

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 90 (2015)
Heft: 1

Artikel: Envelopes of certain solvable groups
Autor: Dymarz, Tullia
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-658049>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 17.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Envelopes of certain solvable groups

Tullia Dymarz

Abstract. A discrete subgroup Γ of a locally compact group H is called a *uniform lattice* if the quotient H/Γ is compact. Such an H is called an *envelope* of Γ . In this paper we study the problem of classifying envelopes of various solvable groups including the solvable Baumslag–Solitar groups, lamplighter groups and certain abelian-by-cyclic groups. Our techniques are geometric and quasi-isometric in nature. In particular we show that for every Γ we consider there is a finite family of preferred *model spaces* X such that, up to compact groups, H is a cocompact subgroup of $Isom(X)$. We also answer problem 10.4 in [8] for a large class of abelian-by-cyclic groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 20F16, 20F69, 22D05.

Keywords. Lattices in locally compact groups, lamplighter groups, Baumslag–Solitar groups, quasi-isometries.

1. Introduction

The problem of classifying envelopes of finitely generated groups was initiated by Furstenberg in [9] where he coined the term *envelope* and proposed classifying which Lie group envelopes can occur. Mostow, Margulis, and Prasad completed this program in [15, 14, 13, 20]. There is also earlier work of Malcev on nilpotent Lie groups [12]. In [10], Furman revisited the case of lattices in semisimple Lie groups, but this time for arbitrary second countable envelopes. Recently Furman–Bader–Sauer have announced classification of a wider class of envelopes of groups extending Furman’s previous results. Also in the same spirit, in [16] Mosher–Sageev–Whyte classified all envelopes of virtually free groups. In this paper we prove the first results of this kind for classes of solvable groups.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be an envelope of a finitely generated group Γ .*

- (1) *If Γ is a lattice in the three dimensional solvable Lie group Sol then, up to compact kernel, H embeds as a cocompact subgroup of $Isom(Sol)$.*
- (2) *If $\Gamma = \Gamma_m$ is the solvable Baumslag–Solitar group*

$$BS(1, m) = \langle a, b \mid aba^{-1} = b^m \rangle$$

then, up to compact kernel, H embeds as a cocompact subgroup of the isometry group $Isom(X_p)$ where X_p is the standard model space for Γ_p .

- (3) If $\Gamma = \Gamma_m$ is a lamplighter group $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$ (with $|F| = m$) then, up to compact kernel, H embeds as a cocompact subgroup of the isometry group $Isom(X_p)$ where X_p is the Diestel–Leader graph $DL(p, p)$ a standard model space for Γ_p .

The description of the model spaces Sol and X_m can be found in Section 6. These three types of groups are treated together to emphasize their similar structure. There are some notable differences however. For lattices in Sol there is only one canonical model space into which H embeds, namely Sol itself, while for $BS(1, m)$ and $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$, the model space X_p varies depending on m and $|F|$ and H itself. In Section 10 we show that for each group there are only finitely many possibilities for p . Note also that a lamplighter group is only solvable as long as the defining finite group F is itself solvable but our proof works for all lamplighters.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_M$ be a finitely presented abelian-by-cyclic group given by*

$$\Gamma_M = \langle a, b_1, \dots, b_n \mid ab_i a^{-1} = b_1^{m_{1i}} \dots b_n^{m_{ni}}, b_i b_j = b_j b_i \rangle$$

where $M = (m_{ij})$ is an integral matrix. Let \bar{M} be the absolute Jordan form of M and let $X_{\bar{M}}$ be a standard model space for Γ_M . If M has either

- (1) all eigenvalues off of the unit circle and $\det M = 1$ or
- (2) all eigenvalues of norm greater than one

then any envelope of Γ_M embeds cocompactly in a locally compact group that is isomorphic, up to compact groups, to $Isom(X_{\bar{M}^k})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Q}$.

The geometry of $X_{\bar{M}}$ and $X_{\bar{M}^k}$ are described in Section 6. Note that if $M \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a hyperbolic matrix then Γ_M is a lattice in Sol and if $M = [m]$ is a one by one matrix then $\Gamma_M = BS(1, m)$ so Theorem 1.2 covers the first two cases of Theorem 1.1 but there is a subtle difference in the conclusions. Theorem 1.1 shows that there is a compact normal subgroup K such that $H/K \subset Isom(X)$. For Theorem 2 by “up to compact groups” we mean that Γ is contained in $H' \subset Isom(X_{\bar{M}^k})$ where

$$1 \rightarrow H' \rightarrow H/K \rightarrow K' \rightarrow 1$$

with K, K' compact. The first case ($\det M = 1$ and all eigenvalues off the unit circle) should be treated as a generalization of lattices in Sol . Indeed in this case we can always take $k = 1$ and the model spaces $X_{\bar{M}}$ are all solvable Lie groups. The second case should be thought of as a generalization of $BS(1, m)$ and in this case in Section 10 we show that there are again finitely many choices for k depending on the factoring of $\det M$. These groups and spaces $X_{\bar{M}}$ were first studied in [8].

There is another subclass of abelian-by-cyclic groups where we can prove a partial result. This is the class where M has eigenvalues both of norm greater and less than one and $\det M > 1$. We state the theorem here but refer the reader to Section 9.2 for definitions.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Γ_M be a finitely presented abelian-by-cyclic group with $d = \det M > 1$ and where M has some eigenvalues of norm greater than one and some of norm less than one (and none of norm one). Then if Γ_M is a cocompact lattice in a locally compact group H then H is a uniform subgroup of*

$$\text{Sim}_{\bar{M}_1}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}) \times \text{ASim}_{\bar{M}_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2} \times \mathbb{Q}_d^k)$$

where \bar{M}_1 is the matrix containing all eigenvalues of M with norm greater than one and \bar{M}_2 contains the inverses of all eigenvalues of M of norm less than one.

In fact this theorem applies to all Γ_M with no eigenvalues on the unit circle with the understanding that if $\det M = 1$ then there is no \mathbb{Q}_d factor and ASim is replaced with Sim . If all of the eigenvalues are greater than one then $\text{ASim}_{\bar{M}_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2} \times \mathbb{Q}_d^k)$ is replaced with $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{Q}_d^k)$. Theorem 1.3 is an intermediate technical step that is used to prove Theorem 1.2.

2. Outline

The strategy for analyzing envelopes of the above mentioned groups follows the strategy applied by Furman in [10] for analyzing cocompact envelopes of lattices in rank one symmetric spaces.

First, if $\Gamma \subset H$ is a cocompact lattice then using a construction of Furman (see Construction 5.1 below) we get an embedding, up to compact kernel, of H into the quasi-isometry group $QI(\Gamma)$ as a uniform subgroup. Since Γ and any model space X for Γ are quasi-isometric Construction 5.1 also gives us a uniform embedding of H into $QI(X)$. We use this embedding precisely because $\text{Isom}(X)$ also maps naturally to $QI(X)$ as a uniform subgroup. For all of our spaces $\text{Isom}(X)$ actually embeds into $QI(X)$ up to compact kernel. (This is not the case, for example, for $X = \mathbb{R}$). In order to show that the embedding of H into $QI(X)$ has only compact kernel we rely on a topology on uniform subgroups of $QI(X)$ developed by Whyte in [22]. Since this paper has not appeared yet we reproduce parts of it in Section 4. Furman's construction is explained in Section 5.

Next, to understand $\text{Isom}(X)$ and $QI(X)$ better we describe how all of the above groups have model spaces that can be constructed from certain $CAT(-1)$ spaces using the so called *horocyclic product*. This process is described in Section 6. By viewing these model geometries as horocyclic products we are able to interpret $\text{Isom}(X)$ as a product of *similarities* of the visual boundaries of these $CAT(-1)$ spaces. Then by appealing to various quasi-isometric rigidity theorems we can

interpret $QI(X)$ as a product of *bilipschitz* maps of these boundaries. This viewpoint has already been used in certain cases like lattices in Sol , $BS(1, n)$, and $F \wr Z$ but has not been applied to its full extent to abelian-by-cyclic groups (notably for the ones that are not lattices in solvable Lie groups).

Finally in Section 8 we study the analytic properties of bilipschitz maps on these boundaries. This analysis leads us in Section 9 to conclude that the envelope H must actually lie in the subgroup identified with $Isom(X) \subset QI(X)$. In the case of lattices in Sol , $BS(1, n)$, $F \wr Z$ this analysis is straightforward since there are existing theorems that show that uniform subgroups of bilipschitz maps of their boundaries can be conjugated to groups acting by similarities. For general abelian-by-cyclic groups the analysis is more technical since the existing theorems only give partial information. It is this analysis that makes the case of $\det M \neq 1$ with eigenvalues of norm greater or less than one intractable and leaves us only with the partial results of Theorem 1.3.

3. Preliminaries

3.1. Analysis.

Definition 3.1 (Quasi-isometry). We say that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a (K, C) *quasi-isometry* if

$$-C + Kd(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y)) \leq Kd(x, y) + C$$

and the C neighborhood of $f(X)$ is all of Y .

Definition 3.2. The quasi-isometry group $QI(X)$ is the group of equivalence classes of self quasi-isometries $f : X \rightarrow X$ where $f \sim g$ if $d_{sup}(f, g) < \infty$. We write $[f] \in QI(X)$ to denote the equivalence class of f . We say that a subgroup $U \subset QI(X)$ is *uniform* if there exists fixed (K, C) such that each equivalence class in U has at least one representative that is a (K, C) quasi-isometry.

Definition 3.3 (Similarity). We say a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *similarity* with similarity constant s if

$$d(f(x), f(y)) = s d(x, y).$$

Definition 3.4 (Bilipschitz/Quasi-similarity). We say that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *bilipschitz map* if

$$a d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y)) \leq b d(x, y).$$

If we can choose $a = 1/K$ and $b = K$ then we say f is a K -*bilipschitz* map. If we can choose $a = s/K$ and $b = sK$ then we say that f is a (K, s) -*quasi-similarity*. We say that a group of bilipschitz maps/quasi-similarities is *uniform* if K is uniform over all group elements.

Notation. We write $Bilip(X)$ to denote the set of all bilipschitz (quasi-similarity) maps of X , and $Sim(X)$ to denote all similarities.

3.2. Geometry.

Definition 3.5 (Model space). We say that a proper geodesic metric space X is a *model space* for a finitely generated group Γ if Γ acts properly discontinuously and cocompactly on X by isometries. In this case Γ is a cocompact lattice in $Isom(X)$.

Most of the spaces we study in this paper will be constructed from $CAT(-1)$ spaces so we recall here some basic properties of these spaces. For a $CAT(-1)$ space X the usual visual boundary $\partial_\infty X$ can be identified with all geodesic rays starting at a fixed basepoint $x_0 \in X$. We will use instead the *parabolic visual boundary* ∂_ℓ which is defined by choosing $\xi_0 \in \partial_\infty X$ and setting

$$\partial_\ell := \partial_\infty X \setminus \{\xi_0\}.$$

We can treat ∂_ℓ as the set of bi-infinite geodesics with one endpoint equal to ξ_0 .

Definition 3.6 (Horosphere). For a $CAT(-1)$ metric space X , fix $x \in X$ and geodesic ℓ that is parametrized by length. Define

$$h(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(x, \ell(t)) - t.$$

This limit exists by the triangle inequality. The function h is called a horofunction and the level sets of h are called horospheres.

We think of h as assigning a *height* coordinate to points $x \in X$ and so we will sometimes refer to $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as a *height function*. We call a geodesic $\ell'(t)$ a *vertical geodesic* if $h(\ell'(t)) = t$. In a $CAT(-1)$ space X , each $\xi \in \partial_\infty X$ is either ℓ^+ (the point on the boundary define by the ray defined by ℓ) or there exists a unique vertical geodesic connecting ξ to ℓ^+ . If we take $\xi_0 = \ell^+$ then each vertical geodesic with respect to h gives a point on the parabolic visual boundary.

Example 3.7. The hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 in the upper half plane model with the coordinates (x, y) has $t = \ln y$ as the height coordinate and each each vertical geodesic is given by

$$\ell(t) = (x_0, e^t).$$

Example 3.8. If T_{n+1} is a regular $n + 1$ valent infinite tree and we assign an orientation to edges so that each vertex has n incoming edges and one outgoing edge (pointing “up”) then, after picking a base point, this orientation induces a height function equivalent to any horofunction given by any coherently oriented geodesic in the tree. (Coherently oriented geodesics are geodesics whose orientation never changes).

For some of the analysis appearing later we need the following definition.

Definition 3.9 (Radial point). Let U be a uniform group of quasi-isometries of X . We say that $\xi \in \partial_\infty X$ is a *radial point* for U if there exists a sequence of quasi-isometries $g_n : X \rightarrow X$ with $[g_n] \in U$ such that for any $x \in X$ and any geodesic ray ℓ defining ξ there exist an $R > 0$ such that $d_X(g_n(x), \ell) < R$ for all n and $g_n(x) \rightarrow \xi$.

4. Topology on $QI(\Gamma)$

Our standing assumption will be that X is a path metric space of bounded geometry. (i.e. quasi-isometric to a bounded valence graph). The following definition is due to Whyte.

Definition 4.1 (QI-tame space). We say that X is a quasi-isometrically tame space if for any (K, C) there exists a constant $R_{K,C}$ such that for any quasi-isometry $f : X \rightarrow X$

$$d_{sup}(f, Id) < \infty \Rightarrow d_{sup}(f, Id) < R_{K,C}.$$

In [22] Whyte develops a topology of “coarse convergence” for QI-tame spaces. We include some of these details in this paper for completeness.

4.1. Topology.

Definition 4.2 (Coarse convergence). A sequence of (K, C) quasi-isometries $\{f_i\}$ is said to coarsely converge to f if there exists an R such that

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(f_i(x), f(x)) < R$$

in which case we say that $\{f_i\}$ R -coarsely converges to f .

Note that if X is discrete and f_i coarsely converges to f then some subsequence actually converges to a (K, C) quasi-isometry f' with $d_{sup}(f', f) < R$.

Lemma 4.3. *If X is a QI-tame space then coarse convergence $f_i \rightarrow f$ descends to the quasi-isometry group and so we can write $[f_i] \rightarrow [f]$.*

Proof. If $\{f_i\}$ and $\{f'_i\}$ are two sequences of (K, C) quasi-isometries such that $f_i \sim f'_i$ then by the triangle inequality $\{f'_i\}$ coarsely converges to f .

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(f'_i(x), f(x)) &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(f'_i(x), f_i(x)) + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(f_i(x), f(x)) \\ &\leq R_{K,C} + R. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise if $\{f_i\}$ coarsely converges to f' then

$$d(f(x), f'(x)) \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(f(x), f_i(x)) + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(f_i(x), f'(x)) \leq R + R'$$

(and so $d_{sup}(f, f') \leq R_{K,C}$ since X is QI-tame). □

In this case we say that $[f_i]$ coarsely converges to $[f]$ and refer only to (K, C) quasi-isometry representatives for some fixed K and C .

Lemma 4.4. *X is QI-tame iff there exists an R such that if a sequence of (K, C) quasi-isometries f_i coarsely converges to f then f_i R -coarsely converges to f .*

Proof. If X is QI-tame then first discretize X . If f_i coarsely converges to f then the set $\{f_i(x)\}$ is bounded for some (each) x . Therefore some subsequence of f_i actually converges to a (K, C) quasi-isometry f which must be within distance $R_{K,C}$ of f' (since X is QI tame). So for each x and ϵ we have that for large enough i

$$d(f_i(x), f(x)) \leq R_{K,C} + \epsilon.$$

Conversely, if there exists an R such that every coarsely convergent sequence R -coarsely converges then whenever $f' \sim f$ by considering the sequence $f_i = f'$ we see that $d_{sup}(f, f') \leq R$. \square

Next, given a uniform subgroup $G \subset \underline{QI}(X)$ we consider the set \bar{G} of all coarse limits of G . We define a topology on \bar{G} by defining a closure operator $cl(A)$ that is the set of all coarse limits of nets of elements from A . Whyte verifies that $cl(cl(A)) = cl(A)$ which is the main property that needs to be checked to ensure that this closure operator does indeed define a topology [23].

Definition 4.5 (Closed). A set $A \subset \bar{G}$ is closed if it contains all of its coarse limits.

Definition 4.6 (Open). A set $U \subset \bar{G}$ is open if for any (K, C) quasi-isometry g with $[g] \in U$ and any $s > 0$ there exists a finite set $F_{g,s}$ such that if h is a (K, C) quasi-isometry where $d(h(x), g(x)) < s$ for all $x \in F_{g,s}$ then $[h] \in U$.

Proposition 4.7. *If A is closed then A^C is open and if U is open then U^C is closed. (And so the two definitions are compatible.)*

Proof. Let A be closed. Then consider $[h] \in A^C$. Suppose that there is some s such that for all finite sets F there exists h_F , a (K, C) quasi-isometry such that $d(h(x), h_F(x)) \leq s$ but $[h_F] \notin A^C$. Now let F_i be the ball of radius i . Then $h_i := h_{F_i}$ s -coarsely converges to h . But since A is closed we should have $[h] \in A$.

Let U be an open set. Now suppose that $[h_i] \in U^C$ and that h_i converges coarsely to h with $[h] \in U$. Let $s = 2R_{K,C}$ and let $F_{h,s}$ be as in the definition. Now since h_i $R_{K,C}$ -coarsely converges to h let N be large enough so that for $i \geq N$ and for each $x \in F_{h,s}$

$$d(h_i(x), h(x)) \leq 2R_{K,C}.$$

This shows that for $i \geq N$ we must have $[h_i] \in U$. \square

With this topology, Whyte proves the following in [22]:

Proposition 4.8 (Whyte). *For a uniform subgroup $G \subset \underline{QI}(X)$ of a QI-tame space X , the group of all coarse limits \bar{G} is a locally compact topological group.*

Proof. First we will show that the topology on \bar{G} is Hausdorff. For $r > 0$ large enough, consider the sets

$$V(x_1, x_2, r) := \{ [f] \mid \forall f \in [f], d(f(x_1), x_2) \geq r \}$$

$$U(x_1, x_2, r) := cl(V(x_1, x_2, r))^C.$$

Then $U(x_1, x_2, r)$ is open by definition.

We will show that if $[f] \neq [g]$ then there exist two disjoint sets U_1 and U_2 of the form above containing $[f]$ and $[g]$ respectively. If $[f] \neq [g]$ then for each N there exists an x_N such that $d(f(x_N), g(x_N)) \geq N$ (otherwise f would be a bounded distance from g). Now given r pick $x := x_N$ with $N > 2r + R_{K,C}$. Then $U_1 = U(x, f(x), r)$ and $U_2 = U(x, g(x), r)$ are disjoint since if $[h]$ had two representatives h_1, h_2 with $d(h_1(x), f(x)) \leq r$ and $d(h_2(x), g(x)) \leq r$ then $d(g(x), f(x)) \leq 2r + R_{K,C}$.

Next we note that $U(x, x, r)$ is an open neighborhood of the identity map. If we let $A = cl(U(x, x, r))$ then A is a compact neighborhood of the identity since any sequence of quasi-isometries that fixes an x up to a bounded distance has a coarsely convergent subsequence. Finally we note that composition with a quasi-isometry preserves open and closed sets. This shows that \bar{G} is a locally compact topological group. \square

5. Embedding uniform envelopes into $QI(\Gamma)$

In this section we show how, given a uniform lattice embedding $\Gamma \subset H$, we can embed H continuously and with compact kernel as a uniform subgroup of $QI(\Gamma)$ (or equivalently onto a uniform subgroup of $QI(X)$ if X is a model space for Γ). Recall that there is a standard embedding $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow QI(\Gamma)$ given by $\rho(\gamma) = [L_\gamma]$ where L_γ is given by left multiplication by γ . We use a construction of Furman's from [10] to define a map from $\Phi : H \rightarrow QI(\Gamma)$. Then we show, using our previous work from Section 4 on the construction of topologies on uniform subgroups of $QI(X)$, that Φ is a continuous map from H onto the uniform subgroup $\Phi(H)$.

Construction 5.1. $\Phi : H \rightarrow QI(\Gamma)$

- Let $E \subset H$ be an open neighborhood of the identity e , with compact closure such that

$$H = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma E.$$

- Fix $p : H \rightarrow \Gamma$ satisfying $h \in p(h)E$ for each $h \in H$.
- For each $h \in H$, define $q_h : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ by the rule $q_h(\gamma) := p(h\gamma)$.
- Since $e \in E$, we can choose p with $p(\gamma) = \gamma$, so that $q_\gamma(\gamma') = \gamma\gamma'$.

Lemma 5.2 (3.3 in [10]). *Let E , p and $\{q_h\}_{h \in H}$ be as above. Then*

- (a) *Each $q_h : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a quasi-isometry of γ and its equivalence class $[q_h] \in QI(\Gamma)$ depends only on $h \in H$ (and not on the choice of E , p).*
- (b) *The map $\Phi : H \rightarrow QI(\Gamma)$, given by $\Phi(h) = [q_h]$, is a homomorphism of (abstract) groups, such $\Phi|_{\Gamma}$ coincides with the standard homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow QI(\Gamma)$.*
- (c) *$\{q_h\}_{h \in H}$ are (K, C) -quasi-isometries for some fixed K and C , depending just on E , p , and independent of $h \in H$.*
- (d) *There exists a constant B with the following property: given any finite set $F \subset \Gamma$ there is a neighborhood of the identity $V \subset H$ such that $d(q_h(\gamma), \gamma) \leq B$ for all $\gamma \in F$ and $h \in V$.*

Combining Lemma 5.2 with Whyte's coarse convergence topology we get the following proposition. (Compare with Theorem 3.5 in [10].)

Proposition 5.3. *Let Γ be a QI-tame finitely generated group. Suppose Γ is a cocompact lattice in a locally compact topological group H . Then $\Phi : H \rightarrow QI(\Gamma)$ (as defined in Construction 5.1) is a continuous homomorphism onto a uniform subgroup of $QI(\Gamma)$ with compact kernel and locally compact, compactly generated image.*

Proof. Let G be the uniform subgroup of $QI(\Gamma)$ which contains all the coarse limits of $p(H)$. To show that Φ is continuous, let U be any open subset in G containing the equivalence class of the identity $[id]$. Then by the definition of open set (Definition 4.6) we have a finite set $F_{id,s}$ such that if a (K, C) quasi-isometry q maps each element of $F_{id,s}$ at most distance s from itself then $[q] \in U$.

Let $s = B$ be as in part (d) of Lemma 5.2. Let V be the neighborhood of the identity in H corresponding to the set $F_{id,B}$. Then $[q_h]$ is in U for all $h \in V$ since by Lemma 5.2 $d(q_h(x), x) \leq B$ for all $x \in F_{id,B}$.

By QI-tameness, the standard inclusion $p : \Gamma \rightarrow QI(\Gamma)$ has finite kernel and $\Phi(\Gamma)$ is discrete in $\Phi(H)$. Let E be as in Construction 5.1 above. Since Φ is continuous then $\Phi(\bar{E})$ is compact and therefore $\Phi(H) = \Phi(\Gamma)\Phi(\bar{E})$ is compactly generated and $\Phi(\Gamma)$ is a cocompact lattice in $\Phi(H)$. This also gives us that $\ker \Phi$ is compact. \square

6. The groups and their geometry

The model spaces for our solvable groups that appear in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are built out of three primary $CAT(-1)$ space. Each of these will be endowed with a preferred height function (horofunction). We list them as examples below. See Section 3 for basic definitions and properties of $CAT(-1)$ spaces.

Example 6.1. The regular $m + 1$ valent tree T_{m+1} . (See Example 3.8 in Section 3.2).

Example 6.2. If M is a matrix with all eigenvalues of norm greater than one then the solvable Lie group

$$G_M = \mathbb{R} \rtimes_M \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a negatively curved homogenous space. Here \mathbb{R} acts on \mathbb{R}^n by a one parameter subgroup $M^t \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. The height function h is given by $h(t, x) = t$. When M is a scalar matrix the solvable Lie group G_M is $n + 1$ dimensional hyperbolic space.

We can combine the above two examples to get what was coined a *millefeuille* space in [1]. See also [4] for more details on its geometry.

Example 6.3 (millefeuille space). Let $Z_{m,M} \simeq T_{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be the fibered product of T_{m+1} and G_M . In other words for each oriented line $\ell \in T_{m+1}$ we identify $\ell \times \mathbb{R}^n$ isometrically with G_M in such a way that the height function on G_M coincides with the height function on T_{m+1} . The height function on $Z_{M,m}$ is then given by the compatible height functions on T_{m+1} and G_M .

6.1. Geometric models for solvable groups. In this section we combine the negatively curved spaces from above to construct model spaces for certain classes of finitely generated solvable groups.

Definition 6.4 (Horocyclic product). Given $CAT(-1)$ spaces X_1, X_2 with horofunctions h_1, h_2 define their horocyclic product as

$$X_1 \times_h X_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid h_1(x_1) + h_2(x_2) = 0\}.$$

We give $X_1 \times_h X_2$ the induced path metric from the L^2 metric on $X_1 \times X_2$ rescaled by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$.

Example 6.5. If $X_1, X_2 = \mathbb{H}^2$ viewed in the upper half space model with $\ell(t) = (0, e^t)$ then $X_1 \times_h X_2$ is the three dimensional *Sol* geometry.

Example 6.6. If $X_1 = T_{n+1}, X_2 = T_{m+1}$ are $n + 1$ and $m + 1$ valent trees respectively then $X_1 \times_h X_2$ are the Diestel–Leader graphs $DL(n, m)$. When $n = m$ these are Cayley graphs for any lamplighter group of the form $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$ with $|F| = n$.

Example 6.7. When $X_1 = \mathbb{H}^2$ and $X_2 = T_{n+1}$ then $X_1 \times_h X_2$ is the complex X_n described in [6]. This complex is a model space for the solvable Baumslag–Solitar group

$$BS(1, n) = \langle a, b \mid aba^{-1} = b^n \rangle.$$

Example 6.8. Model spaces for the finitely presented abelian-by-cyclic groups

$$\Gamma_M = \langle a, b_1, \dots, b_n \mid ab_i a^{-1} = \phi_M(b_i), b_i b_j = b_j b_i \rangle$$

where M has no eigenvalues on the unit circle can be written as horocyclic products of $CAT(-1)$ space as follows. Suppose the integral matrix M has absolute Jordan form \bar{M} that can be written as

$$\bar{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{M}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{M}_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- If $\det M = 1$ then a model space for Γ_M is $G_{\bar{M}_1} \times_h G_{\bar{M}_2}$. This space is equivalent to the solvable Lie group $\mathbb{R} \times_{\bar{M}} \mathbb{R}^n$ and can be viewed as a generalized version of Sol .
- If $\det M = d > 1$ and all eigenvalues are greater than one in norm (i.e. $\bar{M} = \bar{M}_1$) then a model space for Γ_M is given by $G_{\bar{M}} \times_h T_{d+1}$. This space can be viewed as a generalization of the spaces that solvable Baumslag–Solitar groups act on.
- If $\det M = d > 1$ and both M_1 and M_2 are nontrivial then Γ_M has $G_{\bar{M}_1} \times_h Z_{\bar{M}_2, d}$ as a model space.

See Section 9.2 for more details.

7. Height-respecting quasi-isometries and boundaries

In this section we describe *height-respecting* isometries and quasi-isometries of the various $CAT(-1)$ spaces and the horocyclic products we described in the previous section.

Definition 7.1. A height respecting (quasi-)isometry of a $CAT(-1)$ space or horocyclic product X with height function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a self (quasi-)isometry that permutes level sets of h (up to bounded distance) in such a way that the induced map on height is (bounded distance from) a translation.

It is easy to see that, up to finite index, the isometry groups of the model spaces we consider consist of only height-respecting isometries. The key to our analysis is that quasi-isometries of these model spaces are also all height-respecting. This is a highly non-trivial fact which has been proved over a series of papers by many authors. In section 7.4 we give detailed references to these results. Once we are able to restrict to height-respecting quasi-isometries, we can show that quasi-isometries of our model spaces induce height-respecting quasi-isometries of their defining $CAT(-1)$ factors.

Note that in certain cases the $CAT(-1)$ factors have more than just height respecting (quasi-)isometries: for example T_{n+1} or \mathbb{H}^n or any negatively curved

symmetric space have many non height-respecting isometries and quasi-isometries. In other cases, such as $X = G_{\bar{M}}$ when \bar{M} is not a scalar matrix or $Z_{M,m}$, all quasi-isometries are height-respecting (see [24] and [4]).

7.1. Parabolic visual boundaries of $CAT(-1)$ spaces. The interest in height-respecting (quasi-)isometries of $CAT(-1)$ spaces comes from the fact that these kinds of maps induce particularly nice maps on the parabolic visual boundaries of these spaces. Recall from Section 3 that the parabolic visual boundary which we denote $\partial_l X$ can be defined as the space of vertical geodesics with respect to a fixed height function.

Definition 7.2. We equip the lower boundary with a *horocyclic visual metric* given by

$$d_{a,\epsilon}(\xi, \eta) = a^{t_0}$$

where t_0 is the smallest height at which the two geodesics ξ and η are less than or equal to distance ϵ apart.

Here $\epsilon \geq 0$ and for each space X there is an interval of admissible a which makes $d_{a,\epsilon}$ into a metric. In certain cases there are preferred values for a and ϵ but note that by changing ϵ we get bilipschitz equivalent boundary metrics and by changing a we get *snowflake equivalent* boundary metrics. Note also that by choosing different but quasi-isometric metrics on X we get *quasi-symmetrically equivalent* metrics on $\partial_l X$. The above metrics have been used and studied in [3, 2, 24, 4]. The following proposition can be found for example in [4] but we will also include a sketch of the proof here.

Proposition 7.3. *Height respecting (quasi-)isometries of X induce (quasi-)similarities of $\partial_l X$ with respect to the horocyclic visual metric $d_{a,\epsilon}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ is a quasi-isometry that induces a map on the height factor that is a bounded distance from the translation $t \mapsto t + c$. Suppose f is the induced boundary map. Then for any two boundary points ξ and η , if $x = \xi_{t_0} \in X$ is the first point at which geodesics ξ_t and η_t are distance ϵ apart and $y = f(\xi)_{t_0} \in X$ is the first point at which $f(\xi)_t$ and $f(\eta)_t$ are distance ϵ apart then $-C' + ht(x) + c \leq ht(y) \leq C' + ht(x) + c$ so that

$$a^{-C'} a^{ht(x)} a^c \leq a^{ht(y)} \leq a^{C'} a^{ht(x)} a^c$$

where C' depends only on the quasi-isometry constants K, C . Therefore f is an (a^c, K') bilipschitz map:

$$\frac{a^c}{K'} d_{a,\epsilon}(\xi, \eta) \leq d_{a,\epsilon}(f(\xi), f(\eta)) \leq a^c K' d_{a,\epsilon}(\xi, \eta).$$

If ϕ is an isometry then $K' = 1$ and so the induced boundary map is a similarity. \square

Example 7.4. In the case of T_{m+1} the lower boundary can be identified with the m -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_m and if we pick $a = m$ and $\epsilon = 0$ then the parabolic visual metric $d_{a,\epsilon}$ coincides with the usual metric on \mathbb{Q}_m (see [6]).

Example 7.5. In the case of \mathbb{H}^{n+1} , the lower boundary with respect to a visual horocyclic metric $d_{e,1}$ is just the usual metric on \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 7.6. In the case of $G_{\bar{M}}$ where \bar{M} is diagonal but not a scalar matrix the lower boundary can be identified with \mathbb{R}^n with a visual parabolic metric of the form

$$D_{\bar{M}}(v, w) = \max\{|\Delta x_1|, |\Delta x_2|^{\alpha_1/\alpha_2}, \dots, |\Delta x_r|^{\alpha_1/\alpha_r}\}$$

where $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_r$ are the logarithms of the distinct eigenvalues of \bar{M} and x_1, \dots, x_r represent vectors in the corresponding eigenspaces. (The case where \bar{M} is in Jordan form but not diagonalizable is more cumbersome to write down and can be found in [2]). We show in [3] that any bilipschitz map of $(\mathbb{R}^n, D_{\bar{M}})$ has to preserve a flag of foliations defined by the α_i 's. Specifically for $v = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have that

$$f(v) = (f_1(x_1, \dots, x_r), f_2(x_2, \dots, x_r), \dots, f_r(x_r))$$

where f_i is bilipschitz in x_i (but only Hölder continuous in the other coordinates). We denote the set of all such maps by $Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also have that for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$, \bar{M} and \bar{M}^k induce snowflake equivalent metrics $D_{\bar{M}}$ and $D_{\bar{M}^k}$ and so we have

$$Bilip_{\bar{M}^k}(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Example 7.7. If $Z_{\bar{M},m}$ is the millefeuille space constructed from T_{m+1} and $G_{\bar{M}}$ then

$$\partial_l Z_{\bar{M},m} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m$$

where the metric on \mathbb{R}^n is $D_{\bar{M}}$ as given in Example 7.6. It is easy to see that if f is a bilipschitz map of $\partial_l Z_{\bar{M},m}$ then

$$f(x, y) = (f_1(x, y), f_2(y))$$

where f_1 is a $Bilip_{\bar{M}}$ map of x for each y and $f_2 \in Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_m)$. We denote the set of all such maps by $Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ and denote the parabolic visual metric by $D_{\bar{M},m}$. (See [4] for more details).

Definition 7.8 (dilation). We call any map δ_t in $Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or in $Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$) a t -dilation (or just dilation) if δ_t is a similarity with similarity constant t that is simply multiplication by a constant along each eigenspace of \bar{M} .

7.2. Boundaries of horocyclic products. For $X_h = X_1 \times_h X_2$ we define two boundaries $\partial_1 X_h$ and $\partial_2 X_h$ as follows. Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\ell \in X_h\}$$

be the set of all vertical geodesics and define two equivalence relations \sim_1 and \sim_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell \sim_1 \ell' &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(\ell(t), \ell'(t)) < \infty \\ \ell \sim_2 \ell' &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{-t \rightarrow \infty} d(\ell(t), \ell'(t)) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then we set

$$\partial_1 X_h = \mathcal{G} / \sim_1, \quad \partial_2 X_h = \mathcal{G} / \sim_2 .$$

Note that there are many isometric embeddings of X_1 (and X_2) into X_h . In particular, if $\pi_i : X_1 \times_h X_2 \rightarrow X_i$ are the natural projections then for any ℓ_1 a vertical geodesic in X_1 , the inverse image $\pi_1^{-1}(\ell_1)$ is isometric to X_2 and similarly $\pi_2^{-1}(\ell_2)$ is isometric to X_1 for any vertical geodesic ℓ_2 in X_2 . Therefore we have that

$$\partial_l X_i \subset \partial_i X_h.$$

In fact for any two such embeddings $\chi(X_i) \subset X_h$ and $\chi'(X_i) \subset X_h$ we have that $\chi(\ell) \sim_i \chi'(\ell)$ and so we can make the identification

$$\partial_l X_i \simeq \partial_i X_h.$$

7.3. Isometry groups of horocyclic products. In this section we describe the isometry groups of horocyclic products in terms of their boundaries. Consider first $Isom_{hr}(X_h)$, the group of height respecting isometries of X_h . (For the spaces we consider this is all of $Isom(X_h)$ up to finite index.) By Proposition 7.3 we know that height respecting isometries induce similarities of $\partial_i X_h$ for $i = 1, 2$ so that we have

$$Isom_{hr}(X_h) \subset Sim(\partial_l X_1) \times Sim(\partial_l X_2).$$

Additionally, we know that if $f \in Isom_h(X_h)$ and the induced height translation is c then the induced similarity boundary maps f_1 and f_2 have similarity constants a_1^c and a_2^{-c} where a_1, a_2 depend on the visual metric chosen. The following lemma will be useful later when studying uniform groups of quasi-isometries but we include it here because it relies on the structure of the isometry group.

Lemma 7.9. *If $U \subset Sim(\partial_l X_1) \times Sim(\partial_l X_2)$ is induced by a uniform group of height respecting quasi-isometries of X_h then $U \subset Isom_{hr}(X_h)$.*

Proof. A uniform group of quasi-isometries U has the property that there exists an $R > 0$ such that each $f \in U$ induces a map within distance R of a translation on the height factor. So for any $f \in U$ we have $f = (f_1, f_2)$ where f_i has similarity

constant $a_i^{c_i}$ and $d(c_1, -c_2) \leq 2R$. If we consider the iterates then $f^s = (f_1^s, f_2^s)$ has similarity constants $n_i^{s c_i}$. If $c_1 \neq -c_2$ then eventually $d(sc_1, -sc_2) > 2R$ which is a contradiction. \square

7.4. Quasi-isometry groups of horocyclic products. Of course the list of groups we describe in Section 6 is not an exhaustive list of groups that arise as horocyclic products but they are chosen precisely because for these groups we know that up to finite index all quasi-isometries are height respecting. This allows us to compute the quasi-isometry groups. The following list gives the quasi-isometry group up to finite index and the reference for where it is proved that quasi-isometries are height-respecting.

- $QI(Sol) \simeq Bilip(\mathbb{R}) \times Bilip(\mathbb{R})$ [5]
- $QI(F \wr \mathbb{Z}) \simeq (Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_{|F|}) \times Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_{|F|}))$ [5]
- $QI(BS(1, n)) \simeq Bilip(\mathbb{R}) \times Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_n)$ [6]
- $QI(\Gamma_M) \simeq Bilip_{M_1}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}) \times Bilip_{M_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ if $\det M = 1$ [5, 18, 19]
 $\simeq Bilip_M(\mathbb{R}^n) \times Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_d)$ or
 $Bilip_{M_1}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}) \times Bilip_{M_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2} \times \mathbb{Q}_d)$ if $d > 1$ [8].

The last case of $QI(\Gamma_M)$ answers Question 10.4 in [8]. Farb and Mosher show that all quasi-isometries are height-respecting but since they do not view their model spaces as horocyclic products of $CAT(-1)$ spaces they are not able to make the identification of the quasi-isometry group with the group of products of bilipschitz maps on both boundaries.

7.5. QI-tameness.

Proposition 7.10. *The groups Sol , $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$, $BS(1, n)$ and Γ_M are all QI-tame.*

The results needed to show QI-tameness of these groups can be found in the papers where the respective quasi-isometry groups are calculated. They amount to showing that a (K, C) quasi-isometry is distance $R_{K,C}$ from a *standard quasi-isometry* and that two different standard quasi-isometries are never a bounded distance apart.

8. Conjugation Theorems

In this section we recall various theorems that prove that under certain conditions a uniform subgroup of bilipschitz maps can be conjugated via a bilipschitz map into a group of similarity maps.

Theorem 8.1. (Theorem 7 in [17]) Given $m \geq 2$, suppose that $U \subset \text{Bilip}(\mathbb{Q}_m)$ is a uniform subgroup. Suppose in addition that the induced action of U on the space of distinct pairs in \mathbb{Q}_m is cocompact. Then there exists $p \geq 2$ and a bilipschitz homeomorphism $\mathbb{Q}_m \mapsto \mathbb{Q}_p$ which conjugates H into the similarity group $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Note that this also means that p and m are powers of a common base (see the appendix in [7]).

Theorem 8.2. (Theorem 3.2 in [7]) Let $U \subset \text{Bilip}(\mathbb{R})$ be a uniform subgroup. Then there exists $f \in \text{Bilip}(\mathbb{R})$ that conjugates U into $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 8.3. (Proposition 9 in [3]) Let $U \subset \text{Bilip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n \geq 2$ be a uniform separable subgroup that acts cocompactly on distinct pairs of points. Then there exists $f \in \text{Bilip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that conjugates U into $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{R})$.

In the case of general $\partial_l G_{\bar{M}}$ we have a slightly weaker theorem.

Definition 8.4. We write $\text{ASim}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the set of similarities $\text{Sim}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ composed with maps in $\text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the form

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \mapsto (x_1 + B_1(x_2, \dots, x_r), x_2 + B_2(x_3, \dots, x_r), \dots, x_r + B_r).$$

We call such maps *almost translations*.

Theorem 8.5. (Theorem 2 in [3] and [2]) Let U be a uniform separable subgroup of $\text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that acts cocompactly on the space of distinct pairs of points of \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists a map $f \in \text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that conjugates U into $\text{ASim}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We have a similar theorem for $\partial Z_{\bar{M},m} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m$.

Definition 8.6. We write $\text{ASim}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ for the set of similarities $\text{Sim}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ composed with maps in $\text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ of the form

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r, y) \mapsto (x_1 + B_1(x_2, \dots, x_r, y), \dots, x_r + B_r(y), y)$$

which we also call *almost translations*.

Theorem 8.7. (Theorem 6 in [4]) Let U be a uniform separable subgroup of $\text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ that acts cocompactly on the space of distinct pairs of points of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m \simeq \partial_l Z_{\bar{M},m}$. Then there exists a map in $\text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ that conjugates U into $\text{ASim}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_p)$ where p is a number such that p and m are powers of a common base.

8.1. Uniform subgroups containing many similarities. In this section we show how for certain X when a uniform subgroup $U \subset \text{Bilip}(X)$ contains “sufficiently many” elements of $\text{Sim}(X)$ then the conjugating map from the previous theorems (Theorems 8.2 to 8.7) can be chosen to be the identity map. In other words, we don’t need to do a conjugation. We show that this is true for Theorems 8.2 and 8.5 while for Theorem 8.7 we show that the conjugating map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_p$ can be chosen to be the identity on the \mathbb{R}^n factor. We also explain why we cannot say anything more about Theorem 8.1.

8.1.1. On subgroups of $Bilip(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 8.8. We say that $U \subset Bilip(\mathbb{R}^n)$ contains a *dense group of translations* if U contains the translations $T_v(x) = x + v$ for a dense set of $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 8.9. *Suppose $U \subset Bilip(\mathbb{R})$ contains a dense group of translations then $U \subset Sim(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. This follows directly from [11]. We will show that $\bar{U} \subset Sim(\mathbb{R})$. Let $Trans(\mathbb{R})$ be the group of all translations of \mathbb{R} . If U contains a dense set of translations then $Trans(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \bar{U}$. By [11] Lemma 7 this implies that $\bar{U} = Trans(\mathbb{R})$ and by [11] Lemma 9 we have that $U \subset Sim(\mathbb{R})$. \square

For $n > 1$, on top of requiring U to contain a dense group of translations we also require that each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a radial point for U where the defining sequence can be chosen to be a sequence of similarities (see Section 3). To satisfy this condition it is sufficient for U to contain one sequence of similarities $\{\sigma_i\}$ with similarity constants $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that the σ_i all fix a common $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then by composing σ_i with the appropriate translations we have that every point is a radial point with a sequence of similarities as its defining sequence.

Proposition 8.10. *If $U \subset Bilip(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and each point in \mathbb{R}^n is a radial point for U where the defining sequence can be chosen to be a sequence of similarities $\{\sigma_i\} \subset U$ then $U \subset Sim(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. The key here is that in this situation in the proof of Proposition 9 in [3] (see also [21]) the conjugating map f can be chosen to be affine. Specifically f is constructed as a limit

$$f = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta_i a g_i$$

where $g_i \in U, a \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\delta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen so that $\delta_i g_i$ is K -bilipschitz. We will not describe how a is chosen but g_i can be chosen to be the sequence σ_i and δ_i depends on σ_i . Specifically we get

$$g_i(x) = \sigma_i(x) = \lambda_i A_i(x - v_i)$$

where $A_i \in O(n_i)$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ since σ_i is a similarity and $v_i \rightarrow 0$ since x is a radial point. We set $\delta_i = \lambda_i^{-1}$. Then for some subsequence we get that

$$f(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a A_i(x - v_i) = \bar{a}x$$

where $\bar{a} \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. Now since $\bar{a}\gamma\bar{a}^{-1}$ is a similarity for each $\gamma \in U$ we must have that

$$\gamma(x) = \delta \bar{a}^{-1} A(\bar{a}x + B)$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{R}, A \in O(n)$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^n$. But if $\bar{a}^{-1} A \bar{a} \notin O(n)$ then iterating γ contradicts the uniformity of U . Therefore γ was already a similarity and no conjugation was needed. \square

8.1.2. On subgroups of $Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We can adapt Lemmas 8.9, 8.10 above to arrive with similar results for groups of $Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ maps. The main difference here is that the original conjugation theorem, Theorem 8.5 does not necessarily conjugate into $Sim_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ but only into $ASim_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will have to do additional work to show that in fact $U \subset Sim_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. (See Section 9.2 below). Here we will only show that no conjugation is needed to get into $ASim_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 8.11. *Let U be a uniform subgroup of $Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where each point in \mathbb{R}^n is a radial point where the defining sequences can always be chosen to be sequences of similarities with respect to $D_{\bar{M}}$. Then $U \subset ASim_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. We will only give an outline of the proof. Note that having each point be a radial point is equivalent to having U act cocompactly on pairs. Therefore the proof of this lemma can be derived from the proof of Theorem 8.5. Since in the proof of Theorem 8.5 the conjugating map is constructed by induction on the number of distinct eigenvalues of \bar{M} where the base case is one of the cases covered in Lemmas 8.9, 8.10 we only need to consider the induction step. But in the induction step either we are in the one dimensional case where we can use a density argument as in Lemma 8.9 or we are in the higher dimensional case where the conjugating map is constructed as in Lemma 8.10 so again no conjugation was needed. \square

8.1.3. On uniform subgroups of $Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_m)$ and $Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$. For uniform subgroups of $Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_m)$ the situation is different from the ones above. The main difference is that certain uniform subgroups of $Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_m)$ may only be conjugate into $Sim(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for $p \neq m$. For example, since T_4 is quasi-isometric to T_2 via a height-respecting quasi-isometry we can view $Sim(\mathbb{Q}_4)$ as a uniform subgroup of quasi-similarities of $Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ but $Sim(\mathbb{Q}_4)$ cannot be conjugate into $Sim(\mathbb{Q}_2)$. In fact, for each i, j , we can view $Sim(\mathbb{Q}_{r^i})$ as a subset of quasi-similarities of $Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_{r^j})$ but only as a subset of similarities if $i = j$. (See Section 10 for more details). Therefore we are forced to use Theorem 8.1.

For $Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ we have a similar problem for the \mathbb{Q}_m coordinate so we must do the base case conjugation of Theorem 8.7. We also need to redefine what we mean when we say “a dense set of translations”.

Definition 8.12. We say that $U \subset Bilip_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ contains a *dense set of translations* if for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m$ and $\epsilon > 0$ we have some

$$\gamma \in U \cap Isom_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$$

with $d(\gamma(x, y), (0, 0)) < \epsilon$ such that $\gamma(x, y) = (x + v_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma(y))$ where $v_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\sigma_\gamma \in Isom(\mathbb{Q}_m)$.

Proposition 8.13. *If $U \subset \text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ contains a dense set of translations and contains sequences of similarities that make each $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m$ into radial points then there exists a bilipschitz map*

$$f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_p$$

such that f conjugates U into $\text{ASim}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_p)$ and such that f is the identity on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. First we must do the base case conjugation of Theorem 8.7. Namely we consider the action of U on \mathbb{Q}_m as given by the restriction of the action of U on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m$. By Theorem 8.1 we can conjugate this restricted action by a map $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ to get an action of U by similarities on \mathbb{Q}_p . Then the map

$$f(x, y) = (x, \sigma(y))$$

is a bilipschitz map from $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m$ to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_p$ that conjugates $U \subset \text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_m)$ to a subgroup $U' \subset \text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_p)$ where the $\gamma \in U'$ has the form

$$\gamma(x, y) = (f_1(x_1, \dots, x_r, y), \dots, f_r(x_r, y), \sigma_\gamma(y))$$

where σ_γ is now a similarity of \mathbb{Q}_p and the f_i are unchanged by the conjugation.

Note that if U contains a dense subgroup of translations then U' also contains a dense subgroup of translations. This is because conjugation by the map f stretch distances at most a fixed amount in the y coordinate and there is no conjugation on the \mathbb{R}^n factors. Maps of the form $\gamma(x, y) = (x + v_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma(y))$ are sent to maps of the same form where now $\sigma_\gamma(y)$ is a similarity of \mathbb{Q}_p . Following the same reasoning as in Proposition 8.11 in combination with Theorem 8.7 we see that no further conjugation is needed. In particular U' consists of maps of the form

$$\gamma(x, y) = \delta_t A(x_1 + B_1(x_2, \dots, x_r, y), \dots, x_r + B_r(y), \sigma_\gamma(y))$$

where δ_t is a dilation, $A \in O(n)$, $(x_1 + B_1(x_2, \dots, x_r, y), \dots, x_r + B_r(y), y)$ is an almost translation (see Definition 8.6) and $\sigma_\gamma \in \text{Isom}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. □

9. Rigidity

9.1. Rigidity of lattices in SOL, $BS(1, n)$ and $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$. In this section we describe all envelopes of lattices in Sol ($\Gamma_M = \mathbb{Z} \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z}^2$ for $M \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$), the solvable Baumslag–Solitar groups ($BS(1, n) = \langle a, b \mid aba^{-1} = b^n \rangle$) and lamplighter groups ($F \wr \mathbb{Z}$ with $|F| = n$). These three classes of groups are related in that their model spaces are horocyclic products of combinations of \mathbb{H}^2 and T_{n+1} for appropriate n .

Recall that

- the model space for Γ_M is $SOL = \mathbb{H}^2 \times_h \mathbb{H}^2$.
- the model space for $BS(1, n)$ is $X_n = \mathbb{H}^2 \times_h T_{n+1}$
- the model space for $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$ is $DL(n, n) = T_{n+1} \times_h T_{n+1}$

We now prove the three cases of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 part 1. By Lemma 5.2 we have an embedding with compact kernel and cocompact image

$$\Phi : H \rightarrow U \subset QI(Sol) \simeq Bilip(\mathbb{R}) \times Bilip(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since the image of Γ_M is dense in each factor, we see by Proposition 8.9 that we must have actually had

$$U \subset Sim(\mathbb{R}) \times Sim(\mathbb{R}).$$

Finally using uniformity of U and Lemma 7.9 we can see that $U \subset Isom(Sol)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 part 2. By a similar argument to the previous theorem we have an embedding with compact kernel and cocompact image

$$\Phi : H \rightarrow U \subset QI(X_n) \simeq Bilip(\mathbb{R}) \times Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_n).$$

Again since the projection of $BS(1, n)$ is dense in $Bilip(\mathbb{R})$ we do not need to conjugate in this factor. We do, however, have to use Theorem 8.1 to do a conjugation of the $Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_n)$ factor. This gives us

$$U \subset Sim(\mathbb{R}) \times Sim(\mathbb{Q}_m).$$

Again using Lemma 7.9 we conclude that $U \subset Isom(X_m)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 part 3. In this case we have an embedding with compact kernel and cocompact image

$$\Phi : H \rightarrow U \subset QI(DL(n, n)) \simeq Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_n) \times Bilip(\mathbb{Q}_n)$$

After conjugation we have

$$U \subset Sim(\mathbb{Q}_m) \times Sim(\mathbb{Q}_{m'}).$$

Since for $m \neq m'$ we have that $DL(m, m')$ is not quasi-isometric to any finitely generated group [5] we must have $m = m'$. As before, using Lemma 7.9 we can argue that $U \subseteq Isom(DL(m, m))$. \square

9.2. Rigidity of abelian-by-cyclic groups. The goal of this section is to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. First we construct in more detail the model spaces for the finitely presented abelian-by-cyclic groups

$$\Gamma_M = \langle a, b_1, \dots, b_n \mid ab_i a^{-1} = \phi_M(b_i), b_i b_j = b_j b_i \rangle$$

where $M = (m_{ij})$ is an integral matrix with $\det M \geq 1$ and $\phi_M(b_i) = b_1^{m_{i1}} \dots b_n^{m_{in}}$. This was first done in [8] but our construction and point of view is slightly different. Also, we treat only the cases where M has eigenvalues that are strictly off of the unit circle. Since $\det M > 1$ we have that M lies on a one parameter subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. In particular $M = e^\mu$ for some $n \times n$ matrix μ and the one parameter subgroup can be given by $M^t = e^{t\mu}$. Each matrix μ can be put into real Jordan form

$$S^{-1}\mu S = \mu' = \delta + \nu + \eta$$

where δ is diagonal ν is superdiagonal and η is skew symmetric. In this paper we will focus on the cases when ν is 0 but the construction is similar for $\nu \neq 0$. In this case

$$M^t = e^{t\mu} = S e^{t\delta} S^{-1} S e^{t\eta} S^{-1} = S \bar{M}^t P^t S^{-1}.$$

where \bar{M}^t is diagonal and $P^t \in O(n)$. We consider the solvable Lie group $G_{\bar{M}} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times_{\bar{M}} \mathbb{R}^n$ defined (as before) by the action of \bar{M}^t on \mathbb{R}^n . We endow $G_{\bar{M}}$ with a left invariant metric such that the distance function at each height t is given by

$$d_{t, \bar{M}}(x, y) = \|\bar{M}^{-t}(x - y)\|.$$

If all of the eigenvalues of \bar{M} are greater than one then $G_{\bar{M}}$ is negatively curved (as before). If not then we separate \bar{M} into two matrices as before: \bar{M}_1 containing the eigenvalues greater than one and \bar{M}_2 containing the inverses of eigenvalues less than one (so that \bar{M}_2 also has all eigenvalues greater than one). Let $d = \det M \geq 1$ and let

$$X_{\bar{M}} \simeq G_{\bar{M}_1} \times_h Z_{\bar{M}_2, d}$$

be the horocyclic product of $G_{\bar{M}_1}$ the negatively curved homogeneous spaces from Example 6.2 and $Z_{\bar{M}_2, d}$ the millefeuille space from Example 6.3. We have two degenerate cases, if $d = 1$ then $Z_{\bar{M}_2, d} = G_{\bar{M}_2}$ or if $\bar{M} = \bar{M}_1$ then $Z_{\bar{M}_2, d} = T_{d+1}$. As mentioned in Example 6.8 this horocyclic product is a model space for the finitely presented abelian-by-cyclic group Γ_M .

The space $X_{\bar{M}}$ is the same one that was defined in [8] albeit in a different manner. In [8], $X_{\bar{M}}$ is defined as a fibered product of $G_{\bar{M}}$ and T_{d+1} . While the construction in [8] obscures the boundary structure it does make it easier to define the action of Γ_M on $X_{\bar{M}}$ and so to describe this action we use the construction from [8]. To do this we first define an action of Γ_M on $G_{\bar{M}}$ and then we combine it with the standard action of Γ_M on T_{d+1} (it is just the action of Γ_M on its Bass–Serre tree T_{d+1}).

Since $M = S\bar{M}PS^{-1}$ where $P \in O(n)$ as above then the action of Γ_M on $G_{\bar{M}}$ is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (v, t) &= (\bar{M}Pv, t + 1) \\ b_j \cdot (v, t) &= (v + S^{-1}e_j, t) \end{aligned}$$

where e_j is the j th standard basis vector. These maps are indeed isometries of $G_{\bar{M}}$. We check that these isometries are compatible with the relations $ab_ja^{-1} = \phi_M(b_j)$:

$$\begin{aligned} ab_ja^{-1}(v, t) &= ab_j(P^{-1}\bar{M}^{-1}v, t - 1) = ab_j(S^{-1}M^{-1}Sv, t - 1) \\ &= a(S^{-1}M^{-1}Sv + S^{-1}e_j, t - 1) \\ &= (S^{-1}MS[S^{-1}M^{-1}Sv + S^{-1}e_j], t) \\ &= (v + S^{-1}Me_j, t) \\ &= \phi_M(b_j) \cdot (v, t). \end{aligned}$$

Coordinates on $X_{\bar{M}}$. We can put coordinates (v, t, y) on $X_{\bar{M}}$ where $y \in \mathbb{Q}_d$ and (t, v) are the coordinates on $G_{\bar{M}} = \mathbb{R} \times_{\bar{M}} \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that the coordinate t corresponds to negative the height coordinate in T_{d+1} . The action on $X_{\bar{M}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (v, t, y) &= (\bar{M}Pv, t + 1, \sigma_a(y)), \\ b_j \cdot (v, t, y) &= (v + S^{-1}e_j, t, \sigma_{b_j}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that σ_a must be a similarity with similarity constant d and $\sigma_{b_j} \in \text{Isom}(\mathbb{Q}_d)$.

We now return to treating $X_{\bar{M}}$ as the horocyclic product $G_{M_1} \times_h Z_{M_2, d}$. Note that the two boundaries of $G_{M_1} \times_h Z_{M_2, d}$ are given by

$$\partial_1 X_{\bar{M}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \quad \partial_2 X_{\bar{M}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \times \mathbb{Q}_d$$

where the metric on \mathbb{R}^{n_1} is given by $D_{\bar{M}_1}$ and the metric on \mathbb{R}^{n_2} is given by $D_{\bar{M}_2}$. (See Section 7.2 for a definitions of boundaries of a horocyclic product). Consider the action of the generators of Γ_M on the two boundaries of $X_{\bar{M}}$. For $g \in \Gamma_M$ we write $\gamma_{g,1}, \gamma_{g,2}$ to denote the maps induced by g on these two boundaries.

Lemma 9.1. *The group Γ_M induces a dense set of translations of $\partial_1 X_{\bar{M}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $\partial_2 X_{\bar{M}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \times \mathbb{Q}_d$.*

Proof. For $\partial_1 X_{\bar{M}}$ we note that the set

$$\{S^{-1}M^t e_j \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

is dense in \mathbb{R}^n . Translations by these elements are the maps induced on height level sets by conjugates of the group elements b_j . Projecting this set to any subspace also gives a dense set. Since the lower boundary can be identified with E_+ , the span of all eigenvectors with eigenvalues greater than one, we have that Γ_M induces a dense

set of translations on $\partial_1 X_{\bar{M}}$. If $\det \bar{M} = 1$ the upper boundary can be identified with E_- , the span of all eigenvectors of \bar{M} with eigenvalues less than one, so the same result holds for the upper boundary in this case.

When $\partial_2 X_{\bar{M}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \times \mathbb{Q}_d$ we use the fact that Γ_M acts cocompactly on $X_{\bar{M}}$ with fundamental domain a hypercube of height one (i.e. the projection of the fundamental domain to the tree is a single edge containing only one vertex). In particular this means that if we fix the fundamental domain to be at height t_0 and pick any other point $s \in X_{\bar{M}}$ at height t_0 then there exists $g \in \Gamma_M$ that acts as an isometry on $\partial_2 X_{\bar{M}}$ that sends s into the fundamental domain. On the level of the boundary, this means that if we pick the fundamental domain at a sufficiently large height t_0 and so that it contains a vertical geodesic defined by $(0, 0) \in \partial_2 X_{\bar{M}}$ then if we let $s \in X_{\bar{M}}$ be a point at height t_0 on a geodesic defining $(x, y) \in \partial_2 X_{\bar{M}}$ then there exist $g \in \Gamma_M$ as above such that

$$d((0, 0), \gamma_{g,2}(x, y)) < e^{-t_0} < \epsilon.$$

Since $g \in \Gamma_M$ we have that $\gamma_{g,2}$ must be of the form

$$\gamma_{g,2}(x, y) = (x + v, \sigma(y))$$

as required by Definition 8.12. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. If $\Gamma_M \subset H$ is a cocompact lattice then by Lemma 5.2 we have a cocompact embedding of Γ_M up to compact kernel

$$\Gamma_M \subset H \mapsto U \subset QI(X_{\bar{M}}) \simeq \text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}) \times \text{Bilip}_{\bar{M}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2} \times \mathbb{Q}_m).$$

Combining Theorems 8.5 and 8.7 we have that after conjugation U acts on the horocyclic product

$$X_{\bar{M}^k} = G_{\bar{M}_1^k} \times_h Z_{\bar{M}_2^k, d^k}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\det(\bar{M}^k) = d^k \in \mathbb{Z}$, by maps of the form

$$\gamma(x_1, x_2, y) = [\delta_{t_1} A_1(x_{1,1} + B_{1,1}(x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}), \dots, x_{1,r} + B_{1,r}), \delta_{t_2} A_2(x_{2,1} + B_{2,1}(x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,r}, y), \dots, x_{2,r} + B_{2,r}(y), \sigma_\gamma(y))].$$

where $\delta_{t_1}, \delta_{t_2}$ are dilations, $A_1 \in O(n_1), A_2 \in O(n_2), (x_{1,1} + B_{1,1}(x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}), \dots, x_{1,r} + B_{1,r})$ is an almost translation (as in Definition 8.4), $(x_{2,1} + B_{2,1}(x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,r}, y), \dots, x_{2,r} + B_{2,r}(y), y)$ is an almost translation (as in Definition 8.6) and $\sigma_\gamma \in \text{Isom}(\mathbb{Q}_{d^k})$.

By iterating γ and appealing to uniformity much like in Lemma 7.9 we see that $t_1 + t_2 = 0$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

We now continue the proof of Theorem 1.2. We will prove both cases 1 and 2 concurrently and only give indications where the proofs differ. The outline of the proof is as follows:

Step 1: We define a homomorphism $\psi : U \rightarrow O(n) \times S^1$.

Step 2: We claim that $\ker \psi$ consists of elements that act as similarities on both $\partial_1 X_{\bar{M}^k}$ and $\partial_2 X_{\bar{M}^k}$ and therefore by Lemma 7.9 we have that $\ker \psi \subset Isom(X_{\bar{M}^k})$.

Step 3: We claim that the image of ψ is compact.

In conclusion we have that up to a compact subgroup (the image of ψ) the group U is a subgroup of the isometry group $Isom(X_{\bar{M}^k})$.

Step 1: First note that we can define a homomorphism

$$\psi'_1 : U \rightarrow O(n)$$

by

$$\psi'_1(\gamma) = A := [A_1, A_2]$$

where $[A_1, A_2]$ denotes the block matrix with A_1 and A_2 on the diagonal. (If we are in case 2 then $A = A_1$). Since Γ_M , hence U , are amenable and the only amenable subgroups of $O(n)$ are abelian we have that $\psi'_1(U)$ is contained in a maximal torus. This along with the fact that $t_1 + t_2 = 0$ allows us to define another homomorphism

$$\psi = \psi_1 \times \psi_2 : U \rightarrow O(n) \times S^1$$

by

$$\psi(\gamma) = (AP^{-t}, e^{2\pi it})$$

where $t = t_1 = -t_2$ and $P \in O(n)$ is given by $M = S\bar{M}PS^{-1}$. The map ψ_1 is actually a homomorphism since P is in the image of ψ'_1 (specifically it is $\psi'_1(\gamma_a)$ for the generator $a \in \Gamma_M$) and therefore commutes with all A in $\psi'_1(A)$.

Step 2: The kernel of ψ contains Γ_M and consists precisely of the elements that up to composition with elements of Γ_M have boundary maps γ_1, γ_2 of the form

$$\gamma_1(x_1) = (x_{1,1} + B_{1,1}(x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}), \dots, x_{1,r} + B_{1,r_1})$$

$$\gamma_2(x_2) = (x_{2,1} + B_{2,1}(x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,r}), \dots, x_{2,r} + B_{2,r_2}).$$

Our goal is to show that $\ker \psi$ consists only of maps in

$$Sim_{\bar{M}_1^k}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}) \times Sim_{\bar{M}_2^k}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$$

(or $Sim_{\bar{M}^k}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}) \times Sim(\mathbb{Q}_{d^k})$ for case 2). In other words, we need all of the $B_{1,i}, B_{2,i}$ to be constant maps. We call maps γ with all B_i constant *straight*. To

unclutter the notation we sometimes omit the subscripts 1 and 2. We will show that the projection of the kernel onto each of the two boundaries consists of straight maps and then conclude that then the kernel must also consist of straight maps.

The projection of the kernel of ψ onto the lower boundary is precisely the elements that, up to composition of powers of $\gamma_{a,1}$, have the form

$$\gamma(v) = (x_1 + B_1(x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, x_r + B_r).$$

The proof that shows that these maps are straight is by induction. We will only give the first step.

Claim 1. If $\gamma(v) = (x_1 + B_1(x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, x_r + B_r)$ is the boundary map induced by an element in $\ker \psi$ then $B_i(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r) = B_i(0)$ for all (x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r) .

Proof. Since Γ_M induces a dense set of translations (by Lemma 9.1) then by composing γ with appropriate elements of Γ_M we can assume that $|B_r| < \epsilon$. We refer to B_r as the additive constant of γ . By iterating γ (and composing the iterates with appropriate elements $g_j \in \Gamma_M$ to keep the additive constant ϵ_j less than ϵ) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \gamma_{g_n} \cdots \gamma \gamma_{g_1}(v) &= (\dots, x_{r-1} + B_{r-1}(x_r) + D_1 + B_{r-1}(x_r + \epsilon_1) \\ &\quad + D_2 \cdots + B_{r-1}(x_r + \epsilon_{n-1}), x_r + \epsilon_n) \end{aligned}$$

where the D_i are constants introduced by the elements $g_j \in \Gamma_M$ and

$$|B_{r-1}(x_r) - B_{r-1}(x_r + \epsilon_i)| < K\epsilon_i^\alpha < K\epsilon^\alpha.$$

Now comparing $\gamma(0)$ with $\gamma(v)$ where $v = (0, \dots, 0, x_r)$ for some fixed x_r we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|B_{r-1}(x_r) + D_1 + B_{r-1}(x_r + \epsilon_1) + D_2 \cdots + B_{r-1}(x_r + \epsilon_{n-1}) \\ &\quad - B_{r-1}(0) - D_1 - B_{r-1}(\epsilon_1) - D_2 \cdots - B_{r-1}(\epsilon_{n-1})| \leq K|x_r|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} |nB_{r-1}(x_r) - nB_{r-1}(0)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |B_{r-1}(x_r) - B_{r-1}(x_r + \epsilon_i)| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |B_{r-1}(0) - B_{r-1}(\epsilon_i)| + K|x_r|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and finally for all n

$$|B_{r-1}(x_r) - B_{r-1}(0)| \leq K\epsilon^\alpha + K\epsilon^\alpha + \frac{K}{n}|x_r|^\alpha.$$

When $d = 1$ then the upper boundary is treated like the lower boundary. For case 2 the upper boundary maps are already in $Sim(\mathbb{Q}_{d^k})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ so there is no further analysis needed. Since $\Gamma_M \subset \ker \psi$ the action of $\ker \psi$ on $X_{\bar{M}^k}$ is cocompact and hence Lemma 7.9 applies.

Step 3: We now return to analyze the image of ψ .

Claim 2. The image of $\psi = \psi_1 \times \psi_2$ is compact.

Proof. Recall that the image of ψ_1 lies in a maximal torus. If $img(\psi)$ is discrete then it is a finite subgroup of $O(n)$ and hence compact. If it is not discrete then for any A in the closure of $img(\psi)$ we have a sequence of maps $\gamma_i \in U$ with rotation constants $A_j \rightarrow A$. By composing with maps induced by elements of Γ_M we can chose γ_j so that

$$\gamma_j(v) = (A_j(\delta_{t_j}[x_{1,1} + B_{1,1}^j(x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}), \dots, x_{1,r} + B_{1,r}^j], \\ \delta_{-t_j}[x_{2,1} + B_{2,1}^j(x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{2,r}), \dots, x_{2,r} + B_{2,r}^j]))$$

or in case 2

$$\gamma_j(v) = (A_j(\delta_{t_j}[x_{1,1} + B_{1,1}^j(x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{1,n}), \dots, x_{1,r} + B_{1,r}^j], \sigma_{\gamma_j}(y)))$$

with $0 \leq t_j < 1$ and with

$$|B_{1,i}^j(0)|, |B_{2,i}^j(0)| < L \text{ and } d(\sigma_{\gamma_j}(0), 0) \leq L.$$

These γ_j in turn come from a uniform family of quasi-isometries of $X_{\bar{M}^k}$, all of which fix a base point up to bounded amount. (The base point is defined uniquely by picking the origin in $G_{\bar{M}}$ and $y = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$.) Therefore some subsequence coarsely converges to a quasi-isometry that induces the boundary maps γ_1, γ_2 . We must show that $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ has rotation constant A . Note that if γ has rotation constant $A' \neq A$ then there exists β and v_0 such that

$$d(A'v_0, Av_0) \geq \beta \|v_0\|.$$

We can actually pick v_0 so that if v_L is any vector with $\|v_L\| \leq L$ then for any $s \geq 1$

$$d(A'sv_0, Asv_0) \geq \beta \|sv_0 + v_L\| \geq \beta (\|sv_0\| - L).$$

Now since $A_j \rightarrow A$ we have that for all ϵ there exists J_ϵ such that if $j > J_\epsilon$ then for any v

$$d(A_j v, Av) \leq \epsilon \|v\|.$$

Note also that

$$A_j = (A_{1,j}^1, \dots, A_{r_1,j}^1, A_{1,j}^2, \dots, A_{r_2,j}^2)$$

and so

$$A = (A_1^1, \dots, A_{r_1}^1, A_1^2, \dots, A_{r_2}^2), \quad A' = (A'^1_1, \dots, A'^1_{r_1}, A'^2_1, \dots, A'^2_{r_2}).$$

We apply the previous facts to each A_i^1, A_i^2 in the decomposition. (We drop the superscripts 1, 2 to ease the notation). Suppose $A_{i,j} \rightarrow A_i$ but $A_i \neq A'_i$. Then as before there exists v_i and β with $d(A_i v_i, A'_i v_i) \geq \beta \|v_i\|$. Note that γ_j R -coarsely converges to γ so in particular for any $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there is a J_s such that if $j > J_s$ then

$$d(\delta_{t_j}(A_{i,j}(sv_i + B_{i,j}(0))), \delta_t(A'_i(sv_i + B_i(0)))) < R.$$

Now since $A_{i,j} \rightarrow A_i$ then for $j > J_\epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} d(\delta_{t_j}(A_{i,j}(sv_i + B_{i,j}(0))), \delta_{t_j}(A_i(sv_i + B_{i,j}(0)))) &\leq \delta_{t_j} \epsilon \|sv_i + B_{i,j}(0)\| \\ &\leq N\epsilon (\|sv_i\| + L). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two we get

$$d(\delta_{t_j}(A_i(sv_i + B_{i,j}(0))), \delta_t(A'_i(sv_i + B_i(0)))) < R + N\epsilon (\|sv_i\| + L)$$

But

$$d(A_i(sv_i + B_{i,j}(0)), A'_i(sv_i + B_i(0))) \geq \beta (\|sv_i\| - L)$$

since $|B_{i,j}(0)|, |B_i(0)| < L$. So

$$\frac{1}{N} \beta (\|sv_i\| - L) \leq R + N\epsilon (\|sv_i\| + L).$$

By choosing s large enough and $\epsilon = 1/s$ we see that this is impossible. This shows that the image of ψ_1 is compact.

If $d > 1$ then we are done since after the conjugation the action on the tree factor is by isometries which ensures that only integral powers of the stretch factors can appear.

If $d = 1$ we use a similar argument to the one above to show that if the image of ψ_2 is not discrete then it is all of S^1 . For any $0 \leq t_0 < 1$ pick $\gamma_j \in U$ with dilation δ_{t_j} where $t_j \rightarrow t_0$. Up to composition with maps induced by Γ_M we can again assume $|B_i^j(0)| < \epsilon$ so that the quasi-isometries inducing γ_j all fix the base point (this time is it just $0 \in G_{\bar{M}}$) up to a bounded amount. Again using coarse convergence of quasi-isometries we have that some subsequence of these quasi-isometries coarsely converge to a quasi-isometry whose boundary map we label γ . By looking at $x_r \neq 0$ large enough we can conclude that γ has dilation constant δ_{t_0} . □

This claim finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark. The main reason this approach does not work for the mixed eigenvalues case is that $Isom(\mathbb{Q}_m)$ does not split as a semi-direct product of $Stab(0)$ and a ‘translation’ subgroup. This prevents the arguments from Claim 1 in the proof from going through.

10. Finitely many model spaces

In this section we show that Γ_M can only act on finitely many of the model spaces (and similarly for $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$). This also implies that up to compact groups there is only a finite list of locally compact groups in which Γ_M (and $F \wr \mathbb{Z}$) can be a lattice.

First notice that Γ_{M^k} is a lattice in $Isom(X_{\bar{M}^i})$ for all $i \leq k$ since Γ_{M^k} can be realized as an index $k - i + 1$ subgroup of Γ_M . Similarly, the lamplighter group $\Gamma_d = F \wr \mathbb{Z}$ has a finite index subgroup isomorphic to $\Gamma_{d^k} = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^k F) \wr \mathbb{Z}$. (Note however that not all lamplighters $G \wr \mathbb{Z}$ with $|G| = d^k$ are index k in Γ_n). We will assume without loss of generality that M cannot be written as a power of another matrix and that d is not a proper power.

Now we are left to show that Γ_{M^i} (or Γ_{d^i}) cannot act cocompactly and properly discontinuously on $X_{\bar{M}^k}$ (or $DL(d^k, d^k)$) for $k < i$. To that end we note that if Γ_{M^i} (or Γ_{d^i}) acts cocompactly on $X_{\bar{M}^k}$ (or $DL(d^k, d^k)$) then it acts cocompactly on $T_{d^{k+1}}$. Furthermore, this action fixes a point at infinity and preserves the orientation of the tree. (In the lamplighter case this is true after passing to an index two subgroup). This implies that the quotient graph of groups decomposition is an (oriented) cycle of edges where each edge group includes isomorphically into the initial vertex group and as an index k subgroup into the terminal vertex group. By collapsing edges we can assume without loss of generality that the cycle has only one edge and one vertex. (After collapsing we get an action of the group on $T_{d^{ks+1}}$ where s is the number of edges collapses; i.e. on a higher valence tree.)

Proposition 10.1. *If G acts transitively on T_{d+1} and on T_{e+1} by orientation preserving isometries such that there exists a quasi-conjugacy sending one action to the other then $d = e$.*

Proof. Recall that a quasi-conjugacy is a quasi-isometry

$$\varphi : T_{d+1} \rightarrow T_{e+1}$$

such that $\varphi(g \cdot x)$ is bounded distance from $g \cdot \varphi(x)$. In particular this implies that vertex stabilizers of one action are contained in vertex stabilizers of the other action. A transitive action on T_{d+1} (resp. T_{e+1}) implies that the quotient graph is the graph with exactly one edge and one vertex and where one of the edge to vertex group inclusions is as an index d (resp. index e) subgroup. If t is the generator in G corresponding to the loop in the graph of groups decomposition of its action on T_{d+1} then the subgroup generated by t must act transitively on height level sets

of T_{e+1} (this follows from quasi-conjugacy of the actions). If we let $s \in G$ be the generator of the loop in the graphs of groups decomposition of its action on T_{e+1} then $s = tp$ where p is in the kernel of the map to \mathbb{Z} (i.e. the height map). Now suppose $H = \text{stab}(v)$ for $v \in T_{d+1}$. Then tHt^{-1} is an index d subgroup of H . Furthermore

$$sHs^{-1} = tpHp^{-1}t^{-1} = t \text{stab}(p \cdot v)t^{-1}.$$

Since all of the vertex stabilizers are isomorphic we have that $|H : sHs^{-1}| = d$ as well.

Let $v' \neq v$ be such that $\text{stab}(v) \subset \text{stab}(v')$ and $|\text{stab}(v') : \text{stab}(v)|$ is smallest over all possible v' . Label $\text{stab}(v') = H'$. Then

$$s^{-1}H's \subset H \subseteq H' \subset sHs^{-1} \subseteq sH's^{-1}.$$

Note that $|H' : s^{-1}H's| = e$. If we let $|H : s^{-1}H's| = g$ and $|H' : H| = f$ then $e = gf$. But we also have that $d = gf$ since $|sHs^{-1} : H'| = g$ and

$$d = |sHs^{-1} : H| = |sHs^{-1} : H'| |H' : H| = fg.$$

□

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Benson Farb for suggesting this problem and Kevin Whyte and Yves de Cornulier for useful conversations. I would also like to thank the referee for helpful comments.

References

- [1] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Yves de Cornulier, Nicolas Monod, and Romain Tessera, *Amenable hyperbolic groups*, preprint, arXiv:1202.3585 (2012).
- [2] Tullia Dymarz and Irine Peng, *Bilipschitz maps of boundaries of certain negatively curved homogeneous spaces*, *Geom. Dedicata* **152** (2011), 129–145. Zbl 1221.53074 MR 2795238
- [3] Tullia Dymarz, *Large scale geometry of certain solvable groups*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **19** (2010), no. 6, 1650–1687. Zbl 1211.20037 MR 2594617
- [4] Tullia Dymarz, *Quasisymmetric maps of boundaries of amenable hyperbolic groups*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **63** (2014), no. 2, 329–343. MR 3233210
- [5] Alex Eskin, David Fisher, and Kevin Whyte, *Quasi-isometries and rigidity of solvable groups*, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **3** (2007), no. 4, part 1, 927–947. Zbl 1167.22007 MR 2402598
- [6] Benson Farb and Lee Mosher, *A rigidity theorem for the solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups*, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), no. 2, 419–451, With an appendix by Daryl Cooper. Zbl 0937.22003 MR 1608595
- [7] Benson Farb and Lee Mosher, *Quasi-isometric rigidity for the solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups. II*, *Invent. Math.* **137** (1999), no. 3, 613–649. Zbl 0931.20035 MR 1709862

- [8] Benson Farb and Lee Mosher, *On the asymptotic geometry of abelian-by-cyclic groups*, Acta Math. **184** (2000), no. 2, 145–202. Zbl 0982.20026 MR 1768110
- [9] Harry Furstenberg, *Poisson boundaries and envelopes of discrete groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 350–356. Zbl 0184.33105 MR 210812
- [10] A. Furman, *Mostow-Margulis rigidity with locally compact targets*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **11** (2001), no. 1, 30–59. Zbl 0984.22008 MR 1829641
- [11] A. Hinkkanen, *Uniformly quasimetric groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **51** (1985), no. 2, 318–338. Zbl 0574.30022 MR 794115
- [12] A. I. Malcev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translation **1951** (1951), no. 39, 33. MR 39734
- [13] G. A. Margulis, *Discrete groups of motions of manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Vancouver, B.C., 1974), Vol. 2, Canad. Math. Congress, Montreal, Que., 1975, pp. 21–34. Zbl 0336.57037 MR 492072
- [14] G. A. Margulis, *Non-uniform lattices in semisimple algebraic groups*, Lie groups and their representations (Proc. Summer School on Group Representations of the Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971), Halsted, New York, 1975, pp. 371–553. Zbl 0316.22009 MR 422499
- [15] G. D. Mostow, *Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1973, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 78. Zbl 0265.53039 MR 385004
- [16] Lee Mosher, Michah Sageev, and Kevin Whyte, *Maximally symmetric trees*, Geom. Dedicata **92** (2002), 195–233, Dedicated to John Stallings on the occasion of his 65th birthday. Zbl 1053.20027 MR 1934019
- [17] Lee Mosher, Michah Sageev, and Kevin Whyte, *Quasi-actions on trees. I. Bounded valence*, Ann. of Math. (2) **158** (2003), no. 1, 115–164. Zbl 1038.20016 MR 1998479
- [18] Irine Peng, *Coarse differentiation and quasi-isometries of a class of solvable Lie groups I*, Geom. Topol. **15** (2011), no. 4, 1883–1925. Zbl 1235.51019 MR 2860983
- [19] Irine Peng, *Coarse differentiation and quasi-isometries of a class of solvable Lie groups II*, Geom. Topol. **15** (2011), no. 4, 1927–1981. Zbl 1242.51008 MR 2860984
- [20] Gopal Prasad, *Strong rigidity of \mathbf{Q} -rank 1 lattices*, Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 255–286. Zbl 0264.22009 MR 385005
- [21] Pekka Tukia, *On quasiconformal groups*, J. Analyse Math. **46** (1986), 318–346. Zbl 0603.30026 MR 861709
- [22] Kevin Whyte, *Coarse convergence*, preprint (2001).
- [23] Stephen Willard, *General topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1970. Zbl 1052.54001 MR 264581
- [24] Xiangdong Xie, *Large scale geometry of negatively curved $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathbb{R}$* , Geom. Topol. **18** (2014), no. 2, 831–872. Zbl 06272630 MR 3180486

Received June 06, 2013

T. Dymarz, 509 Van Vleck Hall, Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

E-mail: dymarz@math.wisc.edu