Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 81 (2006)

Artikel: Cohomogeneity one hypersurfaces of Euclidean Spaces

Autor: Mercuri, Francesco / Podestá, Fabio / Seixas, José A.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-1167

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 20.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Cohomogeneity one hypersurfaces of Euclidean Spaces

Francesco Mercuri, Fabio Podestà, José A. P. Seixas and Ruy Tojeiro

Abstract. We study isometric immersions $f \colon M^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ into Euclidean space of dimension n+1 of a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n on which a compact connected group of intrinsic isometries acts with principal orbits of codimension one. We give a complete classification if either $n \ge 3$ and M^n is compact or if $n \ge 5$ and the connected components of the flat part of M^n are bounded. We also provide several sufficient conditions for f to be a hypersurface of revolution.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 53A07, 53C42.

Keywords. Cohomogeneity one manifolds, hypersurfaces.

1. Introduction

An interesting problem in submanifold theory is to study isometric immersions $f:M^n\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ into Euclidean space of a connected complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n acted on by a closed connected subgroup of its isometry group $\mathrm{Iso}(M^n)$. This study was initiated by Kobayashi [8], who proved that if N=n+1 and M^n is compact and homogeneous, i.e., $\mathrm{Iso}(M^n)$ acts transitively on M^n , then $f(M^n)$ must be a round sphere.

In this paper we consider isometric immersions $f: M^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of a complete Riemannian manifold M^n on which a *compact, connected* subgroup G of $\operatorname{Iso}(M^n)$ acts with maximal dimensional orbits of codimension one. We call f a *hypersurface of G-cohomogeneity one*. Observe that the group G may not be realizable as a group of extrinsic isometries of the ambient space. For instance, consider the cohomogeneity one action of $\operatorname{SO}(n)$ on \mathbb{R}^n and isometrically immerse \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{R}^{n+1} as a cylinder over a plane curve. However, such examples can only arise if f is not rigid. Recall that f is rigid if any other isometric immersion $\tilde{f}: M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ differs from f by an isometry of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Examples of cohomogeneity one hypersurfaces may be obtained as follows. Start with a cohomogeneity two compact subgroup $G \subset SO(n+1)$, so that the orbit space \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/G is a two dimensional manifold, possibly with boundary. Now consider

a curve that is either contained in the interior of \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/G or meets its boundary orthogonally. Then the inverse image of such a curve by the canonical projection onto the orbit space is a cohomogeneity one hypersurface. We shall call these examples the *standard examples*. Among them, the simplest ones are the *hypersurfaces of revolution*, which are invariant by the action of $SO_l(n+1)$, the subgroup of SO(n+1) that fixes a straight line l.

Our main result states that, under natural global assumptions, the standard examples comprise all cohomogeneity one hypersurfaces.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f: M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete hypersurface of G-cohomogeneity one. Assume either that $n \geq 3$ and M^n is compact or that $n \geq 5$ and the connected components of the flat part of M^n are bounded. Then f is either rigid or a hypersurface of revolution. In particular, f is a standard example.

We also provide several sufficient conditions for a hypersurface of G-cohomogeneity one as in Theorem 1.1 to be a hypersurface of revolution.

Theorem 1.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, any of the following conditions implies that f is a hypersurface of revolution:

- (i) there exists a principal orbit with positive curvature;
- (ii) there exists a principal orbit that is totally geodesic in M^n ;
- (iii) the principal orbits are umbilical in M^n ;
- (iv) $n \neq 4$ and there exists a principal orbit that is homeomorphic to a sphere. Moreover, in this case G is isomorphic to one of the closed subgroups of SO(n) that act transitively on S^{n-1} .

Theorem 1.2 generalizes and gives new (and shorter) proofs of various known results. Namely, it was proved under condition (iii) in [12] in the compact case for $n \ge 4$ and later in [9] in the general case (even for n = 3, 4). It was also proved in [4] (resp., [2]) in the compact case for $n \ge 5$ (resp., $n \ge 4$) under the assumption that *all* orbits have positive (resp., constant) sectional curvature. We also point out that closed subgroups of SO(n) that act transitively on the sphere are completely classified (cf. [7], p. 392).

2. The proofs

Given an isometric immersion $f: M^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, let A_{ξ_p} denote the shape operator of f at $p \in M^n$ with respect to a normal vector $\xi_p \in T_p^{\perp} M^n$, that is, the symmetric endomorphism of $T_p M^n$ given by $A_{\xi_p} X = -\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi$ for any $X \in T_p M^n$, where ξ is

a smooth local normal vector field extending ξ_p and $\tilde{\nabla}$ stands for the derivative of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Recall that the *relative nullity subspace* of f at $p \in M^n$ is the kernel of A_{ξ_p} . It is well-known that on any open subset of M^n where the relative nullity subspaces of f have constant positive dimension, they define a smooth distribution whose leaves (called the *leaves of relative nullity*) are mapped by f onto open subsets of affine subspaces of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Our approach to the study of hypersurfaces of cohomogeneity one is based on the following variant due to Ferus of a rigidity theorem of Sacksteder [14].

Theorem 2.1. Let f, \tilde{f} : $M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be isometric immersions of a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. If there exists no complete leaf of relative nullity of dimension n-1 or n-2 (in particular if M^n is compact), then the shape operators of f and \tilde{f} satisfy $A(p) = \pm \tilde{A}(p)$ for every $p \in M^n$. As a consequence, if the subset of totally geodesic points of f does not disconnect M^n then f is rigid.

The relation between the shape operators of f and \tilde{f} in the statement means, more precisely, that $\tilde{A}_{\psi(\xi_p)}=\pm A_{\xi_p}$ for any $p\in M^n$ and for any $\xi_p\in T_p^\perp M_f^n$, where $\psi\colon T^\perp M_f^n\to T^\perp M_{\tilde{f}}^n$ is one of the two vector bundle isometries between the normal bundles of f and \tilde{f} .

By means of Theorem 2.1 we now derive the following result for hypersurfaces of G-cohomogeneity one, which is the main tool for the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We refer to [1] and the references therein for the basic facts on cohomogeneity one manifolds that are used in the sequel.

Proposition 2.2. Let $f: M^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete hypersurface of G-cohomogeneity one. If either f is rigid or there exists no complete leaf of relative nullity of f of dimension n-1 or n-2 (in particular if M^n is compact), then

- (i) B, the set of totally geodesic points of f, is G-invariant.
- (ii) There exists a Lie group homomorphism $\Psi \colon G \longrightarrow SO(n+1)$ such that $f \circ g = \Psi(g) \circ f$ for every $g \in G$, that is, f is G-equivariant.
- (iii) If Σ is a principal orbit of G, then $f(\Sigma)$ is a principal orbit of the action of $\widetilde{G} = \Psi(G)$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . In particular, $f(\Sigma)$ is an isoparametric hypersurface of a sphere.
- (iv) If $f(\Sigma)$ is a round sphere for some principal orbit Σ of G, then f is a hypersurface of revolution and Ψ is a monomorphism. In particular, G is isomorphic to one of the closed subgroups of SO(n) that act transitively on S^{n-1} .

Proof. Given $g \in G$, let A^g denote the shape operator of $f \circ g$. If f is rigid then $A^g = A$ for every $g \in G$. We claim that this is also the case if there exists no complete

leaf of relative nullity of f of dimension n-1 or n-2. In fact, on one hand we have

$$g_*(p) \circ A^g(p) = A(g(p)) \circ g_*(p) \text{ for each } p \in M.$$
 (1)

This implies that for each fixed $p \in M^n$ the map $\phi_p : G \to \operatorname{End}(T_p M^n)$ given by

$$\phi_{p}(g) = A^{g}(p) = (g_{*}(p))^{-1} \circ A(g(p)) \circ g_{*}(p)$$

is continuous. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that for each $p \in M^n$ either $A^g(p) = A(p)$ or $A^g(p) = -A(p)$. We obtain that ϕ_p is a continuous map taking values in $\{A(p), -A(p)\}$. Since G is connected and $\phi_p(I) = A(p)$, our claim follows

In particular, the set B^g of totally geodesic points of $f \circ g$ coincides with B for every $g \in G$. In view of (1), this is equivalent to saying that B is G-invariant. Moreover, by the Fundamental Theorem of Hypersurfaces, for each $g \in G$ there exists $\tilde{g} \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that $f \circ g = \tilde{g} \circ f$. It now follows from standard arguments (cf. [12]) that $\Psi \colon G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Iso}\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\Psi(g) = \tilde{g}$, is a Lie-group homomorphism whose image lies in (a conjugacy class of) $\operatorname{SO}(n+1)$, because it is compact (and hence has a fixed point) and connected. Assertion (iii) now follows from (ii).

Finally, if $f(\Sigma)$ is a round sphere for some principal orbit Σ of G then, since G is connected, it must fix the line ℓ orthogonal to the linear span of $f(\Sigma)$. Hence f is a hypersurface of revolution with ℓ as axis. Moreover, the restriction of f to Σ must be injective. Since $f \circ g = \Psi(g) \circ f$ for any $g \in G$, if $\Psi(g) = I \in SO(n+1)$ for some $g \in G$ we obtain that g(y) = y for all $y \in \Sigma$. Now, since Σ is a principal orbit, this implies that, for every $y \in \Sigma$, g_* acts trivially on the normal space at y to the inclusion of Σ into M^n . As a consequence, if $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M^n$ is a normal geodesic through $y \in \Sigma$, i.e., a complete geodesic that crosses Σ (and hence any other G-orbit) orthogonally, then g fixes any point of $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$. Since every point of M^n lies in a normal geodesic through a point of Σ , we obtain that $g = I \in G$, and the last assertion in (iv) follows.

Our next result classifies complete hypersurfaces of G-cohomogeneity one with dimension $n \ge 5$ that carry a complete leaf of relative nullity of dimension n - 2.

Proposition 2.3. Let $f: M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 5$, be a complete hypersurface of G-cohomogeneity one. If there exists a complete leaf of relative nullity of dimension n-2 then $M^n = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ and f splits as $f = i \times \mathrm{id}$, where $i: S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is an umbilical inclusion and $\mathrm{id}: \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ is the identity map. In particular, f is rigid.

Proof. Since M^n carries a complete leaf of relative nullity \mathcal{F} , it can not be compact. Thus the orbit space $\Omega = M^n/G$ is homeomorphic to either \mathbb{R} or $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, if $\pi: M^n \to \Omega$ denotes the canonical projection and $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to M^n$ is a normal

geodesic parameterized by arc-length, then $\pi\circ\gamma$ maps $\mathbb R$ homeomorphically onto Ω in the first case, and it is a covering map of $\mathbb R\setminus\{0\}$ onto the subset Ω^0 of internal points of Ω in the latter. Set $I=\gamma^{-1}(G(\mathcal F))$. Since $G(\mathcal F)$ is a closed unbounded connected subset, using that $G(\mathcal F)=G(\gamma(I))$ it follows easily that if $I\neq\mathbb R$ then $I=[a,\infty)$ for some $a\in\mathbb R$ in the first case and $I=(-\infty,-b]\cup[a,\infty)$ for some a,b>0 in the latter. Now observe that the type number of f (i.e., the rank of its shape operator) is everywhere equal to 2 on $G(\mathcal F)$. This is because the relative nullity subspace coincides with the nullity of the curvature tensor at a point where the type number is at least 2, whence the subset where the type number is 2 is invariant under isometries. Let $(t_0-\varepsilon,t_0+\varepsilon)\subset I$ be such that $\Phi\colon (t_0-\varepsilon,t_0+\varepsilon)\times\Sigma_p\to\pi^{-1}((t_0-\varepsilon,t_0+\varepsilon))$ given by $\Phi(t,g(p))=g(\gamma(t)),p=\gamma(t_0)$, is a G-equivariant diffeomorphism. We call $\Gamma=\pi^{-1}((t_0-\varepsilon,t_0+\varepsilon))$ a tube around Σ_p . We have a well-defined vector field ξ on Γ given by $\xi(y)=g_*(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$ for $y=g(\gamma(t)),t\in(t_0-\varepsilon,t_0+\varepsilon)$, and $\xi(y)$ is orthogonal to $\Sigma_{\gamma(t)}$ at y.

Now let η be a local unit normal vector field to f on Γ and A^f_η the shape operator of f with respect to η . Given a principal orbit $\Sigma_q = G(q) \subset \Gamma$ of G, the vector fields $\overline{\xi} = f_*(\xi|_{\Sigma_q})$ and $\overline{\eta} = \eta|_{\Sigma_q}$ determine an orthonormal normal frame of the restriction $f|_{\Sigma_q} \colon \Sigma_q \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of f to Σ_q . Denote by $A_{\overline{\eta}}$ and $A_{\overline{\xi}}$ the corresponding shape operators. Notice that $A_{\overline{\xi}} = A^i_{\overline{\xi}}$, where $i \colon \Sigma_q \to M^n$ is the inclusion of Σ_q into M^n . Thus $A_{\overline{\xi}} \circ g_* = g_* \circ A_{\overline{\xi}}$ for any $g \in G$, hence the eigenvalues of $A_{\overline{\xi}}$ are constant. On the other hand, $A_{\overline{\eta}} = \Pi \circ A_{\eta}$, where Π is the orthogonal projection of TM^n onto $T\Sigma_q$. In particular, rank $A_{\overline{\eta}} \le \operatorname{rank} A_{\eta}$, so we have $\operatorname{rank} A_{\overline{\eta}} \le 2$ on Σ_q . We have two cases to consider:

- (i) rank $A_{\overline{\eta}} \leq 1$ on each principal orbit contained in Γ ;
- (ii) rank $A_{\overline{\eta}} = 2$ on some principal orbit contained in Γ .

First we show that (i) can not occur. Assume otherwise. Then, it follows from Theorem 2 of [4] that the principal orbits in Γ are either isometric to Euclidean spheres or isometrically covered by Riemannian products $\mathbb{R} \times S^{n-2}(a)$ (in what follows we suppose a=1). In the former case, for each principal orbit $\Sigma_q \subset \Gamma$ it follows from the Gauss equation of the restriction $f|_{\Sigma_q} \colon \Sigma_q \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ that $A_{\overline{\xi}}$ must be a multiple of the identity tensor, that is, the principal orbits in Γ are umbilical in M^n . This is in contradiction with Lemma 2.8 of [9], taking into account that $n \geq 5$ and that f has type number 2 on Γ .

Suppose now that the principal orbits are covered by $\mathbb{R} \times S^{n-2}$. In this case, for any fixed principal orbit $\Sigma_q \subset \Gamma$ there must exist an open subset $U_0 \subset \Sigma_q$ where rank $A_{\overline{\eta}} = 1$. In fact, otherwise $A_{\overline{\eta}}$ vanishes identically, hence the first normal spaces of $f|_{\Sigma_q}$ (i.e., the subspaces of the normal spaces spanned by the image of the second fundamental form) have dimension one everywhere (notice that $A_{\overline{\xi}}$ can not vanish anywhere, otherwise it would be identically zero and $f|_{\Sigma_q}$ would be totally geodesic,

which is impossible). Then either $f(\Sigma_q)$ is contained in an affine hyperplane \mathcal{H} of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} or the first normal spaces of $f|_{\Sigma_a}$ are nonparallel along an open subset of Σ_q . Both possibilities lead to contradictions: the latter forces Σ_q to be flat (cf. [6], Theorem 1); in the former, since the shape operator of the isometric immersion $f: \Sigma_q \to \mathcal{H}$ is $A_{\overline{\epsilon}}$, which has constant eigenvalues, it follows that $f(\Sigma_q)$ is a round sphere, which is again impossible. We obtain that there exists an open subset $U\subset \Gamma$ where rank $A_{\overline{n}} = 1$ and $U \cap \Sigma_q = U_0$. Since the images of $A_{\overline{n}}$ and A_{η} are related by $\operatorname{Im}(A_{\overline{n}}) = \Pi(\operatorname{Im}(A_{\eta}))$, and on U the dimensions of $\operatorname{Im}(A_{\overline{n}})$ and $\operatorname{Im}(A_{\eta})$ are 1 and 2, respectively, we must have $\xi \in \text{Im}(A_{\eta})$ everywhere on U. Therefore, at any point $x \in U$ we have that $\ker A_{\eta}(x) \subset T_x \Sigma_x$, and hence $\ker A_{\eta}(x) = \ker A_{\overline{\eta}}(x)$. It follows that the leaves of the distribution on U_0 given by ker $A_{\overline{n}}$ are totally geodesic in Σ_q and \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . In particular, they are flat hypersurfaces of Σ_q . This is in contradiction with the fact that Σ_q is locally isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times S^{n-2}$. In fact, for any $x \in U_0$ let W be an (n-2)-dimensional subspace of $T_x(\Sigma_q)$ where the sectional curvatures of Σ_q are equal to 1 and let F_x be the totally geodesic flat hypersurface through x. Then $S = W \cap T_x(F_x)$ has dimension at least 2, since $n \ge 5$. At each bidimensional subspace of S, the sectional curvature of Σ_q is 1, because $S \subset W$ and, on the other hand, such a curvature must be zero, for $S \subset T_x(F_x)$. Therefore (i) is not possible, and we are left with (ii).

If rank $A_{\overline{\eta}}=2$ along a principal orbit $\Sigma_q\subset\Gamma$, then rank $A_{\overline{\eta}}=2$ on a possibly smaller tube around Σ_q contained in Γ , which we still denote by Γ . By Theorem 3 in [4], each principal orbit Σ_x contained in Γ is isometric to a Riemannian product $S^2(a) \times S^{n-3}(b)$ of spheres and $f|_{\Sigma_x} \colon \Sigma_x \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ splits as a product $f|_{\Sigma_x} = i_1 \times i_2 \colon S^2(a) \times S^{n-3}(b) \to \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, where $i_1 \colon S^2(a) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ and $i_2: S^{n-3}(b) \to \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ are umbilical inclusions. Moreover, $\{\overline{\eta}, \overline{\xi}\}$ is precisely the orthonormal normal frame of $f|_{\Sigma_x}$ determined by the unit normal vector fields to the inclusions i_1 and i_2 , respectively. In particular, $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{\eta}$ are parallel with respect to the normal connection of $f|_{\Sigma_x}$. Hence, $A_{\overline{\eta}}$ coincides with the restriction of A_{η} to $T\Sigma_x$, which in turn implies that ξ is an eigenvector of A_η along Γ . Now, since rank $A_{\eta} = \operatorname{rank} A_{\overline{\eta}} = 2$ on Γ , it follows that $\xi \in \ker A_{\eta}$. Therefore, the segments of normal geodesics in Γ are contained in the leaves of ker A_{η} . Since these are assumed to be complete, we obtain that f has type number 2 on the whole M^n and that A_{η} is everywhere of the form $A_{\eta} = \operatorname{diag}(\varphi, \varphi, 0, \dots, 0)$, where φ is nonzero and constant along each principal orbit and the φ -eigenspaces of A_η (or $A_{\overline{\eta}}$) coincide with ker $A_{\overline{\xi}} = \ker A_{\xi}^i$. Now, let X be a vector field such that $A_{\eta}(X) = \varphi X$. By the Codazzi equation

$$\nabla_{X}(A_{\eta}(\xi)) - A_{\eta}(\nabla_{X}\xi) = \nabla_{\xi}(A_{\eta}(X)) - A_{\eta}(\nabla_{\xi}X)$$

we get

$$-A_n(\nabla_X \xi) = \xi(\varphi)X + \varphi \nabla_\xi X - A_n(\nabla_\xi X) = \xi(\varphi)X,$$

where the last equality follows from $\nabla_{\xi} X \in (\ker A_{\eta})^{\perp} = \ker(A_{\eta} - \varphi I)$, using that $\ker A_{\eta}$ is totally geodesic. Since $\nabla_{X} \xi = -A_{\xi}^{i}(X) = -A_{\overline{\xi}}(X) = 0$, it follows that $\xi(\varphi) = 0$. Therefore φ is a constant, which we may suppose to be 1. Standard arguments now show that M^{n} splits as $M^{n} = S^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ (cf. [13]). By the main lemma in [10], f also splits as stated.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that f is not rigid. If M^n is compact and $n \geq 3$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that B, the set of totally geodesic points of f, disconnects M^n . In order to get the same conclusion in the non-compact case, we must show that there does not exist a complete leaf of relative nullity of f of dimension $\ell = n - 1$ or $\ell = n - 2$. For $\ell = n - 1$ this follows from our assumption on the flat part of M^n . Proposition 2.3 takes care of the case $\ell = n - 2$.

Since B disconnects M^n , it must contain a regular point p. Then the (principal) orbit Σ through p is contained in B, because B is G-invariant by Proposition 2.2 (i). It follows from Lemma 3.14 of [5] that $f(\Sigma)$ is contained in a hyperplane $\mathcal H$ which is tangent to f along Σ , for Σ is connected. But $f(\Sigma)$ is an isoparametric hypersurface of a sphere by Proposition 2.2 (iii), and hence $f(\Sigma)$ must be a round hypersphere of $\mathcal H$. Proposition 2.2 (iv) now completes the proof.

Remark 2.4. In case M^n is complete non-compact of dimension $n \geq 5$, the arguments in the beginning of the proof of Proposition 2.3 show, more precisely, that the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 fails only when every point of $G(\gamma(I))$ is flat, where $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to M^n$ is a normal geodesic parameterized by arc-length and I is either $[a, \infty)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ or $(-\infty, -b] \cup [a, \infty)$ for some a, b > 0, according to the orbit space being homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} or $[0, \infty)$, respectively. Notice that in the latter case M^n is flat outside a compact subset. We also point out that, since G is assumed to be compact, our assumption on the flat part of M^n is equivalent to M^n being *unflat at infinity* in the sense of [9].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We already know from Theorem 1.1 that f is either rigid or a hypersurface of revolution. Thus, by Proposition 2.2 (iv) it suffices to prove that any of the conditions in the statement implies that $f(\Sigma)$ is a round sphere for some principal orbit Σ of G.

Assume first that Σ is a positively curved principal orbit. By Proposition 2.2 (iii), f immerses Σ as a positively curved isoparametric hypersurface of some hypersphere of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . It follows easily from the Cartan identities for isoparametric hypersurfaces of the sphere (cf. [4], Corollary 2) that $f(\Sigma)$ is a round sphere.

As for condition (ii), if Σ is a totally geodesic principal orbit, then it is immersed by f as an isoparametric hypersurface of a sphere S^n whose first normal spaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} are one-dimensional. This can only happen if it is umbilical in S^n , and hence again a round hypersphere of S^n .

Now assume that (iii) holds. First notice that the position vector of f can not be tangent to f along $f(\Sigma)$ for every principal orbit Σ of G, otherwise f would be a cone over an isoparametric hypersurface of the sphere, in contradiction with the completeness of M^n . Now, if Σ is a principal orbit along which the position vector is nowhere tangent to f, then the normal bundle of the restriction $f|_{\Sigma} : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is spanned by the position vector and by $f_*\xi$, where ξ is a unit normal vector field to the inclusion of Σ into M^n . Since the shape operators of $f|_{\Sigma}$ with respect to both vector fields are multiples of the identity tensor, it follows that $f|_{\Sigma}$ is umbilical, and we obtain again that $f(\Sigma)$ is a round sphere.

Finally, under condition (iv) the conclusion is a consequence of the following result. $\hfill\Box$

Proposition 2.5. Let $P^n \subset S^{n+1}$, $n \geq 4$, be an isoparametric hypersurface. If the universal covering of P^n is (homeomorphic to) S^n , then P^n is isometric to a Euclidean sphere.

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_g$ be the distinct (and constant) principal curvatures of P^n . Let m_1 be the common multiplicity of the λ_k , when k is odd, and let m_2 be the common multiplicity of the λ_k , when k is even. Denote by $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n$ the \mathbb{Z}_2 -Betti numbers of P^n . Then we have (cf. [11]):

- (i) [F. Münzner] $g \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$;
- (ii) $2n = g(m_1 + m_2)$;
- (iii) [E. Cartan] If g = 3, then $m_1 = m_2 \in \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$;
- (iv) [U. Abresh] If g = 6, then $m_1 = m_2 \in \{1, 2\}$;
- (v) [F. Münzner] $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \beta_i = 2g$.

Suppose first that n, the dimension of P^n , is odd. Then (ii) and (iv) imply that $g \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since $n \ge 4$, it follows from (iii) that $g \in \{1, 2\}$. If g = 2, then P^n is a Riemannian product of spheres and thus it cannot be covered by a sphere. Hence we must have g = 1 and this implies that P^n is a Euclidean sphere.

Let now n be even, say n=2q. Then the Euler characteristics of S^{2q} and P^n are related by $\chi(S^{2q})=m\chi(P^n)$, where m is the number of sheets of the covering. Thus, either m=1 or m=2, since $\chi(S^{2q})=2$. Suppose m=2. Then $\chi(P^n)=\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i\beta_i=1$, which implies, using Poincaré duality, that the Betti number β_q is odd. On the other hand, we get from (v) that β_q must be even. This contradiction tells us that m=1 and, again using (v), we obtain that g=1. Therefore P^n is a Euclidean sphere.

Remark 2.6. Proposition 2.5 is no longer true for n = 3, as shown by Cartan isoparametric hypersurfaces of S^4 with three distinct principal curvatures [3], which are diffeomorphic to S^3/Q , where Q stands for the quaternion 8-group.

References

- [1] A. V. Alekseevsky, D. V. Alekseevsky, Riemannian *G*-manifold with one dimensional orbit space. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **11** (1993), 197–211. Zbl 0810.53043 MR 1237453
- [2] A. C. Asperti, F. Mercuri, M. H. Noronha, Cohomogeneity one manifolds and hypersurfaces of revolution. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* 11 (1997), 199–215. Zbl 0882.53006 MR 1456261
- [3] E. Cartan, Familles de surfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces à courbure constante. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **17** (1938), 177–191. Zbl 0020.06505
- [4] H. P. Castro, M. H. Noronha, Homogeneous submanifolds of codimension two. Geom. Dedicata 78 (1999), 89–110. Zbl 0935.53023 MR 1722173
- [5] M. Dajczer, D. Gromoll, Rigidity of complete Euclidean hypersurfaces. J. Differential Geom. 31 (1990), 401–416. Zbl 0667.53003 MR 1037409
- [6] M. Dajczer, R. Tojeiro, Submanifolds with nonparallel first normal bundle. Canad. Math. Bull. 37 (1994), 330–337. Zbl 0812.53015 MR 1289768
- [7] J.-H. Eschenburg, E. Heintze, On the classification of polar representations. *Math. Z.* 232 (1999), 391–398. Zbl 0943.22014 MR 1719714
- [8] S. Kobayashi, Compact homogeneous hypersurfaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), 137–143. Zbl 0081.38102 MR 0096284
- [9] F. Mercuri, J. A. P. Seixas, Hypersurfaces of cohomogeneity one and hypersurfaces of revolution. *Differential Geom. Appl.* 20 (2004), 255–239. Zbl 1046.53043 MR 2038557
- [10] J. D. Moore, Isometric immersions of Riemannian products. J. Differential Geom. 5 (1971), 159–168. Zbl 0213.23804 MR 0307128
- [11] R. Palais, C.-L. Terng, Critical Point Theory and Submanifold Geometry. Lecture Notes in Math. 1353, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1988. Zbl 0658.49001 MR 0972503
- [12] F. Podestà, A. Spiro, Cohomogeneity one manifolds and hypersurfaces of the Euclidean space. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 13 (1995), 169–184. Zbl 0827.53007 MR 1336212
- [13] P. Ryan, Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces. *Tôhoku Math. J.* 21 (1969), 363–388. Zbl 0185.49904 MR 0253243
- [14] R. Sacksteder, The rigidity of hypersurfaces. J. Math. Mech. 11 (1962), 929–939.
 Zbl 0108.34702 MR 0144286

Received April 13, 2005

Francesco Mercuri, Imecc-Unicamp, Campinas-SP, Brasil

E-mail: mercuri@ime.unicamp.br

Fabio Podestà, Math. Institute, Piazza Ghiberti, Firenze, Italy

E-mail: podesta@math.unifi.it

José A. P. Seixas, Mat-Ufal, Maceió-Al, Brasil

E-mail: adonai@mat.ufal.br

Ruy Tojeiro, Ufscar, São Carlos-SP, Brasil

E-mail: tojeiro@dm.ufscar.br

Leere Seite Blank page Page vide