

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 91 (2016)
Heft: 2

Artikel: On the strong orderability of overtwisted 3-folds
Autor: Casals, Roger / Presas, Francisco
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-630561>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 15.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

On the strong orderability of overtwisted 3–folds

Roger Casals and Francisco Presas

Abstract. In this article we address the existence of positive loops of contactomorphisms in overtwisted contact 3–folds. We present a construction of such positive loops in the contact fibered connected sum of certain contact 3–folds along transverse knots. In particular, we obtain positive loops of contactomorphisms in a class of overtwisted contact structures.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 53D10, 57R17.

Keywords. Positive loops of contactomorphisms, overtwisted contact structures, orderability.

1. Introduction

Let (M, ξ) be a connected contact manifold with a cooriented contact structure. In [9], Y. Eliashberg and L. Polterovich observed that the universal cover $\widetilde{\text{Cont}}_0(M, \xi)$ of the identity component of the group of contactomorphisms carries a natural non–negative normal cone. This structure induces a partial binary relation on the groups $\widetilde{\text{Cont}}_0(M, \xi)$ and $\text{Cont}_0(M, \xi)$. This relation is naturally reflexive and transitive but not necessarily anti–symmetric. In case it is anti–symmetric it provides a partial order on these groups. This has been of central interest [8, 9, 12] in contact topology.

The existence of this partial order in $\widetilde{\text{Cont}}_0(M, \xi)$ can be stated in terms of the non–existence of positive contractible loops of contactomorphisms, confer Section 2 below. In particular, this leads to the study of positive loops of contactomorphisms and that of positive Legendrian isotopies (see for instance [4–6]). A significant part of the current knowledge on the subject can be subsumed as follows. The contact jet spaces $J^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $J^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^1)$ along with the spaces of cooriented contact elements do not admit a positive contractible loop of contactomorphisms [2, 5, 6, 8, 14]. The standard contact structure on a sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} , different from \mathbb{S}^1 , does admit a positive contractible loop of contactomorphisms [8, 12, 13].

The method used in [5] also implies that the space of contact elements of \mathbb{T}^2 is strongly orderable, that is, it does not even admit a positive loop of contactomorphisms. In general, [5, Corollary 9.1] implies that the cosphere bundle of a manifold with infinite fundamental group does not admit a positive loop of contactomorphisms. In [1, Theorem 7.1] the cosphere bundle of a manifold with

finite fundamental group (and rational cohomology ring with at least two generators) is also shown to be strongly orderable. In this direction, P. Weigel [15] shows that the existence of a non-standard symplectic ball whose Rabinowitz Floer homology growth rate is superlinear can be used to locally perturb any higher-dimensional Liouville fillable contact structure to a strongly orderable contact structure.

The canonical contact structures on $J^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{S}^1)$ and those obtained as the space of cooriented contact elements of a surface are tight contact structures. Thus the list above does not include any overtwisted contact 3-fold. This article presents the first examples of positive loops in overtwisted contact 3-folds. The first result towards the understanding of positive loops of contactomorphisms in overtwisted 3-folds appears in [3]. There, the non-existence of positive loops generated by a Hamiltonian with a small C^0 -norm has been proven. This statement sided with the folklore conjecture that overtwisted contact manifolds do not admit positive loops of contactomorphisms. Surprisingly, Theorem 1.1 below provides a counter-example to the conjecture.

In the present article, we prove that there exist overtwisted contact structures admitting positive loops of contactomorphisms. This is achieved with an explicit construction involving the fibered connected sum with $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ along a transverse knot. Denote by (M, ξ^κ) the contact structure obtained from (M, ξ) by performing a half Lutz twist along a transverse knot κ . The main result we shall provide is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, ξ) be a contact 3-fold that admits a positive loop of contactomorphisms $\{\phi_t\}$. Suppose that there exists a locally autonomous orbit κ of $\{\phi_t\}$. Then the overtwisted contact 3-fold (M, ξ^κ) admits a positive loop of contactomorphisms.*

In conjunction with [3, Theorem 1], the Hamiltonians generating such positive loops cannot be C^0 -small. That is, given a contact structure $(M, \ker \alpha)$ there exists a positive constant $C(\alpha)$ such that for any Hamiltonian $H : M \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generating a positive loop, we have $\|H\|_{C^0} \geq C(\alpha)$.

The notion of a locally autonomous orbit appearing in Theorem 1.1 is introduced in Section 3. For instance, the Boothby–Wang manifold associated to a surface conforms the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *Let (Σ, ω) be a symplectic 2-dimensional orbifold. The contact structure obtained by a half Lutz twist along a positive transverse regular fibre of the circle orbibundle $\mathbb{S}(\Sigma, \omega)$ admits a positive loop of contactomorphisms.*

This yields a positive loop of contactomorphisms for the overtwisted contact structures (\mathbb{S}^3, ξ_k) corresponding to positive integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ representing the homotopy classes $k \in H^3(M, \pi_3(\mathbb{S}^2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.1 also applies to the (unique) tight contact structure ξ_{st} on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

Corollary 1.3. *The contact structure $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi^\kappa)$ obtained by a half Lutz twist along the positive transverse knot $\kappa = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{(0, 0, 1)\} \subset (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ admits a positive loop of contactomorphisms.*

The existence of such positive loops implies squeezing phenomena on the aforementioned contact 3–folds. Nevertheless we cannot conclude its contractibility and thus the squeezing in the isotopy sense does not follow [8]. Similarly, Theorem 1.1 implies that the binary relation [9] is not a partial order in $\text{Cont}_0(M, \xi)$ for these overtwisted manifolds, but the lift to the universal cover might still be a partial order. See Subsection 2.4 for details.

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the required preliminaries in contact topology. The construction used in order to prove Theorem 1.1 involves a fibered connected sum with $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. Section 3 presents this contact manifold and describes a certain non–negative loop of contactomorphisms. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 using the tools in Section 2 and the loop in Section 3.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Y. Eliashberg, V. Ginzburg, E. Murphy and L. Polterovich for useful discussions. Part of these discussions developed during the Workshop on Rigidity and Flexibility in Symplectic Topology and Dynamics at the Lorentz Center in Leiden. We are thankful for their hospitality. We also thank A. Rechtman and S. Sandon for helpful remarks. The present work is part of the authors activities within CAST, a Research Network Program of the European Science Foundation. The authors are supported by the Spanish National Research Project MTM2013–42135 and SEV-2011-0087.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we briefly introduce the basic ingredients involved in Theorem 1.1. Subsections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 can be essentially extracted from [10]. The reader is referred to [8, 12] for Subsection 2.4. In this article (M, ξ) denotes a contact 3–fold.

2.1. Fibered connected sum. Let us consider the 3–fold

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \times D^2(R) = \{(\theta; x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq R\} = \{(\theta; r, \varphi) : r \leq R\}$$

with the contact structure ξ_0 defined by the contact form $\alpha_0 = d\theta + r^2 d\varphi$.

Suppose that $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (M, \xi)$ is a transverse knot with a fixed frame $\tau : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \gamma^* \xi$. Then, for $R > 0$ small enough, there exists a unique (up to contact isotopy) contact embedding

$$\phi : (\mathbb{S}^1 \times D^2(R), \xi_0) \rightarrow (\phi(\mathbb{S}^1 \times D^2(R)), \xi) \subset (M, \xi)$$

such that $\phi(\theta, 0, 0) = \gamma(\theta)$ and the frame $\phi^* \tau : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \xi_0$ is equal to ∂_x .

Given two framed transverse knots (γ_1, τ_1) and (γ_2, τ_2) in two contact 3–folds (M_1, ξ_1) and (M_2, ξ_2) , we can define the fibered connected sum along these knots. It is described as follows.

Consider the domain $A_R = \mathbb{S}^1 \times (-R^2, R^2) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with coordinates (θ, v, φ) and the contact form $\eta = d\theta + v d\varphi$. Then the pair of gluing maps:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 : \mathbb{S}^1 \times (D^2(R) \setminus \{0\}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \times (0, R^2) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subset A_R \\ (\theta, r, \varphi) &\longmapsto (\theta, r^2, \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 : \mathbb{S}^1 \times (D^2(R) \setminus \{0\}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \times (-R^2, 0) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subset A_R \\ (\theta, r, \varphi) &\longmapsto (\theta, -r^2, -\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

satisfy $g_1^* \eta = g_2^* \eta = \alpha_0$ and thus are strict contact embeddings. Then the contact fibered connected sum along (γ_1, τ_1) and (γ_2, τ_2) is the smooth manifold

$$(M_1, \xi_1) \# (M_2, \xi_2) := (M_1 \setminus \gamma_1(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cup_{g_1 \circ \phi_1^{-1}} A_R \cup_{g_2 \circ \phi_2^{-1}} (M_2 \setminus \gamma_2(\mathbb{S}^1))$$

where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the contact embeddings corresponding to (γ_1, τ_1) and (γ_2, τ_2) . This 3–fold is endowed with a contact structure in each piece and, since these are glued with g_1 and g_2 , there exists a contact structure on $(M_1, \xi_1) \# (M_2, \xi_2)$.

Observe that an isotopy of framed transverse knots preserves the isotopy class of the resulting contact structure (by Gray’s stability). Also, the isotopy class of the contact structure does not depend on each of the frames (τ_1, τ_2) but only on their sum:

Lemma 2.1. *The contact structure on the fibered connected sum $(M_1, \xi_1) \# (M_2, \xi_2)$ along (γ_1, τ_1) and (γ_2, τ_2) is isotopic to the contact structure on the fibered connected sum $(M_1, \xi_1) \# (M_2, \xi_2)$ along $(\gamma_1, \tau_1 + k)$ and $(\gamma_2, \tau_2 - k)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

The fibered connected sum along a framed transverse knot can be used to modify the contact structure of a 3–fold (while preserving its diffeomorphism type). Indeed, the connected sum $M \# (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ along the knot $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{pt.\}$ is diffeomorphic to M . This operation yields a non–trivial operation from the contact topology viewpoint, the half Lutz twist.

2.2. The half Lutz twist. Consider $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates $(\theta; x, y, z) \simeq (\theta; r, \varphi, z)$ and the contact manifold

$$(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st}) = (\{(\theta; r, \varphi, z) : r^2 + z^2 = 1\}, \ker\{z d\theta + r^2 d\varphi\}) \subset \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

This is the unique tight contact structure on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, see [11].

Let (Γ, ι) be the framed transverse knot on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ defined by $\Gamma(\theta) = (\theta; 0, 0, 1)$ and $\iota(\theta) = \partial_x$.

Definition 2.2. Let (M, ξ) be a contact 3-fold and (γ, τ) a framed transverse knot. The half Lutz twist of (M, ξ) along the transverse knot γ is the contact fibered connected sum $(M, \xi) \# (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ along (γ, τ) and (Γ, ι) .

The half Lutz twist of (M, ξ) along a transverse knot γ is denoted by (M, ξ^ν) . Note that the action of $\Omega SO(3) \subset \text{Diff}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ implies that the diffeomorphism type of $(M, \xi) \# (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ is independent of the choice of frame ι and thus equal to M . In terms of surgeries, it is a Dehn surgery in which the meridian is sent to the meridian and thus the smooth type of the resulting manifold remains the same. Similarly, the contact structure ξ^ν does not depend either on the choice of frame, see [7, 10].

There are two relevant features regarding (M, ξ^ν) . First, it is an overtwisted contact 3-fold. There is a family of overtwisted disks that appear from the family of immersed overtwisted disks $\{\theta\} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ in the tight $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$, whose boundaries (collapsed at a point) form the knot Γ . In more detail, the family of immersed disks in $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ is parametrized by $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$, and each immersion is given by

$$\iota_\theta : D^2(\rho, \varphi) \longrightarrow \{\theta\} \times \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \iota_\theta(\rho, \varphi) = (\theta; \sin(\pi\rho), \varphi, 2\rho - 1)$$

where $(\rho, \varphi) \in D^2$ are polar coordinates in the unit disk. Note that ι_θ is an embedding when restricted to $\text{Int } D^2(\rho, \varphi)$, and it maps the boundary $\partial D^2(\rho, \varphi)$ to the north pole $(\theta; 0, \varphi, 1)$, which is a point since φ collapses to one value in the axis $\{r = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$. The characteristic foliation induced in $\iota_\theta(D^2)$ is given by the equation

$$\iota_\theta^* \alpha = \iota_\theta^*(z d\theta + r^2 d\varphi) = \sin^2(\pi\rho) d\varphi,$$

whose kernel equals $\ker \iota_\theta^* \alpha = \langle \partial_\rho \rangle$ at $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and has $\{\rho = 0\} \cup \{\rho = 1\}$ as singular set. In particular, this defines overtwisted characteristic foliations on the images of the unit 2-disk $D^2(\rho, \varphi)$ via the maps ι_θ . In the contact fibered sum along (Γ, ι) , the images of the boundary of the disk $D^2(\rho, \varphi)$ become embedded, and hence $\iota_\theta(D^2(\rho, \varphi))$ define embedded overtwisted disks in $(M, \xi) \# (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$.

Second, the homotopy class of ξ^ν differs from that of ξ . The primary obstruction is the class

$$d^2(\xi, \xi^\nu) = c_1(\xi) - c_1(\xi^\nu) = -2PD([\gamma]) \in H^2(M, \pi_2(\mathbb{S}^2)).$$

See [10, Section 4.3] for details. The positive loop of contactomorphisms obtained in Theorem 1.1 is essentially built separately in the two pieces of a fibered connected sum. The first piece is the given contact 3-fold (M, ξ) and the other corresponds to $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$. The loop is constructed by gluing a positive loop in each of the pieces, thus resulting in a positive loop of contactomorphisms for the half Lutz twist of (M, ξ) .

2.3. Loops of contactomorphisms. Let (M, ξ) be a contact structure and a 1-form α such that $\xi = \ker \alpha$. The choice of α uniquely determines a vector field R_α such that

$$i_{R_\alpha} \alpha = 1, \quad i_{R_\alpha} d\alpha = 0.$$

A vector field X is said to be contact if $\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = f\alpha$, for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Given a contact vector field X , the function $H = \alpha(X) \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} i_X \alpha &= H, \\ i_X d\alpha &= (d_{R_\alpha} H)\alpha - dH. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, given a function $H \in C^\infty(M)$ there exists a unique contact vector field X verifying the equations above. The function H is called the Hamiltonian function associated to X . This establishes a linear isomorphism (depending on α) between the vector space of contact vector fields and the vector space of smooth functions.

The correspondence can be made time-dependent. Given a time-dependent flow $\phi_t : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ of contactomorphisms, its associated time-dependent vector field is defined by

$$\dot{\phi}_t = X_t \circ \phi_t.$$

The function $H_t = \alpha(X_t) : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be referred to as the Hamiltonian generating the contact flow ϕ_t , and denoted by $H(\phi_t)$. It will be assumed to be 1-periodic in time. The flow of contactomorphisms ϕ_t is said to be a smooth loop if $\phi_1 = id$ and the quotient map $\phi_t : M \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M$ is smooth. The loop of contactomorphisms is positive if its generating Hamiltonian is positive, i.e. $H_t(p, t) > 0$ at any $(p, t) \in M \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

There are two useful operations in the spaces of loops of contactomorphisms: concatenation and composition. The concatenation is defined as follows. Let $\{\Phi_t^1, \dots, \Phi_t^l\}$ be a set of $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ loops of contactomorphisms respectively generated by Hamiltonians $\{F_t^1, \dots, F_t^l\}$.

The concatenation of the loops $\{\Phi_t^1, \dots, \Phi_t^l\}$ is defined as

$$\Phi_t^1 \odot \dots \odot \Phi_t^l = \begin{cases} \Phi_{lt}^1 & t \in [0, 1/l], \\ \Phi_{lt-1}^2 & t \in [1/l, 2/l], \\ \vdots & \\ \Phi_{lt-l+2}^{l-1} & t \in [1 - 2/l, 1 - 1/l], \\ \Phi_{lt-l+1}^l & t \in [1 - 1/l, 1]. \end{cases}$$

The generating Hamiltonian $C : M \times \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the concatenation is

$$C_t = H(\Phi_t^1 \odot \cdots \odot \Phi_t^l) = \begin{cases} lF^1(\cdot, lt) & t \in [0, 1/l], \\ lF^2(\cdot, lt - 1) & t \in [1/l, 2/l], \\ \vdots & \\ lF^{l-1}(\cdot, lt - l + 2) & t \in [1 - 2/l, 1 - 1/l], \\ lF^l(\cdot, lt - l + 1) & t \in [1 - 1/l, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Let Φ_t and Ψ_t be two loops of contactomorphisms generated by F_t and G_t . The second operation is the composition $\{\Phi_t \circ \Psi_t\}_t$ of Φ_t and Ψ_t . Suppose that the first loop satisfies $\Phi_t^* \alpha = e^{f_t} \alpha$, then the Hamiltonian generating the composition is

$$H(\Phi_t \circ \Psi_t)(p, t) = F_t(p, t) + e^{-f_t} G_t(\Phi_t^{-1}(p), t).$$

In addition, the conjugation $\{\psi \circ \Phi_t \circ \psi^{-1}\}_t$ of the loop Φ_t by a contactomorphism $\psi \in \text{Cont}(M, \xi)$, such that $\psi^* \alpha = e^f \alpha$, is a loop of contactomorphisms generated by the Hamiltonian

$$H(\psi \circ \Phi_t \circ \psi^{-1})(p, t) = e^{-f} F_t(\psi^{-1}(p), t).$$

These operations will be used in Section 4.

2.4. Orderability. Let us consider the identity component of the group of contactomorphisms $G = \text{Cont}_0(M, \xi)$ and its universal cover $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{\text{Cont}}_0(M, \xi)$. These groups are endowed with a natural relation. Given $f, g \in \text{Cont}_0(M, \xi)$, the relation is defined as $f \geq g$ if and only if there exists a path ϕ_t of contactomorphisms such that $\phi_1 = f \circ g^{-1}$ and its generating Hamiltonian is non-negative. This relation is reflexive and transitive. Similarly, given two elements $[\phi_t], [\psi_t] \in \widetilde{G}$. The relation $[\phi_t] \geq [\psi_t]$ if and only if $[\phi_t \circ \psi_t^{-1}]$ admits a representative generated by a non-negative Hamiltonian is reflexive and transitive.

The contact manifold (M, ξ) is said to be strongly orderable if the relation (G, \geq) is antisymmetric (and thus defines a genuine partial order). It is said to be orderable if the relation (\widetilde{G}, \geq) is also antisymmetric. The following criterion relates the existence of this genuine partial order with the existence of positive loops of contactomorphisms:

Proposition 2.3. [9, Criterion 1.2.C] *The relation \geq is a non-trivial partial order on G if and only if there are no loops of contactomorphisms of (M, ξ) generated by a strictly positive Hamiltonian.*

In addition, the relation \geq is a non-trivial partial order on \widetilde{G} if and only if there are no contractible loops of contactomorphisms of (M, ξ) generated by a strictly positive Hamiltonian.

Theorem 1.1 implies the existence of overtwisted contact 3-folds that are not strongly-orderable. This is the first result relating overtwisted 3-folds to orderability.

3. Locally autonomous loops of contactomorphisms

Let (M, ξ) be a contact 3-fold and α an associated contact form. The fibered connected sum along a tranverse knot has been described in Subsection 2.1. The aim of this section is to introduce a property for a positive loop of contactomorphisms in (M, ξ) that allows us to obtain a positive loop of contactomorphisms in the fibered connected sum $(M, \xi) \# (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$. The notation $\text{Op}(A)$ for a subset $A \subseteq Y$ refers to an arbitrary small but non-specified open neighborhood of $A \subseteq Y$.

This appropriate class of loops are the locally autonomous loops, described as follows. Let $p \in M$ be a point and $\{\phi_t\}$ a positive loop of contactomorphisms generated by a Hamiltonian F_t .

Definition 3.1. The loop $\{\phi_t\}$ is said to be locally autonomous at p if there exists $\text{Op}(p)$ such that

$$F(\phi_t(q), t_0) = F(\phi_t(q), t_1), \quad \forall t, t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ and } \forall q \in \text{Op}(p)$$

and the map $\phi_t(p) : \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow M$ is an embedding.

Observe that this definition does not depend on the choice of contact form α for ξ . The local autonomy at p is equivalent to $\dot{\phi}_t$ being time-independent on the trajectories passing through $\text{Op}(p)$ and a positive loop that is locally autonomous at any point of the manifold is time-independent.

There exists also a normal form in a neighborhood of the orbit of the point p . It is used in order to glue the dynamics in a fibered connected sum. The normal form is the content of the following

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\{\phi_t\}$ be a positive locally autonomous loop around p . Then there exist a constant $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$, a tubular neighborhood T_p of the orbit through p and a contactomorphism*

$$\psi : (\mathbb{S}^1 \times D^2(\rho), \ker\{\alpha_0 = d\theta + r^2 d\varphi\}) \longrightarrow (T_p, \xi|_{T_p}) \text{ such that } \alpha_0(\psi^* \dot{\phi}_t) = 1.$$

Proof. Consider the contact form $\eta = \alpha/F_0$. The contact Hamiltonian H_t associated to the loop $\{\phi_t\}$ with respect to η satisfies $H_0 = 1$, and thus the contact vector field X_t coincides with the Reeb field R_η at $t = 0$. The strict Darboux Theorem [10, Section 2.5] implies the existence of a constant $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$, a neighborhood U_p and a strict contactomorphism

$$f : ((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times D^{2n}(\rho), \alpha_0) \longrightarrow (U_p, \eta).$$

We can suppose that the neighborhood U_p is contained in the neighborhood $\text{Op}(p)$ provided by Definition 3.1. Since the Reeb flow is a strict contactomorphism and the flow ϕ_t is locally autonomous on $\text{Op}(p)$, the flow ϕ_t coincides with the Reeb flow in $\text{Op}(p)$, and hence it is a strict contact flow.

The positive loop Ψ_t generated by the Reeb field on $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times D^{2n}(\rho), \ker\{\alpha_0\})$ is $\Psi_t(\theta, x) = (\theta + t, x)$. The neighborhood T_p is obtained through the flow of U_p and the contactomorphism ψ is the strict contact embedding

$$\begin{aligned}\psi : \mathbb{S}^1 \times D^{2n}(\rho) &\longrightarrow M \\ (\theta, x) &\longmapsto \phi_\theta(f(\Psi_{-\theta}(\theta, x))).\end{aligned}$$

□

Consider two contact 3–folds (M, ξ) and (N, η) with positive loops of contactomorphisms Φ_t and Ψ_t locally autonomous at $p \in M$ and $q \in N$. Let γ and κ be the orbits of p and q with respect to Φ_t and Ψ_t , which come equipped with natural framings provided by Proposition 3.2. The fibered connected sum along γ and κ , introduced in Subsection 2.1, admits a positive loop of contactomorphisms.

This positive loop is defined as Φ_t and Ψ_t in $M \setminus \text{Op}(\gamma)$ and $N \setminus \text{Op}(\kappa)$, considered as submanifolds of $(M, \xi) \# (N, \eta)$ and extended to the gluing region of $(M, \xi) \# (N, \eta)$ with each of the two loops of contactomorphisms. In detail, Proposition 3.2 provides a normal form for both neighborhoods $\text{Op}(\gamma)$ and $\text{Op}(\kappa)$. This allows us to glue the two corresponding Hamiltonians $H(\Phi_t)$ and $H(\Psi_t)$ in their local normal form, both being constant on the gluing region and thus coinciding at $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subset A_R$. This positive loop of contactomorphisms of $(M, \xi) \# (N, \eta)$ is denoted by $\Phi_t \# \Psi_t$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of this construction applied to the manifold $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ with an appropriate loop of contactomorphisms. The overtwistedness of the resulting contact structure follows from Subsection 2.2. Section 4 provides this loop and concludes Theorem 1.1.

4. Proof of the main result

In this section Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 are used to prove Theorem 1.1.

Consider coordinates $(\theta; r, \varphi, z) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and the contact form $\alpha_{st} = z d\theta + r^2 d\varphi$ on the manifold $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2 = \{(\theta; r, \varphi, z) : r^2 + z^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. We can define the two solid tori

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{T}_1 &= \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2 = \{(\theta; r, \varphi, z) : r^2 + z^2 = 1, z \geq 0\}, \\ \mathbb{T}_2 &= \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2 = \{(\theta; r, \varphi, z) : r^2 + z^2 = 1, z \leq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

There exists a non–negative autonomous Hamiltonian $R_t : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $R_t(\theta; r, \varphi, z) = r^2$ which generates the non–negative loop of contactomorphisms $\{\rho_t\}$ given by

$$\rho_t(\theta; r, \varphi, z) = (\theta; r, \varphi + t, z).$$

A second autonomous Hamiltonian is also central to our construction. It is the Hamiltonian $Z_t : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $Z_t(\theta; r, \varphi, z) = z$ whose associated loop of contactomorphisms $\{\zeta_t\}$ is

$$\zeta_t(\theta; r, \varphi, z) = (\theta + t; r, \varphi, z).$$

It is a loop of strict contactomorphisms, i.e. $\zeta_t^* \alpha_{st} = \alpha_{st}$.

The loops ρ_t and ζ_t are autonomous and commute, however only ρ_t is non-negative. Let us construct a locally autonomous positive loop of contactomorphisms in $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$. It is obtained in two steps corresponding to the two subsequent Propositions.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a loop $\{\beta_t\} \in \Omega \text{Cont}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ which coincides with $\{\rho_t \circ \rho_t\}$ on the solid torus \mathbb{T}_1 and it is positive on the solid torus \mathbb{T}_2 .*

The proof follows closely the argument of [9, Prop. 2.1.B] and [12, Prop. 2.3].

Proof. Consider the transverse knot $\gamma(\theta) = (-\theta; 0, 0, -1)$ in $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$. Suppose that for a small enough neighborhood $\text{Op}(\gamma)$ there exists a contactomorphism $\psi \in \text{Cont}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ supported in $\text{Op}(\gamma)$ and such that $\gamma \cap \psi(\gamma) = \emptyset$. Then the loop $\beta_t = \rho_t \circ \psi \circ \rho_t \circ \psi^{-1}$ coincides with $\rho_t \circ \rho_t$ on \mathbb{T}_1 and its Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H(\beta_t) &= R_t(p, t) + H(\psi \circ \rho_t \circ \psi^{-1})(\rho_t^{-1}(p), t) \\ &= R_t(p, t) + e^{-f} R_t((\rho_t \circ \psi)^{-1}(p), t) \end{aligned}$$

is positive on \mathbb{T}_2 since at least one of the two summands is strictly positive. In the above formula $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is such that $\psi^* \alpha = f \alpha$, and confer Subsection 2.3 for the expression of the Hamiltonian. Let us show the existence of the contactomorphism ψ .

Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be small enough, $(\theta, x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times D_\varepsilon^2$ local coordinates and $g : \mathbb{S}^1 \times D_\varepsilon^2 \longrightarrow \text{Op}(\gamma)$ a local chart such that $\ker g^* \alpha_{st} = \ker \{d\theta + x dy\}$. It suffices to construct the compactly supported contactomorphism ψ in this local model $\mathbb{S}^1 \times D_\varepsilon^2$. The contact vector field ∂_y is generated by the Hamiltonian $H(\theta; x, y) = x$. This Hamiltonian can be cut-off to a smooth Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{H} : \mathbb{S}^1 \times D_\varepsilon^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that

$$\widetilde{H} = H \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^1 \times D_{\varepsilon/4}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{H} = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^1 \times (D_\varepsilon^2 \setminus D_{3\varepsilon/4}^2).$$

The flow generated by \widetilde{H} exists for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$ small enough, and for one such τ we can define the contactomorphism ψ to be the τ -time flow. \square

Proposition 4.2. *The loop $\delta_t = \zeta_t \circ (\beta_t \odot \cdot^k \odot \beta_t)$ in $\text{Cont}_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ is locally autonomous at any point of the open set $\mathring{\mathbb{T}}_1$ and positive on $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ large enough.*

Proof. The loop ζ_t preserves the decomposition $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2 = \mathbb{T}_1 \cup \mathbb{T}_2$. The Hamiltonian associated to the loop $\{\beta_t \odot \overset{k}{\cdot} \odot \beta_t\}$ is the smooth function $kH(\beta_{kt})$. The Hamiltonian $H(\beta_t)$ is positive on \mathbb{T}^2 and thus for k large enough

$$H(\delta_t)(p, t) = z(p) + kH(\beta_{kt})(\zeta_t^{-1}(p), t) \geq -1 + kH(\beta_{kt})(\zeta_t^{-1}(p), t) > 0.$$

Therefore the Hamiltonian $H(\delta_t)$ is positive in \mathbb{T}_2 .

In the solid torus \mathbb{T}_1 , the Hamiltonian $H(\delta_t)|_{\mathbb{T}_1}(\theta; r, \varphi, z) = z + 2kr^2$ is positive, autonomous and its flow preserves \mathbb{T}_1 . This concludes the statement. \square

The existence of the loop $\delta_t \in \Omega \text{Cont}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ in Proposition 4.2 implies Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The loop of contactomorphisms δ_t constructed in Proposition 4.2 is positive and locally autonomous at $p = (0; 0, 0, 1)$. Consider the transverse knot $\gamma = \{z = 1\} = \{(\theta; 0, 0, 1)\}$, this coincides with the orbit of δ_t at p . Then the loop of contactomorphisms $\phi_t \# \delta_t$ of the fibered connected sum $(M, \xi) \# (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \xi_{st})$ along $\kappa \# \gamma$ is generated by a positive Hamiltonian. Subsection 2.2 implies that the construction does not depend on the choice of frames and the resulting contact manifold is (M, ξ^κ) . \square

The geometric argument used to prove Theorem 1.1 should apply to higher dimensional contact manifolds. There is however no explicit local model in order to glue, neither a general notion of a higher dimensional Lutz twist.

References

- [1] P. Albers and U. Frauenfelder, A variational approach to Givental's nonlinear Maslov index, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **22** (2012), 1033–1050. Zbl 1276.53090 MR 2989428
- [2] M. Bhupal, A partial order on the group of contactomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} via generating functions, *Turkish J. Math.*, **25** (2001), 125–135. Zbl 1007.53067 MR 1829083
- [3] R. Casals, F. Presas and S. Sandon, On the non-existence of small positive loops of contactomorphisms on overtwisted contact manifolds, to appear in *J. Symp. Geom.*
- [4] V. Chernov and S. Nemirovski, Legendrian links, causality, and the Low conjecture, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **19** (2010), 1320–1333. Zbl 1186.83013 MR 2585576
- [5] V. Chernov and S. Nemirovski, Non-negative Legendrian isotopy in $\mathbb{S}(T^*M)$, *Geometry Topology*, **14** (2010), 611–626. Zbl 1194.53066 MR 2602847

- [6] V. Colin, E. Ferrand and P. Pushkar, Positive isotopies of Legendrian submanifolds and applications, 2010. arXiv:1004.5263
- [7] Y. Eliashberg, Classification of overtwisted contact structures on 3-manifolds, *Invent. Math.*, **98** (1989), 623–637. Zbl 0684.57012 MR 1022310
- [8] Y. Eliashberg, S. S. Kim and L. Polterovich, Geometry of contact transformations and domains: orderability versus squeezing, *Geom. Topol.*, **10** (2006), 1635–1747. Zbl 1134.53044 MR 2284048
- [9] Y. Eliashberg and L. Polterovich, Partially ordered groups and geometry of contact transformations, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **10** (2000), 1448–1476. Zbl 0986.53036 MR 1810748
- [10] H. Geiges, *An Introduction to Contact Topology*, Cambr. Studies in Adv. Math., 109, Cambr. Univ. Press, 2008. Zbl 1153.53002 MR 2397738
- [11] E. Giroux, Structures de contact en dimension trois et bifurcations des feuilletages de surfaces, *Invent. Math.*, **141** (2000), 615–689. Zbl 1186.53097 MR 1779622
- [12] E. Giroux, Sur la géométrie et la dynamique des transformations de contact, *Séminaire Bourbaki*, (2009), n. 1004.
- [13] G. I. Olshankii, Invariant orderings in simple Lie groups. Solution of a problem of B. Vinberg, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **16** (1982), 311–315. MR 0684139
- [14] S. Sandon, Contact homology, capacity and non-squeezing in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ via generating functions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **61** (2011), 145–185. Zbl 1222.53091 MR 2828129
- [15] P. Weigel, Orderable contact structures on Liouville-fillable contact manifolds, *J. Symp. Geom.*, **13** (2015), 463–496. Zbl 06443598 MR 3338898

Received October 6, 2014; revised April 12, 2015

R. Casals, Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas – CSIC–UAM–UC3M–UCM,
C. Nicolás Cabrera, 13–15, 28049 Madrid, Spain
E-mail: casals.roger@icmat.es

F. Presas, Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas – CSIC–UAM–UC3M–UCM,
C. Nicolás Cabrera, 13–15, 28049 Madrid, Spain
E-mail: fpresas@icmat.es