

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 77 (2002)

**Artikel:** Logarithmic cohomology of the complement of a plane curve  
**Autor:** Calderón Moreno, Francisco J. / Mond, David / Narváez Macarro, Luis  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57917>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 01.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Logarithmic cohomology of the complement of a plane curve

Francisco J. Calderón Moreno<sup>1,\*</sup>, David Mond, Luis Narváez Macarro<sup>1</sup>  
and Francisco J. Castro Jiménez<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** Let  $D, x$  be a plane curve germ. We prove that the complex  $\Omega^\bullet(\log D)_x$  computes the cohomology of the complement of  $D, x$  *only if*  $D$  is quasihomogeneous. This is a partial converse to a theorem of [5], which asserts that this complex does compute the cohomology of the complement, whenever  $D$  is a locally weighted homogeneous free divisor (and so in particular when  $D$  is a quasihomogeneous plane curve germ). We also give an example of a free divisor  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^3$  which is not locally weighted homogeneous, but for which this (second) assertion continues to hold.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).** Primary 32S20; Secondary 32S40, 14F40.

**Keywords.** Free divisor, logarithmic de Rham complex, plane curve, local quasi-homogeneity.

### 1. Introduction

In [5] the last three authors showed that if  $D$  is a locally quasi-homogeneous free divisor in the complex manifold  $X$  then locally the complex  $\Omega^\bullet(\log D)$  of holomorphic differential forms with logarithmic poles along  $D$  calculates the cohomology of the complement of  $D$  in  $X$ . More precisely, the following two equivalent statements hold:

**Theorem 1.1.** *With  $D$  as above,*

1. *If  $V \subset X$  is a Stein open set then the de Rham map (integration of forms over cycles) gives rise to an isomorphism*

$$h^k(\Gamma(V, \Omega^\bullet(\log D))) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(V \setminus D; \mathbb{C}).$$

2. *Denoting by  $U$  the complement of  $D$  in  $X$  and by  $j : U \hookrightarrow X$  the inclusion, the de Rham morphism gives rise to an isomorphism*

$$\Omega^\bullet(\log D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}j_*(\mathbb{C}_U). \quad \square$$

\*Supported by MEC of Spain and EPSRC of United Kingdom.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by PB97-0723.

By analogy with Grothendieck's Comparison Theorem [8], in which the complex  $\Omega^\bullet(\log D)$  is replaced in these two statements by  $\Omega^\bullet(*D)$ , but which holds for an arbitrary divisor, we summarise this with a slogan: if  $D \hookrightarrow X$  is a locally quasi-homogeneous free divisor then the *logarithmic comparison theorem* holds.

The definition of local quasi-homogeneity, (called *strong* quasi-homogeneity in [5]), is as follows:

**Definition 1.2.**

1. The polynomial  $h(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} z_1^{i_1} \dots z_n^{i_n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  is *weighted homogeneous* if there exist positive integer weights  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  such that  $h(z_1^{w_1}, \dots, z_n^{w_n})$  is homogeneous.
2. The divisor  $D \subset X$  is *locally quasi-homogeneous* if for all  $x \in D$  there are local coordinates on  $X$ , centered at  $x$ , with respect to which  $D$  has a weighted homogeneous defining equation.

Every plane curve is a free divisor, since the module of logarithmic vector fields  $\text{Der}(\log D)$  is reflexive and thus has depth at least 2. In [4, Cor. 4.2.2] the first author showed that if  $D$  is a plane curve then the logarithmic de Rham complex  $\Omega^\bullet(\log D)$  is perverse, a necessary condition for the logarithmic comparison theorem.

In [6] the logarithmic comparison theorem has been tested for the following non locally quasi-homogeneous plane curve (cf. [9]):  $D = \{f = x_1^4 + x_2^5 + x_2^4 x_1 = 0\} \subset X = \mathbb{C}^2$ . A basis for  $\text{Der}(\log D)$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= (16x_1^2 + 20x_1x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + (12x_1x_2 + 16x_2^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\ \delta_2 &= (16x_1x_2^2 + 4x_2^3 - 125x_1x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + (12x_2^3 - 4x_1^2 + 5x_1x_2 - 100x_2^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}_X$  be the sheaf of linear differential operators with holomorphic coefficients on  $X$  and  $I$  the left  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -ideal generated by  $\delta_1, \delta_2$ . By [4, Th. 4.2.1], we have a (canonical) isomorphism (in the derived category)

$$\Omega^\bullet(\log D) \simeq \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X/I, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

and so we can compute the characteristic cycle  $CC(\Omega^\bullet(\log D))$  as the cycle  $Z$  in  $T^*X$  determined by the ideal  $J = \sigma(I)$  generated by the principal symbols of elements in  $I$ . The symbols  $\sigma_1 = \sigma(\delta_1), \sigma_2 = \sigma(\delta_2)$  form a regular sequence in  $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$  and so, by [4, Prop. 4.1.2], the ideal  $J$  is generated by  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ . An easy computation shows that the multiplicity of the conormal at 0 in  $Z$  is 4. On the other hand, the multiplicity of the conormal at 0 in  $CC(\mathbf{R}j_*(\mathbb{C}_U))$  is equal to  $\text{mult}_0(D) - 1 = 3$  (cf. [3]), and so the logarithmic comparison theorem does not hold for  $D$ .

For the family of non locally quasi-homogeneous plane curves (cf. [9])

$$x_1^q + x_2^p + x_2^{p-1}x_1 = 0, \quad p \geq q + 1 \geq 5,$$

the multiplicities of the conormal at 0 in  $CC(\Omega^\bullet(\log D))$  and in  $CC(\mathbf{R}j_*(\mathbb{C}_U))$  are  $2(q-2)$  and  $q-1$  respectively, and so these curves also do not satisfy the logarithmic comparison theorem.

A natural question is therefore whether or not the logarithmic comparison theorem holds for a given free divisor.

The purpose of this paper is to prove a partial converse to Theorem 1.1. We prove:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $D$  be a reduced plane curve. If the logarithmic comparison theorem holds for  $D$ , then  $D$  is locally quasi-homogeneous.*

Our proof shows that if  $h$  is a local equation of  $D$ , and the logarithmic comparison theorem holds, then there is a vector field germ  $\chi$  such that  $\chi \cdot h = h$ . As a reduced curve has isolated singularities, we can then apply the theorem of K. Saito [10]: if  $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$  has isolated singularity and  $h$  belongs to its Jacobian ideal  $J_h$  then in suitable coordinates  $h$  is weighted homogeneous.

We conjecture that in higher dimensions the following version of our Theorem 1.3 holds:

**Conjecture 1.4.** *If  $D \hookrightarrow X$  is a free divisor and if the logarithmic comparison theorem holds, then for all  $x \in D$  there is a local equation  $h$  for  $D$  around  $x$ , and a germ of vector field  $\chi$  vanishing at  $x$  such that  $\chi \cdot h = h$ .*

A singular free divisor of dimension greater than 1 has non-isolated singularities, so even if this conjecture is true, Saito's theorem cannot be used to deduce local quasi-homogeneity. Indeed, it is *not* true in higher dimensions that if the logarithmic comparison theorem holds for a free divisor  $D$  then  $D$  is necessarily locally quasi-homogeneous. This is shown by an example in Section 4 below: the logarithmic comparison theorem holds for the free divisor

$$D = \{(x, y, z) : xy(x+y)(zx+y) = 0\}$$

(the total space of a family of four lines in the plane with varying cross-ratio, cf. [4]), in the neighbourhood of  $(0, 0, \lambda)$ , with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ; however it is well known that this divisor is not locally quasi-homogeneous. On the other hand, it does satisfy Conjecture 1.4.

Adrian Langer has indicated to us that he has subsequently found a shorter proof of Theorem 1.3, using globalisation and a comparison of Chern classes<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup>Added on November 2001.

## 2. Preliminary results

In this section we recall the spectral sequence argument used in [5] to compare the cohomology of the logarithmic complex  $\Omega^\bullet(\log D)$  with the cohomology of  $X \setminus D$ . Except for referring to “local” rather than “strong” quasi-homogeneity, we will use the same notation as [5].

Without loss of generality we assume  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$  with coordinates  $z_i$  and  $x_0 = 0$ . Let  $V$  be a Stein neighbourhood (sufficiently small) of 0, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the open cover of  $V \setminus \{0\}$  consisting of the sets  $U_i = V \cap \{z_i \neq 0\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}'$  be the open cover of  $V \setminus D$  consisting of the open sets  $U'_i = (V \setminus D) \cap \{z_i \neq 0\} = U_i \setminus D$ .

We consider the two double complexes

$$K^{p,q} = \check{C}^q(\mathcal{U}, \Omega^p(\log D))$$

and

$$\tilde{K}^{p,q} = \check{C}^q(\mathcal{U}', \Omega^p),$$

equipped with the exterior derivative  $d$  (the horizontal differential) and the Čech differential  $\delta$  (the vertical differential). There is an obvious restriction morphism  $\rho_{p,q} : K^{p,q} \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{p,q}$  which commutes with both differentials, and thus gives rise to morphisms of the two spectral sequences arising from each double complex. These spectral sequences have  $E_1$  terms

$$\begin{aligned} {}''E_1^{p,q} &= \check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, \Omega^p(\log D)) \\ {}''\tilde{E}_1^{p,q} &= \check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}', \Omega^p) \\ {}'E_1^{p,q} &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{q+1} \leq n} h^p \left( \Gamma \left( \bigcap_j U_{i_j}, \Omega^\bullet(\log D) \right) \right) \\ {}'\tilde{E}_1^{p,q} &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{q+1} \leq n} h^p \left( \Gamma \left( \bigcap_j U'_{i_j}, \Omega^\bullet \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

As both  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$  are Stein covers,

$$\check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, \Omega^p(\log D)) = \check{H}^q(V \setminus \{0\}, \Omega^p(\log D))$$

and

$$\check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}', \Omega^p) = \check{H}^q(V \setminus D, \Omega^p).$$

As  $V \setminus D$  is Stein,  $\check{H}^q(V \setminus D, \Omega^p) = 0$  if  $q > 0$ . It follows that

$${}''\tilde{E}_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H^p(V \setminus D; \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq 0 \end{cases},$$

and in particular the spectral sequence  ${}''\tilde{E}$  converges to the cohomology of  $V \setminus D$ .

Now assume that outside 0,  $D$  is locally quasi-homogeneous, so that by 1.1  $\mathbf{R}j_* (\mathbb{C}_U) \simeq \Omega^\bullet(\log D)$ , again outside 0. As  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$  are Stein covers, by 1.1 the quotient of the restriction  $\rho_{p,q}$  defines an isomorphism  ${}'\rho_{p,q} : {}'E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow {}'\tilde{E}_1^{p,q}$  for all  $p, q$ . This isomorphism persists to give an isomorphism of the cohomology of the

total complexes  $K^{\text{tot}}$  and  $\tilde{K}^{\text{tot}}$  as calculated by the spectral sequences. It follows that the spectral sequence  ${}''E$ , like  ${}''\tilde{E}$ , also converges to the cohomology of  $V \setminus D$ :

$$H^k(V \setminus D; \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=k} {}''E_{\infty}^{p,q}.$$

As  $D$  is a free divisor,  $\check{H}^q(V \setminus \{0\}, \Omega^p(\log D)) = 0$  for  $q \neq 0, n-1$ , so  ${}''E_1$  has only two non-null rows; writing for the moment  $\Omega^p(D)$  and  $V^*$  in place of  $\Omega^p(\log D)$  and  $V \setminus \{0\}$ ,  ${}''E_1$  thus looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \check{H}^{n-1}(V^*, \Omega^0(D)) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \check{H}^{n-1}(V^*, \Omega^p(D)) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \check{H}^{n-1}(V^*, \Omega^n(D)) \\ 0 & & \dots & & 0 & & \dots & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & \dots & & 0 & & \dots & & 0 \\ \Gamma(V, \Omega^0(D)) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \Gamma(V, \Omega^p(\log D)) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \Gamma(V, \Omega^n(\log D)). \end{array}$$

(Note that as  $n \geq 2$  and as the  $\Omega^p(\log D)$  are free modules, we have  $\Gamma(V^*, \Omega^p(D)) = \Gamma(V, \Omega^p(D))$ .)

As this spectral sequence converges to the cohomology of  $V \setminus D$ , we have

$$H^{n-1}(V \setminus D; \mathbb{C}) \simeq E_{\infty}^{0,n-1} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\infty}^{n-1,0} = E_{n+2}^{0,n-1} \oplus h^{n-1}(\Gamma(V, \Omega^{\bullet}(\log D)))$$

$$H^n(V \setminus D; \mathbb{C}) = E_{\infty}^{0,n} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\infty}^{0,n} = E_{n+2}^{1,n-1} \oplus \frac{h^n(\Gamma(V, \Omega^{\bullet}(\log D)))}{d_{n+1}(E_{n+2}^{0,n-1})},$$

where

$$E_{n+2}^{0,n-1} = \text{Ker } d_1 : \check{H}^{n-1}(V^*, \Omega^0(D)) \rightarrow \check{H}^{n-1}(V^*, \Omega^1(D)).$$

In [5], the main theorem was proved by showing that if  $D$  is locally quasi-homogeneous then the complex

$$(\check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \Omega^{\bullet}(\log D)), d_1)$$

is exact.

### 3. Proof of the Theorem

We continue with the discussion of the last paragraph. If the natural morphism  $\Omega^{\bullet}(\log D) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}j_*(\mathbb{C}_U)$  is a quasi-isomorphism (i.e. if the logarithmic comparison theorem holds for  $D$ ) then by the formulae of the last section,  $d_1 : \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \Omega^0(\log D)) \rightarrow \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \Omega^1(\log D))$  is injective.

Let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  be a free basis of  $\Omega^1(\log D)$  as  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -module, and let  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  be the dual basis of  $\mathcal{D}er(\log D)$ . Then  $\check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \Omega^0(\log D)) = \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$  and  $\check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \Omega^1(\log D)) \simeq \bigoplus_1^n \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ . The morphism  $d_1 : \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \Omega^0(\log D)) \rightarrow \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \Omega^1(\log D))$  now becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})^n \\ [g] & \mapsto & ([\delta_1 \cdot g], \dots, [\delta_n \cdot g]). \end{array}$$

where  $g \in \Gamma(V \setminus \cup_i \{z_i = 0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}) = \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \cup_i \{z_i = 0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$  represents the class  $[g]$  in  $\check{H}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ .

For  $\delta \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ , we denote by  $d_\delta$  the homomorphism

$$d_\delta : \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}) \rightarrow \check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}), \quad d_\delta([g]) = [\delta \cdot g].$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$  and let  $\delta \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ ,*

$$\delta = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial/\partial x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial/\partial x_n \end{pmatrix} + \delta_{\geq 1}$$

with the  $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\delta_{\geq 1} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}^2 \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ . If  $d_\delta$  is injective, then the eigenvalues of  $A$  do not satisfy any relation with positive integer coefficients (in this case, we will say that  $\delta$  satisfies condition (I)).

*Proof.* By a coordinate change we can make  $A$  lower triangular. Its eigenvalues  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are then the elements of the diagonal. The group  $\check{H}^{n-1}(V \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$  is isomorphic to the space of Laurent series, convergent for all  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $\underline{x} \neq 0$ , whose non-zero coefficients are those with strictly negative indices in all variables, i.e.

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n < 0} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}.$$

For  $p \geq n$ , we set

$$G^p = \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n < 0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_n = -p}} c_i x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \right\},$$

$$F^p = \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n < 0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_n \geq -p}} c_i x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \right\}.$$

Then  $F^p = G^p \oplus G^{p-1} \oplus \dots \oplus G^n$ . Each  $G^p$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, whose dimension we denote by  $r_p$ , and  $d_\delta$  restricts to morphisms of vector spaces

$$d_\delta|_{F^p} : F^p \rightarrow F^p$$

and

$$d_\delta|_{G^p} : G^p \rightarrow F^p.$$

Let us denote by  $d_{\delta,p}^p$  the component of this second restriction lying in  $G^p$ . Then  $d_{\delta,p}^p$  depends only on the weight 0 part  $\delta_0$  of  $\delta$ . We claim that with respect to a suitable ordered basis of  $G^p$ , its matrix  $[d_{\delta,p}^p]$  is lower triangular.

As basis for  $G^p$  we take the monomials

$$\frac{1}{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}}$$

with  $i_1 + \cdots + i_n = p$ .

We have

$$d_\delta(x_1^{-i_1} \cdots x_n^{-i_n}) = - \sum_{j,k} i_k a_{j,k} x_1^{-i_1} \cdots x_k^{-(i_k-1)} \cdots x_j^{-(i_j+1)} \cdots x_n^{-i_n}. \quad (1)$$

Thus, if we give our basis of  $G^p$  the lexicographic order corresponding to the order of the coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , then since  $a_{j,k} = 0$  if  $j < k$  (recall that we have chosen our coordinates so that  $A$  is lower triangular), the matrix  $[d_{\delta,p}^p]$  is lower triangular.

Let  $q \leq p$ . Then  $d_\delta(G^q) \subset G^q + G^{q-1} + \cdots + G^n$ . Thus, it follows from the above that if we give  $F^p$  the ordered basis consisting of the ordered bases for each  $G^q$ ,  $n \leq q \leq p$  that we have chosen, and order these by descending value of  $q$ , then the matrix of  $d_\delta|_{F^p}$  is also lower triangular.

What are its diagonal elements? In the right-hand side of equation (1), the coefficient of  $x_1^{-i_1} \cdots x_n^{-i_n}$  is equal to

$$i_1 a_{1,1} + \cdots + i_n a_{n,n};$$

this is the diagonal element in the matrix of  $d_\delta|_{F^p}$  in the row and column corresponding to the basis element  $x_1^{-i_1} \cdots x_n^{-i_n}$ . Note that the diagonal elements of  $A$  are its eigenvalues; thus, the diagonal elements in the matrix of  $d_\delta|_{F^p}$  with respect to the chosen basis are all linear combinations  $i_1 \lambda_1 + \cdots + i_n \lambda_n$  of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of  $A$ , with the  $i_j$  positive integers and  $i_1 + \cdots + i_n \leq p$ . As this matrix is lower triangular,  $d_\delta|_{F^p}$  is injective only if the product of these diagonal elements is non-zero.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** We have used in the proof of this lemma the fact that if  $d_\delta$  is injective then so is its restriction to each  $F^p$ . We do not know if the opposite implication holds. It seems likely that an argument involving faithful flatness would prove it. However, we do not need it in what follows.

Let  $D$  be a plane curve. We suppose as above that 0 is the singular point of  $D$ . In this case the upper non-zero row in the  $E_2$  page of the spectral sequence  $'\tilde{E}$  begins

$$d_1 : \check{H}^1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}) \rightarrow \oplus_1^2 \check{H}^1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}).$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $D$  be a plane curve, singular at  $0$ . If  $d_1$  is injective, then there is a local equation  $h$  for  $D$  around  $0$ , and a germ of vector field  $\chi$  at  $0$  such that  $\chi \cdot h = h$ .*

*Proof.* Any reduced plane curve whose equation has non-zero quadratic part is quasihomogeneous, by the classification of singularities of functions of two variables: such a curve is equivalent to  $A_k$ ,  $x^2 + y^{k+1} = 0$ , for some  $k$ . For a quasihomogeneous curve, the conclusion of the theorem of course holds. Thus, we may assume that the equation  $h$  of  $D$  lies in  $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}^3$ . As the determinant of the coefficients of a free basis of  $\text{Der}(\log D)$  is a local defining equation for  $D$  ([11]), we may therefore choose a free basis  $\delta, \gamma$  for  $\text{Der}(\log D)$  such that  $\gamma$  has zero linear part. In fact the supposition that  $d_1$  is injective implies that at least one member of the basis has non-zero linear part, as otherwise  $d_1([1/xy]) = ([\delta \cdot 1/xy], [\gamma \cdot 1/xy]) = 0$ .

We may thus take

$$\delta = \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \dots = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{i+j=k+1} \left( \alpha_{ij} x^i y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \beta_{ij} x^i y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

where  $\delta_0 = \underline{x}A\underline{\partial}_x^t$ , with  $A \neq 0$  and in Jordan normal form, i.e.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $h$  be the reduced equation of  $D$ :

$$h = h_n + h_{n+1} + h_{n+2} + \dots = \sum_{k \geq n} h_k = \sum_{k \geq n} \sum_{i+j=k} a_{ij} x^i y^j,$$

where the polynomials  $h_i$  are homogeneous of degree  $i$ .

Let us now suppose that  $\delta$  is not an Euler vector field for  $h$ , we will see that (up to multiplication by a non-zero constant) the only possibility for  $h$  and  $\delta$  is

$$h_1 = \dots = h_{n-1} = 0, h_n = x^a y^b \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_0 = qx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - py \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

First case:  $h_n = \sum_{i+j=n} a_{ij} x^i y^j$  and  $\delta_0 = \lambda_1 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_2 y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ . Then

$$0 = \delta_0(h_n) = \sum_{i+j=n} (i\lambda_1 + j\lambda_2) a_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

So,  $a_{ij} = 0$  if  $i\lambda_1 + j\lambda_2 \neq 0$ ; thus, since by assumption  $h_n \neq 0$ , we have  $q\lambda_1 = -p\lambda_2$  and  $p + q = n$  ( $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ). In this case,

$$h_n = x^p y^q, \quad \delta_0 = qx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - py \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Second case:  $h_n = \sum_{i+j=n} a_{ij} x^i y^j$  and  $\delta_0 = (\lambda_1 x + y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_1 y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ . Then

$$0 = \delta_0(h_n) = n\lambda_1 a_{n0} x^n + \sum_{i+j=n, j \geq 1} (n\lambda_1 a_{ij} + i a_{i+1, j-1}) x^i y^j.$$

So, if  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ , then we must have  $a_{n0} = 0$ , then  $a_{n-1,1} = 0, \dots, a_{1,n-1} = 0, a_{0n} = 0$ , so that  $h_n = 0$ . This is absurd, by hypothesis.

If  $\lambda_1 = 0$ , then  $d_1$  is not injective, because

$$d_1([1/xy]) = (d_\delta([1/xy]), d_\gamma([1/xy])) = (0, 0).$$

Then, we have

$$h = x^p y^q + h_{n+1} + h_{n+2} + \dots, \quad \delta_0 = qx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - py \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

We will prove that, in this case, after a coordinate change  $h$  can be reduced to  $h = x^p y^q$  with  $p + q = n \geq 3$ . This contradicts our supposition that  $h$  is reduced. Then our initial supposition about  $\delta$  is false, and  $\delta$  is an Euler vector field for  $h$ .

Inductively, for all  $k \geq 0$ , we construct coordinates  $(x_{(k)}, y_{(k)})$  and functions  $h^{(k)}$  such that

$$h(x, y) = h^{(k)}(x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}) = x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^q + \sum_{s \geq n+k} h_s^{(k)}(x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}) \equiv x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^q (\mathbf{m}_{\mathbb{C}^2, 0}^{n+k}),$$

where  $h_i^{(k)}$  is homogeneous of degree  $i$ . Then, by Artin approximation [1, Theorem 1.2], there exist coordinates  $z_1, z_2$  solving the equation

$$h(x, y) - z_1^p z_2^q = 0.$$

Let us construct the  $x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}, h^{(k)}$ . We suppose that we have  $x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}$  and  $h^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}\{x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}\}$ , such that

$$h(x, y) = h^{(k)}(x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}) = x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^q + \sum_{s \geq n+k} h_s^{(k)},$$

$$\delta_0^{(k)} = qx_{(k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{(k)}} - py_{(k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{(k)}}.$$

We define  $x_{(k+1)}, y_{(k+1)}$  and  $h^{(k+1)} \in \mathbb{C}\{x_{(k+1)}, y_{(k+1)}\}$ , such that

$$h(x, y) = h^{(k+1)}(x_{(k+1)}, y_{(k+1)}) = x_{(k+1)}^p y_{(k+1)}^q + \sum_{s \geq n+k+1} h_s^{(k+1)},$$

$$\delta_0^{(k+1)} = qx_{(k+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{(k+1)}} - py_{(k+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{(k+1)}}.$$

Let  $h_{n+k}^{(k)} = \sum_{i+j=n+k} a_{i,j}^{(k)} x_{(k)}^i y_{(k)}^j$ , then

$$\delta_0^{(k)}(h_{n+k}) = \sum_{i+j=n+k} (iq - jp) a_{i,j}^{(k)} x_{(k)}^i y_{(k)}^j.$$

As the part of  $h^{(k)}$  of degree less than  $n+k$  is  $x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^q$ , it follows that the part of degree  $n+k$  of  $\delta^{(k)}(h^{(k)}) \in \mathbf{m}_{\mathbb{C}^2, 0} h^{(k)}$  belongs to  $(x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^q)$ :

$$[\delta^{(k)}(h^{(k)})]_{n+k} = \delta_0^{(k)}(h_{n+k}) + \delta_k^{(k)}(x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^q) \in (x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^q),$$

but

$$\delta_k^{(k)}(x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^q) \in (x_{(k)}^{p-1} y_{(k)}^q, x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^{q-1}),$$

then

$$\delta_0^{(k)}(h_{n+k}^{(k)}) \in (x_{(k)}^{p-1} y_{(k)}^q, x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^{q-1}),$$

so

$$(iq - jp) a_{i,j}^{(k)} = 0 \quad (i + j = n + k) \text{ if } i < p - 1 \text{ or } j < q - 1,$$

but if  $iq - jp = 0$ , then  $(i, j) = \frac{n+k}{n}(p, q)$ , and  $i > p, j > q$ . So  $h_{n+k}^{(k)} \in (x_{(k)}^{p-1} y_{(k)}^q, x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^{q-1})$ :

$$h_{n+k}^{(k)} = x_{(k)}^{p-1} y_{(k)}^q f_{k+1}(x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}) + x_{(k)}^p y_{(k)}^{q-1} g_{k+1}(x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}).$$

Let

$$x_{(k+1)} = x_{(k)} + \frac{1}{p} f_{k+1}(x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}) \quad y_{(k+1)} = y_{(k)} + \frac{1}{q} g_{k+1}(x_{(k)}, y_{(k)}).$$

We have

$$h(x, y) = x_{(k+1)}^p y_{(k+1)}^q + \sum_{r \geq k+1} \sum_{i+j=n+r} a_{i,j}^{(k+1)} x_{(k+1)}^i y_{(k+1)}^j.$$

We define  $h^{(k+1)}$  by the equation  $h(x, y) = h^{(k+1)}(x_{(k+1)}, y_{(k+1)})$ , where

$$h^{(k+1)} = x_{(k+1)}^p y_{(k+1)}^q + \sum_{s \geq n+k+1} h_s^{(k+1)},$$

with  $h_s^{(k+1)} = \sum_{i+j=s} a_{i,j}^{(k+1)} x_{(k+1)}^i y_{(k+1)}^j$  homogeneous polynomials of degree  $s \geq n + k + 1$ . Moreover, as

$$x_{(k+1)} = x_{(k)}; \quad y_{(k+1)} = y_{(k)} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^2, 0}^2},$$

we have  $\delta = \sum_{q \geq 0} \delta_q^{(k+1)}$ , where each  $\delta_q^{(k+1)}$  is homogeneous of degree  $q$ , and

$$\delta_0^{(k+1)} = qx_{(k+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{(k+1)}} - py_{(k+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{(k+1)}}. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $D$  a plane curve, singular at 0. If there exists  $\delta \in \text{Der}(\log D)$  satisfying condition (I), then there exists a unit  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha\delta \cdot h = h$ , and so  $D$  is Euler homogeneous.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3. There, we consider the case where  $h_n = x^p y^q$  and  $\delta_0 = qx\partial/\partial x - py\partial/\partial y$ , with  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Condition (I) forces one of  $p$  and  $q$  to be 0. The proof now proceeds as before, with this additional hypothesis.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(D, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  be a plane curve. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- a) *There exists  $\delta \in \text{Der}(\log D)_0$  such that  $d_\delta$  is injective.*
- b) *There exists  $\delta \in \text{Der}(\log D)_0$  satisfying condition (I).*
- c)  *$d_1$  is injective.*
- d)  *$(D, 0)$  is Euler homogeneous.*
- e)  *$(D, 0)$  is quasi-homogeneous.*
- f) *The logarithmic comparison theorem holds for  $(D, 0)$  on a neighbourhood of 0.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3, if  $d_1$  is injective, then  $(D, 0)$  is Euler homogeneous. By Saito's theorem [10] (for a function  $h$  with isolated singularity,  $h \in J_h$  is equivalent to the quasihomogeneity of  $h$ ) to be Euler homogeneous or quasi-homogeneous is the same. Theorem 1.1 proves that if  $(D, 0)$  is quasi-homogeneous, the logarithmic comparison theorem holds for  $(D, 0)$  on a neighborhood of 0. From the results of section 2 we can easily deduce that logarithmic comparison theorem implies the injectivity of  $d_1$ . Then, the last four conditions are equivalent. If  $\chi = w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + w_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  is the Euler vector field then  $d_\chi$  is injective. Proposition 3.1 shows that if  $d_\delta$  is injective, then  $\delta$  satisfies (I) and, finally, by proposition 3.4,  $\delta \in \text{Der}(\log D)$  implies that  $D$  is Euler homogeneous.

#### 4. Example

In this section we give an example of a free divisor  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^3$  which is Euler homogeneous but not locally quasi-homogeneous, and for which the logarithmic comparison theorem does hold. This example is studied in [4], where the perversity of  $\Omega^\bullet(\log D)$  is proved. We remark that  $D$  is the total space of an equisingular one-parameter deformation of a plane curve singularity. In [7], Damon shows that under mild additional hypotheses, all surfaces obtained in this way are free divisors.

$D$  is defined by the equation

$$h(x, y, z) = xy(x + y)((z - \lambda)x + y) = h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

$\text{Der}(\log D)$  has free basis  $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ \delta_2 &= \phantom{x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}} + ((z - \lambda)x + y) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \delta_3 &= x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - (z - \lambda)(x + y) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\delta_1 \cdot h = 4h$ , so that  $h$  is Euler homogeneous. Note also that it is easy to check that each of these vector fields is logarithmic, and that the determinant of their coefficients is a reduced equation for  $D$ . From this it follows by a theorem

of K. Saito ([11]) that they really do form a basis for  $\text{Der}(\log D)$ ; as no linear combination of them has non-singular linear part, it follows that  $D$  cannot be quasihomogeneous.

This example of free divisor is interesting also as it provides a counterexample to the “logarithmic Sard’s theorem”: every point of  $\mathbb{C} = z$ -axis is a logarithmic critical value with respect to the projection  $(x, y, z) \mapsto z$ .

The basis of  $\Omega^1(\log D)$  dual to  $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \frac{y^2 dx + x^2 dy}{xy(x+y)} \\ \omega_2 &= \frac{y(z-\lambda) dx - x(z-\lambda) dy + xy dz}{xy(x(z-\lambda) + y)} \\ \omega_3 &= \frac{y dx - x dy}{xy(x+y)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have to calculate homology groups of the stalk at 0 of the logarithmic de Rham complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0(\log D) \xrightarrow{d_0} \Omega^1(\log D) \xrightarrow{d_1} \Omega^2(\log D) \xrightarrow{d_2} \Omega^3(\log D) \xrightarrow{d_3} 0.$$

Although  $D$  is not weighted homogeneous in the strict sense, it is homogeneous if we assign weights 1, 1, 0 to the variables  $x, y, z$ . The Lie derivative with respect to the vector field  $\delta_1$ ,

$$L_{\delta_1}(\omega) = \iota_{\delta_1}(d\omega) + d(\iota_{\delta_1}(\omega)),$$

then defines a contracting homotopy from  $\Omega^\bullet(\log D)$  to its weight-zero part  $\Omega_0^\bullet(\log D)$ . For if  $\omega \in \Omega^k(\log D)$  is a sum of homogenous parts  $\omega_i$ , and if  $d\omega = 0$ , then  $d\omega_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Since  $L_{\delta_1}(\omega_i) = i\omega_i$ , each  $\omega_i$ , for  $i \neq 0$ , is then exact, and  $\omega$  is cohomologous to  $\omega - \iota_{\delta_1}(\sum_{i \neq 0} (1/i)\omega_i)$ .

Thus we consider only the weight 0 subcomplex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_0^0(\log D) \xrightarrow{d_0^0} \Omega_0^1(\log D) \xrightarrow{d_1^0} \Omega_0^2(\log D) \xrightarrow{d_2^0} \Omega_0^3(\log D) \xrightarrow{d_3^0} 0.$$

- We have  $\Omega_0^0(\log D) = \mathbb{C}\{z\}$ , and  $d_0(z^k) = kz^{k-1}[(z-\lambda)x + y]\omega_2 - (z-\lambda)(x+y)\omega_3$  ( $k \geq 0$ ), so

$$\text{Im}(d_0^0) = \mathbb{C}\{z\}dz = \mathbb{C}\{z\} \langle ((z-\lambda)x + y)\omega_2 - (z-\lambda)(x+y)\omega_3 \rangle.$$

- $\Omega_0^1(\log D) = \mathbb{C}\{z\} \langle \omega_1, x\omega_2, y\omega_2, x\omega_3, y\omega_3 \rangle$ , and we find

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(\omega_1) &= d_1(x\omega_2) = d_1(x\omega_3) = d_1(y\omega_3) = 0 \\ d_1(z^k\omega_1) &= kz^{k-1}((x(\lambda-z) - y)\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + (z-\lambda)(x+y)\omega_1 \wedge \omega_3) \\ d_1(y\omega_2) &= (xy + y^2)\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \\ d_1(z^kx\omega_2) &= kz^{k-1}((z-\lambda)(x+y)x\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) \\ d_1(z^ky\omega_2) &= ((k+1)z^k - k\lambda z^{k-1})(x+y)y\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \\ d_1(z^kx\omega_3) &= kz^{k-1}x(x(z-\lambda) + y)\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \\ d_1(z^ky\omega_3) &= kz^{k-1}y(x(z-\lambda) + y)\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\text{Ker}(d_1^0) = \mathbb{C} \langle \omega_1, x\omega_2, x\omega_3, y\omega_3 \rangle \oplus \text{Im}(d_0^0)$ , so

$$h^1(\Omega^\bullet(\log D)_0) = \mathbb{C} \langle \omega_1, x\omega_2, x\omega_3, y\omega_3 \rangle$$

is 4-dimensional. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(d_1^0) &= \mathbb{C}\{z\} \langle ((\lambda - z)x - y)\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + (z - \lambda)(x + y)\omega_1 \wedge \omega_3 \rangle \oplus \\ &\quad \mathbb{C}\{z\} \langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3. \end{aligned}$$

- $\Omega_0^2(\log D)$  is generated over  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$  by

$$x\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, y\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, x\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1, y\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1, x^2\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3, xy\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3, y^2\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3.$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(x\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) &= d_2(x\omega_1 \wedge \omega_3) = d_2(y\omega_1 \wedge \omega_3) = 0 \\ d_2(z^k x^2 \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) &= d_2(z^k xy \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) = d_2(z^k y^2 \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) = 0 \\ d_2(z^k x \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) &= kz^{k-1}(\lambda - z)(x + y)x\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \\ d_2(y\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) &= (xy + y^2)\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \\ d_2(z^k y \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) &= z^{k-1}(x + y)(ky(\lambda - z) - zy)\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \\ d_2(z^k x \omega_1 \wedge \omega_3) &= -kz^{k-1}x((z - \lambda)x + y)\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \\ d_2(z^k y \omega_1 \wedge \omega_3) &= -kz^{k-1}y((z - \lambda)x + y)\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that  $\text{Ker}(d_2^0) = \mathbb{C} \langle x\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, x\omega_1 \wedge \omega_3, y\omega_1 \wedge \omega_3 \rangle \oplus \text{Im}(d_1^0)$ , and thus that

$$h^2(\Omega^\bullet(\log D)_0) = \mathbb{C} \langle x\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, x\omega_1 \wedge \omega_3, y\omega_1 \wedge \omega_3 \rangle$$

is 3-dimensional.

- Finally,

$$\text{Im}(d_0^0) = \mathbb{C}\{z\} \langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 = \Omega_0^3(\log D),$$

and, consequently,

$$h^3(\Omega^\bullet(\log D)_0) = 0.$$

Now consider the intersection  $D_0 = D \cap \{z = 0\}$ , which has equation

$$h^0 = h_1^0 h_2^0 h_3^0 h_4^0 = xy(x + y)(-\lambda x + y).$$

It is a line arrangement, and the cohomology of its complement is therefore given by the Brieskorn complex, the exterior algebra generated over  $\mathbb{C}$  by the forms  $dh_i^0/h_i^0$ , with trivial differential ([2]). This is of course a subcomplex of  $\Omega^\bullet(\log D_0)$ . Let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^3$  be a neighbourhood of 0. Restriction from  $\mathbb{C}^3$  to  $\mathbb{C}^2 = \{z = 0\}$  gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \wedge^p \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \mathbb{C} \left\langle \frac{dh_i}{h_i} \right\rangle & \xrightarrow{a} & h^p(\Omega^\bullet(\log D)(V)) & \xrightarrow{b} & H^p(V \setminus D; \mathbb{C}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \wedge^p \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \mathbb{C} \left\langle \frac{dh_i^0}{h_i^0} \right\rangle & \xrightarrow{\cong} & h^p(\Omega^\bullet(\log D_0)(V_0)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^p(V_0 \setminus D_0; \mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

in which the left-hand horizontal morphisms are induced by the inclusion of the Brieskorn complex in the logarithmic complex, and the right-hand horizontal morphisms are de Rham maps. The lower horizontal morphisms are isomorphisms by the theorem of Brieskorn and by 1.1. The right-hand vertical morphism is an isomorphism because  $D$  is a topologically trivial deformation of  $D_0$ , so inclusion induces an isomorphism of the homology groups of the complements. The left-hand vertical morphism is evidently surjective, and thus the de Rham map  $h^p(\Omega^\bullet(\log D)(V)) \rightarrow H^p(V \setminus D; \mathbb{C})$  is surjective. As  $h^p(\Omega^\bullet(\log D)_0) = \lim_{U \ni 0} h^p(\Omega^\bullet(\log D)(V))$  and  $\lim_{U \ni 0} H^p(V \setminus D; \mathbb{C}) = H^p(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus D; \mathbb{C})$ , then the de Rham map  $h^p(\Omega^\bullet(\log D)) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus D; \mathbb{C})$  is surjective. To see that it is an isomorphism we compare dimensions. A calculation (for example, using the Brieskorn complex) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus D_0; \mathbb{C}) &= 4 \\ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus D_0; \mathbb{C}) &= 3 \\ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^3(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus D_0; \mathbb{C}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

As these are the same as the dimension of  $h^p(\Omega^\bullet(\log D)_0)$ , this completes the proof that the logarithmic comparison theorem holds for  $D$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** The calculations whose results we summarise here are not so simple as might be supposed. We have presented each image  $d_i^0(\Omega_0^k(\log D))$  as a module over  $\mathbb{C}\{z\}$  with algebraic generators, obscuring the fact that because  $D$  is not quasihomogeneous, the anti-derivatives of an algebraic exact logarithmic form are in general transcendental. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} z^k(x^2 + xy)\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 &= d\left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (z^{k+s}/\lambda^s(k+s))x\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2\right) \\ &= d\left(-\left(\log\left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right) + \sum_{s=1}^k (z^s/\lambda^s s)\right)\lambda^k x\omega_1 \omega_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} z^k xy\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 &= d\left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (z^{k+s}/(\lambda+1)^s(k+s))x(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge \omega_3)\right) \\ &= d\left(-\left((\lambda+1)^k \log(1 - (z/(\lambda+1)))\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left.+ \sum_{s=1}^k (z^s(\lambda+1)^{k-s} s)\right)x(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge \omega_3)\right). \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] M. Artin, On the solutions of analytic equations, *Invent. Math.* **5** (1968), 277–291.
- [2] E. Brieskorn, Sur le groupe de tresses (d’après V. I. Arnol’d), *Sem. Bourbaki 1971/72*, Lecture Notes in Math. 317, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1973, 21–44.
- [3] J. L. Brylinski, A. S. Dubson and M. Kashiwara, Formule de l’indice pour modules holonomes et obstruction d’Euler locale, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 293 (1981), 573–576.
- [4] F. J. Calderón Moreno, Logarithmic Differential Operators and Logarithmic De Rham Complexes Relative to a Free Divisor, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **32** (1999), no. 5, 701–714.
- [5] F. J. Castro Jiménez, D. Mond and L. Narváez Macarro, Cohomology of the complement of a free divisor, *Transactions of the A.M.S.* **348** (1996), 3037–3049.
- [6] F. J. Castro Jiménez, D. Mond and L. Narváez Macarro, Unpublished, 1997.
- [7] J. N. Damon. On the freeness of equisingular deformations of plane curve singularities, *Topology and Applications*, to appear.
- [8] A. Grothendieck, On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties, *Publ. Math. de l’I.H.E.S.* **29** (1966), 95–103.
- [9] H. J. Reiffen, Das Lemma von Poincaré für holomorphe Differentialformen auf komplexen Raumen, *Math. Z.* **101** (1967), 269–284.
- [10] K. Saito, Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen, *Invent. Math.* **14** (1971), 123–141.
- [11] K. Saito, Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **27** (1980), 265–291.

Francisco J. Calderón Moreno  
 Universidad de Sevilla  
 Facultad de Matemáticas  
 Departamento de Álgebra  
 Apartado postal 1160  
 41080 Sevilla  
 Spain  
 e-mail: calderon@algebra.us.es  
 frcalder@us.es

Luis Narváez Macarro  
 Universidad de Sevilla  
 Facultad de Matemáticas  
 Departamento de Álgebra  
 Apartado postal 1160  
 41080 Sevilla  
 Spain  
 e-mail: narvaez@algebra.us.es

Francisco J. Castro Jiménez  
 Universidad de Sevilla  
 Facultad de Matemáticas  
 Departamento de Álgebra  
 Apartado postal 1160  
 41080 Sevilla  
 Spain  
 e-mail: castro@algebra.us.es

David Mond  
 University of Warwick  
 Mathematics Institute  
 Coventry CV4 7AL  
 England  
 e-mail: mond@maths.warwick.ac.uk

(Received: February 25, 1999)