

Autor(en): **[s.n.]**

Objekttyp: **Corrections**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **79 (2004)**

PDF erstellt am: **29.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Errata

P. Sankaran and V. Uma

In the article “Cohomology of toric bundles” by P. Sankaran and V. Uma published in Volume 78/3 (2003), pp. 540–554 in the journal Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici were errors. The corrections are as follows:

We correct here the errors in our paper [6] which we found recently much to our embarrassment. The notations of [6] will be in force unless otherwise stated.

1. In Lemma 2.2(i) it was asserted that the elements $z_u \in \mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_S$ for every $u \in M$ the proof of which was left out as an “easy exercise”. Upon re-examining our proof we realized that it is not valid without further hypotheses! We circumvent the problem by modifying the definition of \mathcal{I} as follows so that Lemma 2.2(i) is redundant:

Assume that $r_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are invertible elements in the centre of S . Let \mathcal{I} be the (two-sided) ideal of the polynomial algebra $S[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ generated by the following two types of elements:

$$x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_k}, \quad 1 \leq j_p \leq d, \tag{i}$$

whenever v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_k} do *not* span a cone of Δ ; for each $u := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i u_i \in M$, the element

$$z_u := \prod_{j, \langle u, v_j \rangle > 0} (1 - x_j)^{\langle u, v_j \rangle} - r_u \prod_{j, \langle u, v_j \rangle < 0} (1 - x_j)^{-\langle u, v_j \rangle} \tag{ii}'$$

where $r_u = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i^{a_i}$. Define $\mathcal{R}(S, \Delta) := S[x_1, \dots, x_d]/\mathcal{I}$.

With this definition of \mathcal{I} , Lemma 2.2(i) is a tautology. Remaining parts of Lemma 2.2 (the proofs of which used part (i)) are now valid as given in [6].

Lemma 2.2 was used in Proposition 4.3(iii). But with the corrected definition of \mathcal{R} , it continues to hold because in 4.3(ii), we established the stronger condition $\prod [L_j]^{\langle u, v_j \rangle} = 1$. This (together with 4.3(i)) ensures that $x_j \mapsto (1 - [L_j]^\vee)$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, does yield a well-defined ring homomorphism $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow K(X)$ (where $r_i = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$).

Thanks to equations (7) and (8), p. 552 of [6], the proof of Theorem 1.2(iv) is valid verbatim with this modified definition of \mathcal{R} .

2. In Theorem 1.2 (ii), we need, besides the new definition of \mathcal{R} , that B be Hausdorff.

Proof of Theorem 1.2(ii). We now give a proof that $K^*(E(X))$ is a free $K^*(B)$ -module of rank m , the number of n -dimensional cones in Δ where $X = X(\Delta)$. With notations as in §4, [6] the restriction of $[\mathcal{L}(\tau_i)]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, to the fibre X forms a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $K^*(X)$. Since B is compact Hausdorff, it is locally compact and normal. Therefore B can be covered by finitely many *compact* subsets W_1, \dots, W_k such that the bundle $\pi|W_r$ is trivial for $1 \leq r \leq k$. Let Y be a closed subspace of W_r . Now using the Künneth theorem for K -theory, which is also valid for general compact spaces (cf. [2]), we see that $K^*(\pi^{-1}(Y))$ is a free $K^*(Y)$ -module with basis $[\mathcal{L}(\tau_i)|\pi^{-1}(Y)]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Applying Theorem 1.3, Ch. IV, [4], we conclude that $K^*(E(X))$ is a free $K(B)$ -module with basis $[\mathcal{L}(\tau_i)]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. In view of equations (7) and (8), p. 552, [6], setting $r_i = \pi^*(\xi_i^\vee)$, one has a well-defined homomorphism $\mathcal{R}(K(B), \Delta) \rightarrow K(E(X))$ of $K(B)$ algebras defined by $x_j \mapsto (1 - \mathcal{L}_j)$. Rest of the proof is exactly as given in p. 552, [6]. \square

3. It was asserted after the proof of Lemma 4.2, [6], that flag varieties G/B where G is semi simple and B a Borel subgroup and smooth Schubert varieties in G/B satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.2. In fact it turns out that $H^*(G/B; \mathbb{Z})$ is not generated by $H^2(G/B; \mathbb{Z})$ in general. This is related to the presence of torsion in the integral cohomology of the classifying space BG . (See §4 of [3].) However $H^*(SL(n, \mathbb{C})/B; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated as an algebra by $H^2(SL(n, \mathbb{C})/B; \mathbb{Z})$. More importantly, the *conclusion* of Lemma 4.2 is valid for any G/B . This follows from the surjectivity of the “ α -construction” established by Atiyah–Hirzebruch (Theorem 5.8, [1]) and Pittie [5].

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **3** (1961), 7–38.
- [2] C.-F. Bödigheimer, Splitting the Künneth sequence in K -theory, *Math. Ann.* **242** (1979) 159–171.
- [3] A. Borel, Sous-groupes commutatifs et torsion des groupes de Lie compacts connexes, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **13** (1961), 216–240.
- [4] M. Karoubi, *K-Theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **226**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [5] H. V. Pittie, Homogeneous vector bundles on homogeneous spaces, *Topology* **11** (1972), 199–203.
- [6] P. Sankaran and V. Uma, Cohomology of toric bundles, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **78** (2003), 540–554.

P. Sankaran and V. Uma
 Institute of Mathematical Sciences
 CIT Campus
 Chennai 600 113
 India
 e-mail: sankaran@imsc.res.in
 uma@imsc.res.in