

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 79 (2004)

Artikel: Topological finite-determinacy of functions with non-isolated singularities
Autor: Fernández de Bobadilla, Javier
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-59525>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 25.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Topological finite-determinacy of functions with non-isolated singularities

Javier Fernández de Bobadilla

Abstract. We introduce the concept of topological finite-determinacy for germs of analytic functions within a fixed ideal I , which provides a notion of topological finite-determinacy of functions with non-isolated singularities. We prove the following statement which generalizes classical results of Thom and Varchenko: let A be the complement in the ideal I of the space of germs whose topological type remains unchanged under a deformation within the ideal that only modifies sufficiently large order terms of the Taylor expansion. Then A has infinite codimension in I in a suitable sense. We also prove the existence of generic topological types of families of germs of I parametrized by an irreducible analytic set.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 32S15, 58K40.

Keywords. Non-isolated singularities, topological finite-determinacy, discriminants.

1. Introduction

R. Thom announced in [17] his Stabilisation Theorem stating the following: let $J^r(n, m)$ denote the space of r -jets of germs at the origin of differentiable mappings from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m , and $\pi_r^s : J^s(n, m) \rightarrow J^r(n, m)$ the natural projection mapping. Consider $f \in J^r(n, m)$. There exists a positive integer s , depending only on n , m and r , and a proper algebraic subset $\Sigma \subset (\pi_r^s)^{-1}(f)$ such that any two germs g_1 and g_2 with the same s -jet belonging to $(\pi_r^s)^{-1}(f) \setminus \Sigma$ have the same topological type.

Although R. Thom gave in [17] rather detailed ideas for the proof of his theorem, the first complete proof was given in [18], [19] by A. Varchenko, and followed a completely different approach. More in the line of R. Thom's ideas, E. Looijenga's thesis contains the result in the function case (that is, when $m = 1$). Later, A. du Plessis (see [11]) gave another proof for arbitrary m based on Thom's suggestions, using also his own ideas and ideas from Mather (actually both A. Varchenko and A. du Plessis gave slightly stronger statements than R. Thom's). In this paper

Supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). Supported by the Spanish MCyT project BFM2001-1448-C02-01.

we are interested in a generalization of these results valid in the realm of (complex or real) analytic non-isolated hypersurface singularities. In order to see what kind of properties are desirable let us state A. Varchenko's results in the setting of complex analytic functions: let $J^r(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})_O$ be the space of r -jets of germs of holomorphic functions at the origin O of \mathbb{C}^n . Denote by \mathcal{D} the group of germs of biholomorphisms fixing the origin of \mathbb{C}^n . There is a natural action of \mathcal{D} in $J^r(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})_O$ by composition on the right.

Theorem 1 (Varchenko [18]). *Let $T \subset J^r(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})_O$ be an irreducible algebraic subset. There exists $s \geq r$, and a proper algebraic subset $A \subset (\pi_r^s)^{-1}(T)$ such that any two germs f_1 and f_2 whose s -jet is in $(\pi_r^s)^{-1}(T) \setminus A$ have the same topological type.*

Moreover, for each $r \geq 1$ there exists a partition of $J^r(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})_O$ into disjoint constructible subsets $U_0^r, \dots, U_{k(r)}^r$, invariant by the action of \mathcal{D} , such that:

- (1) *If $i > 0$, any two germs f_1 and f_2 whose r -jet is in U_i^r has the same topological type.*
- (2) *The codimension of U_0^r tends to infinity as r increases.*

The subsets U_i^r can be constructed so that, if $s > r$ and $i > 0$, then $(\pi_r^s)^{-1}(U_i^r)$ coincides with one of the subsets U_j^s with $j > 0$. This enables to decompose $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, O}$ as a union of subsets $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ such that for $i > 0$, the V_i 's are formed by germs of fixed topological type determined by their $r(i)$ -jet (for a number $r(i)$ only depending on i), and V_0 is infinite codimensional in a suitable sense (and therefore easily avoidable by deformation).

Observe that the topological type of a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, O}$ with non-isolated singularities is not determined by any r -jet of it, no matter how big is r : summation of a generic homogeneous polynomial of arbitrarily high degree transforms it into a function with an isolated singularity at the origin, whose sheaf of vanishing cycles is concentrated at the origin, unlike the sheaf of vanishing cycles of f . Therefore the functions defining non-isolated singularities belong to the residual set V_0 of the decomposition given above and, consequently, Theorem 1 is only meaningful for the study of isolated singularities.

The object of this paper is to prove a replacement of Theorem 1 which is meaningful for the study of non-isolated singularities of (complex or real) analytic functions. Our strategy is to work with functions belonging to a fixed ideal I instead of the whole space of analytic germs at the origin (for example, if we want to study functions which are singular at a line, we can take I to be the square of the ideal defining it). In this paper we prove a generalization of Theorem 1 valid for any ideal of germs of complex or real analytic functions.

Working within a fixed ideal has been already successful in the study of non-isolated singularities: generalized versality and analytic finite-determinacy, study of the Milnor fibration... (see for example [5], [8], [9], [10], [13], [14], [15], [20]). Many of these papers use a generalized morsification method that consists in de-

forming non-isolated singularities within a fixed ideal I to get simpler ones, and then study their properties. Up to now this worked only when I has simple geometric properties: it is the square of a complete intersection ideal defining an isolated singularity, or the analytic space defined by it is low dimensional. In order to establish the morsification method in general the generalization Theorem 1 provided in this paper is needed. The generalized Morsification Method appears in [6].

Furthermore, the study of functions within an ideal is also relevant for the study of isolated singularities satisfying a fixed amount of conditions (having some fixed tangencies or multiplicities at infinitely near points. . .).

Unlike in the case of isolated singularities, the interesting phenomena in the study of functions with non-isolated singularities are not concentrated at the origin, but at a neighbourhood at the origin of the singular locus. This makes insufficient in practice the straightforward generalization of Theorem 1, in which the ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, O}$ is replaced by the ideal I . We will need a stronger formulation in which we consider, instead of jets at the origin, jets at points ranging in a fixed neighbourhood at the origin: view the ideal I as the stalk at the origin of a coherent ideal sheaf \tilde{I} defined in a neighbourhood W of the origin. Define

$$J^r(W, \tilde{I}) := \coprod_{x \in W} \tilde{I}_x / \mathbf{m}_x^{r+1} \cap \tilde{I}_x, \quad (1)$$

where \tilde{I}_x is the stalk of \tilde{I} at x , and \mathbf{m}_x the ideal of analytic functions vanishing at x . For any non-negative integer r we will stratify the set $J^r(W, \tilde{I})$ in such a way that each stratum is an analytic variety. The generalization of the subsets T , A and U_i^r in Theorem 1 will be analytic subsets of the strata of $J^r(W, \tilde{I})$ satisfying analogous properties.

As we are working on a neighbourhood W of the origin, the right generalization of the invariance of the U_i^r 's by the action of \mathcal{D} consists in the following property: the subsets generalizing the U_i^r 's are invariant by the action of diffeomorphisms between open subsets of W which preserve the ideal sheaf \tilde{I} . Actually, what we will prove is the invariance of such subsets by flows within W preserving \tilde{I} . This can be viewed as an infinitesimal version of the invariance by diffeomorphisms, and turns out to be enough for applications.

The structure of the paper is the following: first we stratify the spaces $J^r(W, \tilde{I})$ for any $r \leq \infty$ and show that the strata are analytic varieties in a natural way when $r < \infty$, and a limit of analytic varieties when $r = \infty$. Later we define the class of closed analytic subsets of the strata of $J^\infty(W, \tilde{I})$, their irreducible components and codimension. We also introduce the concept of residual subsets of $J^\infty(W, \tilde{I})$, which, roughly speaking, is a subset of infinite codimension. Then we state a proposition that generalizes the main proposition of [18] to our setting. In essence it states that given any irreducible analytic subset of a stratum of $J^\infty(W, \tilde{I})$ there is a proper closed analytic subset (discriminant) of it such that two germs in the same connected component of its complement are topologically finite-determined and topologically equivalent. Then, letting residual subsets enter in the picture, we show that such a discriminant is unique, provided that it satisfies a certain

minimality condition. After we define the concept of *flow-invariant* subsets of $J^\infty(W, \tilde{I})$ as a replacement of the concept of diffeomorphism-invariant subsets of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, O}$. Then we can formulate the main result of the paper, which generalizes Theorem 1 to our setting, and also shows that the predicted subsets are minimal and unique in a certain sense. In the proof of our main result the invariance of the relevant subsets by flows preserving the ideal sheaf \tilde{I} gets involved, in contrast with the proof of the invariance by the action of \mathcal{D} of Theorem 1, which is straightforward. We leave for the last section the proof of the proposition stating the existence of discriminants. For this we have found A. Varchenko's ideas rather suitable for our setting. Nevertheless, a naive generalization of Varchenko's proof collapses in a fundamental way in several places: summation of generic functions of high order is needed, and this takes us out of our ideal sheaf.

The author would like to thank the referee and Isabel Hernandez Navarro for suggestions concerning the exposition.

2. The analytic structure of $J^r(W, \tilde{I})$

Let the field \mathbb{K} be either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Denote by \mathcal{E}_W the sheaf of analytic functions on an open subset W of \mathbb{K}^n (when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ the sheaf \mathcal{E}_W is the sheaf of holomorphic functions \mathcal{O}_W). For any $x \in W$ denote by \mathfrak{m}_x the maximal ideal of the stalk of $\mathcal{E}_{W,x}$.

When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ we will work with an special type of real analytic subsets. Consider \mathbb{R}^n as the subset of \mathbb{C}^n consisting of the points with real coordinates. Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset. A *C-analytic subset* of W is a closed real analytic subset $T \subset W$ such that there exists an open neighbourhood W^* of W in \mathbb{C}^n satisfying $W^* \cap \mathbb{R}^n = W$ and a closed complex analytic subset $T^* \subset W^*$ such that $T^* \cap \mathbb{R}^n = T$. A *Zarisky locally closed C-analytic subset* of W is the difference between two *C-analytic subsets* of W . We use Ch. 5 of [12] as a general survey reference for *C-analytic subsets*. Proofs are due to H. Cartan, F. Bruhat and H. Whitney, and can be found in [4], and, mostly, in [3]. For the convenience of the reader we recall the properties that are convenient for us:

A real analytic subset $T \subset W$ is *C-analytic* if and only if there exist a coherent ideal sheaf in \mathcal{E}_W whose zero-set is T . Any real analytic subset is locally *C-analytic*. Any (possibly infinite) intersection of *C-analytic subsets* is a *C-analytic subset*. Any locally finite union of *C-analytic subsets* is *C-analytic*. The inverse image of a *C-analytic subset* by an analytic mapping is a *C-analytic subset*. A *C-analytic subset* is *C-irreducible* if it is not the union of two *C-analytic subsets* different from itself (a *C-irreducible C-analytic subset* needs not be irreducible as a real analytic set). Given a *C-analytic subset* $T \subset W$ there exists a unique irredundant, locally finite family of *C-irreducible C-analytic subsets* whose union is T . There is a notion of dimension of *C-analytic subsets* which satisfies the following properties: let $T' \subset T$ be *C-analytic subsets* of W , where T is *C-*

irreducible, then $\dim(T') < \dim(T)$. If T is a C -analytic subset of dimension p , there is a C -analytic subset $T' \subset T$ such that $\dim(T') < p$, and $T \setminus T'$ is an analytic manifold of dimension p ; also, any point of T can be approximated by points where T is an analytic manifold of dimension p . A *complexification* of a real (C) -analytic subset T is a complex analytic variety T^* such that T is embedded in T^* as a real analytic variety, and for each point $t \in T$ there is:

- a neighbourhood U^* of t in T^* ,
- a closed analytic subset Y^* of an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n ,
- and a complex analytic isomorphism $\varphi : U^* \rightarrow Y^*$

such that $Y^* \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \varphi(T \cap U^*)$. Let T be a C -analytic subset of W and T^* a complexification of T . Then $C \subset T$ is a (C) -analytic subset of W if and only if there is an open neighbourhood U^* of T in T^* and a closed complex analytic subset of C^* of U such that $C^* \cap T = C$.

All the properties above are standard in the complex analytic setting whenever they make sense.

We will adopt the following notational convention: when we work simultaneously with $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ and we write (C) -analytic, we mean C -analytic when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, and complex-analytic when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. When we write just *analytic*, we mean just real-analytic or complex-analytic depending on whether \mathbb{K} equals \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . When we say that a (C) -analytic subset is irreducible we mean C -irreducible when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, and just irreducible when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

Let O denote the origin of \mathbb{K}^n . Consider an ideal $I \subset \mathcal{E}_O$; let $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ be a set generators of it. Consider an open neighbourhood W of the origin where each of the generators is defined. Then $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ generate a coherent ideal sheaf \tilde{I} whose stalk \tilde{I}_O is equal to I .

For any $V \subset W$ we define

$$J^r(V, \tilde{I}) := \coprod_{x \in V} \tilde{I}_x / \mathbf{m}_x^{r+1} \cap \tilde{I}_x \quad J^\infty(V, \tilde{I}) := \coprod_{x \in V} \tilde{I}_x. \quad (2)$$

If $0 \leq r \leq s \leq \infty$ there are obvious projection mappings

$$\pi_r^s : J^s(V, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow J^r(V, \tilde{I}). \quad (3)$$

For any $r \leq \infty$ there is another natural projection mapping

$$pr_r : J^r(V, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow V \quad (4)$$

whose fibre $J^r(V, \tilde{I})_x$ over a point $x \in V$ is, if $r < \infty$, the vector space $\tilde{I}_x / \mathbf{m}_x^{r+1} \cap \tilde{I}_x$, and, if $r = \infty$, the space \tilde{I}_x .

For any $x \in W$ define the function $\mu_x : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by the formula

$$\mu_x(r) := \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\tilde{I}_x / \mathbf{m}_x^{r+1} \cap \tilde{I}_x). \quad (5)$$

Consider the Hilbert–Samuel function H_x of the $\mathcal{E}_{W,x}$ -module $\mathcal{M}_x := \mathcal{E}_{W,x} / \tilde{I}_x$. As

$$H_x(r) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{M}_x / \mathbf{m}_x^{r+1} \mathcal{M}_x) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{W,x} / \mathbf{m}_x^{r+1}}{\tilde{I}_x + \mathbf{m}_x^{r+1} / \mathbf{m}_x^{r+1}} \right)$$

we deduce that $\mu_x(r) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{E}_{W,x}/\mathbf{m}_x^{r+1}) - H_x(r)$. In [2] the Zarisky analytic upper-semicontinuity of the function H_x is proved. Therefore the function μ is Zarisky analytic lower-semicontinuous. As any real-analytic subset is locally C -analytic, by shrinking W we can assume that the subset of W where the function μ is smaller or equal than a given function is a closed (C) -analytic subset.

Definition 2. We define the *Hilbert–Samuel stratification of W with respect to \tilde{I}* to be the minimal partition of W such that $\mu_x = \mu_y$ for any two points x and y in the same stratum. The strata are Zarisky locally closed (C) -analytic subsets, and we will call them the \tilde{I} -strata of W .

We will use the following notations: consider an analytic function f on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{K}^m$. For any $x \in U$ we denote the germ of f at x by f_x . For any positive integer r we denote the r -jet of f at x by $j^r f_x$. Denote by $J^r(M, \mathbb{K}^m)$ the manifold of r -jets of mappings from an analytic manifold M to \mathbb{K}^m . It has a natural structure of vector bundle over M . Given any subset X of M , we denote by $J^r(M, \mathbb{K}^m)|_X$ the restriction of the bundle to X .

For any positive integer r and any \tilde{I} -stratum X of W we endow

$$pr_r : J^r(X, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow X \quad (6)$$

with a natural structure of analytic vector bundle as follows: define the \mathcal{E}_W -homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{E}_W^m \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_W$ by the formula $\varphi(g_1, \dots, g_m) := \sum_{k=1}^m g_k f_k$. Taking r -jets for any positive integer r , we obtain a mapping

$$\varphi^r : J^r(W, \mathbb{K}^m) \rightarrow J^r(W, \mathbb{K}), \quad (7)$$

given by the formula $\varphi^r(j^r g_{1,x}, \dots, j^r g_{m,x}) := \sum_{k=1}^m j^r(g_k f_k)_x$. We observe that φ^r is a homomorphism between trivial analytic vector bundles over W , whose image is canonically identified with $J^r(W, \tilde{I})$. Then the restriction

$$\varphi^r|_X : J^r(W, \mathbb{K}^k)|_X \rightarrow J^r(W, \mathbb{K})|_X \quad (8)$$

is a homomorphism of constant rank between trivial analytic vector bundles. Therefore, its image $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$ has a structure of locally trivial analytic vector bundle over X whose rank is $r(X) = \mu_x(r)$ (for $x \in X$ arbitrary) and with projection mapping pr_r . Furthermore, the inclusion $J^r(X, \tilde{I}) \hookrightarrow J^r(W, \mathbb{K})|_X$ is a monomorphism of analytic vector bundles.

We denote by ∂X the closed (C) -analytic subset of W given by $\overline{X} \setminus X$. It is clear that $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$ is closed analytic in $J^r(W \setminus \partial X, \mathbb{K})$. Suppose that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. We claim that $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$ is actually closed C -analytic in $J^r(W \setminus \partial X, \mathbb{R})$. View \mathbb{R}^n as the set of points of \mathbb{C}^n with real coordinates. Locally around any $x \in W$, each generator f_i is given by a convergent power series. Therefore, there exists an open subset $W^* \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $W^* \cap \mathbb{R}^n = W$, and complex analytic functions f_1^*, \dots, f_m^* defined on W^* extending f_1, \dots, f_m . Let \tilde{I}^* be the coherent sheaf generated by them. Given any point $x \in X$, we have

$$\tilde{I}_x^*/\mathbf{m}_x^{r+1} \cap \tilde{I}_x^* \cong (\tilde{I}_x/\mathbf{m}_x^{r+1} \cap \tilde{I}_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},$$

where \mathbf{m}_x denotes respectively in each side the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{W^*,x}$ and of $\mathcal{E}_{W,x}$. It follows easily that there is an \tilde{I}^* -stratum X^* of W^* satisfying $X^* \cap W = X$. Moreover $\partial X^* \cap W = \partial X$. The real analytic manifold $J^r(W \setminus \partial X, \mathbb{R})$ is naturally embedded as the real part of $J^r(W^* \setminus \partial X^*, \mathbb{C})$. As $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$ is equal to $J^r(X^*, \tilde{I}^*) \cap J^r(W \setminus \partial X, \mathbb{R})$ and $J^r(X^*, \tilde{I}^*)$ is closed analytic in $J^r(W^* \setminus \partial X^*, \mathbb{C})$, our claim is proved.

A subset C of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ is *r-determined* if it is of the form $C = (\pi_r^\infty)^{-1}(C')$ for a certain subset C' of $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$. The *determinacy degree* of a subset C of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ is the minimal integer r such that C is r -determined.

Definition 3. A *finitely-determined closed (C)-analytic subset* of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ is a r -determined subset for a certain integer r , such that $\pi_r^\infty(C)$ is a closed (C) -analytic subset in $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$. A *finitely-determined locally closed (C)-analytic subset* is the difference between two finitely-determined closed (C) -analytic subsets. The *irreducible (connected) components* of a r -determined (locally) closed (C) -analytic subset C are defined to be the inverse images by π_r^∞ of the irreducible (connected) components of $\pi_r^\infty(C)$.

We endow $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ with the final topology for the family of projections $\{\pi_r^\infty\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then, a family $\{C_j\}_{j \in J}$ of finitely-determined subsets of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ is locally finite if for any $f \in J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ there exists a positive integer r and a neighbourhood U of $\pi_r^\infty(f) \in J^r(X, \tilde{I})$ such that $(\pi_r^\infty)^{-1}(U)$ meets only finitely many C_j 's. Choosing r high enough we can assume that each of the subsets that $(\pi_r^\infty)^{-1}(U)$ meets are r -determined. Therefore the union $\cup_{j \in J} C_j$ looks locally like a finite-determined subset. This motivates

Definition 4. A *closed (C)-analytic subset* of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ is the union of a locally finite family of finitely-determined closed (C) -analytic subsets of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$. A *locally closed (C)-analytic subset* is the difference between two closed (C) -analytic subsets. The set of *irreducible components* of a (locally) closed (C) -analytic subset of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ is defined to be the union of the sets of irreducible components of the members of the locally finite family that gives rise to it.

Definition 5. Let C be an r -determined irreducible (locally) closed (C) -analytic subset of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$. Its *codimension* $\text{codim}(C, J^\infty(X, \tilde{I}))$ is defined to be the codimension of $\pi_r^\infty(C)$ in $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$.

The above definition does not depend on r because for any two positive integers $s > r$, the mapping $\pi_r^s : J^s(X, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow J^r(X, \tilde{I})$ is an affine bundle and, therefore, preserves codimension and irreducibility by inverse image.

Consider a possibly infinite filtration

$$C_1 \supset \cdots \supset C_i \supset \cdots \quad (9)$$

of closed (C) -analytic subsets of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$. We say that an irreducible component

$C_{i,j}$ of C_i is *stable* if it is an irreducible component of C_k for any $k \geq i$. The component $C_{i,j}$ is called *strongly unstable* if it does not contain any stable component of C_k for any $k \geq i$. Let $\{C_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the set of strongly unstable irreducible components of C_i (if there is a finite amount we allow repetition in the indexing).

The intersection of all the closed subsets of the filtration decomposes naturally as

$$\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i = Z_1 \cup Z_2, \quad (10)$$

where Z_1 is the union of all the stable irreducible components of the C_i 's and

$$Z_2 := \bigcup_{\{j_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} \left(\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_{i,j_i} \right).$$

Take any positive integer c . Let $\{C'_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}_c}$ be the set of all the strongly unstable components of any of the C_i 's whose codimension is bigger or equal than c . Let \mathcal{J}'_c be the indexes corresponding to the components which are maximal by the inclusion relation among the elements of $\{C'_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}_c}$. The following inclusion follows easily from the definition of strongly unstable components and from the fact that each C_i is a locally finite union of irreducible closed (C) -analytic subsets:

$$Z_2 \subset \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{J}'_c} C'_j.$$

It is easy to check that the family $\{C'_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}'_c}$ is locally finite. Hence the set Z_2 is contained in a closed (C) -analytic subset with all of its irreducible components of codimension bigger or equal than c . This motivates

Definition 6. A closed subset of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ is *residual* if for any positive integer c it is contained in a closed (C) -analytic subset of U with all its irreducible components of codimension at least c .

Remark 7. Suppose that $Z \subset J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ admits a decomposition as a union of a closed (C) -analytic subset $Z^{(a)}$ and a residual subset $Z^{(r)}$. The subset $Z^{(a)}$ is uniquely determined by Z , and is called the *analytic part* of Z . The subset $Z^{(r)}$ is uniquely determined if it is minimal among the subsets such that $Z = Z^{(a)} \cup Z^{(r)}$. Then it is called the *residual part* of Z .

Given a filtration like (9), the intersection of all its terms can be decomposed as the union of a closed (C) -analytic subset and a residual subset.

3. The topological and finite-determinacy discriminants

In order to fix ideas we state now what we mean by topological finite-determinacy of functions.

Definition 8. Two germs of functions $f : (\mathbb{K}^n, x) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}, t)$ and $f' : (\mathbb{K}^n, x') \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}, t')$ are said to be *topologically right-left equivalent* (we will say *topologically equivalent to abbreviate*) if there are germs of homeomorphisms $\phi : (\mathbb{K}^n, x') \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^n, x)$ and $\psi : (\mathbb{K}, t) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}, t')$ such that $\psi \circ f \circ \phi = g$. A function $f \in \tilde{I}_x$ is called *topologically k -determined* with respect to I if any other $g \in \tilde{I}_x$ with the same k -jet is topologically equivalent to f .

Proposition 9. Let X be any \tilde{I} -stratum of W . Let $T \subset J^\infty(\tilde{I}, X)$ be a r -determined locally closed irreducible (C) -analytic subset. There exists an $s \geq r$ and a proper s -determined closed (C) -analytic subset A of T such that if two germs $f, g \in T$ have their s -jets $\pi_s^\infty(f)$ and $\pi_s^\infty(g)$ in the same connected component of

$$\pi_s^\infty(T) \setminus \pi_s^\infty(A)'$$

then they are topologically equivalent.

The proof of this proposition will be given in the last section of the paper.

Notation. Let T be a subset of $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$ for a certain $r \leq \infty$. Given any $x \in W$, we denote by T_x the fibre $(pr_r)^{-1}(x) \cap T$ of the restriction of the mapping pr_r to T . If V is a subset of X we denote by $T|_V$ the intersection $(pr_r)^{-1}(V) \cap T$.

Let $T \subset J^\infty(\tilde{I}, X)$ be a locally closed (C) -analytic subset with irreducible components $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$. For each $j \in J$, let $A_j \subset T_j$ be the (C) -analytic subset predicted by Proposition 9. By the locally finiteness of the family $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$, the union $A := \cup_{j \in J} A_j$ is closed (C) -analytic in T . It is easy to check that any germ in $T \setminus A$ is topologically finite-determined and any two germs in the same path-connected component of it are topologically equivalent.

Proposition 10. Let T be a locally closed (C) -analytic subset of $J^\infty(\tilde{I}, X)$. There exist unique subsets $\Gamma \subset \Delta$ of T not containing any irreducible component of it with the following properties:

- (i) We have decompositions $\Delta = \Delta^{(a)} \cup \Delta^{(r)}$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma^{(a)} \cup \Gamma^{(r)}$ where $\Delta^{(a)}$ and $\Gamma^{(a)}$ are closed (C) -analytic subsets, and $\Delta^{(r)}$ and $\Gamma^{(r)}$ are residual closed subsets.
- (ii) Any $f, g \in T$ in the same path-connected component of $T \setminus \Gamma$ are topologically equivalent. Moreover, any $f \in T \setminus \Delta$ is topologically finite-determined with respect to \tilde{I} .
- (iii) The subsets Δ and Γ are minimal among the subsets of T satisfying Properties (i) and (ii).

For any positive integer k , we let $T_{\leq k}$ be the union of the irreducible components of T which are k -determined, and $T_{> k}$ be the union of all the other irreducible components. There is a unique subset Δ_k of $T_{\leq k}$ such that:

- It is the union of a closed (C) -analytic subset and a residual subset.

- Any two germs in the same path-connected component of $T_{\geq k} \setminus \Delta_k$ are topologically k -determined and have the same topological type.
- It is minimal among the subsets of $T_{\leq k}$ having the three properties above.

Moreover, the subset Δ_k is actually a k -determined closed (C) -analytic.

We have $\Gamma \subset \Delta \subset \cap_{k \geq 0} (\Delta_k \cup T_{>k})$. Moreover

$$\Gamma^{(a)} = \Delta^{(a)} = (\cap_{k \geq 0} (\Delta_k \cup T_{>k}))^{(a)}. \quad (11)$$

In other words, the subsets Γ , Δ and the intersection $\cap_{k \geq 0} (\Delta_k \cup T_{>k})$, only may differ in a residual set.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be the set whose elements are subsets of T not containing any of its irreducible components and satisfying the first two properties of Γ . The set \mathcal{C} is not empty because the subset A , constructed in the last paragraph before the proposition, belongs to it. We consider in \mathcal{C} the partial order given by inclusion. Consider a chain

$$K_1 \supset \cdots \supset K_i \supset \cdots \quad (12)$$

of subsets of \mathcal{S} . We claim that the intersection $K := \cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i$ belongs to \mathcal{C} .

By Property (i) each K_i decomposes as $K_i := K_i^{(a)} \cup K_i^{(r)}$, with $K_i^{(a)}$ a closed (C) -analytic subset and $K_i^{(r)}$ residual. The closed (C) -analytic parts form a chain

$$K_1^{(a)} \supset \cdots \supset K_i^{(a)} \supset \cdots \quad (13)$$

We construct a chain

$$L_1 \supset \cdots \supset L_i \supset \cdots \quad (14)$$

of subsets that admit a decomposition in a closed (C) -analytic subset $L_i^{(a)}$ and a residual subset $L_i^{(r)}$ such that $\cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} L_i = K$ and all the irreducible components of $L_i^{(a)}$ are either stable or of codimension at least i . We proceed inductively: suppose that for a certain positive integer m we have defined a chain

$$L_1 \supset \cdots \supset L_m \supset L_{m,m+1} \supset \cdots \supset L_{m,m+i} \supset \cdots \quad (15)$$

such that, for any $i \leq m$, all the irreducible components of $L_i^{(a)}$ are either stable or of codimension at least i and

$$\left(\bigcap_{i \leq m} L_i \right) \bigcap \left(\bigcap_{i > m} L_{m,i} \right) = K. \quad (16)$$

Clearly, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there are no non-stable components of codimension strictly smaller than m in $L_{m,m+j}^{(a)}$. Let $\{C_h\}_{h \in H}$ be the collection of irreducible components of $L_{m,m+1}^{(a)}$ of codimension m which are non-stable in the filtration given by the closed (C) -analytic parts of the elements of filtration (15). For any $h \in H$ we denote by i_h the smallest positive integer such that C_h is not an irreducible component of $L_{m,m+i_h}^{(a)}$. For any positive integer j let $\{Z_l\}_{l \in L}$ be the set of irreducible

components of $L_{m,m+j}$ not belonging to $\{C_h\}_{h \in H}$. Define

$$L_{m+1,m+j} := \left(\bigcup_{l \in L} Z_l \right) \bigcup L_{m,m+j}^{(r)} \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{j < i_h} (C_h \cap L_{m,m+i_h}) \right). \quad (17)$$

Define $L_{m+1} = L_{m+1,m+1}$. By construction, the Equality (16) holds replacing m by $m+1$. We will prove that each subset $L_{m+1,m+j}$ for $j \geq 0$ admits a decomposition in a closed (C) -analytic subset $L_i^{(a)}$ and a residual subset $L_i^{(r)}$. By construction, all the non-stable irreducible components of $L_{m+1}^{(a)}$ are of codimension at least $m+1$. Iterating inductively the procedure we obtain the desired chain (14).

For any non-negative integer j we consider the decomposition $L_{m+1,m+j} = L_{m+1,m+j}^{(a)} \cup L_{m+1,m+j}^{(r)}$, where

- the set $L_{m+1,m+j}^{(a)}$ is the union of all the irreducible components of $L_{m,m+j}^{(a)}$ not belonging to $\{C_h\}_{h \in H}$, together with $\bigcup_{j < i_h} (C_h \cap L_{m,m+i_h}^{(a)})$.
- the set $L_{m+1,m+j}^{(r)}$ is the union of the sets $L_{m,m+j}^{(r)}$ and $\bigcup_{j < i_h} (C_h \cap L_{m,m+i_h}^{(r)})$.

As the irreducible components $\{C_h\}_{h \in H}$ form a locally finite family, the set $L_{m+1,m+j}^{(a)}$ is a locally finite union of closed (C) -analytic subsets and, hence, it is closed (C) -analytic. On the other hand, for any positive integer c and any h such that $j < i_h$, there exists a closed (C) -analytic subset C'_h contained in C_h and containing $C_h \cap L_{m,m+i_h}^{(r)}$, with all its irreducible components of codimension at least c . By the local finiteness of $\{C_h\}_{h \in H}$, the subset $\bigcup_{j < i_h} C'_h$ is closed (C) -analytic. Therefore, $\bigcup_{j < i_h} (C_h \cap L_{m,m+i_h}^{(r)})$ is residual and, hence, $L_{m+1,m+j}^{(r)}$ is also residual.

Now we prove that K belongs to \mathcal{C} . Let $K^{(a)}$ be the union of the stable irreducible components of the filtration given by the $L_i^{(a)}$'s. Define D to be the union of all the intersections of the form $\cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (L_i^{(u)} \cup L_i^{(r)})$, where $L_i^{(u)}$ is the union of all the non-stable components of L_i . As $K = \cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} L_i$, we obtain easily the decomposition $K = K^{(a)} \cup D$. We show that D is residual: let c be any positive integer. Consider a closed (C) -analytic subset C containing $L_c^{(r)}$ with all its irreducible components of codimension at least c . The set $L_c^{(u)} \cup C$, whose irreducible components are all of them of codimension at least c , contains D .

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow T \setminus K$ be a continuous path. In order to show that K belongs to \mathcal{C} , we only have to check that the topological type of the germ $\gamma(t)$ is independent of t . The set $T \setminus K$ is the union of the increasing sequence of open subsets $\{T \setminus K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. By the compactness of $[0, 1]$, there is an index so that $\gamma([0, 1]) \subset T \setminus K_i$. As $K_i \in \mathcal{C}$, the topological type remains constant along $[0, 1]$.

We have shown that any decreasing sequence in \mathcal{C} has a lower bound. By Zorn's Lemma we deduce the existence of Γ . The uniqueness holds, as the intersection of two subsets in \mathcal{C} is easily shown to belong to \mathcal{C} . The existence and uniqueness of Δ and Δ_k for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is analogous.

Now we show that Δ_k is k -determined (C) -analytic. Consider an irreducible component Z of $\Delta_k^{(a)}$. Let r be the determinacy degree of Z . If $r \leq k$ then

Z is k -determined. We study the case $r \geq k$. Consider the affine bundle $\pi_k^r : J^r(X, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow J^k(X, \tilde{I})$. Let $B := \pi_k^\infty(T_{\leq k})$, $E := \pi_r^\infty(T_{\leq k})$, and $\pi := \pi_{k|E}^r : E \rightarrow B$. The irreducible closed (C) -analytic subset $Z_r := \pi_r^\infty(Z)$ is contained in E . We claim that the set

$$Y := \{y \in B : E_y \subset Z_r\}$$

is a (possibly empty) closed (C) -analytic subset of B . When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, it is easy to choose complexifications E^* and B^* of E and B , and a mapping $\pi^* : E^* \rightarrow B^*$, which is a complex affine bundle, such that $\pi^*|_E = \pi$. As Z_r is C -analytic, there exists an open neighbourhood U^* of E in E^* , and an irreducible complex closed analytic subset Z_r^* of U^* such that $Z_r^* \cap E = Z_r$. The open subset U^* can be chosen so that for any $x \in B$ the fibre U_x^* is connected (we prove this at the end). Let N be the rank of the affine bundle $\pi^* : E^* \rightarrow B^*$. The subset

$$A^* := \{z \in Z^* : \dim_z(Z_{\pi^*(z)}^*) = N\}$$

is a complex closed analytic subset of Z^* . Given any $z \in A^*$, we have $\dim_z Z_{\pi^*(z)}^* = \dim_z(E_{\pi^*(z)}^*)$. Therefore, $Z_{\pi^*(z)}^*$ contains an open neighborhood V_z of z in $E_{\pi^*(z)}^*$. Clearly, V_z is contained in $A_{\pi^*(z)}^*$ and, hence, this subset is both closed and open in the connected set $U^* \cap E_{\pi^*(z)}^*$. Thus $A_{\pi^*(z)}^* = U^* \cap E_{\pi^*(z)}^*$ for any $z \in A^*$. This implies

$$U^* \cap (\pi^*)^{-1}(\pi^*(A^*)) = A^*,$$

and from here it is easy to deduce that $\pi^*(A^*)$ is a closed complex analytic subset of the open subset $\pi^*(U^*) \subset B^*$. For any $x \in B$ we have that $U^* \cap E_x^* \supset E_x$. Therefore $\pi^*(A^*) \cap B \subset Y$. On the other hand, if $x \in Y$, we have $E_x \subset U^* \cap Z_x^*$. Consequently, Z_x^* is a closed complex analytic subset containing the real part E_x of $U^* \cap E_x^*$. This implies that Z_x^* contains a neighbourhood of E_x in $U^* \cap E_x^*$ and, hence, by connectedness of $U^* \cap E_x^*$, it is equal to it. Thus, $\pi^*(A^*) \cap B = Y$, which proves our claim when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. The proof in the complex case is analogous, but easier.

If $(\pi_k^\infty)^{-1}(Y) = Z$, then Z is actually k -determined. Otherwise we let Δ'_k be the subset given by the union of $\Delta_k^{(r)}$, $(\pi_k^\infty)^{-1}(Y)$, and all the irreducible components of $\Delta_k^{(a)}$ different from Z . Clearly, the set Δ'_k is strictly contained in Δ_k . Consider two germs f, g in the same path-connected component of $T_{\leq k} \setminus \Delta'_k$. We claim that both of them are topologically k -determined and have the same topological type. This clearly gives a contradiction with the minimality of Δ_k , which shows that Z is k -determined. In the special case that neither f nor g belong to Δ_k , the claim holds by definition of Δ_k . Suppose that f belongs to Δ_k . As f does not belong to Δ'_k , there exists an open neighbourhood V_f of f in $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ such that $V_f \cap \Delta'_k = \emptyset$. Then f must be an element of $Z \setminus (\pi_k^\infty)^{-1}(Y)$. Let $x := pr_\infty(f)$. As f does not belong to $(\pi_k^\infty)^{-1}(Y)$, there exists a continuous path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow V_f \cap T_{\leq k} \cap J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})_x$ such that $\gamma(0) = f$, the k -jet of $\gamma(t)$ does not depend on t , and $\gamma(t)$ does not belong to Z for $t \neq 0$. Obviously, $\gamma(t) \notin \Delta_k$

for $t \neq 0$, and therefore the claim is reduced to the already verified case in which neither f nor g belong to Δ_k .

In order to show the k -determinacy of Δ_k , it remains to show $\Delta_k^{(r)} = \emptyset$, but this can be proved using arguments analogous to the last paragraph.

The inclusions $\Gamma \subset \Delta \subset \cap_{k \geq 0} (\Delta_k \cap T_{>k})$ are trivial. Now we show Equality (11). Let C be an irreducible component of $(\cap_{k \geq 0} (\Delta_k \cup T_{>k}))^{(a)}$. We suppose that C is not contained in Γ , and we look for a contradiction. There exists a certain integer r such that C is an irreducible component of Δ_k for any $k \geq r$. This is so because, once we have chosen r large enough, we can assume that $T_{>r}$ does not contain C . In particular C is r -determined. By Proposition 9 we find an integer $s \geq r$ and a s -determined proper closed (C) -analytic subset $A_1 \subset C$ such that any germ in $C \setminus A_1$ is s -determined. Define Δ'_s as the union of all the irreducible components of Δ_s different from C . Let A_2 be the union of all the irreducible components of $\Gamma^{(a)}$ not contained in $\Delta'_s \cup T_{>s}$. As Γ is contained in $\Delta_s \cup T_{>s}$ we have the inclusion $A_2 \subset C$; moreover the last inclusion is strict because C is not contained in Γ . Consider a proper closed (C) -analytic subset A_3 of C such that $\Gamma^{(r)}$ is contained in $A_3 \cup \Delta'_s \cup T_{>s}$ (the existence of A_3 is clear as $\Gamma^{(r)}$ is residual). Define

$$A := A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup (C \cap T_{>s}).$$

Any germ in $T_{\leq s} \setminus (A \cup \Delta'_s)$ belongs either to $T_{\leq s} \setminus \Delta_s$ or to $C \setminus A_1$. Therefore, it is topologically s -determined. The set $T_{\leq s} \setminus (A \cup \Delta'_s)$ is clearly included in $T \setminus \Gamma$ and, hence, any two germs in the same path-connected component of $T_{\leq s} \setminus (A \cup \Delta'_s)$ have the same topological type. Then $A \cup \Delta'_s$ have the same properties of Δ_s and is strictly smaller than it. This is a contradiction.

Finally, let's check that U^* can be chosen so that $U^* \cap E_x^*$ is connected for any $x \in B$. As $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ has contractible fibres there is a continuous section $s : B \rightarrow E$. Therefore, we can give a continuous \mathbb{R} -vector bundle structure to $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ and to $\pi^* : E^* \rightarrow B^*$ in such a way that E is a subbundle of the restriction of E^* to B . Shrinking enough B^* around B we can suppose that B is a strong deformation retract of B^* . Indeed, by the Triangulation Theorem for real analytic subsets we can think of (B^*, B) as a polyhedral pair; then we apply Corollary 11 of [16], page 124. By well known arguments it follows that there is a vector subbundle $q : F \rightarrow B^*$ of $\pi : E^* \rightarrow B^*$ extending $\pi : E \rightarrow B$. Using partitions of unity we can construct a continuous tensor on $E|_B^*$ that restricts to an euclidean inner product on each fibre E_x . Let d_x be the distance induced by it in E_x . It is easy to find positive continuous functions

$$\alpha : B^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \quad \beta : B^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

(where a basis of neighbourhoods for $+\infty$ in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is given by $\{(a, +\infty)\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}}$), such that $\alpha(B) = \{+\infty\}$ and

$$\{z \in E_x^* : d_x(z, 0) < \alpha(x), \quad d(z, F_x) < \beta(x)\} \subset U^* \cap E_x^*.$$

Redefining U^* as the open subset

$$\{z \in E^* : d_{\pi^*(z)}(z, 0) < \alpha(\pi^*(z)), \quad d(z, F_{\pi^*(z)}) < \beta(\pi^*(z))\}$$

we obtain the desired properties. \square

Definition 11. Let T be a locally closed (C) -analytic subset of $J^\infty(\tilde{I}, X)$. We call the sets Γ and Δ that were constructed in the last proposition *topological* and *finite-determinacy discriminants* of T respectively. For any positive integer k the set Δ_k is called the *k-determinacy discriminant* of T .

Next we study the behaviour of discriminants when restricting to open subsets of U :

Lemma 12. Let T , Γ and Δ be as in Proposition 10. Let U be an open subset of W . When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, the subsets $\Gamma|_U$ and $T \cap \Delta|_U$ are respectively the topological and finite-determinacy discriminants of $T|_U$.

Proof. Clearly, $\Gamma|_U$ contains the topological discriminant of $T|_U$. Consider the decomposition $\Gamma|_U = \Gamma|_U^{(a)} \cup \Gamma|_U^{(r)}$, where the first component is (C) -analytic and the second is residual. Any irreducible component C' of $\Gamma|_U^{(a)}$ is a subset of a unique irreducible component C of $\Gamma^{(a)}$, which is r -determined for a certain r . By Proposition 9 there exists $s \geq r$ and a s -determined closed (C) -analytic subset A of C such that any two germs in the same path-connected component of $C \setminus A$ have the same topological type. Let Z be any irreducible component of T . As $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ we have that both $Z \setminus \Gamma \cap Z$ and $C \setminus A$ are path-connected. Hence, two germs which are both contained in one of these two subsets have the same topological type. We claim that there exists a component Z of T containing C such that the topological type of the germs of $Z \setminus \Gamma \cap Z$ is different to the topological type of the germs of $C \setminus A$. Otherwise we let Γ'_1 be the union of all the irreducible components of $\Gamma^{(a)}$ different from C . Let Γ'_2 be the union of the intersections $C \cap X$ where X is any irreducible component of T not containing C , and define

$$\Gamma' := \Gamma'_1 \cup \Gamma'_2 \cup \Gamma^{(r)} \cup A.$$

It is easy to check that Γ' has the first two properties of Γ and is strictly smaller than it. This is a contradiction.

Let Z' be an irreducible component of $Z|_U$ containing C' . As

$$\dim(Z') = \dim(Z) > \dim(C) = \dim(C'),$$

the inclusion $C' \subset Z'$ is strict. As $Z' \setminus \Gamma|_U \cap Z'$ and $C' \setminus (A \cap C')$ are contained in $Z \setminus \Gamma \cap Z$ and $C \setminus A$ respectively, the topological type of the germs of $Z' \setminus \Gamma|_U \cap Z'$ is different from the topological type of the germs of $C' \setminus (A \cap C')$. It follows that C' is contained in the topological discriminant of $T|_U$.

The proof for Δ is analogous. \square

4. The main result

For any $x \in W$ we denote by \mathcal{D}_x the group of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{K}^n that fix x , and by $\mathcal{D}_{x,e}$ the set of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms at x that not necessarily fix it. Following [9], we define $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{I}_x,e}$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{D}_{x,e}$ preserving the ideal; i.e. the subset formed by the germs that have a representative $\phi : U \rightarrow W$ such that $\phi^* \tilde{I}_{\phi(y)} = \tilde{I}_y$ for any $y \in U$.

Let ϕ_t be a 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{I}_x,e}$ smoothly depending on t , such that $\phi_0 = \text{Id}_{(\mathbb{K}^n, x)}$. Let $\phi_{1,t}, \dots, \phi_{n,t}$ be its components. The germ (at x) of analytic vector field defined by $X := \sum_{i=1}^n d\phi_{i,t}/dt|_{t=0} \partial/\partial x_i$ preserves the ideal sheaf \tilde{I} ; i.e., satisfies $X(\tilde{I}_x) \subset \tilde{I}_x$. Let Θ be the sheaf of analytic vector fields in W . Define $\Theta_{\tilde{I},e}$ as the subsheaf whose sections preserve the ideal sheaf \tilde{I} . Denote the stalk of $\Theta_{\tilde{I},e}$ at x by $\Theta_{\tilde{I}_x,e}$. Integration associates to any $X \in \Theta_x$, a 1-parameter flow ϕ_t of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{x,e}$ for which $\phi_0 = \text{Id}_{(\mathbb{K}^n, x)}$; if $X \in \Theta_{\tilde{I}_x,e}$, then $\phi_t \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{I}_x,e}$ for any value of t .

Any representative $\phi : U \rightarrow W$ of a germ $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{I_x,e}$ induces by pushforward a mapping

$$\phi_* : J^\infty(U, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow J^\infty(\phi(U), \tilde{I}), \quad (18)$$

defined by $\phi_*(f_y) := (f_y \circ \phi^{-1})_{\phi(y)}$ for any $y \in U$ and $f_y \in \tilde{I}_y$. As the \mathfrak{m}_y -adic filtration is transformed by pushforward into the $\mathfrak{m}_{\phi(y)}$ -adic filtration, the mapping ϕ_* descends to a mapping

$$j^r \phi_* : J^r(U, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow J^r(\phi(U), \tilde{I}). \quad (19)$$

Clearly, any representative $\phi : U \rightarrow W$ of a germ $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{I_x,e}$ preserves the Hilbert–Samuel stratification; i.e., $\phi(U \cap X) = \phi(U) \cap X$ for any \tilde{I} -stratum X . It is easy to check that the restriction

$$j^r \phi_* : J^r(U \cap X, \tilde{I}) \rightarrow J^r(\phi(U) \cap X, \tilde{I}) \quad (20)$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism when $r < \infty$.

Definition 13. Let X be a \tilde{I} -stratum of W , and $T \subset J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ be a (locally) closed (C) -analytic subset. We say that T is *flow-invariant* if for any open subset $V \subset W$, any vector field $\theta \in \Gamma(V, \Theta_{\tilde{I},e})$ and any flow $\phi : U \times (a, b) \rightarrow V$ integrating θ , we have $\phi_{t*}(T_x) = T_{\phi_t(x)}$ for any $t \in (a, b)$ and $x \in U$.

Now we are ready to state the main result of the paper:

Main Theorem. *Shrink W so that $\Theta_{\tilde{I},e}$ is generated by sections defined on the whole W . Consider X , a \tilde{I} -stratum of W . Let T be any locally closed (C) -analytic subset of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$. There exists*

- *a unique filtration (which we call the filtration by successive discriminants)*

$$T = A_0 \supset A_1 \supset \dots \supset A_i \supset \dots$$

by closed (C)-analytic subsets,

- two residual subsets $\Gamma^{(r)}$ and $\Delta^{(r)}$ (called respectively the topological and finite-determinacy cumulative residual discriminants of T),

with the following properties:

- (1) We have $\cap_{i \geq 0} A_i \subset \Gamma^{(r)} \subset \Delta^{(r)}$.
- (2) For any $i \geq 0$, the sets $A_{i+1} \cup (\Gamma^{(r)} \cap A_i)$ and $A_{i+1} \cup (\Delta^{(r)} \cap A_i)$ are respectively the topological and finite-determinacy discriminants of A_i .
- (3) Any irreducible component of A_i has codimension at least i .
- (4) If T is flow-invariant, then A_i is flow-invariant for any $i \geq 0$. Moreover the k -determinacy discriminant of A_i is flow invariant. Therefore the sets $\Gamma^{(r)} \cap A_i$ and $\Delta^{(r)} \cap A_i$ are contained in a residual subset which is an intersection of flow-invariant closed (C)-analytic subsets of C .

As a consequence, any germ f of $T \setminus \Delta^{(r)}$ is topologically finite-determined with respect to \tilde{I} . Furthermore, if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, given any open subset of $W' \subset W$, the filtration by successive discriminants and the topological and finite-determinacy cumulative residual discriminants for $T|_{W'}$ are the restrictions over W' of the corresponding objects for T .

This theorem shows, in particular, that given any ideal sheaf of analytic functions, the subset of functions that are not topologically finite-determined with respect to it is very small (we can think of it as an infinite-codimension subset). In contrast with Theorem 1, we can not provide uniform finite-determinacy bounds for prescribed codimension, that is, we can not ensure that for a prescribed integer i , there is another positive integer r for which the subset A_i is necessarily r -determined. The reason is that in the jet-spaces $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$, instead of the algebraic structure present in ordinary jet-spaces, we have just an analytic structure. Furthermore, the subsets in which $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, O}$ decomposes according to Varchenko's Theorem, are invariant by the whole \mathcal{D}_O . As we want to work in a neighbourhood of the origin, rather than just at the origin itself, we need to replace the \mathcal{D}_O -invariance by the flow-invariance. Nevertheless we have the following:

Remark 14. If we restrict ourselves to work at the origin, that is, to use the space $I = \tilde{I}_O$ instead of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$, the corresponding jet spaces $I/\mathfrak{m}_O^{r+1} \cap I$ are affine spaces. Then, if we assume I to be generated by Nash functions, the arguments of this paper can be modified so that, if the starting subset T of the Main Theorem is finitely determined and algebraic, then, the subsets A_i are finitely-determined and algebraic. Finally, let $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{I}_O}$ be the subgroup of \mathcal{D}_O formed by diffeomorphisms which preserve the ideal \tilde{I}_O . If T is assumed to be $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{I}_O}$ -invariant, then the subsets $\{A_i\}_{i \geq 0}$, $\Gamma^{(r)}$ and $\Delta^{(r)}$ can be constructed to be $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{I}_O}$ -invariant.

Proof of the Main Theorem. We show first the existence and uniqueness of the required objects satisfying all the requirements except Property 4. For any non-

negative integer j there is a unique filtration

$$T = A_0 \supset \cdots \supset A_j$$

by closed (C) -analytic subsets, and two unique filtrations

$$\Gamma_0 \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma_j$$

$$\Delta_0 \supset \cdots \supset \Delta_j$$

by closed subsets with the following properties:

- For any $i < j$ the sets $A_{i+1} \cup (\Gamma_j \cap A_i)$ and $A_{i+1} \cup (\Delta_j \cap A_i)$ are respectively the topological and finite-determinacy discriminants of A_i , being the set A_i the analytic part in both cases.
- The sets $\Gamma_j \cap A_j$ and $\Delta_j \cap A_j$ are respectively the topological and finite-determinacy discriminants of A_j .

The construction is obvious for $j = 0$. Supposing that the filtrations have been constructed for a certain j , it is clear that A_{j+1} must be defined to be equal to the non-residual part of the topological discriminant of A_j , that is

$$A_{j+1} := (\Gamma_j \cap A_j)^{(a)}.$$

The set Γ_{j+1} can only be defined to be the union of the residual parts of the topological discriminants of A_i , for any $i \leq j$, with the whole topological discriminant of A_{j+1} . The definition of Δ_{j+1} is analogous. We have shown by induction that the required filtrations can be constructed for any non-negative integer j and are unique. It is easy to show that the infinite filtration

$$A_0 \supset \cdots \supset A_i \supset \cdots$$

and the closed sets $\Gamma^{(r)} := \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_j$ and $\Delta^{(r)} := \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_j$ satisfy Properties 1 – 3 from the statement of the Theorem. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, using Lemma 12 it is easy to check that the filtration by successive discriminants and the topological and finite-determinacy cumulative residual discriminants satisfy the compatibility condition concerning restrictions to open subsets of W .

It only remains to prove Property 4 when T is flow-invariant. We only prove the statement concerning A_i , being the one concerning the k -determinacy discriminant analogous. We work by induction on i . Suppose that A_j is flow-invariant for any $j \leq i$. We show that A_{i+1} is flow-invariant. For this we show that each irreducible component C of A_{i+1} is flow invariant.

Consider an increasing sequence $\{V_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open subsets of W such that the closure \overline{V}_k is compact and contained in V_{k+1} and the union $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V_k$ equals W . Denote by ∂V_k the boundary $\overline{V}_k \setminus V_k$. Define $d_k := d(\overline{V}_k, \partial V_{k+1})$, that is, the minimal euclidean distance between points of \overline{V}_k and ∂V_{k+1} . Consider a decreasing sequence $\{\epsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers such that $\epsilon_k < d_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let \mathcal{A}_k be the set of analytic diffeomorphisms ϕ such that there exists

- a vector field $\theta \in \Gamma(W, \Theta_{\tilde{I}, \epsilon})$,
- an open subset U which is a neighbourhood of \overline{V}_k ,

- a flow $\psi : U \times (a, b) \rightarrow W$ integrating θ , such that $\phi = \psi_t$ for a certain $t \in (a, b)$.

For any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_k$, we define $\delta(\phi) := \max\{\|\phi(x) - x\| : x \in \bar{V}_k\}$. Given any positive η , we define

$$\mathcal{A}_k^\eta := \{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_k : \delta(\phi) < \eta\}.$$

If $\eta \leq \epsilon_k$, then it is easy to show that $\phi(V_{k+1}) \supset \bar{V}_k$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^\eta$.

Let r be the determinacy degree of the irreducible component C . The set

$$D_k(\eta) := \bigcap_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^\eta} \phi_*(C|_{V_{k+1}})|_{V_k},$$

is a r -determined closed (C) -analytic subset of $J^\infty(X \cap V_k, \tilde{I})$ because it is intersection of such type of subsets. Let $\{C_j\}_{j \in J}$ be the irreducible components of A_{i+1} different from C . Define

$$A'_{i+1,k}(\eta) := D_k(\eta) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{j \in J} C_j|_{V_k} \right).$$

Consider two germs f and g in the same path-connected component of

$$A_{i|V_k} \setminus (A'_{i+1,k}(\eta) \cup \Gamma_{|V_k}^{(r)}).$$

We claim that f and g are topologically equivalent. Define

$$X := \cap_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^\eta} \phi_*(A_{i+1} \cup \Gamma^{(r)})|_{V_k}.$$

As $X \subset A'_{i+1,k}(\eta) \cup \Gamma_{|V_k}^{(r)}$, the germs f and g are in the same path-connected component of $A_{i|V_k} \setminus X$. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow A_{i|V_k} \setminus X$ be a continuous path joining them. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^\eta$ such that $\gamma(t)$ is not in the closed subset $\phi_*(A_{i+1} \cup \Gamma^{(r)})|_{V_k}$. Therefore, there exists a positive ξ such that $\gamma(t - \xi, t + \xi)$ does not meet $\phi_*(A_{i+1} \cup \Gamma^{(r)})|_{V_k}$. Hence, $\phi^*(\gamma(t - \xi, t + \xi))$ is included in $A_i \setminus (A_{i+1} \cup \Gamma^{(r)})$ and, consequently, all the germs of $\gamma(t - \xi, t + \xi)$ are topologically equivalent. Covering $[0, 1]$ by intervals like $(t - \xi, t + \xi)$, we conclude the proof of our claim.

Now we show that $D_k(\eta)$ is flow invariant. We do it in two steps:

Step 1: we prove that $D_k(\eta)$ is flow-invariant with respect to vector fields defined on the whole W . Consider such a vector field $\theta \in \Gamma(W, \Theta_{I,e})$. Choose a point $x \in V_k$; consider a neighbourhood U of x in W and a flow $\psi : U \times (a, b) \rightarrow V_k$ (with $0 \in (a, b)$) obtained by integration of θ . We have to show that $\psi_{t*} D_k(\eta)_x = D_k(\eta)_{\psi_t(x)}$ for any $t \in (a, b)$.

In order to get lighter notation, denote by $D'(\eta)$ the projection $\pi_r^\infty(D_k(\eta))$. As $D_k(\eta)$ is r -determined, it is enough to show that $j^r \psi_{t*} D'(\eta)_x \subset D'(\eta)_{\psi_t(x)}$ for any $t \in (a, b)$ or, which is the same, that $j^r \psi_{t*}(f)$ belongs to $D'(\eta)$ for any $f \in D'(\eta)_x$. Choose $f \in D'(\eta)_x$. Define L_f to be the set whose elements are the numbers $t \in (a, b)$ such that $j^r \psi_{t*}(f)$ belongs to $D'(\eta)$. As $D'(\eta)$ is closed, the set

L_f is non-empty (as 0 belongs to it) closed subset of (a, b) . If we prove that L_f is also open, then we conclude by connectedness of (a, b) .

Consider $t \in L_f$, we need to find a neighbourhood of t included in L_f . As $\psi_{t_1} \circ \psi_{t_2} = \psi_{t_1+t_2}$, we can assume without loosing generality that $t = 0$. By noetherianity of germs of analytic subsets, there exists a neighbourhood N_f of f in $J^r(X, \tilde{I})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{A}(f)$ of \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^η such that

$$D'(\eta) \cap N_f = \left[\bigcap_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}(f)} j^r \phi_* (\pi_r^\infty(C_{|V_{k+1}|}))_{|V_k} \right] \bigcap N_f;$$

By the finiteness of $\mathcal{A}(f)$, the number $\nu := \max\{\phi \in \mathcal{A}(f) : \delta(\phi)\}$ is strictly smaller than η . Consider the compact subset $K := \bigcup_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}(f)} \phi(\bar{V}_{k+1}) \subset W$. There exists a positive ξ such that if $|t| < \xi$, the domain of definition of ψ_t contains an open neighbourhood of K and

$$\max\{||(\psi_t)(x) - x|| : x \in K\} < \eta - \nu.$$

Given any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(f)$, and t with $|t| < \xi$, the domain of definition of the composite $\psi_t \circ \phi$ is clearly a neighbourhood of \bar{V}_{k+1} . Moreover,

$$||\psi_t \circ \phi(x) - x|| \leq ||\psi_t(\phi(x)) - \phi(x)|| + ||\phi(x) - x|| < \eta - \nu + \eta = \eta$$

and, hence, $\psi_t \circ \phi$ belongs to \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^η . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} j^r \psi_{t*}(D'(\eta) \cap N_f) &= j^r \psi_{t*} \left(\left[\bigcap_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}(f)} j^r \phi_* (\pi_r^\infty(C_{|V_{k+1}|}))_{|V_k} \right] \bigcap N_f \right) \subset \\ &\subset \bigcap_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}(f)} j^r (\psi_t \circ \phi)_* (\pi_r^\infty(C_{|V_{k+1}|}))_{|V_k} \subset \bigcap_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^\eta} j^r (\phi)_* (\pi_r^\infty(C_{|V_{k+1}|}))_{|V_k} = D'(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in (-\xi, \xi)$; Therefore $(-\xi, \xi)$ is included in L_f .

Step 2: Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_l$ be vector fields generating the sheaf $\Theta_{\tilde{I},e}$ over W . Consider an open subset $U \subset W$ and a section $\theta \in \Gamma(U, \Theta_{\tilde{I},e})$. For any $x \in U$ there exists a neighbourhood U_x of x in U and analytic functions g_1, \dots, g_h such that $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^h g_i \theta_i$. Choose local coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) around x with the property that each z_i is defined on the whole \mathbb{K}^n . Having perhaps to shrink U_x , we can assume that the power series expansions of g_1, \dots, g_h with respect to these coordinates are convergent on the whole U_x . Denote by $g_i^{(l)}$ the truncation of the power series expansion of g_i at the l -th term. The functions $g_i^{(l)}$ are polynomials in the local coordinates and, hence, their domain of definition is also \mathbb{K}^n . Therefore, for each positive integer l we can define the vector field $\theta^{(l)} \in \Gamma(W, \Theta_{\tilde{I},e})$ by the formula $\theta^{(l)} = \sum_{i=1}^h g_i^{(l)} \theta_i$. The sequence of vector fields $\{\theta^{(l)}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to θ on U_x .

The following statement can be easily deduced from the continuous dependence of the solutions of differential equations with respect to a parameter (see [1] Ch. 1, § 2.8): there exists a positive integer N , an open neighbourhood $U'_x \subset U_x$ of x containing the origin, and a positive real number ξ such that

- (1) for any $l \geq N$ there exists a flow $\psi^{(l)} : U'_x \times (-\xi, \xi) \rightarrow U_x$ integrating the vector field $\theta^{(l)}$,
- (2) there exists a flow $\psi : U'_x \times (-\xi, \xi) \rightarrow U_x$ integrating the vector field θ , and the sequence of mappings $\{\psi^{(l)}\}_{l \geq N}$ converges to ψ .

Suppose that we are given any flow $\psi : U' \times (a, b) \rightarrow U$ (with $0 \in (a, b)$) integrating θ . Define L_f as in Step 1. Again, it is enough to show that L_f is open. Using that $\psi_{t_1+t_2} = \psi_{t_1} \circ \psi_{t_2}$, the proof can be reduced to the existence of $\xi > 0$ such that $(-\xi, \xi)$ is contained in L_f . Choose a positive ξ and an open neighbourhood $U'_x \subset U_x$ of x such that the flow $\psi : U'_x \times (-\xi, \xi) \rightarrow U$ is a limit of flows $\psi^{(l)} : U'_x \times (-\xi, \xi) \rightarrow U$ integrating vector fields $\{\theta^{(l)}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined on the whole W . Then $j^r \psi_{t*}(f)$ is the limit of the sequence $\{j^r \psi_{t*}^{(l)}(f)\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$. For being $\theta^{(l)}$ defined on the whole W , due to Step 1, we have $j^r \psi_{t*}^{(l)}(f) \in D'(\eta)$ for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t \in (-\xi, \xi)$. Then, as $D'(\eta)$ is closed, we have $j^r \psi_{t*}(f) \in D'(\eta)$ for any $t \in (-\xi, \xi)$. This concludes the proof of the flow-invariance of $D_k(\eta)$.

We claim that the restriction $D_{k+1}(\epsilon_{k+1})|_{V_k}$ is equal to $D_k(\epsilon_k)$. As $V_{k+1} \subset V_{k+2}$ and $\epsilon_{k+1} < \epsilon_k$, we have $\mathcal{A}_{k+2}^{\epsilon_{k+1}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^{\epsilon_k}$. Consequently, $D_{k+1}(\epsilon_{k+1})|_{V_k} \supset D_k(\epsilon_k)$. Obviously

$$\bigcap_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^{\epsilon_k}} \phi_*(D_{k+1}(\epsilon_{k+1})|_{V_k}) \subset \bigcap_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}^{\epsilon_k}} \phi_*(C|_{V_{k+1}}|_{V_k}) = D_k(\epsilon_k).$$

On the other hand, by the flow-invariance of $D_{k+1}(\epsilon_{k+1})|_{V_k}$, the first term of the last expression is equal to $D_{k+1}^{\epsilon_{k+1}}|_{V_k}$. This shows our claim. It follows that the union

$$D := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_k(\epsilon_k)$$

is a r -determined closed (C) -analytic subset of C which is flow-invariant. We define A'_{i+1} as the union of D with all the irreducible components of A_{i+1} different from C . Any two germs in the same connected component of $A_i \setminus (A'_{i+1} \cup \Gamma^{(r)})$ are topologically equivalent; indeed, it is enough to check this statement at the restriction over each V_k , and this has been already proved. Therefore, the set $A'_{i+1} \cup (\Gamma^{(r)} \cap A_i)$ contains the topological discriminant of A_i . Taking analytic parts we get $A'_{i+1} \supset A_{i+1}$, which implies that $D = C$. Consequently C is flow-invariant. \square

5. Generalization of Varchenko's method

The overall structure of the proof of Proposition 9 follows [18]. It is based on an algorithm that shows the existence of a generic R-L-topological type for any family of functions, and on a definition of the so-called optimal germs in each finite-determined locally closed analytic subset of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$. Nevertheless, a straightforward generalization of Varchenko's proof to our case does not work, mostly

because in his definition of optimal germs it is needed to perform certain modifications of functions that would take us outside the ideal sheaf we are working with. Also because he works with germs at the origin and we want to deal with a neighbourhood of it. This forces us to perform non-trivial modifications both in the algorithm and in the selection of optimal germs.

For notational convenience we recall §1.1 of [18]: let $\mathbb{C}_n[z]$ be the space of monic polynomials $z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$. It is an affine space whose coordinates are a_0, \dots, a_n . For each sequence of positive integers i_1, \dots, i_k such that $i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n$, we consider the subset of $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$ consisting of polynomials with k roots of multiplicities i_1, \dots, i_k . This defines a stratification of $\mathbb{C}_n[z]$, whose strata will be called *multiplicity strata*. For each m , we define S_m to be the union of multiplicity strata containing polynomials with less than m different roots. The set S_m is determined by a finite set of polynomial equations (with real coefficients) in a_0, \dots, a_n .

Let $U \subset \mathbb{K}^l$ be an open subset. An U -family of functions is, by definition, a \mathbb{K} -valued analytic function F defined on an open neighbourhood V of $\{O\} \times U \subset \mathbb{K}^n \times \mathbb{K}^l$. Let $T \subset U$ be a closed (C)-analytic analytic subset. A T -family of functions is the restriction to $V \cap (\mathbb{K}^n \times T)$ of a U -family of functions. With any T -family of functions, we associate its graph $\Gamma \subset [V \cap (\mathbb{K}^n \times T)] \times \mathbb{K}$, i.e. the subvariety defined by the function $P^F := u - F$, where u is the coordinate function of the target \mathbb{K} .

Suppose $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. View \mathbb{R}^n as the subset of points in \mathbb{C}^n with real coordinates. Let F be a T -family of functions. As T is C -analytic, there exists an open subset $U^* \subset \mathbb{C}^l$ and a closed analytic subset $T^* \subset U^*$ such that $U^* \cap \mathbb{R}^n = U$ and $T^* \cap U = T$. According with Proposition 16 of [12], page 105, the subsets T^* and U^* can be chosen minimal in the following sense: if T' is any other complex analytic subset of a neighbourhood of T in \mathbb{C}^n for which $T' \supset T$, then $T' \cap W \supset T^* \cap W$ for a certain neighbourhood W of T in \mathbb{C}^n . As F can be expressed locally as convergent power series, we can shrink U^* so that there is a neighbourhood V^* of V in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^l$, containing $\{O\} \times U^*$, and a complex analytic function F^* defined on V^* whose restriction to V is F . If T^* is chosen minimal, we say that the T^* -family defined by F^* is a *minimal complex extension* of the T -family defined by F . We denote by Γ^* the graph of the T^* -family defined by F^* . Clearly $\Gamma^* \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}) = \Gamma$.

Our aim is to show that generic functions of any T -family of functions are R-L-topologically equivalent. We work first for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, and then explain the necessary modifications needed for the real case.

Algorithm. Fix a coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) of \mathbb{C}^n . Consider a T -family of functions (for a certain $T \subset \mathbb{C}^l$). We describe an algorithm that constructs:

- (i) a new coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) of \mathbb{C}^n (which will be called a *suitable coordinate system*),
- (ii) a proper analytic subset $A \subset T$,
- (iii) positive continuous functions r_1, \dots, r_{n+1} defined over $T \setminus A$,
- (iv) non negative integers k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n+1} (with $k_1 = 0$),

- (v) a sequence of pseudopolynomials P_1, \dots, P_{n+1} of the form $P_{n+1} := u^{d_{n+1}}$, and

$$P_i(z_i, \dots, z_n, u, y) = z_i^{d_i} + \sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} \alpha_i^j(z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n, u, y) z_i^j,$$

for $i \leq n$, with $d_i \geq 0$ for any i , and α_i^j analytic in U_{i+1} , where

$$U_i := \{(z_i, \dots, z_n, u, y) : y \in T \setminus A, |z_i| < r_i(y), \dots, |z_n| < r_n(y), |u| < r_{n+1}(y)\},$$

with the following properties: let $\Gamma_i := V(P_i) \cup V(R_i)$, where $R_i := u^{k_i}$, then

- (1) $\Gamma_1 \cap U_1 = \Gamma \cap U_1$.
- (2) For each $i \leq n$ the polynomials $P_i(z_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b, c)$ are in the same multiplicity stratum as polynomials in z_i if $(a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b, c) \in U_{i+1} \setminus \Gamma_{i+1}$.
- (3) The roots of the polynomial $P_i(z_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b, c)$ are in the disc of radius $r_i(c)$ for any $(a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b, c) \in U_{i+1}$.
- (4) $\alpha_i^j(0, \dots, 0, y) = 0$ for any i, j .

Notation 15. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_n, u\}$, we define

$$\text{mult}'(f) := \text{mult}(f(z_1, \dots, z_n, 0)), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{wideg}_{z_1}(f) := \text{mult}(f(z_1, 0, \dots, 0)).$$

Now we describe the algorithm under the assumption that $P^F(0, \dots, 0, y) = 0$ for any $y \in T$ (otherwise the existence of the claimed objects is easy):

Step 1: As $P^F(0, \dots, 0, y) = 0$ for any $y \in T$, and $u \nmid P^F$, we deduce that $0 < \text{mult}'(P^F(\cdot, \dots, \cdot, y)) < \infty$ for any $y \in T$. Define

$$d_1 := \min\{\text{mult}'(P^F(\cdot, \dots, \cdot, y)) : y \in T\}.$$

Considering new coordinates $(z_1, z_2^1, \dots, z_n^1)$ of \mathbb{C}^n related to (x_1, \dots, x_n) by the formulas $x_1 := z_1$, $x_i := z_1^i + \lambda_i^1 z_1$ for $i > 1$, where the λ_i^1 's are generic, we deduce that $\text{wideg}_{z_1}(P^F(\cdot, \dots, \cdot, y)) = d_1$ for certain $y \in T$.

Define

$$A_1 := \{y \in T : \text{wideg}_{z_1}(P^F(\cdot, \dots, \cdot, y)) > d_1\}.$$

Clearly A_1 is a proper analytic subset of T .

By Weierstrass Preparation Theorem applied in a neighbourhood of the set

$$\{(0, \dots, 0, y) : y \in T \setminus A_1\},$$

there exists a neighbourhood V_1 of $T \setminus A_1$ in \mathbb{C}^l , and positive continuous functions $r_1, r_2^1, \dots, r_{n+1}^1$ defined on V_1 such that P^F decomposes on the set

$$\{(z_1, z_2^1, \dots, z_n^1, u, y) : y \in V_1, |z_1| < r_1(y), \dots, |z_n^1| < r_n^1(y), |u| < r_{n+1}^1(y)\}$$

as $P^F = \phi P_1$, where

- the function ϕ is analytic and does not vanish at any point of the set and

- P_1 is a pseudopolynomial of the form

$$P_1(z_1, z_2^1, \dots, z_n^1, u, y) = z_1^{d_1} + \sum_{j=0}^{d_1-1} \alpha_1^j(z_2^1, \dots, z_n^1, u, y) z_1^j,$$

such that its coefficients α_1^j are analytic on the set

$$\{(z_2^1, \dots, z_n^1, u, y) : y \in V_1, |z_2^1| < r_2^1(y), \dots, |z_n^1| < r_n^1(y), |u| < r_{n+1}^1(y)\}.$$

Set $k_1 = 0$. Choosing r_2^1, \dots, r_{n+1}^1 small enough we ensure that Property 3 is satisfied for P_1 .

Step i (for $1 < i \leq n$): in the previous step we have constructed a system of coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_i^{i-1}, \dots, z_n^{i-1}$ of \mathbb{C}^n , a proper analytic subset $A_{i-1} \subset T$, positive continuous functions $r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i^{i-1}, r_{n+1}^{i-1}$, a neighbourhood V_{i-1} of $T \setminus A_{i-1}$ in \mathbb{C}^i and a pseudopolynomial $P_{i-1} = z_{i-1}^{d_{i-1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{d_{i-1}-1} \alpha_{i-1}^j z_{i-1}^j$ such that the functions α_{i-1}^j are analytic on the set U_i'' defined by

$$\{(z_i^{i-1}, \dots, z_n^{i-1}, u, y) : y \in V_{i-1}, |z_i^{i-1}| < r_i^{i-1}(y), \dots, |z_n^{i-1}| < r_n^{i-1}(y), |u| < r_{n+1}^{i-1}(y)\}.$$

Consider P_{i-1} as a family of polynomials of $\mathbb{C}_{d_{i-1}}[z_{i-1}]$ parametrized by the set U_i' defined by

$$\{(z_i^{i-1}, \dots, y) : y \in T \setminus A_{i-1}, |z_i^{i-1}| < r_i^{i-1}(y), \dots, |z_n^{i-1}| < r_n^{i-1}(y), |u| < r_{n+1}^{i-1}(y)\}.$$

Since T is irreducible there exists a multiplicity stratum of $\mathbb{C}_{d_{i-1}}[z_{i-1}]$ whose closure contains all the polynomials of this family, and such that there is a polynomial of the family belonging precisely to this stratum. Let this stratum contain polynomials with m_i different roots. Let G_1, \dots, G_{k_i} be the polynomials in the variables $a_0, \dots, a_{d_{i-1}}$ determining the set S_{m_i} in $\mathbb{C}_{d_{i-1}}[z_{i-1}]$. We order them so that the first s_i of them (for a certain positive s_i) are the polynomials that does not vanish identically in U_i' when we substitute the variables a_j 's by the functions α_{i-1}^j 's. Define an analytic function on the set U_i'' by the formula

$$P_i' := \prod_{j=1}^{s_i} G_j(\alpha_{i-1}^0, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}^{d_{i-1}-1}).$$

Having perhaps to substitute r_{n+1}^{i-1} by another smaller positive continuous function, we can assume that P_i' admits a unique expression in U_i'' as

$$P_i' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k u^k,$$

where ψ_k is an analytic function on U_i'' not depending on u . Let k_i' be minimal such that the restriction of $\psi_{k_i'}|_{U_i'}$ is not identically zero. Define $P_i'' := \sum_{k=k_i'}^{\infty} \psi_k u^k$. Clearly $P_i''|_{U_i'} = P_i'|_{U_i'}$. Define $k_i := k_{i-1} + k_i'$, and $P_i''' := P_i''/u^{k_i'}$. Clearly we have

$$d_i := \min\{\text{mult}'(P_i'''(0, \dots, 0, y)) : y \in T \setminus A_{i-1}\} < \infty.$$

We consider several cases:

CASE 1 ($d_i = 0$): We choose the definitive coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) equal to $(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_i^{i-1}, \dots, z_n^{i-1})$. Define the closed analytic proper subset A as

$$A := A_{i-1} \cup \{y \in T \setminus A_{i-1} : \text{mult}'(P_i''', \cdot, \dots, \cdot, y) > 0\}.$$

Define $P_i = \dots = P_{n+1} = 1$, take $k_{n+1} = \dots = k_{i+1} = 0$ and choose, for any $j \geq i$, the positive continuous function r_j upper-bounded by r_j^{i-1} and small enough so that the intersection $\{P_i'' = 0\} \cap U_i$ is empty. The algorithm concludes here.

CASE 2 ($d_i > 0$): We consider new coordinates $(z_1, \dots, z_i, z_{i+1}^i, \dots, z_n^i)$ related to the previous ones by the formulas $z_i^{i-1} = z_i$ and $z_j^{i-1} = z_j^i + \lambda_j^i z_i$ for $j > i$. Choosing the λ_j^i 's generic, we obtain that the set

$$A_i := A_{i-1} \cup \{y \in T \setminus A_{i-1} : \text{wideg}_{z_i}(P_i''') > d_i\}$$

is a proper analytic subset of T .

By Weierstrass Preparation Theorem applied in a neighbourhood of the set

$$\{(0, \dots, 0, y) : y \in T \setminus A_i\},$$

there exists a neighbourhood V_i of $T \setminus A_i$ in \mathbb{C}^l and positive continuous functions $r_i, r_{i+1}^i, \dots, r_{n+1}^i$ such that P_i'' decomposes on the set

$$\{(z_i, z_{i+1}^i, \dots, z_n^i, u, y) : y \in V_i, |z_i| < r_i(y), \dots, |z_n^i| < r_n^i(y), |u| < r_{n+1}^i(y)\}$$

as $P_i'' = \phi P_i$, where

- the function ϕ is analytic and does not vanish at any point of the set,
- P_i is a pseudopolynomial of the form

$$P_i(z_i, z_{i+1}^i, \dots, z_n^i, u, y) = z_i^{d_i} + \sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} \alpha_i^j(z_{i+1}^i, \dots, z_n^i, u, y) z_i^j,$$

such that its coefficients α_i^j are analytic on

$$\{(z_{i+1}^i, \dots, z_n^i, u, y) : y \in V_i, |z_{i+1}^i| < r_{i+1}^i(y), \dots, |z_n^i| < r_n^i(y), |u| < r_{n+1}^i(y)\}.$$

Choosing $r_{i+1}^i, \dots, r_{n+1}^i$ small enough we ensure that Property 3 is satisfied for P_i .

Step $n+1$: this step runs parallel to the induction step (*Step i*). As u is the only variable of P_{i+1}' we must be in Case 1. This concludes the algorithm.

The real case: Suppose now that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Consider $T \subset U$, a closed C -analytic subset contained in an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Let V be an open neighbourhood of $\{O\} \times U$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l$. Let $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define a T -family of functions. Consider $T^* \subset U^* \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and a complex analytic function $F^* : V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (where V^* is a neighbourhood of $\{O\} \times U^*$ in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^l$) such that the T^* -family given by F is a minimal complex extension of the T -family defined by F . It is easy to check that the preceding algorithm can be applied to the T^* -family F^* so that:

- (1) The initial coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) is a real coordinate system when restricted to \mathbb{R}^n . In each step we choose a change of coordinates with *real* matrix, so that the new coordinate functions (z_1, \dots, z_n) of \mathbb{C}^n form a real coordinate system when restricted to \mathbb{R}^n .
- (2) The subset $A \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ is a proper C -analytic subset of T .
- (3) For any $i \leq n$ and $j \leq d_i - 1$, the function α_i^j assumes real values when z_i, \dots, z_n, u, y are real.

Given a T -family of functions F , for any $t \in T$ we will denote by $F|_t$ the germ at the origin given by the restriction of F to $\mathbb{K}^n \times \{t\}$.

Proposition 16. *Let \mathbb{K} be either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Let F be a T -family of functions. Let $A \subset T$ be the subset constructed in the preceding algorithm. For any t, t' in the same connected component of $T \setminus A$, the functions $F|_t$ and $F|_{t'}$ are topologically R - L -equivalent.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Let Γ be the graph of F . View Γ as a family of analytic hypersurfaces parametrized by T . Proposition 3.1 of [18] can be adapted to apply (with minor changes in its proof) to this setting. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, we consider a T^* -family F^* which is a minimal complex extension of the T -family F . Let Γ^* be the graph of F^* . Then, Proposition 3.2 of [18] can be adapted to apply (with changes in its proof) to the family of hypersurfaces Γ^* .

Notice that we have designed our algorithm so that the matrix $(c_{i,j})$ relating the coordinate systems (x_1, \dots, x_n, u) and (z_1, \dots, z_n, u) of $\mathbb{K}^n \times \mathbb{K}$ has block form $c_{n+1,i} = c_{i,n+1}$ for any $i \neq n+1$. Since we are able to adapt Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 of [18], the proofs of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 of [18] apply word by word in our case. Applying them respectively in the complex and real case, our result follows. \square

Let X be any \tilde{I} -stratum of W . Let $T \subset J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ be an irreducible (C) -analytic subset. Before proving Proposition 9, we have to distinguish a special class of germs in T , which will be called *optimal germs*. This class is, in a certain sense, “finitely determined and open”. We select the germs that we will call optimal in the following way:

Search for Optimal Germs. The differences between the algorithm described above and Varchenko’s algorithm force us to also introduce some different features in the selection of optimal germs. In particular, Varchenko’s search for optimal germs can be performed with *any coordinate system of \mathbb{K}^n* . This will not be the case in our situation: as the search for optimal germs advances, we will need to modify our original coordinate system, getting at the end a new one that will be regarded as *good coordinate system with respect to T* . We will proceed in several stages in which we will select smaller subsets of T each time.

We fix an initial coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) for \mathbb{K}^n . We view any $f \in$

$J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ as a convergent power series in $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ by taking its Taylor expansion at $pr_\infty(f)$.

Stage 1. Given any $f \in T$ we consider $P^f = u - f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n, u\}$. Obviously, $\text{mult}'(P^f) < \infty$ and, therefore,

$$d_1 := \min\{\text{mult}'(P^f) : f \in T\} < \infty.$$

Considering z_1, z_2^1, \dots, z_n^1 , a new coordinate system of \mathbb{K}^n related with the old one by formulas of the form $x_1 = z_1$, $x_i = z_i^1 + \lambda_i^1 z_1$, with the λ_i^1 's real and generic enough, we obtain that $\min\{\text{wideg}_{z_1}(P^f) : f \in T\} = d_1$. Define the non-empty set

$$\mathcal{E}_1^1 := \{f \in T / \text{wideg}_{z_1}(P^f) = d_1\}.$$

By Weierstrass Preparation Theorem, given any $f \in \mathcal{E}_1^1$, it is possible to find positive numbers $r_1(f), \dots, r_{n+1}(f)$ such that P^f can be decomposed over the open subset

$$U_1^1(f) := \{(z_1, z_2^1, \dots, z_n^1, u) : |z_1| \leq r_1(f), \dots, |u| \leq r_{n+1}(f)\}$$

as $P^f = \phi_1 P_1[f]$, where ϕ_1 does not vanish anywhere in U_1 and $P_1[f]$ is a pseudopolynomial of the form

$$P_1[f](z_1, \dots, u) := z_1^{d_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{d_1-1} z_1^j \alpha_1^j[f](z_2^1, \dots, u).$$

View $P_1[f]$ as a family of polynomials of $\mathbb{C}_{d_1}[z_1]$ (even when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$) parametrized by the open subset

$$U_2^1(f) := \{(z_2^1, \dots, z_n^1, u) : |z_2^1| \leq r_2(f), \dots, |u| \leq r_{n+1}(f)\}.$$

There exists a stratum $S_1(f)$ of $\mathbb{C}_{d_1}[z_1]$ whose closure contains the whole family, and such that there is a member of the family belonging to it. Let $m_1(f)$ be the number of roots of a generic element of $S_1(f)$. Define $m_1^0 := \max\{m_1(f) : f \in \mathcal{E}_1^1\}$, and let S_1^0 be a stratum of $\mathbb{C}_{d_1}[z_1]$ such that there is $f \in \mathcal{E}_1^1$ with $S_1(f) = S_1^0$ and $m_1(f) = m_1^0$. Define the non-empty set

$$\mathcal{E}_1^2 := \{f \in \mathcal{E}_1^1 / S_1(f) := S_1^0\}.$$

Let $z_1^{d_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{d_1-1} a_j z_1^j$ be a generic polynomial $\mathbb{C}_{d_1}[z_1]$. There are polynomials Q_1, \dots, Q_s (with real coefficients) in the variables a_0, \dots, a_{d_1-1} whose set of common zeros determines the set of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}_{d_1}[z_1]$ with less than m_1^0 roots. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_1^2$. Denote by $T_i[f]$ the analytic functions in z_2^1, \dots, z_n^1, u obtained substituting in Q_1 the a_j 's by the $\alpha_1^j[f]$'s. Define $s_1(f)$ to be the number of $T_j[f]$'s that do not vanish identically in $U_2(f)$. Clearly, $s_1(f) > 0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{E}_1^2$. Define $s_1^0 := \max\{s_1(f) : f \in \mathcal{E}_1^2\}$. Choose $f \in \mathcal{E}_1^2$ such that $s_1(f) = s_1^0$. Up to a re-ordering we can assume that

$$P_2'[f] := \prod_{i=1}^{s_1^0} T_i[f]$$

does not vanish identically in $U_2(f)$. Define the non-empty set

$$\mathcal{E}_1^3 := \{f \in \mathcal{E}_2^1 / P_2'[f]|_{U_2(f)} \neq 0\}.$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{E}_1^3$ we let $k_1(f)$ be the maximal power of u which divides $P_2'[f]$. We define $k_1^0 := \min\{k_1(f) : f \in \mathcal{E}_1^3\}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_1 := \{f \in \mathcal{E}_3^1 / k_1(f) = k_1^0\},$$

and, for any $f \in \mathcal{E}_1$,

$$P_2''[f] := P_2'[f] / u^{k_1^0}.$$

Stage i (for $1 < i \leq n$). In the previous stage we have chosen a coordinate system $z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_i^{i-1}, \dots, z_n^{i-1}$ of \mathbb{K}^n and set of germs \mathcal{E}_{i-1} . Moreover, for each $f \in \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$, we have given positive numbers $r_1(f), \dots, r_{n+1}(f)$ and an analytic function $P_i''[f]$ defined on

$$U_i^{i-1}(f) = \{(z_i^{i-1}, \dots, z_n^{i-1}, u) : |z_i^{i-1}| < r_i(f), \dots, |u| < r_{n+1}(f)\}$$

such that $u \nmid P_i''[f]$ (hence $\text{mult}'(P_i''[f]) < \infty$). Consider

$$d_i := \min\{\text{mult}'(P_i''[f]) : f \in \mathcal{E}_{i-1}\}.$$

Define $\{z_i, z_{i+1}^i, \dots, z_n^i\}$ by the formulas $z_i^{i-1} = z_i$ and $z_j^{i-1} = z_j^i + \lambda_j^i z_i$, with λ_j^i real for $j > i$. For any $f \in \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$, we express $P_i''[f]$ respect to the new variables $z_1, \dots, z_i, z_{i+1}^i, \dots, z_n^i, u$. Then, if the λ_j^i 's are chosen generic enough, there exists $f \in \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ such that $\text{wideg}_{z_i}(P_i''[f]) = d_i$. Define the non-empty set

$$\mathcal{E}_i^1 := \{f \in \mathcal{E}_{i-1} : \text{wideg}_{z_i}(P_i''[f]) = d_i\}.$$

For each $f \in \mathcal{E}_i^1$ we can diminish the numbers $r_i(f), \dots, r_{n+1}(f)$ so that the function $P_i''[f]$ can be decomposed over the open subset

$$U_1^i(f) := \{(z_i, z_{i+1}^i, \dots, z_n^i, u) : |z_i| \leq r_i(f), \dots, |u| \leq r_{n+1}(f)\}$$

as $P_i''[f] = \phi_i P_i[f]$, where ϕ_i does not vanish anywhere in U_1^i and $P_i[f]$ is a pseudopolynomial of the form

$$P_i[f](z_i, \dots, u) := z_i^{d_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} z_i^j \alpha_i^j[f](z_{i+1}^i, \dots, u).$$

View $P_i[f]$ as a family of polynomials of $\mathbb{C}_{d_i}[z_i]$ parametrized by the open subset

$$U_2^i(f) := \{(z_{i+1}^i, \dots, z_n^i, u) : |z_{i+1}^i| \leq r_{i+1}(f), \dots, |u| \leq r_{n+1}(f)\}.$$

By analogy with Stage 1, we define numbers m_i^0, s_i^0, k_i^0 , a stratum $S_i^0 \in \mathbb{C}_{d_i}[z_i]$, and a decreasing sequence of subsets $\mathcal{E}_i^1 \supset \mathcal{E}_i^2 \supset \mathcal{E}_i^3 \supset \mathcal{E}_i$. Moreover, for each function $f \in \mathcal{E}_i$ we construct functions $P_{i+1}'[f]$ and $P_i''[f]$ analytic in z_{i+1}^i, \dots, u , such that $P_{i+1}'[f] = u^{k_i^0} P_i''[f]$ and $u \nmid P_i''[f]$.

Stage n + 1. For any $f \in \mathcal{E}_n$, the function $P_n''[f]$ is a unit in $\mathbb{K}\{u\}$. Moreover, in the previous stage we have constructed a coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) of \mathbb{K}^n

which will be said to be a *good coordinate system with respect to T* . We will define the subset

$$\mathcal{E}_T \subset \pi_n^{-1}(T) \quad (21)$$

of *optimal germs of $\pi_n^{-1}(T)$ with respect to the coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n)* as $\mathcal{E}_T := \mathcal{E}_n$. This finishes the Search for Optimal Germs.

Proof of Proposition 9. We will start by proving that being optimal respect to a fixed good coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) is a finitely determined property, i.e., that there exists $s > r$ with the following property: given any $x \in X$ and any $g \in \tilde{I}_x \cap \mathbf{m}_x^{s+1}$, a germ $f \in T_x$ belongs to \mathcal{E}_T if and only if $f + g$ belongs to \mathcal{E}_T . By convenience of the reader we repeat the statement of Proposition 4.2 of [18]:

(†) *For any two natural numbers k and p there exists a third one $L(k, p)$ such that for any $f \in \mathbb{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ with $\text{wideg}_{z_1}(f) = k$ and $g \in \mathbf{m}^{L(k, p)}$ the following property holds: consider analytic functions ϕ, ϕ', P, P' , such that $\phi(O) \neq 0$, $\phi'(O) \neq 0$ and $f = P\phi$, $f + g = P'\phi'$ in some neighbourhood of O , where P and P' are Weierstrass polynomials in z_1 of degree k . Then $\phi - \phi'$ and $P - P'$ are in \mathbf{m}^p .*

In order to choose s we define the following numbers recursively:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &:= k_{n-1}^0 + \max\{d_n + 1, L(d_n, d_n + k_n^0)\}, \\ a_i &:= k_{i-1}^0 + \max\{d_i + 1, L(d_i, a_{i+1} + d_i)\} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ a_1 &:= \max\{d_1 + 1, L(d_1, a_2 + d_1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $s := a_1$. With this choice, taking into account (†) along the procedure of Search for Optimal Germs, it is easy to show that given $f \in T_x$ and $g \in \tilde{I}_x \cap \mathbf{m}_x^{s+1}$ then $f \in \mathcal{E}_T$, if and only if $f + g \in \mathcal{E}_T$.

Now we suppose that $f \in \mathcal{E}_T$. We will show that f and $f + g$ are topologically equivalent. Consider a coordinate function y for the affine line $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$, and define the $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ -family $F := f + yg$. The germ $F|_y$ is optimal respect to (z_1, \dots, z_n) for any $y \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ for being $yg \in \tilde{I}_x \cap \mathbf{m}_x^{s+1}$. It is easy to check that the algorithm can be applied to the family F , taking as initial coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) and having in each step the *trivial* coordinate change. Denote by A the subset of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ constructed in the algorithm. The Search for Optimal Germs has been designed in a compatible way with the algorithm so that $F|_y$ is optimal with respect to (z_1, \dots, z_n) if and only if $y \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1 \setminus A$. Therefore, $A = \emptyset$. Applying Proposition 16 we obtain the topological equivalence of $f = F|_0$ and $f + g = F|_1$.

We are ready to prove the statement of the proposition. Recall that \tilde{I} is generated over W by the functions f_1, \dots, f_m . Given any $x \in W$, we denote by $f_{i,x}$ the Taylor expansion of f_i at x . Consider the \mathbb{K} -vector space E of polynomials of degree bounded by S , let $\{g_1, \dots, g_N\}$ be a basis of V . The product $W \times V^m$ is an open subset of \mathbb{K}^{n+mN} , and a point of it is represented by a $mN + 1$ -uple $(x, \lambda_1^1, \dots, \lambda_N^1, \dots, \lambda_1^m, \dots, \lambda_N^m)$, with $x \in W$ and $\lambda_j^i \in \mathbb{K}$. Consider the

$W \times V^m$ -family defined by the unique analytic function F in a neighbourhood of $\{O\} \times W \times V^m$ in $\mathbb{K}^n \times W \times V^m$ satisfying

$$F|_{(x, h_j^i)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^N g_j f_{i,x}$$

for any $(x, h_j^i) \in W \times V^m$. Associated with F , we have a natural analytic mapping

$$\psi : W \times V^m \rightarrow J^s(W, \mathbb{K})$$

which assigns to (x, h_j^i) the s -jet of the germ $F|_{(x, h_j^i)}$ viewed as a germ at x . As T is a r -determined (C) -analytic subset of $J^\infty(X, \tilde{I})$ and $s > r$, the subset $T' := \psi_s^{-1}(\pi_s^\infty(T))$ is a closed (C) -analytic subset of $(W \setminus \partial X) \times V^m$. Therefore, we can consider the T' -family of functions obtained by restriction of F .

It is easy to check that $\psi(T') = \pi_s^\infty(T)$. Therefore, there exists $t' \in T'$ such that $\psi(t')$ is the s -jet of an optimal germ of T with respect to the coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) . Taking into account the way we have designed the algorithm and the Search for Optimal Germs, and the fact that being optimal with respect to the fixed coordinate system is an s -determined property, it follows easily that

- (1) the algorithm can be applied to the T' -family of functions F choosing at each stage the trivial coordinate change;
- (2) a point $t' \in T'$ belongs to the (C) -analytic proper subset $A' \subset T'$ constructed in the algorithm if and only if $\psi(t')$ is not the s -jet of an optimal germ of T with respect to the fixed coordinate system.

Therefore, A' is of the form $\psi^{-1}(A'')$ with $A'' \subset J^s(X, \tilde{I})$. The fact that A'' is (C) -analytic is easily deduced from the facts that $\psi|_{X \times V^m} : X \times V^m \rightarrow J^s(X, \tilde{I})$ is an epimorphism of trivial analytic vector bundles, and that A' is (C) -analytic. Define A as the s determined (C) -analytic subset $A := (\pi_s^\infty)^{-1}(A'')$.

Consider f, f' in the same connected component of $T \setminus A$. Then there exist t, t' in the same connected component of $T' \setminus A'$ such that $\psi(t) = \pi_s^\infty(f)$ and $\psi(t') = \pi_s^\infty(f')$. By Proposition 16 we have that $F|_t$ and $F|_{t'}$ are topologically equivalent. For being $t, t' \notin A$, the germs $F|_t$ and $F|_{t'}$ are optimal with respect with the coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) . As f and f' have respectively the same s -jet that $F|_t$ and $F|_{t'}$, and optimal germs are topologically s -determined, we conclude the topological equivalence of f and f' . \square

References

- [1] D. V. Anosov, S. Kh. Aranson, V. I. Arnold, I. U. Bronshtein, V. Z. Grines and Yu. S. Il'yashenko, *Ordinary Differential Equations and Smooth Dynamical Systems*, Springer, 1997.
- [2] E. Bierstone and P. D. Milman, Relations among analytic functions I, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **37**, no. 1 (1987), 187–239.
- [3] F. Bruhat and H. Whitney, Quelques propriétés fondamentales des ensembles analytiques-réels, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* **33**, no. 1 (1959), 132–160.

- [4] H. Cartan, Variétés analytiques réelles et variétés analytiques complexes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **85** (1957), 77–79.
- [5] J. Fernández de Bobadilla, Generic approximations of non-isolated singularities of finite codimension with respect to an i.c.i.s, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **35** no. 6 (2003), 812–816.
- [6] J. Fernández de Bobadilla, Relative Morsification Theory, *Topology* **43** no. 4 (2004), 925–982.
- [7] E. Looijenga, *Structural stability of families of C^∞ functions and the canonical stratification of $C^\infty(N)$* , Thesis, Amsterdam University. Preprint I.H.E.S., 1974.
- [8] T. de Jong, Some classes of line singularities, *Math. Zeitschr.* **198** (1998), 493–517.
- [9] R. Pellikaan, Finite determinacy of functions with non-isolated singularities, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **57** (1998), 357–382.
- [10] R. Pellikaan, *Deformations of hypersurfaces with a one dimensional singular locus*, *J. Pure. Appl. Algebra* **67**, no. 1 (1990), 49–71.
- [11] A. du Plessis, On the genericity of topologically finitely-determined map-germs, *Topology* **21**, no. 2, 131–156.
- [12] R. Narashiman, *Introduction to the Theory of Analytic Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **25**, Springer, 1966.
- [13] A. Nemethi, *Hypersurface singularities with 2-dimensional critical locus*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **59** (1999), 922–938.
- [14] D. Siersma, Isolated line singularities, in: *Singularities* (ed. P. Orlik), *Proc. Symp pure math.* **40:2**, (Amer. Math. Soc., 1983), 485–496.
- [15] D. Siersma, Singularities with critical locus a complete intersection and transversal type A_1 , *Topology and its Appl.* **27** (1987), 51–73.
- [16] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- [17] R. Thom, Local topological properties of differentiable mappings, in: *Differential Analysis* (Bombay Colloq., 1964) Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1964, 191–202.
- [18] A. N. Varchenko, Local topological properties of analytic mappings, *Math. USSR Izvestija* **7**, no. 4 (1973), 883–917.
- [19] A. N. Varchenko, Local topological properties of differentiable mappings, *Math. USSR Izvestija* **8** (1974), 1033–1082.
- [20] A. Zaharia, Topological properties of certain singularities with critical locus a 2-dimensional complete intersection, *Topology and its Appl.* **60** (1994), 153–171.

J. Fernández de Bobadilla
 Mathematisch Instituut
 Universiteit Utrecht
 Postbus 80010
 3508TA Utrecht
 The Netherlands
 e-mail: bobadilla@math.uu.nl

(Received: October 30, 2002)



To access this journal online:
<http://www.birkhauser.ch>
