Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 88 (2013)

Artikel: Remarks on the Lefschetz standard conjecture and hyperkähler

varieties

Autor: Charles, François

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-515644

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 29.11.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Remarks on the Lefschetz standard conjecture and hyperkähler varieties

François Charles

Abstract. We study the Lefschetz standard conjecture on a smooth complex projective variety X. In degree 2, we reduce it to a local statement concerning local deformations of vector bundles on X. When X is hyperkähler, we show that the existence of nontrivial deformations of stable hyperholomorphic bundles implies the Lefschetz standard conjecture in codimension 2.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 14C25; Secondary 14J60, 53C26.

Keywords. Algebraic cycles, holomorphic symplectic varieties, standard conjectures.

1. Introduction

In the fundamental paper [9] of 1968, Grothendieck states a series of conjectures concerning the existence of certain algebraic cycles on smooth projective algebraic varieties over an algebraically closed ground fields. Those are known as the standard conjectures. In particular, given such a variety X of dimension n, the Lefschetz standard conjecture predicts the existence of self-correspondences on X that give an inverse to the operations

$$H^k(X) \to H^{2n-k}(X)$$

given by the cup-product n-k times with a hyperplane section for all $k \le n$. Here $H^*(X)$ stands for any Weil cohomology theory on X, e.g. singular cohomology if X is defined over \mathbb{C} , or l-adic étale cohomology in characteristic different from l. If we can invert the morphism $H^k(X) \to H^{2n-k}(X)$ using self-correspondences on X, we say that the Lefschetz conjecture holds in degree k.

Let us now, and for the rest of the paper, work over \mathbb{C} . The Lefschetz standard conjecture then implies the other ones and has strong theoretical consequences. For instance, it implies that numerical and homological equivalence coincide, and that the category of pure motives for homological equivalence is semisimple. We refer to [13] and [14] for more detailed discussions. The Lefschetz standard conjecture for varieties which are fibered in abelian varieties over a smooth curve also implies the Hodge conjecture for abelian varieties as shown by Yves André in [1]. Grothendieck

actually writes in the aforementioned paper that "alongside the resolution of singularities, the proof of the standard conjectures seems to [him] to be the most urgent task in algebraic geometry".

Though the motivic picture has tremendously developed since Grothendieck's statement of the standard conjectures, very little progress has been made in their direction. The Lefschetz standard conjecture is known for abelian varieties, see [13] and in degree 1 where it reduces to the Hodge conjecture for divisors. Aside from examples obtained by taking products and hyperplane sections, those seem to be the only two cases where a proof is known.

In this paper, we want to investigate further the geometrical content of the Lefschetz standard conjecture, and try to give insight into the specific case of hyperkähler varieties. The original form of the Lefschetz standard conjecture for a variety X predicts the existence of specific algebraic cycles in the product $X \times X$. Those cycles can be considered as family of cycles on X parametrized by X itself. Our first remark is that the conjecture actually reduces to a general statement about the existence of large families of algebraic cycles in X parametrized by any smooth quasi-projective base. For this, we use Hodge theory on X.

It turns out that for those families to give a positive answer to the conjecture, it is enough to control the local variation of the family of cycles considered. Let us give a precise statement. Let X be a smooth projective variety, S a smooth quasiprojective variety, and let $Z \in CH^k(X \times S)$ be a family of codimension k cycles in X parametrized by S. Let \mathcal{T}_S be the tangent sheaf of S. Using the Leray spectral sequence for the projection onto S and constructions from Griffiths and Voisin in [8], [25], we construct a map

$$\phi_Z \colon \bigwedge^k \mathcal{T}_S \to H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S.$$

We then get the following result, which we state here only in degree 2 for simplicity, but see Section 2.

Theorem 1. Let X be a smooth projective variety. Then the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree 2 for X if and only if there exists a smooth quasi-projective variety S, a codimension 2 cycle Z in $CH^2(X \times S)$ and a point $s \in S$ such that the morphism

$$\phi_{Z,s}: \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{S,s} \to H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X),$$

considered above for k = 2, is surjective.

This variational approach to the existence of algebraic cycles can be compared to the study of semi-regularity maps as in [5].

In the following section, we give an explicit formula for ϕ_Z in case the cycle Z is given by the Chern classes of a family of vector bundles \mathcal{E} on $X \times S$. In this

situation, we show that ϕ_Z is expressed very simply in terms of the Kodaira–Spencer map. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}_{S,s}$ maps to the space $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_s,\mathcal{E}_s)$. We then have a Yoneda product

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{s},\mathcal{E}_{s}) \times \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{s},\mathcal{E}_{s}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{2}(\mathcal{E}_{s},\mathcal{E}_{s})$$

and a trace map

$$\operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{E}_s, \mathcal{E}_s) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

We show that we can express $\phi_{Z,s}$ in terms of the composition

$$\phi_2(\mathcal{E}): \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{S,s} \to H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$$

of those two maps, and we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let X be a smooth projective variety. Then the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree 2 for X if there exists a smooth quasi-projective variety S, a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over $X \times S$, and a point $s \in S$ such that the morphism

$$\phi_2(\mathcal{E})_s: \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{S,s} \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$
 (1)

induced by the composition above is surjective.

The main interest of this theorem is that it makes it possible to only use firstorder computations to check the Lefschetz standard conjecture, which is global in nature, thus replacing it by a local statement on deformations of \mathcal{E} . Of course, when one wants to ensure that there exists a vector bundle over X that has a positivedimensional family of deformations, the computation of obstructions is needed, which involves higher-order computations. However, once a family of vector bundles is given, checking the surjectivity condition of the theorem involves only first-order deformations.

The last part of the paper is devoted to applications of the previous results to hyperkähler varieties. We will recall general properties of those and their hyperholomorphic bundles in Section 4. Those varieties have $h^{2,0} = 1$, which makes the last criterion easier to check. In the case of 2-dimensional hyperkähler varieties, that is, in the case of K3 surfaces, Mukai has investigated in [17] the 2-form on the moduli space of some stable sheaves given by (1) and showed that it is nondegenerate. In particular, it is nonzero. Of course, the case of surfaces is irrelevant in our work, but we will use Verbitsky's theory of hyperholomorphic bundles on hyperholomorphic varieties as presented in [23]. In his work, Verbitsky extends the work of Mukai to higher dimensions and shows results implying the nondegeneracy of the form (1) in some cases. Using those, we are able to show that the existence of nonrigid hyperholomorphic bundles on a hyperkähler variety is enough to prove the Lefschetz standard conjecture in degree 2. Indeed, we get the following.

Theorem 3. Let X be a projective irreducible hyperkähler variety, and let \mathcal{E} be a stable hyperholomorphic bundle on X. Assume that \mathcal{E} has a nontrivial positive-dimensional family of deformations. Then the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree 2 for X.

In a slightly different direction, recall that the only known hyperkähler varieties, except in dimension 6 and 10, are the two families constructed by Beauville in [4] which are the small deformations of Hilbert schemes of points on a K3 surface or of generalized Kummer varieties. For those, the Lefschetz standard conjecture is easy – see [2] for a general discussion – as their cohomology comes from that of a surface. We get the following.

Theorem 4. Let n be a positive integer. Assume that for every K3 surface S, there exists a stable hyperholomorphic sheaf \mathcal{E} with a nontrivial positive-dimensional family of deformations on the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ parametrizing subschemes of S of length n. Then the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree 2 for any projective deformation of $S^{[n]}$. The same result holds for generalized Kummer varieties.

Both those results could be applied taking \mathcal{E} to be the tangent sheaf of the variety considered, in case it has nontrivial deformations.

Those results fit well in the – mostly conjectural – work of Verbitsky as exposed in [24] predicting the existence of large moduli spaces of hyperholomorphic bundles. Unfortunately, we were not able to exhibit bundles satisfying the hypotheses of the theorems.

Varieties are defined to be reduced and irreducible. All varieties and schemes are over the field of complex numbers.

Acknowledgements. It is a great pleasure to thank Claire Voisin for her help and support, as well as many enlightening discussions during the writing of this paper. I am grateful to Eyal Markman for kindly explaining to me the results of [16]. I would also like to thank Daniel Huybrechts for pointing out the relevance of Verbitsky's results and for the interesting discussions we had around the manuscript during his nice invitation to the university of Bonn, as well as Burt Totaro and the referee for many useful comments.

2. General remarks on the Lefschetz standard conjecture

This section is devoted to some general remarks on the Lefschetz standard conjecture. Although some are well known to specialists, we include them here for ease of reference. Let us first recall the statement of the conjecture.

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over \mathbb{C} . Let $\xi \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the cohomology class of a hyperplane section of X. According to the hard Lefschetz

theorem, see for instance [27], Chapter 13, for all $k \in \{0, ..., n\}$, cup-product with ξ^{n-k} induces an isomorphism

$$\bigcup \xi^{n-k} : H^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The Lefschetz standard conjecture was first stated in [9], conjecture B(X). It is the following.

Conjecture 5. Let X and ξ be as above. Then for all $k \in \{0, ..., n\}$, there exists an algebraic cycle Z of codimension k in the product $X \times X$ such that the correspondence

$$[Z]_*: H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$$

is the inverse of $\bigcup \xi^{n-k}$.

If this conjecture holds for some specific k on X, we will say the Lefschetz conjecture holds in degree k for the variety X.

Let us recall the following easy lemma, see [14], Theorem 4.1, which shows in particular that the Lefschetz conjecture does not depend on the choice of a polarization.

Lemma 6. Let X and ξ be as above. Then the Lefschetz conjecture holds in degree k for X if and only if there exists an algebraic cycle Z of codimension k in the product $X \times X$ such that the correspondence

$$[Z]_*: H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$$

is bijective.

Proof. Let Z be as in the lemma. The morphism

$$[Z]_* \circ (\cup \xi^{n-k} \circ [Z]_*)^{-1} \colon H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$$

is the inverse of $\cup \xi^{n-k} : H^k(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{Q})$. Now by the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, the automorphism $(\cup \xi^{n-k} \circ [Z]_*)^{-1}$ of $H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ is a polynomial in $(\bigcup \xi^{n-k} \circ [Z]_*)$. As such, it is defined by an algebraic correspondence. By composition, the morphism $[Z]_* \circ (\cup \xi^{n-k} \circ [Z]_*)^{-1}$ is defined by an algebraic correspondence, which concludes the proof.

For the next results, we will need to work with primitive cohomology classes. Let us recall some notation. Let S be a smooth polarized projective variety of dimension l. Let L denote cup-product with the cohomology class of a hyperplane section. For any integer k in $\{0,\ldots,l\}$, let $H^k(S,\mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$ denote the primitive part of $H^k(S,\mathbb{Q})$, that is, the kernel of

$$L^{l-k+1}: H^k(S, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2l-k+2}(S, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The cohomology groups of S in degrees less than l then admit a Lefschetz decomposition

$$H^k(S, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} L^i H^{k-2i}(S, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}.$$

The following lemma is well known, but we include it here for ease of reference as well as to keep track of the degrees for which we have to use the Lefschetz standard conjecture.

Lemma 7. Let k be an integer, and let S be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $l \geq k$. Consider the Lefschetz decomposition

$$H^k(S,\mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} L^i H^{k-2i}(S,\mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}},$$

where L is the cup-product by the class of a hyperplane section. Assume that the Lefschetz conjecture holds for S in degrees up to k-2. Then the projections $H^k(S,\mathbb{Q}) \to L^i H^{k-2i}(S,\mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$ are induced by algebraic correspondences.

Proof. By induction, it is enough to prove that the projection

$$H^k(S,\mathbb{Q}) \to LH^{k-2}(S,\mathbb{Q})$$

is induced by an algebraic correspondence. Let $Z\subset S\times S$ be an algebraic cycle such that

$$[Z]_*: H^{2l-k+2}(S,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{k-2}(S,\mathbb{Q})$$

is the inverse of L^{l-k+2} . Then the composition $L \circ [Z]_* \circ L^{l-k+1}$ is the desired projection since $H^k(S,\mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$ is the kernel of L^{l-k+1} in $H^k(S,\mathbb{Q})$.

The next result is the starting point of our paper. It shows that the Lefschetz standard conjecture in degree k on X is equivalent to the existence of a sufficiently big family of codimension k algebraic cycles in X, and allows us to work on the product of X with any variety.

Proposition 8. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n, and let $k \le n$ be an integer. Then the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree k for X if and only if there exists a smooth projective scheme S of dimension $l \ge k$ satisfying the Lefschetz conjecture in degrees up to k-2 and a codimension k cycle Z in $X \times S$ such that the morphism

$$[Z]_* \colon H^{2l-k}(S,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$$

induced by the correspondence Z is surjective.

Proof. Taking S = X, the "only if" part is obvious. For the other statement, fix a polarization on S, and let L be the cup-product with the class of a hyperplane section of S. Consider the morphism $s: H^k(S,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(S,\mathbb{Q})$ which is given by multiplication by $(-1)^i$ on $L^i H^{k-2i}(S,\mathbb{Q})_{prim}$. By the Hodge index theorem, the pairing

$$H^k(S,\mathbb{C})\otimes H^k(S,\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{C}, \quad \alpha\otimes\beta\mapsto\int_S\alpha\cup L^{l-k}(s(\beta))$$

turns $H^k(S,\mathbb{Q})$ into a polarized Hodge structure. Furthermore, Lemma 7 shows that s is induced by an algebraic correspondence.

We have a morphism $[Z]_*: H^{2l-k}(S,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$ which is surjective. Its dual $[Z]^*: H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(S,\mathbb{Q})$ is injective, where n is the dimension of X. Let us consider the composition

$$[Z]_* \circ L^{l-k} \circ s \circ [Z]^* : H^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

It is defined by an algebraic correspondence, and it is enough to show that it is a bijection. Since $H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ and $H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$ have the same dimension, we only have to prove it is injective.

Let $\alpha \in H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ lie in the kernel of the composition. For any $\beta \in$ $H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{O})$, we get

$$([Z]^*\beta) \cup ((L^{l-k} \circ s)([Z]^*\alpha)) = 0.$$

Since $[Z]^*(H^{2n-k}(X,\mathbb{Q}))$ is a sub-Hodge structure of the polarized Hodge structure $H^k(S,\mathbb{Q})$, the restriction of the polarization

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{S} u \cup (L^{l-k} \circ s)(v)$$

on $H^k(S,\mathbb{Q})$ to this subspace is nondegenerate, which shows that α is zero.

Remark 9. Using the weak Lefschetz theorem, one can always reduce to the case where S is of dimension k.

Corollary 10. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n, and let $k \leq n$ be an integer. Assume the Lefschetz conjecture for all varieties in degrees up to k-2and that the generalized Hodge conjecture is true for $H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$.

Then the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree k for X if and only if there exists a smooth projective scheme S, of dimension l, and a codimension k cycle Z in $CH^k(X \times S)$ such that the morphism

$$H^{l}(S, \Omega_{S}^{l-k}) \to H^{k}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X})$$
 (2)

induced by the morphism of Hodge structures

$$[Z]_* \colon H^{2l-k}(S,\mathbb{C}) \to H^k(X,\mathbb{C})$$

is surjective.

Remark 11. Note that this corollary is unconditional for k = 2 since the generalized Hodge conjecture is just the Hodge conjecture for divisors, and the Lefschetz standard conjecture is obvious in degree 0.

Proof. Let X, S and Z be as in the statement of the corollary. Let H be the image of $H^{2l-k}(S,\mathbb{Q})$ by $[Z]_*$. By (2), we have $H^{k,0}=H^k(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$. Let H' be a sub-Hodge structure of $H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$ such that $H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})=H\oplus H'$. Then $H'^{k,0}=0$. As H' has no part of type (k,0), the generalized Hodge conjecture then predicts that there exists a smooth projective variety X' of dimension n-1, together with a proper morphism $f:X'\to X$ such that H' is contained in $f_*H^{k-2}(X',\mathbb{Q})$.

If the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree k-2, then it is true for $H^{k-2}(X',\mathbb{Q})$. As a consequence, we get a cycle Z' of codimension k-2 in $X'\times X'$ such that $[Z']_*: H^{2(n-1)-k+2}(X',\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{k-2}(X',\mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. Consider the composition

$$H^{2(n-1)+2-k}(X' \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow H^{2(n-1)-k+2}(X', \mathbb{Q})$$

 $\longrightarrow H^{k-2}(X', \mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(X, \mathbb{Q}),$

the first map being the pullback by any of the immersions $X' \to X' \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $x' \mapsto (x', x)$, the second one being $[Z']_*$ and the last one f_* . This composition is induced by an algebraic correspondence $Z'' \hookrightarrow X' \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$, and is surjective onto $f_*H^{k-2}(X',\mathbb{Q})$. It is easy to assume, after taking products with projective spaces, that S and $X' \times \mathbb{P}^1$ have the same dimension. Now since the subspaces H and $f_*H^{k-2}(X',\mathbb{Q})$ generate $H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$, the correspondence induced by the cycle Z+Z'' in $(S \coprod (X' \times \mathbb{P}^1)) \times X$ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 8.

With the notations of the previous corollary, in case, Z is flat over X, we have a family of codimension k algebraic cycles in X parametrized by S. The next theorem shows that the map (2), which is the one we have to study in order to prove the Lefschetz conjecture in degree k for X, does not depend on the global geometry of S, and can be computed locally on S. This will allow us to give an explicit description of the map (2) in terms of the deformation theory of the family Z in the next section.

Let us first recall a general cohomological invariant for families of algebraic cycles. We follow [27], 19.2.2, see also [8], [25] for related discussions. In the previous setting, Z, X and S being as before, the algebraic cycle Z has a class

$$[Z] \in H^k(X \times S, \Omega^k_{X \times S}).$$

Using the Künneth formula, this last group maps to

$$H^0(S, \Omega_S^k) \otimes H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

which means that the cohomology class [Z] gives rise to a morphism of sheaves on S

$$\phi_Z \colon \bigwedge^k \mathcal{T}_S \to H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S, \tag{3}$$

where \mathcal{T}_S is the tangent sheaf of S. If s is a complex point of S, let $\phi_{Z,s}$ be the morphism $\bigwedge^k \mathcal{T}_{S,s} \to H^k(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$ coming from ϕ_Z .

Note that the definition of $\phi_{Z,s}$ is local on S. Indeed, the map

$$H^k(X \times S, \Omega^k_{X \times S}) \to H^0(S, \Omega^k_S) \otimes H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

factors through the restriction map

$$H^k(X \times S, \Omega^k_{X \times S}) \to H^0(S, R^k p_* \Omega^k_{X \times S}),$$

where p is the projection from $X \times S$ to S, corresponding to the restriction of a cohomology class to the fibers of p. Actually, it can be shown that it only depends on the first order deformation Z_s^{ϵ} of Z_s in X, see [27], Remarque 19.12, under rather weak assumptions. We will recover this result in the next section by giving an explicit formula for $\phi_{Z,s}$. This fact is the one that allows us to reduce the Lefschetz standard conjecture to a variational statement.

The next theorem shows, using the map $\phi_{Z,s}$, that the Lefschetz conjecture can be reduced to the existence of local deformations of algebraic cycles in X.

Theorem 12. Let X be a smooth projective variety. Assume as in Corollary 10 that the generalized Hodge conjecture is true for $H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$ and the Lefschetz conjecture holds for smooth projective varieties in degree k-2.

Then the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree k for X if and only if there exist a smooth quasi-projective scheme S, a codimension k cycle Z in $CH^k(X \times S)$ and a point $s \in S$ such that the morphism

$$\phi_{Z,s} \colon \bigwedge^k \mathcal{T}_{S,s} \to H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$
 (4)

is surjective.

Proof. Assume the hypothesis of the theorem holds. Up to taking a smooth projective compactification of S and taking the adherence of Z, we can assume S is smooth projective. The morphism of sheaves

$$\phi_Z \colon \bigwedge^k \mathcal{T}_S \to H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$$

that we constructed earlier corresponds to an element of the group

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{S}}\left(\bigwedge^{k} \mathcal{T}_{S}, H^{k}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S}\right) = H^{0}(\Omega_{S}^{k} \otimes H^{k}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X})),$$

which in turn using Serre duality corresponds to a morphism

$$H^{l}(S, \Omega_{S}^{l-k}) \to H^{k}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}),$$

where l is the dimension of S.

By the definition of ϕ_Z , this morphism is actually the morphism (2) of Corollary 10. Indeed, this last morphism was constructed using the Künneth formula for $X \times S$, Poincaré duality and taking components of the Hodge decomposition, which is the way ϕ_Z is defined, since Serre duality is compatible with Poincaré duality.

Moreover, by construction, if $\phi_{Z,s}$ is surjective, then $H^1(S, \Omega_S^{l-k}) \to H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is. As for the converse, if $H^1(S, \Omega_S^{l-k}) \to H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is surjective, then we can find points s_1, \ldots, s_r of s such that the images of the ϕ_{Z,s_i} generate $H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Replacing S by S^r , the cycle Z by the disjoint union of the $Z_i = p_i^*Z$, where $p_i: S^r \times X \to S \times X$ is the projection on the product of the i-th factor, and s by (s_1, \ldots, s_r) , this concludes the proof by Corollary 10.

The important part of this theorem is that it does not depend on the global geometry of S, but only on the local variation of the family Z. As such, it makes it possible to use deformation theory and moduli spaces to study the Lefschetz conjecture, especially in degree 2 where Theorem 12 is unconditional by Remark 11.

3. A local computation

Let X be a smooth variety and S a smooth scheme, X being projective and S quasiprojective. Let Z be a cycle of codimension k in the product $X \times S$. As we saw earlier, for any point $s \in S$, the correspondence defined by Z induces a map

$$\phi_{Z,s} \colon \bigwedge^k \mathcal{T}_{S,s} \to H^k(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$$

The goal of this section is to compute this map in terms of the deformation theory of the family Z of cycles on X parametrized by S. We will formulate this result when the class of Z in the Chow group of $X \times S$ is given by the codimension k part $\operatorname{ch}_k(\mathcal{E})$ of the Chern character of a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over $X \times S$. It is well known that we obtain all the rational equivalence classes of algebraic cycles as linear combinations of those.

Let us now recall general facts about the deformation theory of vector bundles and their Atiyah class. Given a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over $X \times S$, and p being

the projection of $X \times S$ to S, let $\mathcal{E}xt^1_p(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ be the sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times U}}(\mathcal{E}_{|X \times U}, \mathcal{E}_{|X \times U})$ on S. The deformation of vector bundles determined by & is described by the Kodaira-Spencer map. This is a map of sheaves

$$\rho \colon \mathcal{T}_S \to \mathcal{E}xt^1_p(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}),$$

where \mathcal{T}_S is the tangent sheaf to S. Let s be a complex point of S. The Kodaira-Spencer map at s is given by the composition

$$\rho_s: T_{S,s} \to \mathcal{E}xt^1_p(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_s \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_s, \mathcal{E}_s),$$

the last one being the canonical one.

In the next section, we will use results of Verbitsky which allow us to produce unobstructed elements of $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_s,\mathcal{E}_s)$ in the hyperholomorphic setting.

Associated to \mathcal{E} as well are the images in $H^k(X \times S, \Omega^k_{X \times S})$ of the Chern classes of \mathcal{E} , which we will denote by $c_k(\mathcal{E})$ with a slight abuse of notation. We also have the images $\operatorname{ch}_k(\mathcal{E}) \in H^k(X \times S, \Omega^k_{X \times S})$ of the Chern character.

The link between Chern classes and the Kodaira-Spencer map is given by the Atiyah class. It is well known that the Chern classes of \mathcal{F} can be computed from its Atiyah class $A(\mathcal{F}) \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_Y)$, see [3], [11], Chapter 10:

Proposition 13. For k a positive integer, let $\alpha_k \in H^k(Y, \Omega_Y^k)$ be the trace of the element $A(\mathcal{F})^k \in \operatorname{Ext}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^k_Y)$ by the trace map. Then

$$\alpha_k = k! \operatorname{ch}_k(\mathcal{F}).$$

Now in the relative situation with our previous notation, the vector bundle \mathcal{E} has an Atiyah class $A(\mathcal{E})$ with value in $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega^1_{X \times S})$. The latter group maps to the group $H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1_p(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega^1_{X \times S}))$, which contains

$$H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt_n^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_S^1) = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_S \to \mathcal{E}xt_n^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}))$$

as a direct factor. We thus get a morphism of sheaves

$$\tau: \mathcal{T}_S \to \mathcal{E}xt^1_p(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}).$$

For the following well-known computation, see [11] or [12], Chapter IV.

Proposition 14. The map τ induced by the Atiyah class of \mathcal{E} is equal to the Kodaira– Spencer map ρ.

Those two results make it possible to give an explicit description of the map ϕ_Z of last section in case the image of Z in the Chow group of $X \times S$ is given by the codimension k part $\operatorname{ch}_k(\mathcal{E})$ of the Chern character of a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over $X \times S$. First introduce a map of sheaves coming from the Kodaira–Spencer map.

For k a positive integer, let

$$\phi_k(\mathcal{E}) \colon \bigwedge^k \mathcal{T}_S \to H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$$

be the composition of the k-th alternate product of the Kodaira–Spencer map with the map

$$\bigwedge^{k} \mathcal{E}xt_{p}^{1}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E}xt_{p}^{k}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) \to H^{k}(X,\mathcal{O}_{X}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S},$$

the first arrow being the Yoneda product and the second being the trace map.

Lemma 15. We have

$$\phi_k(\mathcal{E}) = k! \, \phi_{\operatorname{ch}_k(\mathcal{E})},$$

where $\phi_{\operatorname{ch}_k(\mathcal{E})}$ is the map appearing in (3).

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}\otimes\Omega^{1}_{X\times S})^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{k}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}\otimes\Omega^{k}_{X\times S}) \longrightarrow H^{k}(X\times S,\Omega^{k}_{X\times S})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^{0}(S,\mathcal{E}xt^{1}_{p}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}\otimes\Omega^{1}_{X\times S}))^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow H^{0}(S,\mathcal{E}xt^{k}_{p}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}\otimes\Omega^{k}_{X\times S})) \longrightarrow H^{0}(S,R^{k}p_{*}\Omega^{k}_{X\times S})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^{0}(S,\Omega^{1}_{S}\otimes\mathcal{E}xt^{1}_{p}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}))^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow H^{0}(S,\Omega^{k}_{S}\otimes\mathcal{E}xt^{k}_{p}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})) \longrightarrow H^{0}(S,\Omega^{k}_{S}\otimes H^{k}(X,\mathcal{O}_{X})),$$

where the horizontal maps on the left are given by the Yoneda product, the horizontal maps on the right side are the trace maps, the upper vertical maps come from the Leray exact sequence associated to p, and the lower vertical maps come from the projection $\Omega^1_{X\times S}\to p^*\Omega^1_S$.

By definition, and using Proposition 14, $A(\mathcal{E})^{\otimes k} \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega^1_{X \times S})^{\otimes k}$ maps to

$$\phi_k(\mathcal{E}) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^k \mathcal{T}_S, H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S\right) = H^0(S, \Omega_S^k \otimes H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X)),$$

following the left side, then the lower side of the diagram. On the other hand, Proposition 13 shows that it also maps to $k! \phi_{\operatorname{ch}_k(\mathcal{E})}$, following the upper side, then the right side of the diagram. This concludes the proof.

As an immediate consequence, we get the following criterion.

Theorem 16. Let X be a smooth projective variety, and assume the same hypotheses as in Theorem 12. Then the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree k for X if there

exists a smooth quasi-projective scheme S, a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over $X \times S$, and a point $s \in S$ such that the morphism

$$\phi_k(\mathcal{E})_s \colon \bigwedge^k \mathcal{T}_{S,s} \to H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$
 (5)

induced by $\phi_k(\mathcal{E})$ is surjective.

Remark 17. Since Chern classes of vector bundles generate the Chow groups of smooth varieties, we can get a converse to the preceding statement by stating the theorem for complexes of vector bundles – or of coherent sheaves. The statement would be entirely similar. As we will not use it in that form, we keep the preceding formulation.

Example. Let A be a polarized complex abelian variety of dimension g. The tangent bundle of A is canonically isomorphic to $H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$. The trivial line bundle \mathcal{O}_A on A admits a family of deformations parametrized by A itself such that the Kodaira–Spencer map $T_{A,O} \to H^1(A,\mathcal{O}_A)$ is the identity under the above identification. Now the induced deformation of $\mathcal{O}_A \oplus \mathcal{O}_A$ parametrized by $A \times A$ satisfies the criterion of Theorem 16, since the map $\bigwedge^2 H^1(A,\mathcal{O}_A) \to H^2(A,\mathcal{O}_A)$ given by cup-product is surjective and identifies with the map (5). Of course, the Lefschetz conjecture for abelian varieties is well known, see [15], Theorem 3.

4. The case of hyperkähler varieties

In this section, we describe how Verbitsky's theory of hyperholomorphic bundles on hyperkähler varieties as developed in [23] and [24] makes those a promising source of examples for Theorem 16. Unfortunately, we were not able to provide examples, as it appears some computations of dimensions of moduli spaces in [24] were incorrect, but we will show how the existence of nontrivial examples of moduli spaces of hyperholomorphic bundles on hyperkähler varieties as conjectured in [24] implies the Lefschetz standard conjecture in degree 2.

4.1. Hyperholomorphic bundles on hyperkähler varieties. We refer to [4] for general definitions and results. An irreducible hyperkähler variety is a simply connected Kähler manifold which admits a closed everywhere non-degenerate two-form which is unique up to a factor. As such, an irreducible hyperkähler variety X has $H^{2,0}(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}$, and Theorem 16 takes the following simpler form in degree 2.

Theorem 18. Let X be an irreducible projective hyperkähler variety. The Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree 2 for X if there exists a smooth quasi-projective variety

S, a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over $X \times S$, and a point $s \in S$ such that the morphism

$$\phi_2(\mathcal{E})_s: \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{S,s} \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$
 (6)

induced by the Kodaira-Spencer map and the trace map, is nonzero.

In the paper [4], Beauville constructs two families of projective irreducible hyperkähler varieties in dimension 2n for every integer n. Those are the n-th punctual Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ of a projective K3 surface S and the generalized Kummer variety K_n which is the fiber at the origin of the Albanese map from $A^{[n+1]}$ to A, where A is an abelian surface and $A^{[n+1]}$ is the n+1-st punctual Hilbert scheme of A.

The Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov theorem, see [6], [20], [21], states that the local moduli space of deformations of an irreducible hyperkähler variety is unobstructed. Small deformations of a hyperkähler variety remain hyperkähler, and in the local moduli space of $S^{[n]}$ and K_n , the projective hyperkähler varieties form a dense countable union of hypersurfaces. The varieties $S^{[n]}$ and K_n have Picard number at least 2, whereas a very general projective irreducible hyperkähler variety has Picard number 1, hence is not of this form. Except in dimension 6 and 10, where O'Grady constructs in [18] and [19] new examples, all the known hyperkähler varieties are deformations of $S^{[n]}$ or K_n .

The Lefschetz standard conjecture is easy to prove in degree 2 for $S^{[n]}$ (resp. K_n), using the tautological correspondence with the K3 surface (resp. the abelian surface), see [2], Corollary 7.5. In terms of Theorem 16, one can show that the tautological sheaf on $S^{[n]}$ (resp. K_n) associated to the tangent sheaf of S has enough deformations to prove the Lefschetz conjecture in degree 2. Since the tautological correspondence between S and $S^{[n]}$ gives an isomorphism between $H^{2,0}(S)$ and $H^{2,0}(S^{[n]})$, checking that the criterion is satisfied amounts to the following.

Proposition 19. Let S be a projective K3 surface. Then there exists a smooth quasi-projective variety M with a distinguished point O parametrizing deformations of \mathcal{T}_S and a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over $M \times M$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{\{O \times S\}} \simeq \mathcal{T}_S$, such that the map

$$\phi_2(\mathcal{E})_O: \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{M,O} \to H^2(S,\mathcal{O}_S)$$

induced by the Kodaira-Spencer map and the trace map, is nonzero.

Proof. This is proved by Mukai in [17]. A Riemann–Roch computation proves that the moduli space of deformations of the tangent bundle of a K3 surface is smooth of dimension 90.

This last proof is of course very specific to Hilbert schemes and does not apply as such to other hyperkähler varieties. We feel nonetheless that it exhibits general facts about hyperkähler varieties which seem to give strong evidence to the Lefschetz conjecture in degree 2.

4.2. Consequences of the existence of a hyperkähler structure on the moduli space of stable hyperholomorphic bundles. In his paper [17], Mukai studies the moduli spaces of some stable vector bundles on K3 surfaces and endows them with a symplectic structure by showing that the holomorphic two-form induced by (5) on the moduli space is nondegenerate. Of course, this result is not directly useful when dealing with the Lefschetz standard conjecture in degree 2 as it is trivial for surfaces. Nevertheless, Verbitsky shows in [23] that it is possible to extend Mukai's result to the case of higher-dimensional hyperkähler varieties.

Before describing Verbitsky's results, let us recall some general facts from linear algebra around quaternionic actions and symplectic forms. This is all well known, and described for instance in [4], Example 3, and [23], Section 6. Let \mathbb{H} denote the quaternions, and let V be a real vector space endowed with an action of \mathbb{H} and a euclidean metric (,).

Let $I \in \mathbb{H}$ be a quaternion such that $I^2 = -1$. The action of I on V gives a complex structure on V. We say that V is quaternionic hermitian if the metric on V is hermitian for all such complex structures I. Fix such an I, and choose J and K in \mathbb{H} satisfying the quaternionic relations $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -\text{Id}$, IJ = -JI = K. We can define on V a real symplectic form η such that $\eta(x, y) = (x, Jy) + i(x, Ky)$. This symplectic form does not depend on the choice of J and K. Furthermore, η is \mathbb{C} -bilinear for the complex structure induced by I. Now given such I and η on V, it is straightforward to reconstruct a quaternionic action on V by taking the real and complex parts of η .

Taking V to be the tangent space to a complex variety, we can globalize the previous computations to get the following. Let X be an irreducible hyperkähler variety with given Kähler class ω . Then the manifold X is endowed with a canonical hypercomplex structure, that is, three complex structures I, J, K which satisfy the quaternionic relations $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$, IJ = -JI = K. It is indeed possible to check that J and K obtained as before are actually integrable. Conversely, the holomorphic symplectic form on X can be recovered from I, J, K and a Kähler form on X with class ω .

If \mathcal{E} is a complex hermitian vector bundle on X with a hermitian connection θ , we say that \mathcal{E} is hyperholomorphic if θ is compatible with the three complex structures I, J and K. In case \mathcal{E} is stable, this is equivalent to the first two Chern classes of \mathcal{E} being Hodge classes for the Hodge structures induced by I, J and K, see [23], Theorem 2.5. This implies that any stable deformation of a stable hyperholomorphic bundle is hyperholomorphic. It is a consequence of Yau's theorem, see [28] that the tangent bundle of X is a stable hyperholomorphic bundle.

Let \mathcal{E} be a stable hyperholomorphic vector bundle on X, and let $S = \text{Spl}(\mathcal{E}, X)$ be the reduction of the coarse moduli space of stable deformations of \mathcal{E} on X. For s a complex point of S, let \mathcal{E}_s be the hyperholomorphic bundle corresponding to a complex point s in S. The Zariski tangent space to S at s maps to $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_s,\mathcal{E}_s)$ using the map from S to the coarse moduli space of stable deformations of \mathcal{E} . We can now define a global section η_S of $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{T}_S \otimes \mathcal{T}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$, where \mathcal{T}_S is the tangent sheaf to S, by the composition

$$\mathcal{T}_{S,s} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{S,s} \to \bigwedge^2 \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_s, \mathcal{E}_s) \to \operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{E}_s, \mathcal{E}_s) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}$$

as in the preceding section. The following is due to Verbitsky, see part (iv) of the proof in Section 9 of [23] for the second statement.

Theorem 20 ([23], Theorem 6.3). Let $Spl(\mathcal{E}, X)$ be the reduction of the coarse moduli space of stable deformations of \mathcal{E} on X. Then $S = Spl(\mathcal{E}, X)$ is endowed with a canonical hyperkähler structure. The holomorphic section of $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{T}_S \otimes \mathcal{T}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ induced by this hyperkähler structure is η_S .

In this theorem, S does not have to be smooth. We use Verbitsky's definition of a singular hyperkähler variety as in [23], Definition 6.4.

We can now prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let X be a smooth projective irreducible hyperkähler variety, and let \mathcal{E} be a stable hyperholomorphic bundle on X. Assume that \mathcal{E} has a nontrivial positive-dimensional family of deformations, and let s be a smooth point of $S = \mathrm{Spl}(\mathcal{E}, X)$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{S,s}$ is positive dimensional. We can choose a smooth quasi-projective variety S' with a complex point s' and a family $\mathcal{E}_{S'}$ of stable hyperholomorphic deformations of \mathcal{E} on X parametrized by S' such that the moduli map $S' \to S$ maps s' to s and is étale at s'. Since η_S induces a symplectic form on $\mathcal{T}_{S,s}$, the map

$$\phi_2(\mathcal{E}_{S'})_s' \colon \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{S',s'} \to H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}$$

is surjective as it identifies with $\eta_{S,s}$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{S',s'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{S,s}$. The result now follows from Theorem 16.

In order to prove Theorem 4, we need to recall some well-known results on deformations of hyperkähler varieties. Everything is contained in [4], Section 8 and [23], Section 1. See also [10], Section 1 for a similar discussion. Let X be an irreducible hyperkähler variety with given Kähler class ω . Let η be a holomorphic everywhere non-degenerate 2-form on X. Let q be the Beauville–Bogomolov quadratic form on $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, and consider the complex projective plane P in $\mathbb{P}(H^2(X,\mathbb{C}))$ generated by η , $\bar{\eta}$ and ω . There exists a quadric Q of deformations of X given the elements $\alpha \in P$ such that $q(\alpha) = 0$ and $q(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) > 0$.

Recalling that the tangent bundle of X comes with an action of the groups of quaternions of norm 1 given by the three complex structures I, J, K, which satisfy the quaternionic relations $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -\text{Id}$, IJ = -JI = K, this quadric

Q of deformations of X corresponds to the complex structures on X of the form aI + bJ + cK with a, b, c being three real numbers such that $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$ - those complex structures are always integrable. The quadric O is called a twistor line.

In this setting, let d be the cohomology class of a divisor in $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$, and let α be in Q. This corresponds to a deformation X_{α} of X. The cohomology class dcorresponds to a rational cohomology class in $H^2(X_\alpha,\mathbb{C})$, and it is the cohomology class of a divisor if and only if it is of type (1,1), that is, if and only if $q(\alpha,d)=0$, where by q we also denote the bilinear form induced by q. Indeed, d is a real cohomology class, so if $q(\alpha, d) = 0$, then $q(\bar{\alpha}, d) = 0$ and d is of type (1, 1). It follows from this computation that d remains the class of a divisor for all the deformations of X parametrized by Q if and only if $q(\eta, d) = q(\omega, d) = 0$.

We will work with the varieties $S^{[n]}$, the case of generalized Kummer varieties being completely similar. Let us start with a K3 surface S, projective or not, and let us consider the irreducible hyperkähler variety $X = S^{[n]}$ given by the Douady space of n points in S – this is Kähler by [22]. In the moduli space M of deformations of X, the varieties of the type $S^{\prime [n]}$ form a countable union of smooth hypersurfaces H_i . On the other hand, the hyperkähler variety admits deformations parametrized by a twistor line, and those cannot be included in any of the H_i . Indeed, if that were the case, the class e of the exceptional divisor of $X = S^{[n]}$ would remain algebraic in all the deformations parametrized by the twistor line. But this is impossible, as e is a class of an effective divisor, which implies that $q(\omega, e) > 0$, ω being a Kähler class, see [10], 1.11 and 1.17.

This computation actually shows that the twistor lines are transverse to the hypersurfaces H_i . Now the preceding definition of the twistor line parametrizing deformations of an irreducible hyperkähler X shows that it moves continuously with deformations of X. Counting dimensions, this implies that the union of the twistor lines parametrizing deformations of Douady spaces of n points on K3 surfaces cover a neighborhood of the H_i in M. We thus get the following.

Lemma 21. Let n be a positive integer, and let X be a small projective deformation of the Douady space of n points on a K3 surface. Then there exists a K3 surface S and a twistor line Q parametrizing deformations of $S^{[n]}$ such that X is a deformation of $S^{[n]}$ along Q.

The next result of Verbitsky is the main remaining ingredient we need to prove Theorem 4. Recall first that if \mathcal{E} is a hyperholomorphic vector bundle on an irreducible hyperkähler variety X, then by definition the bundle \mathcal{E} deforms as X deforms along the twistor line.

Theorem 22 ([23], Corollary 10.1). Let X be an irreducible hyperkähler variety, and let \mathcal{E} be a stable hyperholomorphic vector bundle on X, and let $Spl(\mathcal{E}, X)$ be the reduction of the coarse moduli space of stable deformations of \mathcal{E} on X.

Then the canonical hyperkähler structure on $\mathrm{Spl}(\mathcal{E},X)$ is such that if Q is the twistor line parametrizing deformations of X, Q is a twistor line parametrizing deformations of $\mathrm{Spl}(\mathcal{E},X)$ such that if $\alpha \in Q$, then $\mathrm{Spl}(\mathcal{E},X)_{\alpha} = \mathrm{Spl}(\mathcal{E}_{\alpha},X_{\alpha})$.

This implies that the deformations of a hyperholomorphic bundle on X actually deform as the complex structure of X moves along a twistor line. We can now prove our last result.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let X be an irreducible projective hyperkähler variety that is a deformation of the Douady space of n points on some K3 surface. By a standard Hilbert scheme argument, in order to prove the Lefschetz conjecture for X, it is enough to prove it for an open set of the moduli space of projective deformations of X. By Lemma 21, we can thus assume that X is a deformation of some $S^{[n]}$ along a twistor line Q, where S is a K3 surface. Let \mathcal{E} on $S^{[n]}$ be a sheaf as in the statement of the theorem. By Theorems 22 and 3, we get a bundle \mathcal{E}' which still satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 16. This concludes the proof.

One can use this theorem with the tangent bundle of $S^{[n]}$, which is stable by Yau's theorem and hyperholomorphic since its first two Chern classes are Hodge classes for all the complex structures induced by the hyperkähler structure of $S^{[n]}$. Unfortunately, while Verbitsky announces in [24], after the proof of Corollary 10.24, that those have some unobstructed deformations for n = 2 and n = 3, it seems that if n = 2, the tangent bundle might be actually rigid. However, we get the following result by applying the last theorem to the tangent bundle.

Corollary 23. Let n be a positive integer. Assume that for every K3 surface S, the tangent bundle $T_{S[n]}$ of $S^{[n]}$ has a nontrivial positive-dimensional family of deformations. Then the Lefschetz conjecture is true in degree 2 for any projective deformation of the Douady space of n points on a K3 surface.

Remark 24. The conditions of the corollary might be actually not so difficult to check. Indeed, Verbitsky's Theorem 6.2 of [23] which computes the obstruction to extending first-order deformations implies easily that the obstruction to deform $\mathcal{T}_{S^{[n]}}$ actually lies in $H^2(S^{[n]}, \Omega^2_{S^{[n]}})$, where we see this group as a subgroup of

$$\operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{T}_{S^{[n]}},\mathcal{T}_{S^{[n]}}) = H^2(S^{[n]},\Omega_{S^{[n]}}^{\otimes 2})$$

under the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{S^{[n]}} \simeq \Omega^1_{S^{[n]}}$.

Now the dimension of $H^2(S^{[n]}, \Omega^2_{S^{[n]}})$ does not depend on n for large n, see for instance [7], Theorem 2. As a consequence, the hypothesis of the Corollary would be satisfied for large n as soon as the dimension of $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{T}_{S^{[n]}}, \mathcal{T}_{S^{[n]}})$ goes to infinity with n.

Remark 25. Of course, our results might be apply to different sheaves. In the recent preprint [16], Markman announces the construction of – possibly twisted – sheaves that, combined with our results, proves the Lefschetz standard conjecture in degree 2 for deformations of Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces.

Remark 26. The use of nonprojective Kähler varieties in these results dealing with the standard conjectures can be a little surprising. Indeed, results like those of Voisin in [26] show that there can be very few algebraic cycles, whether coming from subvarieties or even from Chern classes of coherent sheaves, on general nonprojective Kähler varieties.

References

- [1] Y. André, Pour une théorie inconditionnelle des motifs". Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 83 (1996), 5-49. Zbl 0874.14010 MR 1423019
- [2] D. Arapura, Motivation for Hodge cycles. Adv. Math. 207 (2006), no. 2, 762–781. Zbl 1109.14012 MR 2271985
- [3] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1957), 181–207. Zbl 0078.16002 MR 0086359
- [4] A. Beauville, Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. J. Differential Geom. 18 (1983), no. 4, 755-782. Zbl 0537.53056 MR 0730926
- [5] S. Bloch, Semi-regularity and de Rham cohomology. *Invent. Math.* 17 (1972), 51–66. Zbl 0254.14011 MR 0325613
- [6] F. A. Bogomolov, Hamiltonian Kählerian manifolds. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 243 (1978), no. 5, 1101-1104; English transl. Soviet Math. Dokl. 19 (1978), no. 6, 1462-1465. Zbl 0418.53026 MR 0514769
- [7] L. Göttsche and W. Soergel, Perverse sheaves and the cohomology of Hilbert schemes of smooth algebraic surfaces. Math. Ann. 296 (1993), no. 2, 235-245. Zbl 0789.14002 MR 1219901
- [8] P. A. Griffiths, Infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure. III. Determinantal varieties and the infinitesimal invariant of normal functions. Compositio Math. 50 (1983), no. 2–3, 267-324. Zbl 0576.14009 MR 0720290
- [9] A. Grothendieck, Standard conjectures on algebraic cycles. In Algebraic geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968), Oxford University Press, London 1969, 193–199. Zbl 0201.23301 MR 0268189
- [10] D. Huybrechts, Compact hyper-Kähler manifolds: basic results. *Invent. Math.* 135 (1999), no. 1, 63-113. Zbl 0953.53031 MR 1664696
- [11] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves. Aspects Math. E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig 1997. Zbl 0872.14002 MR 1450870
- [12] L. Illusie, Complexe cotangent et déformations. I. Lecture Notes in Math. 239, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1971. Zbl 0224.13014 MR 0491680

- [13] S. L. Kleiman, Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas. North-Holland, Amsterdam 1968, 359–386. Zbl 0198.25902 MR 0292838
- [14] S. L. Kleiman, The standard conjectures. In *Motives* (Seattle, WA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, 3–20. Zbl 0820.14006 MR 1265519
- [15] D. I. Lieberman, Numerical and homological equivalence of algebraic cycles on Hodge manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.* **90** (1968), 366–374. Zbl 0159.50501 MR 0230336
- [16] E. Markman, The Beauville-Bogomolov class as a characteristic class. Preprint 2010, arXiv:1105.3223.
- [17] S. Mukai, Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or *K*3 surface. *Invent. Math.* 77 (1984), no. 1, 101–116. Zbl 0565.14002 MR 0751133
- [18] K. G. O'Grady, Desingularized moduli spaces of sheaves on a *K*3. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **512** (1999), 49–117. Zbl 0928.14029 MR 1703077
- [19] K. G. O'Grady, A new six-dimensional irreducible symplectic variety. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **12** (2003), no. 3, 435–505. Zbl 1068.53058 MR 1966024
- [20] G. Tian, Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric. In *Mathematical aspects of string theory* (San Diego, Calif., 1986), Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. 1, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore 1987, 629–646. Zbl 0696.53040 MR 0915841
- [21] A. N. Todorov, The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of $SU(n \ge 3)$ (Calabi-Yau) manifolds. I. Comm. Math. Phys. 126 (1989), no. 2, 325–346. Zbl 0688.53030 MR 1027500
- [22] J. Varouchas, Kähler spaces and proper open morphisms. *Math. Ann.* **283** (1989), no. 1, 13–52. Zbl 0632.53059 MR 0973802
- [23] M. Verbitsky, Hyperholomorphic bundles over a hyper-Kähler manifold. J. Algebraic Geom. 5 (1996), no. 4, 633–669. Zbl 0865.32006 MR 1486984
- [24] M. Verbitsky and D. Kaledin, Hyperkähler manifolds. Math. Phys. (Somerville) 12, International Press, Somerville, MA, 1999. Zbl 0990.53048 MR 1815021
- [25] C. Voisin, Une remarque sur l'invariant infinitésimal des fonctions normales. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **307** (1988), no. 4, 157–160. Zbl 0673.14005 MR 0956607
- [26] C. Voisin, A counterexample to the Hodge conjecture extended to Kähler varieties. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2002** (2002), no. 20, 1057–1075. Zbl 1048.14004 MR 1902630
- [27] C. Voisin, Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe. Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses] 10, Soc. Math. France, Paris 2002. Zbl 1032.14001 MR 1988456
- [28] S. T. Yau, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978), no. 3, 339–411. Zbl 0369.53059 MR 0480350

Received April 13, 2010

François Charles, DMA, École Normale Supérieure, 45, rue d'Ulm, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, France

E-mail: francois.charles@ens.fr