

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 77 (2002)

Artikel: Isospectral simply-connected homogeneous spaces and the spectral rigidity of group actions
Autor: Sutton, Craig J.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57942>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 20.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Isospectral simply-connected homogeneous spaces and the spectral rigidity of group actions

Craig J. Sutton

Abstract. We generalize Sunada’s method to produce new examples of closed, locally non-isometric manifolds which are isospectral. In particular, we produce pairs of isospectral, simply-connected, locally non-isometric normal homogeneous spaces. These pairs also allow us to see that in general group actions with discrete spectra are not determined up to measurable conjugacy by their spectra. In particular, we show this for lattice actions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 58J50, 53C20, 53C30, 22D99.

Keywords. Spectral geometry, Laplace operator, homogeneous spaces, group actions.

1. Introduction

Spectral geometry is the study of the relationship between the geometry of a Riemannian manifold (X, m) and the spectrum of the associated Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ acting on $C^\infty(X)$. Specifically, one is concerned with the extent to which the spectrum encodes geometric information. While the spectrum does determine some geometric properties such as total scalar curvature, volume, and dimension, in general it does not determine a Riemannian manifold up to isometry. This was demonstrated for the first time by Milnor in 1964 when he produced examples of 16-dimensional tori which are isospectral yet non-isometric [Mil]. Hence, in order to better understand the interplay between the geometry of a Riemannian manifold and its spectrum, other such examples must be studied.

During the past two decades many new non-isometric isospectral spaces have been found (e.g., [GW], [BT], [BG], [Gt1], [Gt2], [Gor1], [Sza1] and [GGSWW]).¹ The first examples of topological significance were produced by Vignéras and Ikeda. In [Vig] examples of 3-dimensional hyperbolic spaces with non-isomorphic fundamental groups were constructed and in [Ike] isospectral lens spaces were produced. These examples demonstrated for the first time that the topology of the manifold

¹ For a more comprehensive discussion of the spectral geometry landscape the reader is encouraged to see [Gor2].

is not a spectral invariant. However, it is worth noting that these isospectral spaces (along with all other understood examples) have a common universal cover.

Inspired by a result from number theory, Sunada produced the first general method for constructing pairs of isospectral manifolds.

Theorem 1.1 (Sunada's method, [Sun]). *Let (X, m) and (X_0, m_0) be Riemannian manifolds and $\pi : X \rightarrow X_0$ be a finite Riemannian covering with covering transformation group G . Now suppose $\pi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ and $\pi_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_0$ are the Riemannian coverings corresponding to subgroups $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \leq G$ respectively. If for every $g \in G$ we have $\#(g^G \cap \Gamma_1) = \#(g^G \cap \Gamma_2)$ (where g^G denotes the conjugacy class of g), then X_1 and X_2 are isospectral.*

Many of the examples of isospectral pairs that arise in the literature can be explained by Sunada's method or one of its generalizations. One generalization that will be of interest to us is the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Sunada–Pesce method, [Pes]). *Let (X, m) be a Riemannian manifold, $G \leq \text{Isom}(X, m)$ closed, K the generic stabilizer of the action of G on X (see Section 2.2), and $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \leq G$ be discrete such that the manifolds $\Gamma_1 \backslash X$ and $\Gamma_2 \backslash X$ are compact. If the quasi-regular representations $\pi_{\Gamma_1}^G$ and $\pi_{\Gamma_2}^G$ of G are K -equivalent (see Section 2.1), then the Riemannian quotients $(\Gamma_1 \backslash X, m_1)$ and $(\Gamma_2 \backslash X, m_2)$ are isospectral.*

As with all previous generalizations of Sunada's method pairs arising in this manner are not simply-connected. Also, the resulting pairs have a common Riemannian covering, namely X , and consequently are locally isometric. This causes us to wonder whether one can generalize Sunada's method so that it produces locally non-isometric, simply-connected isospectral pairs.

A natural approach to this would be to take quotients of simply-connected Lie groups by non-trivial connected subgroups, which leads us to the following long standing problem in the spectral geometry community.

Question. *Are there examples of Riemannian manifolds (X, m) such that one can find $H_1, H_2 \leq \text{Isom}(X, m)$ non-trivial and connected such that the quotient manifolds $(X/H_1, m_1)$ and $(X/H_2, m_2)$ are isospectral yet non-isometric?*

In this paper we are able to answer this question positively. Using a generalization of the Sunada–Pesce method and a result of Larsen and Pink [LP] we establish the following.

Main Result (Theorem 3.6). *There exists a connected, simply-connected semi-simple real Lie group H which for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ admits reducible faithful representations $\rho_1, \rho_2 : H \rightarrow \text{SU}(n)$, where $\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2$ (see Definition 3.1) and $H_1 =$*

$\rho_1(H)$ and $H_2 = \rho_2(H)$ are not conjugate by $\text{Aut}(\text{SU}(n))$. If we equip $\text{SU}(n)$ with a bi-invariant metric m , then the simply-connected, normal homogeneous spaces $(\text{SU}(n)/H_1, m_1)$ and $(\text{SU}(n)/H_2, m_2)$ are isospectral yet locally non-isometric.

Recently, we have learned that Schueth has also obtained examples of isospectral homogeneous spaces [Sch2]. In fact, she produces a continuous family of pairwise isospectral left-invariant metrics on a simply-connected Lie group. Our examples can be distinguished from Schueth's in that they are normal homogeneous spaces; that is, they have the metric induced by the bi-invariant metric on G , and our spaces are quotients of G by *non-trivial* connected subgroups $H_1, H_2 \leq G$, which are representation equivalent (see Definition 2.1). They can also be distinguished from Schueth's examples in that the method of construction necessitates an enormous dimension for the resulting homogeneous spaces. An estimate shows the simplest example to have a dimension on the order of 10^{10} .

The spaces constructed in this paper along with those of Schueth [Sch1, Sch2], Gordon [Gor3] and Szabó [Sza2] are the only known examples of closed, *simply-connected*, locally non-isometric isospectral spaces. Schueth, Gordon and Szabó construct their isospectral spaces by fixing a particular simply-connected manifold and then creating isospectral metrics on this space through various interesting techniques. Consequently, the resulting isospectral spaces are always homeomorphic. At the present time it is unclear to the author whether the isospectral pairs presented in this paper are homeomorphic. A negative answer would demonstrate for the first time that the universal cover is not a spectral invariant.²

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we will discuss the proof of the generalized Sunada–Pesce method. We will use this method in Section 3 to construct new examples of isospectral yet locally non-isometric pairs of Riemannian manifolds. In Section 4 we will establish a method for constructing isospectral fiber bundles with isospectral fibers. Finally, we recall that a well-known theorem of von Neumann states that two actions of an abelian locally compact group with *discrete* spectra are measurably conjugate if the actions are isospectral [vN]. In Section 5 we will use the examples constructed in Section 3 to demonstrate that group actions with discrete spectra are not classified up to measurable conjugacy by their spectra and hence von Neumann's result is not true in general.

Notation. We will use the following notation.

1. We will let $\text{Spec}(\Delta)$ denote the spectrum of the Laplacian taking multiplicities into account.
2. Given a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ of a Lie group G we will let $\text{Res}_H^G(\rho)$ denote the restriction of ρ to H for any subgroup $H \leq G$.

² In looking for simpler candidates for non-homeomorphic isospectral simply-connected spaces one might consider the Aloff–Wallach spaces [AW] as *normal* homogeneous spaces. These spaces are simply-connected, however, it can be shown that isospectral normal homogeneous Aloff–Wallach spaces are necessarily isometric and hence homeomorphic (see [Bla], [JLPR] or [Sut]).

3. Given a Lie group G , a closed subgroup $H \leq G$ and a representation $\tau : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ we will let $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(\tau)$ denote the induced representation (see p. 705).
4. Given two representations $\rho_1 : G_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_1)$ and $\rho_2 : G_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ we let $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_1 \otimes V_2)$ denote the **outer tensor product** given by $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2(g_1, g_2) = \rho_1(g_1) \otimes \rho_2(g_2)$.
5. Given two representations (ρ, V) and (τ, W) of a Lie group G we will let $[\rho : \tau]$ denote the multiplicity of τ in ρ . In the case where E is a field extension of F we will let $[E : F]$ denote the degree of the extension.
6. We will use the symbol “ \leq ” to denote both vector subspaces and subgroups.

Acknowledgements. The work presented in this paper is part of my thesis [Sut] carried out at the University of Michigan. It is my great pleasure to thank my advisor, Ralf Spatzier, for introducing me to the area of spectral geometry and, more importantly, for being a generous and supportive mentor. I am also indebted to Gopal Prasad for making me aware of the work of Larsen and Pink concerning dimension data and to Krishnan Shankar for discussing homogeneous spaces with me. Thanks also go to the referee for providing helpful comments concerning the exposition of this article.

2. Generalized Sunada–Pesce method

Developing techniques for constructing isospectral manifolds is one of the central concerns of inverse spectral geometry. The examples these techniques yield allow us to discover the geometric data that cannot be recovered from the spectrum of the Laplacian. In this section we will generalize Sunada’s well-known method for constructing pairs of isospectral manifolds. More specifically, we will generalize the Sunada–Pesce method to allow one to obtain isospectral pairs by taking quotients by non-trivial connected groups. By considering such quotients we open up the possibility that the resulting isospectral pairs need not have a common Riemannian covering or common universal cover, which is impossible under other versions of Sunada’s method. In fact, in Section 3 we will show that through this method we can construct many pairs of isospectral, simply-connected, locally non-isometric spaces. We begin by reviewing the concept of relatively equivalent representations.

2.1. Relative equivalence

Two representations $\rho_1 : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_1)$ and $\rho_2 : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ of a Lie group G are said to be **equivalent**, denoted by $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$ or $(\rho_1, V_1) \sim (\rho_2, V_2)$, if there exists a vector space isomorphism $T : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that $\rho_2(g) \circ T = T \circ \rho_1(g)$ for any $g \in G$. Now, consider a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of a unimodular

Lie group G . For any compact subgroup K of G and any representation (τ, W) of K we may consider the vector subspace $V^\tau \equiv \oplus V_\alpha \leq V$, where the direct sum is taken over K -invariant subspaces $V_\alpha \leq V$ such that $(\text{Res}_K^G(\rho), V_\alpha) \sim (\tau, W)$. The representation $(\text{Res}_K^G(\rho), V^\tau)$ can then be extended to a representation of G by considering the vector space

$$V_\tau \equiv \cap \{L \leq V : V^\tau \leq L \text{ and } L \text{ is } G\text{-invariant}\}.$$

This subrepresentation will be denoted by (ρ_τ, V_τ) . For any two representations (ρ, V) and $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{V})$ of G we will agree to say they are τ -**equivalent**, denoted by $\rho \sim_\tau \tilde{\rho}$ or $(\rho, V) \sim_\tau (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{V})$, if the subrepresentations (ρ_τ, V_τ) and $(\tilde{\rho}_\tau, \tilde{V}_\tau)$ are equivalent.

In this paper we will be concerned with representations of G which are 1_K -equivalent, where 1_K denotes the trivial representation of K on \mathbb{C} . To be consistent with [Pes] we will refer to this as K -**equivalence**, and we will denote V^{1_K} and (ρ_{1_K}, V_{1_K}) by V^K and (ρ_K, V_K) respectively. As usual we will let \hat{G} denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G and we will agree to let \hat{G}_K denote the set of equivalence classes of representations of G which admit non-trivial K -fixed vectors; that is, $\hat{G}_K \equiv \{[(\rho, V)] \in \hat{G} : V_K \neq 0\}$. We will illustrate the concept of K -equivalence by constructing two representations of a group G , which are K -equivalent for some subgroup $K \leq G$, but not equivalent. In doing this it will be useful to review the method of induction.

Let G be a locally compact group, H a closed subgroup and $\rho : H \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ a unitary representation of H . The representation ρ gives a representation of G known as the **induced representation**, denoted by $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$, which acts on the vector space

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V} \equiv \{f : G \rightarrow V \text{ } L^2\text{-function} : f(gh) = \rho(h)^{-1}f(g) \text{ for all } h \in H, \\ \text{almost every } g \in G\} \end{aligned}$$

by

$$(\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)(g)f)(x) \equiv f(g^{-1}x)$$

for any $g \in G$ and $f \in \tilde{V}$. In the case where $\rho = 1_H$ is the trivial representation of H , $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$ is a representation of G on $L^2(G/H)$ known as the **quasi-regular representation** of G with respect to H , which we will denote by π_H^G . We now make the following observation.

Observation. *Let G be a Lie group. Now consider subgroups $K, H_1, H_2 \leq G$ (closed) such that $K \backslash G/H_i = \{\text{point}\}$ (equivalently H_i acts transitively on $K \backslash G$) for $i = 1, 2$, then $\pi_{H_1}^G \sim_K \pi_{H_2}^G$. If G is compact and $\dim(G/H_1) \neq \dim(G/H_2)$, then we may conclude $\pi_{H_1}^G \not\sim \pi_{H_2}^G$.*

For $n \geq 2$, we can see that if $G = \text{SO}(4n)$, $H_1 = U(2n)$, $H_2 = \text{Sp}(n)$ and $K = \text{SO}(4n-1)$, then the above implies that the representations $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are

K -equivalent, yet inequivalent. Indeed, we view K as a subgroup of G under the imbedding $A \mapsto [1] \oplus A$ and we consider H_1 and H_2 as subgroups of G by using the standard imbedding of complex and quaternionic matrices into the real matrices (see [Kna, p. 34-36]). Then since H_1 and H_2 act transitively on $S^{4n-1} = K \backslash G$ we see that $K \backslash G/H_i = \{\text{point}\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, $\pi_{H_1}^G \sim_K \pi_{H_2}^G$, however, since $\dim(G/H_1) \neq \dim(G/H_2)$ (for $n \geq 2$) we find that $\pi_{H_1}^G \not\sim \pi_{H_2}^G$.

We conclude this section with a little jargon.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a compact Lie group and $K \leq G$ compact. We will say that two closed subgroups $H_1, H_2 \leq G$ are

1. **Representation equivalent** if $\pi_{H_1}^G \sim \pi_{H_2}^G$.
2. **K-equivalent** if $\pi_{H_1}^G \sim_K \pi_{H_2}^G$.

2.2. The method

Before stating our method for constructing isospectral Riemannian manifolds, we recall the notion of the generic stabilizer.

Definition 2.2. Suppose G is a Lie group which has a proper C^∞ -action on a manifold X . For each $x \in X$ let G_x denote the stabilizer of x . There exists a subgroup K of G called the **generic stabilizer** with the following properties:

1. For all $x \in X$, K is conjugate to a subgroup of G_x .
2. There exists an open and dense subset U in X such that for all $x \in U$ K and G_x are conjugate.

Orbit spaces of the type G/K are known as **principal orbits**.

With this terminology we may now state the following proposition.

Theorem 2.3 (Generalized Sunada–Pesce technique). *Let (X, m) be a compact Riemannian manifold and $G \leq \text{Isom}(X, m)$ a compact Lie group. We will let K denote the generic stabilizer of the action of G on X . Now suppose $H_1, H_2 \leq G$ are closed, K -equivalent subgroups which act freely on X and are such that the Riemannian submersions $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X/H_i$, $i = 1, 2$, have totally geodesic fibers. It then follows that the Riemannian manifolds X/H_1 and X/H_2 are isospectral on functions.*

As in Pesce’s original paper [Pes] the proof of this theorem is an application of Frobenius’ reciprocity theorem and a result of Donnelly. But first we recall the following result.

Lemma 2.4. *Let (E^k, m) and (B^n, m_B) be Riemannian manifolds. Let $\pi : (E, m) \rightarrow (B, m_B)$ be a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers, then the eigenfunctions of B are functions whose pullbacks are eigenfunctions on E . In fact, if f is an eigenfunction of Δ_B with eigenvalue λ , then its pullback $f \circ \pi$ is an eigenvalue of Δ_E with eigenvalue λ . Hence, we see that $\text{Spec}(\Delta_B) \subset \text{Spec}(\Delta_E)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(B)$ be such that $\Delta_B f = \lambda f$ and let $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi \in L^2(E)$ be its pullback to E . Now fix $x \in E$ and let $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ be a collection of geodesics such that $\gamma_i(0) = x$ for all i and $\{\dot{\gamma}_1(0), \dots, \dot{\gamma}_k(0)\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_x E$ with $\{\dot{\gamma}_1(0), \dots, \dot{\gamma}_n(0)\}$ vertical (that is, tangent to the fiber through x). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_E \tilde{f}(x) &= - \sum_1^k \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\tilde{f} \circ \gamma_i)(0) \\ &= - \sum_{n+1}^k \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\tilde{f} \circ \gamma_i)(0) \\ &= - \sum_{n+1}^k \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (f \circ (\pi \circ \gamma_i))(0) \\ &= \Delta_B f(\pi(x)) \\ &= \lambda \tilde{f}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This shows us that pullbacks of eigenfunctions on B are eigenfunctions on E with the same eigenvalue. So, we obtain $\text{Spec}(\Delta_B) \subset \text{Spec}(\Delta_E)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let Δ, Δ_1 , and Δ_2 denote the Laplace–Beltrami operator on $X, X/H_1$, and X/H_2 respectively. Since $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X/H_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) has totally geodesic fibers it follows from Lemma 2.4 that $\text{Spec}(\Delta_i) \subset \text{Spec}(\Delta)$ for $i = 1, 2$. We also recall that the action of $\text{Isom}(X, m)$ on $L^2(X)$ commutes with the Laplacian. Hence, $\text{Isom}(X, m)$ preserves the eigenspace decomposition of $L^2(X)$. So for any $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta)$ and $H \leq \text{Isom}(X, m)$ (closed) we have a representation π_λ^H of H on $L^2(X, m)_\lambda$ given by $\pi_\lambda^H(h).f = f \circ h^{-1}$. In our situation we will be interested in $\pi_\lambda^G, \pi_\lambda^{H_1}$, and $\pi_\lambda^{H_2}$ for $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta)$.

Now it is clear that for any $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta)$ we have $\dim L^2(X/H_i)_\lambda = [\pi_\lambda^{H_i} : 1_{H_i}]$. Indeed, for $H \leq \text{Isom}(X, m)$ (closed) we let $L^2(X)^H = \{f \in L^2(X) : h.f = f \text{ for all } h \in H\}$. One can see that $L^2(X/H) = L^2(X)^H$ and it follows that $L^2(X/H)_\lambda = L^2(X)_\lambda^H$. Hence, $\dim L^2(X/H)_\lambda = [\pi_\lambda^H : 1_H]$. We may now conclude that $(X/H_1, m_1)$ and $(X/H_2, m_2)$ are isospectral if and only if $[\pi_\lambda^{H_1} : 1_{H_1}] = [\pi_\lambda^{H_2} : 1_{H_2}]$ for all $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta)$. Since it is clear that for every $H \leq G$ $\pi_\lambda^H = \text{Res}_H^G(\pi_\lambda^G)$, Frobenius reciprocity gives us the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\pi_\lambda^{H_i} : 1_{H_i}] &= [\text{Res}_{H_i}^G(\pi_\lambda^G) : 1_{H_i}] \\
&= \left[\text{Res}_{H_i}^G \left(\sum_{\rho \in \widehat{G}} [\pi_\lambda^G : \rho] \rho \right) : 1_{H_i} \right] \\
&= \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{G}} [\pi_\lambda^G : \rho] [\text{Res}_{H_i}^G(\rho) : 1_{H_i}] \\
&= \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{G}} [\pi_\lambda^G : \rho] [\text{Ind}_{H_i}^G(1_{H_i}) : \rho] \\
&= \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{G}} [\pi_\lambda^G : \rho] [\pi_{H_i}^G : \rho].
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We now recall the following theorem of Donnelly.

Theorem 2.5 ([Don], p. 25). *Let G be a compact Lie group and X a compact, smooth G -space with principal orbit type G/K ; that is, K is the generic stabilizer of the G -action on X . Then the decomposition of $L^2(X)$ into G -irreducibles contains precisely those finite dimensional representations appearing in the decomposition of $\pi_K^G = \text{Ind}_K^G(1_K)$ the quasi-regular representation of G with respect to K . Also, if the orbit space X/G has dimension greater than 1, then each irreducible appears an infinite number of times.*

Now by Frobenius reciprocity we have $[\pi_K^G : \rho] = [\text{Res}_K^G(\rho) : 1_K]$ for each $\rho \in \widehat{G}$. So we conclude from the above theorem that $[\pi_\lambda^G : \rho] \neq 0$ for some $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta)$ if and only if ρ_K is non-trivial. Consequently, for $i = 1, 2$, we see that

$$[\pi_\lambda^{H_i} : 1_{H_i}] = \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{G}_K} [\pi_\lambda^G : \rho] [\pi_{H_i}^G : \rho].$$

Finally, as a result of the K -equivalence of $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ we obtain isospectrality. \square

3. Building new examples

In this section we will use Theorem 2.3 along with a result of Larsen and Pink [LP] to produce the first pairs of non-isometric isospectral manifolds which are of the form $(X/H_1, m_1)$ and $(X/H_2, m_2)$, where $H_1, H_2 \leq \text{Isom}(X, m)$ are nontrivial and connected. In particular, we will obtain the first examples of isospectral simply-connected, locally non-isometric, normal homogeneous spaces.

We begin by introducing a slightly more general notion of equivalence of representations.

Definition 3.1. Two representations $\tau_1 : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_1)$ and $\tau_2 : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_2)$ are

said to be **automorphically equivalent**, denoted $\tau_1 \overset{a}{\sim} \tau_2$ or $(\tau_1, V_1) \overset{a}{\sim} (\tau_2, V_2)$, if there exists a Lie group automorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow G$ and a vector space isomorphism $T : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that $T \circ \tau_1(g) = \tau_2(\alpha(g)) \circ T$ for all $g \in G$.

Clearly, equivalence implies automorphic equivalence just by taking α to be the identity map. However, a dramatic difference between these two definitions can be obtained by considering the irreducible representations of the additive group \mathbb{R} . For each $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ we obtain an irreducible representation of \mathbb{R} on \mathbb{C} given by $\pi_\theta(x)v = e^{2\pi i\theta x}v$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}$. These are all the inequivalent irreducible representations of \mathbb{R} , but we see that for $\theta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ $\pi_\theta(x) = \pi_{\vartheta}(\frac{\theta}{\vartheta}x)$, hence all of the non-trivial irreducible representations are automorphically equivalent.³

Now consider G a connected, complex reductive Lie group and let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a faithful representation of dimension n . The **dimension data** of (ρ, V) is defined as

$$\{(\sigma : \mathrm{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W), \dim W^G) : \sigma \text{ is a homomorphism and } \dim W < \infty\}.$$

The objective of [LP] is to determine the extent to which the **dimension data** of (ρ, V) , determines the group G and/or the representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$. The main result of their paper is the following.

Theorem 3.2 ([LP], p. 377). *Let G be a connected, complex Lie group with (ρ, V) a finite dimensional faithful representation. Then*

1. *The dimension data determine G up to isomorphism. That is, if $\tau : G' \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is another representation of a connected, complex Lie group G' with the same dimension data, then G and G' are isomorphic as Lie groups. (Notice that G and G' act on the same vector space V .)*
2. *If ρ is irreducible, the dimension data determine ρ up to automorphic equivalence. That is, if there exists another faithful irreducible representation $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of G with the same dimension data, then ρ and τ are automorphically equivalent.*
3. *There exists a G as above which admits a countably infinite number of pairs of reducible representations (ρ_1, V) and (ρ_2, V) of G , where V is of arbitrarily large dimension, such that ρ_1 and ρ_2 have the same dimension data and $\rho_1 \not\overset{a}{\sim} \rho_2$.*

Remark 3.3. In [LP] the term automorphically equivalent is not used. Instead they use isomorphic. We have introduced this term so as not to cause confusion with the usual notion of equivalence, which is sometimes referred to as isomorphic.

Our interest lies in the third part of the above theorem. We note that the

³ This example was pointed out to the author by A. Knapp. A less dramatic example is obtained by comparing the standard representation of $i : \mathrm{SU}(n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\sigma : \mathrm{SU}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by $\sigma(g) = \bar{i}(g)$, where the bar denotes complex conjugation.

method Larsen and Pink employ to produce the automorphically inequivalent pairs of representations with the same dimension data actually yields self-dual representations. That is, in the above $\rho_1 \sim \rho_1^*$ and $\rho_2 \sim \rho_2^*$, where for any representation $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$ $\tau^*(g) \equiv \tau(g^{-1})^t$ is the **contragredient** representation. Indeed, we recall that on p. 392 of [LP] the group G is constructed as a product of non-isomorphic semisimple Lie groups G_1, \dots, G_r whose root systems $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_r \subset \mathrm{BC}_n$ are subsystems of maximal rank n . They then choose formal characters $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_{\mathrm{BC}_n}]^{\mathcal{W}_n} \equiv \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]^{\mathcal{W}_n}$, where $\mathcal{W}_n = \{\pm 1\}^n \rtimes S_n$ is the Weyl group of BC_n and S_n is the permutation group on n elements, such that for all $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ there exists a faithful representation $\rho_{ij} : G_i \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{ij})$ with formal character v_j . Since the formal character v_j is invariant under $\{\pm 1\}^n \rtimes S_n$ it follows that if λ is a weight of v_j , then so is $-\lambda$. Hence, any representation with formal character v_j is self-dual. Larsen and Pink then consider the faithful representations $\rho_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in A_r} \rho_{1\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{r\sigma(r)} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_1)$ and $\rho_2 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in S_r - A_r} \rho_{1\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{r\sigma(r)} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$, where

$$V_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in A_r} V_{1\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r\sigma(r)}$$

and

$$V_2 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in S_r - A_r} V_{1\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r\sigma(r)}.$$

It is then clear that $\rho_1 \sim \rho_1^*$ and $\rho_2 \sim \rho_2^*$ and that $V_1 \approx V_2 \equiv V$. The representations (ρ_1, V) and (ρ_2, V) are the representations alluded to in Theorem 3.2(3).

If one now considers compact real forms we see that part three of Theorem 3.2 can be recast as follows.

Corollary 3.4. *There exists a compact, connected, semisimple real Lie group H such that for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist faithful representations $\rho_1, \rho_2 : H \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(n)$ with the same dimension data and such that $\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2$ and $\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2^*$. In fact, $H_1 = \rho_1(H)$ and $H_2 = \rho_2(H)$ are not conjugate by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{SU}(n))$; that is, there are no automorphisms α of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ such that $\alpha(H_1) = H_2$.*

Proof. The first part of this theorem is standard representation theory and follows for example from [Var, Theorem 4.11.14]. As for the statement concerning the non-conjugacy of H_1 and H_2 we recall the following.

Proposition 3.5 (see p. 56 of [Oni2]). *Let G be a connected, simple, non-abelian compact Lie group and H a connected and simply-connected Lie group.*

1. *Let $\sigma, \tau : H \rightarrow G$ be two homomorphisms with discrete kernels. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ such that $\alpha(\sigma(H)) = \tau(H)$ if and only if $\tau = \alpha \circ \sigma \circ \beta$ for a certain $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(H)$.*
2. *Two homomorphisms $\tau, \sigma : H \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(n)$ are **conjugate by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{SU}(n))$** if and only if $\tau \sim \sigma$ or $\tau \sim \sigma^*$. Here conjugate by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{SU}(n))$ means there*

exists $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\text{SU}(n))$ such that $\tau = \alpha \circ \sigma$.

Now let's suppose $\alpha(H_1) = H_2$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\text{SU}(n))$. Then the first part of the above shows us that $\rho_2 = \alpha \circ \rho_1 \circ \beta$ for some $\beta \in \text{Aut}(H)$. The second part of Proposition 3.5 then implies that $\rho_2 \sim \rho_1 \circ \beta$ or $\rho_2 \sim \rho_1^* \circ \beta$. That is, $\rho_2 \stackrel{a}{\sim} \rho_1$ or $\rho_2 \stackrel{a}{\sim} \rho_1^*$, which is a contradiction. Hence, H_1 and H_2 are not conjugate by $\text{Aut}(\text{SU}(n))$. \square

Now let $H_1 = \rho_1(H)$, $H_2 = \rho_2(H) \leq \text{SU}(n)$ be two realizations of H as in Corollary 3.4. Since $H_1, H_2 \leq \text{SU}(n)$ have the same dimension data with respect to the standard representation of $\text{SU}(n)$ it follows from Frobenius' Reciprocity that $\pi_{H_1}^G \sim \pi_{H_2}^G$. Now, if we consider $\text{SU}(n)$ with the bi-invariant metric, then it is clear that $\text{SU}(n)$ acts on itself by isometries and that $\pi_i : \text{SU}(n) \rightarrow \text{SU}(n)/H_i$ (the projection mapping) is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers for $i = 1, 2$. It then follows from Theorem 2.3 that the quotient spaces $\text{SU}(n)/H_1$ and $\text{SU}(n)/H_2$ are isospectral. From their construction as quotients of $\text{SU}(n)$ it is clear from O'Neill's formula [O'N] that these spaces have non-negative sectional curvature. We also note that it follows from the exact homotopy sequence of a weak fibration that these spaces are simply connected (see [Swi, Chapter 4]). We now turn our attention to the task of showing these spaces are locally non-isometric.

It is well-known that simply-connected homogeneous spaces are isometric if and only if they are locally isometric. Consequently, it is enough to show that these spaces are non-isometric. In [Oni1] the isometry groups of homogeneous spaces are studied and we see that for $i = 1, 2$ the connected component of the identity element, $\text{Isom}(G/H_i)^0$, is the locally direct product of G and $[N_G(H_i)/H_i]^0$, which is denoted by $G \cdot [N_G(H_i)/H_i]^0$. As H_i and G are connected and H_i is semi-simple it follows that $[N_G(H_i)/H_i]^0 \cong Z_G(H_i)^0$ for each i . Hence, for $i = 1, 2$ we have

$$\text{Isom}(G/H_i)^0 \cong G \cdot Z_G(H_i)^0$$

and

$$\text{Isom}(G/H_i)_{\bar{e}_i}^0 \cong H_i \cdot Z_G(H_i)^0,$$

where $\bar{e}_i = \pi_i(e)$ and $\pi_i : G \rightarrow G/H_i$ is the canonical projection for $i = 1, 2$.

We now assume there is an isometry $f : (G/H_1, m_1) \rightarrow (G/H_2, m_2)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f(\bar{e}_1) = \bar{e}_2$. The isometry f then induces a Lie group isomorphism $\alpha : \text{Isom}(G/H_1)^0 \rightarrow \text{Isom}(G/H_2)^0$ given by $\alpha(\Psi) = f \circ \Psi \circ f^{-1}$. Since α must map simple factors to simple factors and $G = \text{SU}(n)$ is a simple factor contained in neither $Z_G(H_1)$ or $Z_G(H_2)$ we conclude that $\alpha(G) = G$ and $\alpha(Z_G(H_1)^0) = Z_G(H_2)^0$. Also, since $\alpha(\text{Isom}(G/H_1)_{\bar{e}_1}^0) = \text{Isom}(G/H_2)_{\bar{e}_2}^0$ it follows that $\alpha(H_1) = H_2$. So we see that our isometry f induces an automorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow G$ such that $\alpha(H_1) = H_2$, which is a contradiction by Corollary 3.4. Hence, our spaces are not isometric, and consequently they are locally non-isometric.

We may summarize our work thus far as follows.

Theorem 3.6. *There exists a connected, simply-connected semi-simple real Lie group H which for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ admits reducible faithful representations $\rho_1, \rho_2 : H \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(n)$, where $\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2$ and $H_1 = \rho_1(H)$ and $H_2 = \rho_2(H)$ are not conjugate by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{SU}(n))$. If we equip $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ with a bi-invariant metric m , then the simply-connected, normal homogeneous spaces $(\mathrm{SU}(n)/H_1, m_1)$ and $(\mathrm{SU}(n)/H_2, m_2)$ are isospectral yet locally non-isometric.*

Remark 3.7. We offer the following comments.

1. It is clear that if one picks $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \leq \mathrm{SU}(n)$ discrete such that $[\pi_{\Gamma_1}^G : \rho] = [\pi_{\Gamma_2}^G : \rho]$ for all $\rho \in \widehat{\mathrm{SU}(n)}_{H_1} = \widehat{\mathrm{SU}(n)}_{H_2}$, then $\Gamma_1 \backslash \mathrm{SU}(n)/H_1$ and $\Gamma_2 \backslash \mathrm{SU}(n)/H_2$ are isospectral yet locally non-isometric.
2. There is no $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism between $\mathrm{SU}(n)/H_1$ and $\mathrm{SU}(n)/H_2$. However, we cannot at this time determine whether the spaces are homeomorphic.
3. The smallest value of n in Theorem 3.6 will be quite large, this follows from the comment on p. 393 of [LP]. In fact we estimate that the dimension of the smallest resulting homogeneous space is on the order of 10^{10} .

4. Isospectral fiber bundles

In the previous section we saw that the study of dimension data can lead to examples of isospectral pairs which are quotients of compact Lie groups. In this section we will show that by considering dimension data we can also find isospectral pairs which arise as quotients of Lie groups of non-compact type. Indeed we will establish the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a semisimple Lie Group of non-compact type, $K \leq G$ a maximal compact subgroup and $\Gamma \leq G$ a co-compact lattice. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a finite dimensional faithful representation, so we may consider G to be a closed linear group. Now suppose $H_1, H_2 \leq K$ are closed, act freely on G and have the same dimension data (with respect to K). It follows that $\Gamma \backslash G/H_1$ and $\Gamma \backslash G/H_2$ are isospectral on functions.*

The spaces $\Gamma \backslash G/H_1$ and $\Gamma \backslash G/H_2$ are fiber bundles over $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ with fibers $(\Gamma \cap K) \backslash K/H_1$ and $(\Gamma \cap K) \backslash K/H_2$ respectively.

Proof. Endow G with a metric which is left G -invariant and right K -invariant, hence when restricted to K it is bi-invariant. Now select a co-compact lattice $\Gamma \leq G$. Then for any finite dimensional unitary representation $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\sigma)$ of K we may construct a locally homogeneous bundle $\pi : E_\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G/K$. Indeed, let K act on $(\Gamma \backslash G) \times V_\sigma$ by $k.(x, v) = (xk^{-1}, \sigma(k)v)$. Then we let $E_\sigma = ((\Gamma \backslash G) \times V_\sigma)/K = \{(x, v) : (x, v) \in (\Gamma \backslash G) \times V_\sigma\}$, where $(x, v) = \{(xk^{-1}, \sigma(k)v) : k \in K\}$.

We let $\pi : E_\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G/K$ be given by $\overline{(x, v)} \mapsto \bar{x}$. Then $\pi^{-1}(\bar{x}) = \{\overline{(x, v)} : v \in V_\sigma\}$ is the fiber over $\bar{x} \in \Gamma \backslash G/K$.

We let $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/K, E_\sigma)$ denote the set of L^2 -sections of E_σ . Then as a vector space $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/K, E_\sigma)$ is isomorphic to

$$\tilde{V}_\sigma \equiv \{\tilde{F} : \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow V_\sigma : \tilde{F} \text{ is } L^2, \sigma(k)^{-1}\tilde{F}(x) = \tilde{F}(xk), \text{ for all } k \in K, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Gamma \backslash G\}.$$

This can be seen in the following manner. Let $F \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/K, E_\sigma)$, then for all $\bar{x} \in \Gamma \backslash G/K$ we know $F(\bar{x}) \in \pi^{-1}(\bar{x})$. Hence, $F(\bar{x}) = (x, \tilde{F}(x))$. Now for F to be well defined we must have for all $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ and for all $k \in K$ $(x, \tilde{F}(x)) \sim (xk, \tilde{F}(xk))$, but this occurs if and only if $\sigma(k)^{-1}\tilde{F}(x) = \tilde{F}(xk)$. So the correspondence is clear. On \tilde{V}_σ we see that K acts by $(k.\tilde{F})(x) = \tilde{F}(xk) = \sigma(k)^{-1}\tilde{F}(x)$.

We now recall that for any two measure spaces (X, μ) and (Y, ν) we have $L^2(X \times Y) = L^2(X) \otimes L^2(Y)$ and if we have an action of a group L on $X \times Y$ then $L^2(X \times_L Y) = (L^2(X) \otimes L^2(Y))^L$. Now, given that $\Gamma \backslash G = \Gamma \backslash G \times_K K$ and (by the Peter-Weyl Theorem) $L^2(K) = \oplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} (\oplus_{i=1}^{\dim \sigma} V_\sigma)$ we see:

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) &= (L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes L^2(K))^K \\ &= (L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes (\oplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} (\oplus_{i=1}^{\dim \sigma} V_\sigma)))^K \\ &= \oplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} (\oplus_{i=1}^{\dim \sigma} (L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes V_\sigma)^K) \\ &= \oplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} (\oplus_{i=1}^{\dim \sigma} L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/K, E_\sigma)) \\ &= \oplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} (\oplus_{i=1}^{\dim \sigma} \tilde{V}_\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Then for any $H \leq K$ we have $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/H) = L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^H = \oplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} (\oplus_{i=1}^{\dim \sigma} \tilde{V}_\sigma^H)$.

In the case that σ is a finite dimensional irreducible representation of K we see that for $F \in \tilde{V}_\sigma$ we know $\tilde{F} \equiv 0$ or $V_\sigma = \mathcal{L}(Im(\tilde{F}))$, the linear span of $Im(\tilde{F})$. Also, if $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{V}_\sigma^H$, $H \leq K$, then we see $Im \tilde{F} \subset V_\sigma^H$. These facts imply that for $\sigma \in \hat{K}$ and $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{V}_\sigma^H \setminus \{0\}$ we have $V_\sigma = \mathcal{L}(Im(\tilde{F})) \subset V_\sigma^H \subset V_\sigma$, hence we conclude $V_\sigma^H = V_\sigma$ if and only if $\tilde{V}_\sigma^H \neq 0$. Therefore, for any $H \leq K$ we have $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/H) = \oplus_{\{\sigma \in \hat{K} : Res_H^K(\sigma) = id\}} (\oplus_{i=1}^{\dim \sigma} \tilde{V}_\sigma^H)$.

We now recall that the bundle E_σ admits a locally invariant connection ∇ , which is the push-forward of the invariant connection on the homogeneous bundle $\tilde{E}_\sigma = (G \times V_\sigma)/K$. The connection ∇ defines a quadratic form D_σ on $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G/K, E_\sigma)$ given by

$$D_\sigma(f) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G/K} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 dx.$$

The quadratic form D_σ defines an elliptic operator Δ_σ on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/K, E_\sigma)$ known as the Laplace operator. If σ is irreducible, Δ_σ is equal to a shift of the restriction of the negative of the Casimir element of G by a constant determined by σ . Now for any $H \leq K$ we see that Δ on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/H)$ is given by $\Delta = \oplus_{\{\sigma \in \hat{K} : Res_H^K(\sigma) = id\}} \Delta_\sigma$. It then follows from this and the above that if $H_1, H_2 \leq K$ have the same dimension data (with respect to K), then $\Gamma \backslash G/H_1$ and $\Gamma \backslash G/H_2$ are isospectral. \square

Remark 4.2. If we let $H_1, H_2 \leq K \equiv \mathrm{SU}(n)$ be as in Theorem 3.6, $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\Gamma \leq G$ be co-compact, then we see that $\Gamma \backslash G/H_1$ and $\Gamma \backslash G/H_2$ are isospectral fiber bundles over $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ with isospectral fibers $(\Gamma \cap K) \backslash K/H_1$ and $(\Gamma \cap K) \backslash K/H_2$.

5. Group actions and a theorem of von Neumann

We now conclude our paper by considering the spectra of group actions.

Let G be a locally compact group and (X, μ) a measure space where X is a G -space and μ is a finite, G -invariant measure. We then obtain a representation of G on $L^2(X, \mu)$ given by $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$. The decomposition of $L^2(X, \mu)$ into G -irreducible representations with their multiplicities taken into account is said to be the **spectrum** of the action of G on X . If the decomposition of $L^2(X, \mu)$ into G -irreducibles is a countable direct sum of finite dimensional irreducible representations we say that the spectrum of the action is **discrete**. Two G actions are said to be **isospectral** if their spectra coincide.

A theorem of von Neumann states that two actions of a locally compact abelian group are measurably conjugate if their spectra are discrete and coincide [vN]. Spatzier considered the problem of spectral rigidity of group actions in the case of groups of non-compact type and obtained the following result.

Theorem 5.1 ([Spa]). *Let G be a non-compact almost simple connected real algebraic group whose complexification is one of the following types:*

1. A_n with $n \geq 26$,
2. B_n with $n \geq 27$,
3. B_n or D_n with $n \geq 13$.

Then G has properly ergodic actions which are isospectral yet not measurably conjugate.

However, the spectra of these actions are necessarily non-discrete. In particular, if G is of non-compact type and (X, μ) is a G -space, then the G -irreducibles which occur in the decomposition of $L^2(X, \mu)$ are infinite dimensional. Using the examples constructed in Theorem 3.6 we can show that, in general, actions with *discrete* spectra are not characterized up to measurable conjugacy by their spectra. Indeed, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(n)$, H_1 and H_2 be as in Theorem 3.6. Any dense subgroup $\Theta \leq G$ has actions on the measure spaces $(G/H_1, dx_1)$ and $(G/H_2, dx_2)$ with discrete spectra which are isospectral, but the actions are not measurably conjugate.*

Proof. Let $\Theta \leq G$ be dense and let G act on G/H_1 and G/H_2 in the usual way. We then get actions of Θ on $(G/H_1, dx_1)$ and $(G/H_2, dx_2)$. Let us suppose these

Θ -actions are measurably conjugate. That is, suppose there exists $F : G/H_1 \rightarrow G/H_2$ a measurable isomorphism such that $F(\theta.x) = \theta.F(x)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$. When $\mathcal{A} = \{f : G/H_1 \rightarrow G/H_2 \text{ measurable}\}$ is endowed with the topology of convergence in measure it is a standard Borel space and we have a natural (Borel) action of G on \mathcal{A} given by $(g.f)(x) = g.f(g^{-1}.x)$. It can be seen that for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ G_f (the stabilizer of f) is closed. Since Θ is dense and $\Theta \subset G_F$ we have $G_F = G$. So, F is a G -map. The same can be said for F^{-1} .

Now there exists $L_1 : G/H_1 \rightarrow G/H_2$ continuous such that $F = L_1$ a.e. and there exists $L_2 : G/H_2 \rightarrow G/H_1$ continuous such that $F^{-1} = L_2$ a.e. Then $L_2 \circ L_1 = I$ a.e. and $L_1 \circ L_2 = I$ a.e., where I denotes the identity. From continuity we obtain equality everywhere. Consequently, $L = L_1 : G/H_1 \rightarrow G/H_2$ is a homeomorphism which is also a G -map.

It is clear that $G_{\bar{e}_1} = H_1$, where $\bar{e}_1 = eH_1$. Then, since L is a G -map, we see $H_1 \leq G_{f(\bar{e}_1)} = H_2^g$ for some $g \in G$. From the fact that L is also a homeomorphism we see $H_2^g \leq G_{\bar{e}_1} = H_1$. We have thus established that H_1 and H_2 are conjugate in G . However, by construction this is false. We are then led to conclude that the Θ -actions are not measurably conjugate.

Since $\Theta \leq G$ is dense we know that the spectra of the Θ -actions coincide with the spectra of the respective G -actions. By construction the G -actions on $(G/H_1, dx_1)$ and $(G/H_2, dx_2)$ have discrete spectra and are isospectral. Hence, the Θ actions have discrete spectra and are isospectral. \square

From Proposition 5.2 it follows that there are arithmetic lattices which admit actions with discrete spectra that are isospectral yet not measurably conjugate. Indeed, we recall the following result.

Proposition 5.3 (Restriction of scalars). *Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an algebraic number field with $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ and let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers in F . Now suppose $G \leq \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is defined over F , and let $\sigma_1 = \mathrm{id}, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d$ denote the d distinct (up to complex conjugation) imbeddings of F in \mathbb{C} . Then $G_{\mathcal{O}}$ imbeds as an arithmetic lattice in*

$$G^{\sigma_1} \times \dots \times G^{\sigma_d}$$

via the natural embedding $g \mapsto (\sigma_1(g), \dots, \sigma_d(g))$, where G^{σ_i} denotes the Galois conjugate of G by σ_i . Furthermore, if G is simple, then $\phi(G_{\mathcal{O}})$ is irreducible.

If we let $F = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$, then $\mathrm{SU}(m, l)$ ($m+l \geq 2$), the set of matrices in $\mathrm{SL}(m+l)$ which preserve the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 - \sqrt{2}(\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+l} x_i^2)$, is defined over F . In this case the only non-trivial imbedding of F inside \mathbb{C} is given by $\sigma(x + \sqrt{2}y) = x - \sqrt{2}y$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. From Proposition 5.3 it follows that $\Gamma = \phi(\mathrm{SU}(m, l)_{\mathcal{O}})$ is an irreducible arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SU}(m, l) \times \mathrm{SU}(m+l)$. In the case where $\min(m, l) \geq 1$, we see that $\mathrm{SU}(m+l)$ is the maximal compact factor in $\mathrm{SU}(m, l) \times \mathrm{SU}(m+l)$ and hence it follows from the irreducibility of Γ that $\pi(\Gamma)$ is dense in $\mathrm{SU}(m+l)$, where $\pi : \mathrm{SU}(m, l) \times \mathrm{SU}(m+l) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(m+l)$ is the canonical projection.

If we now let $SU(n)$, H_1 , and H_2 be as in Proposition 5.2, then we see that there is an irreducible arithmetic lattice Γ in $SU(n-2, 2) \times SU(n)$ which has actions on $SU(n)/H_1$ and $SU(n)/H_2$ with discrete spectra that are isospectral yet not measurably conjugate.

Remark 5.4. After a more careful review of the literature we have recently learned that a counterexample to the spectral rigidity of group actions is contained in [Mac]. Mackey observes that if (G, H_1, H_2) is a triple of groups where H_1 and H_2 are non-conjugate, representation equivalent subgroups of G , then the G -actions on G/H_1 and G/H_2 are isospectral, but not measurably conjugate. He then gives an example of such a triple of groups taken from [Tod], where $G = S_{16}$ is the permutation group on 16 elements and H_1 and H_2 are two order 16 subgroups.

References

- [AW] S. Aloff and N. Wallach, An infinite family of distinct 7-manifolds admitting positively curved Riemannian structures, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1975), 93–97.
- [Bla] H. Blanchard, *Autour des nilvariétés isospectrales*, PhD thesis, L’Institut Fourier, Grenoble, France, 1998.
- [BG] R. Brooks and C. S. Gordon, Isospectral families of conformally equivalent Riemannian metrics, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (1990), 433–436.
- [BT] R. Brooks and R. Tse, Isospectral surfaces of small genus, *Nagoya Math. J.* **107** (1987), 13–24.
- [Don] H. Donnelly, The asymptotic splitting of $L^2(M)$ into irreducibles, *Math. Ann.* **237** (1978), 23–40.
- [GGSWW] C. S. Gordon, R. Gornet, D. Schueth, D. L. Webb and E. N. Wilson, Isospectral deformations of closed Riemannian manifolds with different scalar curvature, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **48** (1998), 593–607.
- [Gor1] C. S. Gordon, Isospectral closed Riemannian manifolds which are not locally isometric, *J. Diff. Geom.* **37** (1993), 639–649.
- [Gor2] C. S. Gordon, Survey of isospectral manifolds, *Handbook of Differential Geometry* (F. J. E. Dillen, L. C. A. Verstraelen, eds.), vol. 1, Elsevier Science B.V. 2000, 747–778.
- [Gor3] C. S. Gordon, Isospectral deformations of metrics on spheres, *Invent. Math.* **145** (2001), 317–331.
- [GW] C. S. Gordon and E. N. Wilson, Isospectral deformations of compact solvmanifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* **19** (1984), 241–256.
- [Gt1] R. Gornet, The marked length spectrum vs. the Laplace spectrum on forms on Riemannian manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **71** (1996), 297–329.
- [Gt2] R. Gornet, Continuous families of Riemannian manifolds isospectral on functions but not on 1-forms, *J. Geom. Anal.* **10** (2000), 281–298.
- [Ike] A. Ikeda, On lens spaces which are isospectral, but not isometric, *Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Sup.* **13** (1980), 303–315.
- [JLPR] D. Joe, Y. Lee, J. Park and J. Ryu, On the isospectra and isometries of the Aloff–Wallach spaces, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **38** (2001), 413–425.
- [Kna] A. W. Knaapp, *Lie Groups: Beyond an Introduction*, Birkhäuser, 1986.
- [LP] M. Larsen and R. Pink, Determining representations from invariant dimensions, *Invent. Math.* **102** (1990), 377–398.

- [Mac] G. W. Mackey, Ergodic group actions with a pure point spectrum, *Illinois J. Math.* **8** (1964), 593–600.
- [Mil] J. Milnor, Eigenvalues of the Laplace operator on certain manifolds, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **51** (1964), 542.
- [O’N] B. O’Neill, The fundamental equations of a submersion, *Michigan Math. J.* **23** (1966), 459–469.
- [Oni1] A. L. Onishchik, The group of isometries of a compact Riemannian homogeneous space, *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai* **56** (1989), 597–616.
- [Oni2] A. L. Onishchik, *Topology of Transitive Transformation Groups*, Johann Ambrosius Barth, 1994.
- [Pes] H. Pesce, Représentations relativement équivalentes et variétés riemanniennes isospectrales, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **71** (1996), 243–268.
- [Sch1] D. Schueth, Continuous families of isospectral metrics on simply connected manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* **149** (1999), 287–308.
- [Sch2] D. Schueth, Isospectral manifolds with different local geometries, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **534** (2001), 41–94.
- [Spa] R. J. Spatzier, On isospectral locally symmetric spaces and a theorem of von Neumann, *Duke Math. J.* **59** (1989), 289–294.
- [Sun] T. Sunada, Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* **121** (1985), 169–186.
- [Sut] C. J. Sutton, *Applications of Representation Theory to Dynamics and Spectral Geometry*, PhD thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI USA 48104, 2001.
- [Swi] R. M. Switzer, *Algebraic Topology: Homotopy and Homology*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [Sza1] Z. I. Szabó, Locally non-isometric yet super isospectral spaces, *GAF* **9** (1999), 185–214.
- [Sza2] Z. I. Szabó, Isospectral pairs of metrics on balls, spheres, and other manifolds with different local geometries, *Ann. of Math.* **154** (2001), 437–475.
- [Tod] J. A. Todd, On a conjecture in group theory, *J. London Math. Soc.* **25** (1950), 246.
- [Var] V. S. Varadarajan, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Their Representations*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [Vig] M. F. Vignéras, Variétés riemanniennes isospectrales et non isométriques, *Ann. of Math.* **112** (1980), 21–32.
- [vN] J. von Neumann, Zur Operatorenmethode in der klassischen Mechanik, *Ann. of Math.* **33** (1932), 587–642.

Craig J. Sutton
 University of Pennsylvania
 Department of Mathematics
 Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395
 USA
 e-mail: cjsutton@math.upenn.edu

(Received: October 4, 2001)



To access this journal online:
<http://www.birkhauser.ch>
