \in M_{n}(\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A})$ and therefore $p\left(I \otimes I - \sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes X_{i}\right) = I \otimes I + N$, so $\det(L_{A}(X)) \neq 0$ since Nis nilpotent. Thus $\mathscr{Z}(L_{A}) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_{B})$.

Remark 3.7. Let L_1 and L_2 be monic linear pencils of sizes d_1 and d_2 , respectively. By Proposition 3.3 and proofs of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 one can derive deterministic bounds on size of matrices X_1, \ldots, X_g for checking $\mathscr{Z}(L_1) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_2)$ that are exponential in g and max $\{d_1, d_2\}$.

From here on we write \mathcal{A} (resp. \mathcal{B}) for the (possibly non-unital) k-algebra generated by the coefficients A_1, \ldots, A_g (resp. B_1, \ldots, B_g) of the pencil L_A (resp. L_B).

Corollary 3.8. Let the notation be as in Theorem 3.6. Then $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}(L_B)$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{B}$ induced by $A_i \mapsto B_i$.

The validity of $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_B)$ can now be effectively tested. Using probabilistic algorithms for finding the radical of a finite-dimensional algebra (see e.g. [14]) we first reduce the problem to the case where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are semisimple. Then we find $\ell \leq \lambda(\max\{d, e\})$ such that $\{w(A): 1 \leq |w| \leq \ell\}$ linearly spans \mathcal{A} and $\{w(B): 1 \leq |w| \leq \ell\}$ linearly spans \mathcal{B} . Next, we determine the linear relations between the elements of $\{w(B): 1 \leq |w| \leq \ell + 1\}$. Finally we check whether they are also satisfied by $\{w(A): 1 \leq |w| \leq \ell + 1\}$.

3.4. Irreducible free loci. In this subsection we discuss irreducible components of free loci and how they correspond to the Artin–Wedderburn decomposition of the semisimple algebra $A/\operatorname{rad} A$ assigned to a pencil L_A .

Remark 3.9. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite-dimensional simple k-algebra. Then $\mathcal{A} \cong M_m(\Delta)$ for some finite-dimensional division k-algebra Δ . Up to isomorphism there is exactly one simple unital left \mathcal{A} -module, namely Δ^m , and every unital left \mathcal{A} -module is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of Δ^m . Let $\delta = m \dim_k \Delta$; then there exists an irreducible representation $\rho : \mathcal{A} \to M_{\delta}(k)$, which is unique up to conjugation by the Skolem–Noether theorem [11, Theorem 4.48], and every representation of \mathcal{A} factors through it.

We will also use the following refinement of the Skolem-Noether theorem.

Lemma 3.10. For $1 \leq j \leq s$ let $\rho_j : \mathcal{A}^{(j)} \to M_{d_j}(\mathbb{k})$ be an irreducible representation of a simple \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathcal{A}^{(j)}$. If $\iota : \mathcal{A}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \to M_d(\mathbb{k})$ is a unital embedding, then there exists $P \in \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbb{k})$ such that

$$P\iota(a)P^{-1} = (I \otimes \rho_1(a)) \oplus \cdots \oplus (I \otimes \rho_s(a)) \in M_d(\Bbbk) \qquad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}^{(s)}.$$

Proof. Consider vector subspaces $U_j = \operatorname{im} \iota(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}^{(j)}})$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$; it is easy to check that $\mathbb{k}^d = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_s$, $\iota(\mathcal{A}^{(j)})U_j \subseteq U_j$ and $\iota(\mathcal{A}^{(j)})U_{j'} = 0$ for $j' \neq j$. Hence we have a unital embedding $\mathcal{A}^{(j)} \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(U_j)$. By the Skolem–Noether theorem there exists $P_j \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(U_j)$ such that

$$P_j\iota|_{\mathcal{A}^{(j)}}(a_j)P_j^{-1} = I \otimes \rho_j(a_j)$$

for all $a_j \in \mathcal{A}^{(j)}$. If $P_0 \in \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbb{k})$ is the transition matrix corresponding to the decomposition $\mathbb{k}^d = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_s$, then let $P = P_0(P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_s)$.

A pencil L is *minimal* if it is of the smallest size among all pencils whose free loci are equal to $\mathscr{Z}(L)$. (Note: (i) a pencil of a minimal realization is not necessarily

minimal; (ii) a realization with a minimal pencil is not necessarily minimal.) A minimal pencil L_A is *irreducible* if A is simple.

Theorem 3.11. Let L_A and L_B be minimal pencils of size d and assume that A and B are semisimple. Then $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}(L_B)$ if and only if there exists $P \in GL_d(\mathbb{k})$ such that $B_i = PA_i P^{-1}$ for i = 1, ..., g.

Proof. If $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}(L_B)$, then d = e by minimality. As elements of $M_d(\mathbb{k})$, $\mathbf{1}_A$ and $\mathbf{1}_B$ are idempotents. If for example $\mathbf{1}_A$ were a nontrivial idempotent, then the restriction and projection of matrices A_i to subspace im $\mathbf{1}_A$ would yield a smaller pencil with the same free locus, which contradicts the minimality assumption. Hence $\mathbf{1}_A = \mathbf{1}_B = I$. By Corollary 3.8 and semisimplicity we have

$$\mathcal{A} \xleftarrow{\phi_1} \mathcal{C}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}^{(s)} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \mathcal{B}$$

for some simple algebras $\mathcal{C}^{(j)}$ and isomorphisms ϕ_1, ϕ_2 satisfying $\phi_2 \phi_1^{-1}(A_i) = B_i$. Let $\rho_j : \mathcal{C}^{(j)} \to M_{d_j}(\Bbbk)$ be an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{C}^{(j)}$. By Lemma 3.10 and minimality there exist $P_1, P_2 \in \operatorname{GL}_d(\Bbbk)$ such that

$$P_1\phi_1(c)P_1^{-1} = \rho_1(c) \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_s(c) = P_2\phi_2(c)P_2^{-1}$$

for all $c \in C^{(1)} \times \cdots \times C^{(s)}$. Therefore $P = P_2^{-1}P_1$ satisfies $B_i = PA_iP^{-1}$. \Box

A free locus is *irreducible* if it is nonempty and not a union of two smaller free loci. Note that $\mathscr{Z}(L_1 \oplus L_2) = \mathscr{Z}(L_1) \cup \mathscr{Z}(L_2)$.

Proposition 3.12.

- (i) If $\mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to the product of s simple algebras, then $\mathscr{Z}(L_A)$ has exactly s irreducible components.
- (ii) Every irreducible free locus equals $\mathscr{Z}(L)$ for some irreducible L.

Proof. (i) Let $\phi : \mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}^{(s)}$ be an isomorphism to a direct product of simple algebras $\mathcal{A}^{(j)}$. Let $A_i^{(j)}$ be the image of A_i under the homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{(j)} \to M_{d_j}(\Bbbk)$, where $\mathcal{A}^{(j)} \to M_{d_j}(\Bbbk)$ is an arbitrary faithful representation. Then Corollary 3.8 yields

$$\mathscr{Z}(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}(L_{A^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus A^{(s)}}) = \mathscr{Z}(L_{A^{(1)}}) \cup \dots \cup \mathscr{Z}(L_{A^{(s)}}).$$

Also, $j_1 \neq j_2$ implies $\mathscr{Z}(L_{A^{(j_1)}}) \neq \mathscr{Z}(L_{A^{(j_2)}})$. Otherwise there would exist an isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{A}^{(j_1)} \to \mathcal{A}^{(j_2)}$ given by $A_i^{(j_1)} \mapsto A_i^{(j_2)}$. If $\phi_{j_1} = \pi_{j_i}\phi$ and $\phi_{j_1} = \pi_{j_i}\phi$, where $\pi_j : \mathcal{A}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \to \mathcal{A}^{(j)}$ is the natural projection, then $\phi_{j_2} = \psi \phi_{j_1}$ and so $\phi_{j_1}(f(A)) = 0$ if and only if $\phi_{j_2}(f(A)) = 0$ for every $f \in \mathbb{k} < \mathbf{x} >_+$, which contradicts the surjectivity of ϕ . Hence it suffices to prove that $\mathscr{Z}(L_A)$ is irreducible if \mathcal{A} is simple.

Suppose $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}(L_{A'}) \cup \mathscr{Z}(L_{A''}) = \mathscr{Z}(L_{A'\oplus A''})$ and let \mathcal{B} be the algebra generated by matrices $A'_i \oplus A''_i$. Then $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{B}$ by Corollary 3.8, hence there is an embedding

$$\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}' \times \mathcal{A}'') / \operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A}' \times \mathcal{A}'') = (\mathcal{A}' / \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}') \times (\mathcal{A}'' / \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}'')$$

such that the induced homomorphisms $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}''/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}''$ are surjective. Since \mathcal{A} is simple, the induced map $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}'$ is trivial or injective. In the latter case $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}'/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}'$ via $A_i \mapsto A_i'$, so Theorem 3.6 implies $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}(L_{A'})$. Since $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) \neq \emptyset$, Theorem 4.2 implies that $\mathcal{A}'/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{A}''/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}''$ cannot be both trivial, so we conclude that $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}(L_{A'})$ or $\mathscr{Z}(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}(L_{A''})$. Therefore $\mathscr{Z}(L_A)$ is irreducible.

(ii) If $\mathscr{Z}(L_B)$ is irreducible, then $\mathcal{B}/\operatorname{rad}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ is a simple algebra by (i). By Remark 3.9 there exists an irreducible representation $\mathcal{A} \to M_d(\Bbbk)$. Set A_i to be the image of B_i under the homomorphism $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A} \to M_d(\Bbbk)$; then L_A is the desired irreducible pencil.

The radical of a finite-dimensional algebra and the Wedderburn decomposition of a semisimple algebra can be computed using probabilistic algorithms with polynomial complexity [18, 19]. By Proposition 3.12 we can therefore efficiently determine irreducible components of a free locus. In a forthcoming paper it will be shown that if k is algebraically closed and $\mathscr{Z}(L)$ is an irreducible free locus, then $\mathscr{Z}_n(L)$ is an irreducible algebraic set in $M_n(\mathbb{k})^g$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

4. Domains of noncommutative rational functions regular at the origin

In this section we shall explain how our results on free loci pertain to domains of nc rational functions. The main results are Corollary 4.1 and Theorem 4.6. While Corollary 4.1 relates the inclusion of domains of nc rational functions to homomorphisms between the algebras associated to their minimal realizations, Theorem 4.6 analyzes the precise structure of nc rational functions with a given domain.

Recall that $\Bbbk \langle x \rangle_0 \subset \Bbbk \langle x \rangle$ denotes the local subring of nc rational functions that are regular at the origin. As explained in Subsection 2.2, the domain of $\mathbb{r} \in \Bbbk \langle x \rangle_0$ is the complement of the free locus of a pencil corresponding to the minimal realization of \mathbb{r} by [24, Theorem 3.1]. Hence Theorem 3.6 yields the following result about comparable domains of elements in $\Bbbk \langle x \rangle_0$.

Corollary 4.1. For $\mathbb{r}, \mathbb{r}' \in \mathbb{k} \notin x \geqslant_0 let (\mathbf{c}, L_A, \mathbf{b})$ and $(\mathbf{c}', L_{A'}, \mathbf{b}')$ be their minimal realizations. Then dom $\mathbb{r} \subseteq \text{dom } \mathbb{r}'$ if and only if there exists a homomorphism of \mathbb{k} -algebras $\mathcal{A}/ \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'/ \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}'$ induced by $A_i \mapsto A'_i$.

4.1. Regular nc rational functions. In this subsection we prove that every regular nc rational function, i.e. one that is defined at every matrix tuple, is in fact a polynomial. While this can be already deduced from Corollary 4.1, we present a more precise proof which gives us explicit polynomial bounds for testing whether a nc rational function is a polynomial.

Theorem 4.2. Let \mathbb{r} be a nc rational function with minimal realization of size d and *let*

$$m = \begin{cases} \lambda(d) & \mathbb{k} \text{ is an algebraically closed field,} \\ 2\lambda(d) & \mathbb{k} \text{ is a real closed field,} \\ d\lambda(d)^2 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

If dom_m $\mathbf{r} = M_m(\mathbf{k})^g$, then \mathbf{r} is a nc polynomial of degree at most d - 1.

Proof. Let $(\mathbf{c}, L_A, \mathbf{b})$ be the minimal realization of \mathbb{r} about 0, i.e. $\mathbb{r} = \mathbf{c}L_A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$. By [24, Theorem 3.1], det $(L_A(X)) \neq 0$ for every $X_i \in M_m(\mathbb{k})$. In particular,

$$\det\left(I \otimes I - T \otimes \sum_{i} A_{i} \otimes Y_{i}\right) \neq 0$$

for all $Y_i \in M_{\lambda(d)}(\mathbb{k})$ and $T \in M_k(\mathbb{k})$ with $k \leq \frac{m}{\lambda(d)}$. Hence $\sum_i A_i \otimes Y_i$ is a nilpotent matrix by Remark 3.5 and thus

$$\det\left(I\otimes I-\sum_{i}A_{i}\otimes Y_{i}\right)=1$$

for all $Y_i \in M_{\lambda(d)}(\mathbb{k})$. By Proposition 3.3, the algebra generated by A_1, \ldots, A_g is nilpotent, so

$$r = \mathbf{c} \left(I - \sum_{i} A_{i} x_{i} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{b} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \mathbf{c} \left(\sum_{i} A_{i} x_{i} \right)^{j} \mathbf{b}$$

is a polynomial.

120

4.1.1. Douglas' lemma for nc rational functions. Douglas' lemma [17, Theorem 1] is a classical results in operator theory. Its finite-dimensional version states that for $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have $AA^* \leq BB^*$ if and only if there exists $C \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $||C|| \leq 1$, such that A = BC. As an application of the characterization of regular nc rational functions we give a version of Douglas' lemma for nc rational functions.

Corollary 4.3. Let $\mathbb{r}, \mathbb{s} \in \mathbb{C} \langle x \rangle$. Then

$$\mathfrak{r}(X)^*\mathfrak{r}(X) \le \mathfrak{s}(X)^*\mathfrak{s}(X) \qquad \text{for all } X \in \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{r} \cap \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{s} \tag{4.1}$$

if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| \leq 1$, *such that* $\mathbb{r} = \lambda \mathbb{s}$.

Proof. If s = 0, then r = 0, so we can assume that $s \neq 0$. Denote

$$\mathcal{D} = \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{r} \cap \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{s} \cap \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{s}^{-1}.$$

By (4.1),

$$(\mathbb{r}(X)\mathbb{s}^{-1}(X))^*(\mathbb{r}(X)\mathbb{s}^{-1}(X)) \le I \qquad \forall X \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Let $\mathbb{f} = \mathbb{rs}^{-1}$; then dom $\mathbb{f} \supseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $\|\mathbb{f}(X)\| \le 1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{D}$. By definition, $\mathcal{D} \cap M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$ is Zariski open in $M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$ and nonempty for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so boundedness implies dom_n $\mathbb{f} = M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently \mathbb{f} is regular everywhere, so it is a polynomial by Theorem 4.2. Since it is bounded in norm by 1, it is constant by Liouville's theorem, so $\mathbb{rs}^{-1} = \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\lambda| \le 1$. \Box

4.2. Characterization of nc rational functions with a given domain. Let $\mathfrak{Dom}_0 = \{ \text{dom } \mathbb{r} : \mathbb{r} \in \mathbb{k} \notin x \geqslant_0 \}$. A set in \mathfrak{Dom}_0 is *co-irreducible* if it is not an intersection of two larger sets in \mathfrak{Dom}_0 . Thus a domain is co-irreducible if and only if it is the complement of an irreducible free locus. A nc rational function $\mathbb{r} \in \mathbb{k} \notin x \geqslant_0$ is *irreducible* if it admits a realization ($\mathbf{c}, L, \mathbf{b}$) with L irreducible. Note that such a realization is automatically minimal by Remark 3.9.

Proposition 4.4. If \mathbb{r} is irreducible, then dom \mathbb{r} is co-irreducible. Conversely, for every co-irreducible set $D \in \mathfrak{Dom}_0$ there exists a unique $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and a pencil L of size d such that irreducible rational functions whose domains are D are exactly of the form

$$\mathbf{c}^t L^{-1} \mathbf{b}, \qquad \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{k}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. The first part follows from Proposition 3.12. Now let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{k} \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle_0$ and suppose that $D = \operatorname{dom} \mathbf{r}'$ is co-irreducible. If $(\mathbf{c}', L_{A'}, \mathbf{b}')$ is a minimal realization of \mathbf{r}' , then $\mathcal{A}'/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}'$ is simple by Proposition 3.12. Fix some irreducible representation $\rho : \mathcal{A}'/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}' \to M_d(\mathbf{k})$. Let A_i be the image of A'_i under the homomorphism $\mathcal{A}' \to M_d(\mathbf{k})$ and set $L = L_A$. Then D is the complement of $\mathscr{Z}(L)$ by Corollary 3.8 and $D = \operatorname{dom}(\mathbf{c}^t L^{-1}\mathbf{b})$ for every $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \neq 0$. On the other hand, if \mathbf{r}'' is an irreducible function with dom $\mathbf{r} = D$ and $(\mathbf{c}'', L_{A''}, \mathbf{b}'')$ is its minimal realization, then $\mathscr{Z}(L) = \mathscr{Z}(L_{A''})$ and so $A''_i = PA_i P^{-1}$ for some $P \in \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbf{k})$ by Theorem 3.11. Hence $\mathbf{r} = (P^t \mathbf{c}'')L^{-1}(P^{-1}\mathbf{b}'')$.

Let $\mathcal{R}(D)$ be the set of irreducible functions whose domains equal D. If we adopt the notation of Proposition 4.4, then the elements of $\mathcal{R}(D)$ are exactly nonzero linear combinations of d^2 linearly independent irreducible functions $\mathbf{e}_i^t L^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$.

The next lemma is essentially a version of Wedderburn principal theorem [37, Theorem 2.5.37] for (possibly non-unital) k-subalgebras in $M_d(k)$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq M_d(\mathbb{k})$ be a \mathbb{k} -algebra, $\mathcal{A}/\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}^{(s)}$ with $\mathcal{A}^{(j)}$ simple, and let $\rho_j : \mathcal{A}^{(j)} \to M_{d_j}(\mathbb{k})$ be irreducible representations. Then there exist

a subalgebra $S \subseteq A$ and $P \in GL_d(\mathbb{k})$ such that $A = S \oplus \operatorname{rad} A$ (as vector spaces) and PSP^{-1} is precisely the image of

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \xrightarrow{(I \otimes \rho_1) \times \cdots \times (I \otimes \rho_s)} 0 \oplus (I \otimes M_{d_1}(\Bbbk)) \oplus \cdots \oplus (I \otimes M_{d_s}(\Bbbk)) \subseteq M_d(\Bbbk).$$

Proof. If \mathcal{A} is unital, then Wedderburn's principal theorem yields the decomposition $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a subalgebra. If \mathcal{A} is not unital, let \mathcal{A}^{\sharp} be the unitization of \mathcal{A} [11, Section 2.3]; i.e. $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp} = \Bbbk \oplus \mathcal{A}$, \mathcal{A} is an ideal of \mathcal{A}^{\sharp} and $\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}^{\sharp} = \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$. Hence $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp} = \mathcal{S}' \oplus \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}^{\sharp}$ by Wedderburn's principal theorem and therefore $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \cap (\mathcal{S}' \oplus \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}) = (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}') \oplus \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$, so $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}'$ is the required subalgebra.

Since S is semisimple, it has the multiplicative identity $\mathbf{1}_S$. Let $U = \ker \mathbf{1}_S$ and $V = \operatorname{im} \mathbf{1}_S$. Then $\mathbb{k}^d = U \oplus V$, SU = 0 and $SV \subseteq V$. Therefore we have a unital embedding

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \cong \mathcal{S} \subseteq \operatorname{End}_{\Bbbk}(V),$$

so Lemma 3.10 applies.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\mathbb{r} \in \mathbb{k} \not(x \not>_0$. Then dom $\mathbb{r} = D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_s$ for some co-irreducible $D_j \in \mathfrak{Dom}_0$ and \mathbb{r} is a nc polynomial in $x \cup \mathcal{R}(D_1) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{R}(D_s)$ of degree at most d, where d is the size of the minimal realization of \mathbb{r} .

Proof. Let $(\mathbf{c}, L_A, \mathbf{b})$ be a minimal realization of \mathbf{r} . Then dom \mathbf{r} is a finite intersection of co-irreducible domains by Proposition 3.12. Let $\mathcal{A} = S \oplus \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$ and $P \in \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbb{k})$ be as in Lemma 4.5. Write $A_i = S_i + N_i$ with respect to this decomposition and set $S = \sum_i S_i x_i$ and $N = \sum_i N_i x_i$. As a matrix over the ring of noncommutative formal power series $\mathbb{k} \ll X \gg$, $L_A = I - S - N$ is invertible and

$$L_A^{-1} = (I - S)^{-1} \left(I - N(I - S)^{-1} \right)^{-1} = (I - S)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(N(I - S)^{-1} \right)^j.$$

Since $(\operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A})^d = 0$ and consequently

$$(N(I-S)^{-1})^d = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} NS^j\right)^d = 0,$$

we have

$$L_A^{-1} = (I - S)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \left(N(I - S)^{-1} \right)^j.$$

Therefore r is a polynomial of degree d in x and the entries of $(I - S)^{-1}$. Let

$$PS_i P^{-1} = 0 \oplus (I \otimes A_i^{(1)}) \oplus \dots \oplus (I \otimes A_i^{(s)}).$$

By the construction, $\mathcal{A}^{(j)}$ is a simple algebra and $L_{\mathcal{A}^{(j)}}$ is a simple pencil. Since

$$(I-S)^{-1} = P^{-1} \Big(I \oplus (I \otimes L_{A^{(1)}}^{-1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (I \otimes L_{A^{(s)}}^{-1}) \Big) P,$$

the entries of $(1 - S)^{-1}$ are polynomials of degree at most 1 in the elements of $\mathcal{R}(D_1) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{R}(D_s)$ by Proposition 4.4.

Example 4.7. Let $\{x, y\}$ be our alphabet and consider rational functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_1 &= (1 - x - y(1 - x)^{-1}y)^{-1}(1 + x(1 - x + y)^{-1}) \\ &= (0 \quad 1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 - x & -y & 0 \\ -y & 1 - x & -x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - x + y \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{r}_2 &= (1 - x - y)^{-1}(1 - x)(1 - x - y)^{-1} + (1 - x - y)^{-1}x(1 - x + y)^{-1} \\ &= (1 \quad 1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 - x - y & -y & -x \\ 0 & 1 - x - y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - x + y \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the given realizations are minimal, so

$$\operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{r}_1 = \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{r}_2 = \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{s}_1 \cap \operatorname{dom} \mathfrak{s}_2,$$

where $s_1 = (1 - x - y)^{-1}$ and $s_2 = (1 - x + y)^{-1}$ are irreducible functions. It is evident that $r_2 = s_1((1 - x)s_1 + xs_2)$ is a polynomial in x, s_1, s_2 . On the other hand, it becomes clear that r_1 is a polynomial in x, s_1, s_2 only after we rewrite it as

$$\mathbb{r}_1 = \frac{1}{2}((1-x-y)^{-1} + (1-x+y)^{-1})(1+x(1-x+y)^{-1})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{s}_1 + \mathbb{s}_2)(1+x\mathbb{s}_2).$$

5. Symmetric and hermitian pencils

In the final section we turn our attention to pencils with symmetric and hermitian matrix coefficients. Here the free loci are defined with tuples of symmetric and hermitian matrices, respectively. We call them free real loci. We investigate when two real loci are comparable; we show that this is equivalent to the existence of a *-homomorphism between *-algebras generated by the pencils (Theorem 5.4). The main new ingredients needed to make this work are the theory of hyperbolic polynomials [20, 35] and the real Nullstellensatz from real algebraic geometry [9]. Finally, in Subsection 5.2 we formulate and prove a free (quantum) version of Kippenhahn's conjecture [26] on simple eigenvalues of hermitian pencils.

I. Klep and J. Volčič

CMH

Let $H_n(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $S_n(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R})$ be the \mathbb{R} -spaces of hermitian and symmetric matrices, respectively. If the coefficients of L are symmetric matrices, then L is a symmetric pencil and

$$\mathscr{Z}^{s}(L) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathscr{Z}^{s}_{n}(L), \qquad \mathscr{Z}^{s}_{n}(L) = \mathscr{Z}_{n}(L) \cap S_{n}(\mathbb{R})^{g}$$

is its *free real locus*. Similarly, if the coefficients of L are hermitian matrices, then L is a *hermitian* pencil with free real locus

$$\mathscr{Z}^{\mathrm{h}}(L) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathscr{Z}^{\mathrm{h}}_{n}(L), \qquad \mathscr{Z}^{\mathrm{h}}_{n}(L) = \mathscr{Z}_{n}(L) \cap H_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{g}.$$

5.1. Singularitätstellensätze for real loci. In this subsection we prove the *-analog of Theorem 3.6.

5.1.1. RZ polynomials. Let t and $u = \{u_1, \ldots, u_g\}$ be commutative indeterminates. Then $p \in \mathbb{R}[u]$ is a *real zero (RZ) polynomial* [23] if $p(0) \neq 0$ and for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^g$, $p(t\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ has only real roots. This is essentially the dehomogenized version of hyperbolic polynomials that arise in convex optimization [3, 35], partial differential equations [6] and real algebraic geometry [10, 27, 30].

Proposition 5.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[u]$ be a RZ polynomial. If $q \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ and $p(\alpha) = 0$ implies $q(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^g$, then $p(\alpha) = 0$ implies $q(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^g$.

Proof. It clearly suffices to prove the statement for $q \in \mathbb{R}[u]$. Let $p = p_1 \cdots p_s$, where $p_j \in \mathbb{R}[u]$ are irreducible. Fix $1 \leq j \leq s$; then p_j is a RZ polynomial. Since p_j is also square-free, there obviously exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^g$ such that $p_j(\alpha)p_j(\beta) < 0$. By [9, Theorem 4.5.1], the ideal in $\mathbb{R}[u]$ generated by p_j is real. Since $p_j(\alpha) = 0$ implies $q(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^g$, there exists $h_j \in \mathbb{R}[u]$ such that $q = h_j p_j$ by the Real Nullstellensatz [9, Theorem 4.1.4]. Hence $q^s = (h_1 \cdots h_s)p$, so $p(\alpha) = 0$ implies $q(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^g$.

5.1.2. Inclusion of free real loci. Each symmetric or hermitian pencil L gives rise to the RZ polynomial det L. We now use the properties of RZ polynomials presented above to show that $\mathscr{Z}^{s}(L_1) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}^{s}(L_2)$ (or $\mathscr{Z}^{h}(L_1) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}^{h}(L_2)$) if and only if $\mathscr{Z}(L_1) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_2)$.

Proposition 5.2. Let L be a monic pencil.

- (i) If L is hermitian, then $\mathscr{Z}_n^h(L)$ is Zariski dense in $\mathscr{Z}_n(L)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) If L_1 and L_2 are symmetric, then

$$\mathscr{Z}^{s}(L_{1}) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}^{s}(L_{2}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathscr{Z}(L_{1}) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}(L_{2}).$$

Remark 5.3. Note that $\mathscr{Z}_n^h(L)$ is not Zariski dense in $\mathscr{Z}_n(L)$ if *L* is symmetric and $n \ge 2$. For example, if $\Xi = (\xi_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2$ is a 2 × 2 generic matrix, then the polynomial $(1 - \xi_{11})(1 - \xi_{22}) - \xi_{12}^2$ vanishes on $\mathscr{Z}_2^s(1 - x)$ but not on $\mathscr{Z}_2(1 - x)$.

Proof of Proposition 5.2. (i) Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an element of the coordinate ring of $M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$, i.e. a complex polynomial q in gn^2 variables. Assume that q = 0 on $\mathscr{Z}_n^{\rm h}(L)$. For every $X_i, Y_i \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$ let

$$p_{X,Y} := \det(L(\boldsymbol{u}X + \boldsymbol{v}Y)) \in \mathbb{R}[\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}], \qquad q_{X,Y} := q(\boldsymbol{u}X + \boldsymbol{v}Y) \in \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}].$$

By assumption we have

$$p_{X,Y}(\alpha,\beta) = 0 \Rightarrow q_{X,Y}(\alpha,\beta) = 0 \qquad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^g.$$

Since $p_{X,Y}$ is a RZ polynomial, Proposition 5.1 implies

$$p_{X,Y}(\alpha,\beta) = 0 \Rightarrow q_{X,Y}(\alpha,\beta) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{C}^g.$$

If $Z \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^g$ is arbitrary, then $Z = \frac{1}{2}(Z + Z^*) + \frac{1}{2}i(iZ^* - iZ)$ and $Z + Z^*$, $iZ^* - iZ$ are tuples of hermitian matrices, so q = 0 on $\mathscr{Z}_n(L)$.

(ii) Let $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to M_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the standard *-embedding of \mathbb{R} -algebras. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ampliation map

$$\iota_n = \mathrm{id}_{M_n(\mathbb{R})} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \iota : M_n(\mathbb{C}) = M_n(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$$

is again a *-embedding. If L_1 is symmetric and $X \in H_n(\mathbb{C})^g$, then $L_1(X)$ is invertible if and only if $\iota_{dn}(L_1(X)) = L_1(\iota_n(X))$ is invertible. Therefore $\mathscr{Z}^s(L_1) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}^s(L_2)$ implies $\mathscr{Z}^h(L_1) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}^h(L_2)$ and the conclusion follows from considering L_1 and L_2 as hermitian pencils and applying (i).

Let L_A be a symmetric (resp. hermitian) pencil. As before, let \mathcal{A} denote the real (resp. complex) algebra generated by A_1, \ldots, A_g . We claim that \mathcal{A} is semisimple. Indeed, suppose that $f(A) \in \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$ for some $f \in \mathbb{R} < \mathbf{x} >$ (resp. $f \in \mathbb{C} < \mathbf{x} >$). Since $f(A)^* \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $f(A)^* f(A) \in \operatorname{rad} \mathcal{A}$. In particular, $f(A)^* f(A)$ is a positive semi-definite nilpotent matrix, so $f(A)^* f(A) = 0$ and thus f(A) = 0.

Theorem 5.4.

- (i) Let L_A and L_B be symmetric pencils. Then $\mathscr{Z}^{s}(L_A) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}^{s}(L_B)$ if and only if there exists a *-homomorphism of \mathbb{R} -algebras $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ induced by $B_i \to A_i$.
- (ii) Let L_A and L_B be hermitian pencils. Then $\mathscr{Z}^{h}(L_A) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}^{h}(L_B)$ if and only if there exists a *-homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ induced by $B_i \to A_i$.

Proof. Since A and B are semisimple, this assertion is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 3.6.

I. Klep and J. Volčič

Let $O_d \subset GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ and $U_d \subset GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ be the orthogonal and the unitary group, respectively.

Corollary 5.5.

- (i) Let L_A and L_B be symmetric minimal pencils of size d. Then $\mathscr{Z}^s(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}^s(L_B)$ if and only if there exists $Q \in O_d$ such that $B_i = QA_iQ^t$ for i = 1, ..., g.
- (ii) Let L_A and L_B be hermitian minimal pencils of size d. Then $\mathscr{Z}^{h}(L_A) = \mathscr{Z}^{h}(L_B)$ if and only if there exists $U \in U_d$ such that $B_i = UA_iU^*$ for i = 1, ..., g.

Proof. We prove just (i) since the proof of (ii) is analogous. If $\mathscr{Z}^{s}(L_{A}) = \mathscr{Z}^{s}(L_{B})$, then by Theorem 5.4(i) there exists a *-isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ given by $A_{i} \mapsto B_{i}$. The rest follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.11 from the *-version of Lemma 3.10, which in turn is a consequence of the following claim: if \mathcal{C} is a simple \mathbb{R} -algebra and $\iota, \iota' : \mathcal{C} \to M_{d}(\mathbb{R})$ are irreducible *-representations, then there exists $Q \in O_{d}$ such that

$$\iota'(c) = Q\iota(c)Q^{-1} \qquad \forall c \in \mathcal{C}.$$
(5.1)

Indeed, by the Skolem–Noether theorem there exists $Q_0 \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ such that (5.1) holds. Because ι and ι' are *-homomorphisms,

$$Q_0\iota(c)^t Q_0^{-1} = \iota'(c)^t = (Q_0\iota(c)Q_0^{-1})^t = Q_0^{-t}\iota(c)^t Q_0^t$$

holds for every $c \in C$. Therefore $Q_0^t Q_0$ lies in the centralizer of $\iota(C)$ in $M_d(\mathbb{R})$. Since ι is irreducible representation, $Q_0^t Q_0$ belongs to the center of $M_d(\mathbb{R})$, so $Q_0^t Q_0 = \alpha I$ for $\alpha > 0$ because $Q_0^t Q_0$ is positive-semidefinite. Now $Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} Q_0 \in O_d$ satisfies (5.1).

In free real algebraic geometry an analogous result for free spectrahedra (distinguished convex sets associated to symmetric linear pencils) has been established in [21] using nontrivial operator algebra techniques, e.g. Arveson's noncommutative Choquet boundary [1].

5.2. Kippenhahn's free conjecture. Kippenhahn's conjecture [26, Section 8] can be restated as follows: if $H_1, H_2 \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$ are hermitian matrices that generate $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ as a \mathbb{C} -algebra, then there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the dimension of the kernel of $I - \alpha_1 H_1 - \alpha_2 H_2$ is exactly one. While this conjecture has been established for matrices of small size [13, 38], it is false in general by [28]. However, we prove it is true in a free setting.

Corollary 5.6. If $A_1, \ldots, A_g \in M_d(\mathbb{k})$ generate $M_d(\mathbb{k})$ as \mathbb{k} -algebra, then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_g \in M_n(\mathbb{k})$ such that dim ker $L_A(X) = 1$.

Proof. By assumption there exists $f \in \mathbb{k} < x >_+$ such that $f(A) = E_{1,1}$. By Lemma 3.1 there exist $X_i \in M_n(\mathbb{k})$ such that

$$1 = \dim \ker(I - E_{1,1}) = \dim \ker\left(I - \sum_{i} 0 \cdot A_i - 1 \cdot f(A)\right) = \dim \ker L_A(X). \square$$

5.2.1. Hermitian case. The original Kippenhahn's conjecture deals with hermitian matrices and their real linear combinations. Likewise, the free version can be strengthened for hermitian pencils.

Corollary 5.7. If $A_1, \ldots, A_g \in H_d(\mathbb{C})$ generate $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ as \mathbb{C} -algebra, then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_g \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that dim ker $L_A(X) = 1$.

Proof. The set

$$\mathcal{O}_n = \{X \in \mathscr{Z}_n(L_A): \dim \ker L_A(X) = 1\}$$

is Zariski open in $\mathscr{Z}_n(L_A)$ and nonempty for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by Corollary 5.6. Since $\mathscr{Z}_n^h(L_A)$ is Zariski dense in $\mathscr{Z}_n(L_A)$ by Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_n \cap \mathscr{Z}_n^{\mathrm{h}}(L_A) \neq \emptyset.$$

Similar reasoning as in Remark 3.7 implies that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ from the statement of Corollary 5.7 can be bounded by an exponential function in *g* and *d*.

5.2.2. Symmetric case. Let L_A be a symmetric pencil. In contrast to the hermitian case in Proposition 5.2(i), $\mathscr{Z}_n^s(L_A)$ is not Zariski dense in $\mathscr{Z}_n(L_A)$ for $n \ge 2$. Hence we cannot use the same arguments as in Corollary 5.7 to prove the real version of Kippenhahn's free conjecture. Nevertheless, we can at least deduce the following.

Corollary 5.8. If $A_1, \ldots, A_g \in S_d(\mathbb{R})$ generate $M_d(\mathbb{R})$ as \mathbb{R} -algebra, then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_g \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that dim ker $L_A(X) = 2$.

Proof. Since A_1, \ldots, A_g generate $M_d(\mathbb{R})$ as \mathbb{R} -algebra, they also generate $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ as \mathbb{C} -algebra. Hence there exist $X_1, \ldots, X_g \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that dim ker $L_A(X) = 1$ by Corollary 5.7. If $\iota_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ is the *-embedding of \mathbb{R} -algebras from the proof of Proposition 5.2(ii), then $\iota(X_i) \in S_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ and dim ker $L_A(\iota(X)) = 2$. \Box

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Bill Helton and Scott McCullough for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

[1] W. Arveson, The noncommutative Choquet boundary, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 21 (2008), 1065–1084. Zbl 1207.46052 MR 2425180

- J. A. Ball, G. Groenewald, and T. Malakorn, Structured noncommutative multidimensional linear systems, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 44 (2005), 1474–1528. Zbl 1139.93006 MR 2178040
- [3] H. H. Bauschke, O. Güler, A. S. Lewis, and H. Sendov, Hyperbolic polynomials and convex analysis, *Canad. J. Math.*, 53 (2001), 470–488. Zbl 0974.90015 MR 1827817
- [4] A. Beauville, Determinantal hypersurfaces, *Michigan Math. J.*, 48 (2000), 39–64.
 Zbl 1076.14534 MR 1786479
- [5] S. T. Belinschi, T. Mai, and R. Speicher, Analytic subordination theory of operator-valued free additive convolution and the solution of a general random matrix problem, to appear in *J. Reine Angew. Math.*
- [6] S. Benzoni-Gavage and D. Serre, Multi-dimensional hyperbolic partial differential equations: First-order systems and applications, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 2007. Zbl 1113.35001 MR 2284507
- [7] G. M. Bergman, Skew fields of noncommutative rational functions, after Amitsur (preliminary version), in Séminaire M. P. Schützenberger, A. Lentin et M. Nivat, 1969/70: Problèmes Mathématiques de la Théorie des Automates, 16, 1–18, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1970. Zbl 0212.25901 MR 0280540
- [8] J. Berstel and C. Reutenauer, Noncommutative rational series with applications, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 137, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. Zbl 1250.68007 MR 2760561
- [9] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M. F. Roy, *Real algebraic geometry*, Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3), 36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Zbl 0912.14023 MR 1659509
- [10] P. Brändén, Obstructions to determinantal representability, Adv. Math., 226 (2011), 1202– 1212. Zbl 1219.90121 MR 2737782
- M. Brešar, *Introduction to noncommutative algebra*, Universitext, Springer, Cham, 2014. Zbl 1334.16001 MR 3308118
- [12] S. Boyd, L. El Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan, *Linear matrix inequalities in system and control theory*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, 15, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1994. Zbl 0816.93004 MR 1284712
- [13] A. Buckley, Indecomposable matrices defining plane cubics, *Oper. Matrices*, **10** (2016), no. 4, 1059–1072. Zbl 06674084
- [14] A. M. Cohen, G. Ivanyos, and D. B. Wales, Finding the radical of an algebra of linear transformations, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 117 (1997), 177–193. Zbl 0876.16009 MR 1457838
- [15] P. M. Cohn, Skew fields. Theory of general division rings, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 57, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Zbl 0840.16001 MR 1349108
- [16] I. Dolgachev, Classical Algebraic Geometry: A Modern View, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. Zbl 1252.14001 MR 2964027
- [17] R. G. Douglas, On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **17** (1966), 413–415. Zbl 0146.12503 MR 0203464
- [18] W. Eberly, Decompositions of algebras over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} , *Comput. Complexity*, **1** (1991), 211–234. Zbl 0774.68069 MR 1165192

- [19] K. Friedl and L. Rónyai, Polynomial time solutions of some problems of computational algebra, in *Proceedings of the seventeenth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, 153–162, ACM, New York, 1985.
- [20] L. Gårding, An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials, J. Math. Mech., 8 (1959), 957–965.
 Zbl 0090.01603 MR 0113978
- [21] J. W. Helton, I. Klep, and S. McCullough, The matricial relaxation of a linear matrix inequality, *Math. Program.*, 138 (2013), 401–445. Zbl 1272.15012 MR 3034812
- [22] J. W. Helton, S. McCullough, and V. Vinnikov, Noncommutative convexity arises from linear matrix inequalities, J. Funct. Anal., 240 (2006), 105–191. Zbl 1135.47005 MR 2259894
- [23] J. W. Helton and V. Vinnikov, Linear matrix inequality representation of sets, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 60 (2007), 654–674. Zbl 1116.15016 MR 2292953
- [24] D. S. Kalyuzhnyi-Verbovetskiĭ and V. Vinnikov, Singularities of rational functions and minimal factorizations: the noncommutative and the commutative setting, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **430** (2009), 869–889. Zbl 1217.47032 MR 2489365
- [25] D. S. Kalyuzhnyi-Verbovetskiĭ and V. Vinnikov, Noncommutative rational functions, their difference-differential calculus and realizations, *Multidimens. Syst. Signal Process.*, 23 (2012), 49–77. Zbl 1255.93073 MR 2875107
- [26] R. Kippenhahn, Über den Wertevorrat einer Matrix, *Math. Nachr.*, 6 (1951), 193–228.
 Zbl 0044.16201 MR 0059242
- [27] M. Kummer, D. Plaumann, and C. Vinzant, Hyperbolic polynomials, interlacers, and sums of squares, *Math. Program.*, 153 (2015), 223–245. Zbl 06494237 MR 3395549
- [28] T. J. Laffey, A counterexample to Kippenhahn's conjecture on Hermitian pencils, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **51** (1983), 179–182. Zbl 0539.15004 MR 0699733
- [29] B. Mathes, M. Omladič, and H. Radjavi, Linear spaces of nilpotent matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 149 (1991), 215–225. Zbl 0722.15016 MR 1092879
- [30] T. Netzer and A. Thom, Polynomials with and without determinantal representations, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **437** (2012), 1579–1595. Zbl 1259.15016 MR 2946343
- [31] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, 10th Anniversary Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. MR 1796805
- [32] C. J. Pappacena, An upper bound for the length of a finite-dimensional algebra, J. Algebra, 197 (1997), 535–545. Zbl 0888.16008 MR 1483779
- [33] V. Paulsen, Completely bounded maps and operator algebras, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. Zbl 1029.47003 MR 1976867
- [34] C. Procesi, The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices, *Adv. Math.*, **19** (1976), 306–381. Zbl 0331.15021 MR 0419491
- [35] J. Renegar, Hyperbolic programs, and their derivative relaxations, *Found. Comput. Math.*, 6 (2006), 59–79. Zbl 1130.90363 MR 2198215
- [36] C. Reutenauer, Inversion height in free fields, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 2 (1996), 93–109.
 Zbl 0857.16021 MR 1403353
- [37] L. H. Rowen, *Ring theory. Vol. I*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 127, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. Zbl 0651.16001 MR 0940245

- [38] H. Shapiro, On a conjecture of Kippenhahn about the characteristic polynomial of a pencil generated by two Hermitian matrices. I, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 43 (1982), 201–221. Zbl 0487.15006 MR 0656446
- [39] R.E. Skelton, T. Iwasaki, and D. E. Grigoriadis, *A unified algebraic approach to control design*, CRC Press, 1997.
- [40] J. Volčič, Matrix coefficient realization theory of noncommutative rational functions, *preprint*. arXiv:1505.07472
- [41] J. Volčič, On domains of noncommutative rational functions, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 516 (2017), 69–81. Zbl 06668841 MR 3589705
- [42] H. Wolkowicz, R. Saigal, and L. Vandenberghe (editors), Handbook of semidefinite programming: theory, algorithms, and applications, 27, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012. MR 1778223

Received December 17, 2015

I. Klep, Department of Mathematics, The University of Auckland, Auckland 1142, New Zealand E-mail: igor.klep@auckland.ac.nz

J. Volčič, Department of Mathematics, The University of Auckland, Auckland 1142, New Zealand E-mail: jurij.volcic@auckland.ac.nz