

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 76 (2001)  
  
**Artikel:** Complexity of degenerations of modules  
**Autor:** Aehle, R. / Riedtmann, Ch. / Zwara, G.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57413>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 15.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Complexity of degenerations of modules

R. Aehle, Ch. Riedtmann and G. Zwara

**Abstract.** A module  $M$  over an associative algebra  $A$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  is said to degenerate to a module  $N$  if  $N$  belongs to the closure of the isomorphism class of  $M$  in the algebraic variety of  $d$ -dimensional  $A$ -modules,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We associate a non-negative integer to a degeneration  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ , its complexity, and study its properties.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).** 14L30, 16G10.

**Keywords.** Algebras, modules, degenerations of modules.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field,  $A$  a finite dimensional associative  $k$ -algebra with a unit and  $\text{mod } A$  the category of finite dimensional left  $A$ -modules. Let  $\mathbb{M}_d(k)$  denote the  $k$ -algebra of the  $d \times d$ -matrices with coefficients in  $k$ . We view  $A$  as a quotient of a free associative algebra  $k\langle X_1, \dots, X_r \rangle$  by a two-sided ideal  $I$ . We define the affine variety  $\text{mod}_A^d(k)$  as the set of  $r$ -tuples  $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  such that  $m_i \in \mathbb{M}_d(k)$  and  $\rho(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  is the zero matrix for any  $\rho \in I$ . The general linear group  $GL_d(k)$  acts on  $\text{mod}_A^d(k)$  by conjugation.

As an ordinary set,  $\text{mod}_A^d(k)$  is just the set  $\text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(A, \mathbb{M}_d(k))$  and hence  $GL_d(k)$ -orbits in  $\text{mod}_A^d(k)$  correspond bijectively to isomorphism classes of  $d$ -dimensional left  $A$ -modules.

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two  $d$ -dimensional  $A$ -modules. By definition,  $M$  degenerates to  $N$ , noted  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ , if  $N$  lies in the closure of the  $GL_d(k)$ -orbit of  $M$  in  $\text{mod}_A^d(k)$ , with respect to the Zariski topology. This defines a partial order on the set of isomorphism classes of  $d$ -dimensional  $A$ -modules.

Denote by  $Q$  the quiver

$$Q = 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a_1} \\ \xleftarrow{b_1} \end{array} 2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a_2} \\ \xleftarrow{b_2} \end{array} 3 \dots$$

with vertex set  $Q_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and arrows  $a_i : i \rightarrow i+1$ ,  $b_i : i+1 \rightarrow i$  for every  $i \in Q_0$ .

We call a representation

$$T = N_1 \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_1} \end{smallmatrix} N_2 \cdots N_i \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_i} \end{smallmatrix} N_{i+1} \cdots$$

of  $Q$  in  $\text{mod } A$ , the category of finite dimensional  $A$ -modules, an *exact tube* if the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_i \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \beta_{i-1} \\ \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}} N_{i-1} \oplus N_{i+1} \xrightarrow{(-\alpha_{i-1}, \beta_i)} N_i \rightarrow 0$$

or equivalently the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & N_{i+1} \\ \downarrow \beta_{i-1} & & \downarrow \beta_i \\ N_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i-1}} & N_i \end{array}$$

is exact for all  $i \geq 1$ . Here we set  $N_0 = 0$ . Note that  $N_i$  is an  $A$ -module, that  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  are  $A$ -linear and that  $\alpha_i$  is injective,  $\beta_i$  is surjective, for all  $i \geq 1$ . We say that  $T$  is an  $(M, N)$ -tube if there is a natural number  $h$  such that

- (i)  $N_1 \xrightarrow[A]{\sim} N$ ,
- (ii)  $N_{h+j+1} \xrightarrow[A]{\sim} N_{h+j} \oplus M$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We call the smallest such number  $h$  the complexity  $\text{cpl}(T)$  of the tube.

Let  $T$  be an  $(M, N)$ -tube. Note that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_k \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} N_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_k} N_1 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any  $k$ . As  $N_{k+1}$  is isomorphic to  $N_k \oplus M$  for  $k \geq \text{cpl}(T)$ , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_k \rightarrow N_k \oplus M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

and therefore  $M$  degenerates to  $N$  [5].

Conversely, whenever  $M$  degenerates to  $N$ , there exists an  $(M, N)$ -tube: Indeed, the third author showed in [7] that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} Z \oplus M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.1)$$

and in [6] he associated an exact tube  $T_{f,g}$  with such a sequence (see also Section 4). In fact,  $T_{f,g}$  is the cokernel of the injection  $\varphi: X \rightarrow X'$  between the following representations of  $Q$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X : & Z & \xrightleftharpoons[1]{f} & Z & \xrightleftharpoons[1]{f} & \cdots & \xrightleftharpoons[1]{f} & Z & \xrightleftharpoons[1]{f} & Z & \cdots \\ \varphi \downarrow & \varphi_1 \downarrow & & \varphi_2 \downarrow & & & \varphi_i \downarrow & & \varphi_{i+1} \downarrow & & \\ X' : & Z \oplus M & \xrightleftharpoons[\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}]{(10)} & Z \oplus M^2 & \xrightleftharpoons[\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}]{(10)} & \cdots & \xrightleftharpoons[\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}]{(10)} & Z \oplus M^i & \xrightleftharpoons[\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}]{(10)} & Z \oplus M^{i+1} & \cdots \end{array}$$

with  $\varphi_i = (f^i, gf^{i-1}, \dots, g)^t : Z \rightarrow Z \oplus M^i$ . Both  $X$  and  $X'$  are almost exact tubes: they satisfy all requirements except those related to  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ . The only condition left to be checked for  $T_{f,g}$  is the exactness of the bottom row in the commutative diagram (Figure 1) with exact columns. This is done by diagram chasing.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & Z & \xrightarrow{1} & Z & \xrightarrow{f} & Z & \\
 & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} f^2 \\ gf \\ g \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} & \\
 & Z \oplus M & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M^2 & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & N_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & N_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & N_1 & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 
 \end{array}$$

Figure 1

By construction,  $N_1 = \operatorname{coker} \varphi_1$  is isomorphic to  $N$ . Using Fitting's lemma in order to replace  $Z$  by a direct summand if necessary in the exact sequence (1.1), we may assume that  $f$  is nilpotent, say  $f^h = 0$ . Then  $\varphi_{h+j}$  has the form  $\varphi_{h+j} = (0, \dots, 0, gf^{h-1}, \dots, g)^t : Z \rightarrow Z \oplus M^{h+j}$ , and its cokernel  $N_{h+j}$  is isomorphic to  $M^j \oplus N_h$  for  $j \geq 0$ . We conclude that  $T_{f,g}$  is an  $(M, N)$ -tube of complexity at most  $h$ . In fact,  $T_{f,g}$  is an  $(M, N)$ -tube even if  $f$  is not nilpotent (compare with Proposition 4.2).

We define the *complexity* of a degeneration  $M \leq_{\deg} N$  to be

$$\operatorname{cpl}(M, N) = \min \operatorname{cpl}(T),$$

where  $T$  ranges over all  $(M, N)$ -tubes. This seems to be a good way to measure how “complicated” a degeneration is.

Indeed, we will prove in Sections 3 and 4 that a degeneration  $M \leq_{\deg} N$  is of complexity 1 if and only if there exists a non-split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow M \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$$

with  $N \xrightarrow{\sim} N' \oplus N''$ . So these are the “simplest” degenerations. In particular, any degeneration to an indecomposable  $N$  must have complexity at least 2.

It is quite difficult to compute the complexity of a degeneration. The construc-

tion described before gives an estimate from above: if

$$0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} Z \oplus M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence and  $f^h = 0$ , then  $\text{cpl}(M, N) \leq h$ . Conversely, it is easy to show that

$$\text{cpl}(M, N) \geq \frac{\ell\ell(M)}{\ell\ell(N)} - 1,$$

where  $\ell\ell(X)$  is the Loewy length of  $X$ ; i.e., the smallest number  $r$  for which  $(\text{rad } A)^r \cdot X = 0$  (see Proposition 3.5). Both bounds are sharp, but in general the complexity differs from both.

The complexity of a degeneration  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  obtained from two degenerations  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} P \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  seems to be quite unrelated to the sum of the complexities of  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} P$  and  $P \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ . For instance, if we take non-split exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, r,$$

then there is a sequence of degenerations

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r B_i &\leq_{\text{deg}} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} B_i \right) \oplus A_r \oplus C_r \leq_{\text{deg}} \dots \leq_{\text{deg}} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^s B_i \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=s+1}^r (A_i \oplus C_i) \\ &\leq_{\text{deg}} \dots \leq_{\text{deg}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (A_i \oplus C_i), \end{aligned}$$

but the complexity of

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r B_i \leq_{\text{deg}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (A_i \oplus C_i)$$

is 1. On the other hand, we give an example of a chain of degenerations  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} P \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  in Section 5.1 for which  $\text{cpl}(M, P) + \text{cpl}(P, N) < \text{cpl}(M, N)$ . By Proposition 5.1, a minimal degeneration can have arbitrarily high complexity. A degeneration  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  is called minimal if  $M$  is not isomorphic to  $N$  and moreover  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} P \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  implies that  $P$  is isomorphic to either  $M$  or  $N$ .

## 2. Degenerations, bimodules and exact tubes

The following construction is explained in detail in [7] (compare also [2] and [3], pp. 176–177): If  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  is a degeneration, there exists a discrete valuation  $k$ -algebra  $R$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue class field  $k$  and an  $A$ - $R$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{Y}$ , which is free of rank  $d$  over  $R$ , such that

- i)  $\mathcal{Y}/\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow[A]{} N$
- ii)  $\mathcal{Y}$  contains  $R \otimes_k M$  as an  $A$ - $R$ -submodule.

These data are related to mapping a curve  $c$  to  $\text{mod}_A^d(k)$  in such a way that its image lies generically in the orbit of  $M$  and intersects the orbit of  $N$ . Assuming  $c$  to be non-singular and passing to the completion, we may assume that  $R = k[[t]]$ . The representation  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  defined by the setting

$$N_i = \mathcal{Y}/(t^i) \cdot \mathcal{Y}$$

and letting  $\alpha_i : N_i \rightarrow N_{i+1}$  and  $\beta_i : N_{i+1} \rightarrow N_i$  be induced by multiplication by  $t$  and the identity, respectively, is easily seen to be an exact tube, and by [7] it is moreover an  $(M, N)$ -tube.

This construction associating an exact tube with a bimodule is an equivalence:

**Proposition 2.1.** *The category  $\mathcal{T}$  of exact tubes is equivalent to the category  $\text{mod } A\text{-}k[[t]]$  of  $A\text{-}k[[t]]$ -bimodules which are free of finite rank over  $k[[t]]$ .*

*Proof.* We just describe a quasi-inverse functor. For an exact tube  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  we set

$$\mathcal{Y} = \varprojlim (N_i, \beta_i),$$

and we put

$$t \cdot (n_1, n_2, \dots) = (0, \alpha_1(n_1), \alpha_2(n_2), \dots)$$

for any infinite sequence  $(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  with  $n_i \in N_i$  and  $\beta_i(n_i) = n_{i-1}$  representing an element of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . As  $T$  is an exact tube, this defines an  $A\text{-}k[[t]]$ -bimodule structure on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . As  $t$  acts without torsion,  $\mathcal{Y}$  is free as a  $k[[t]]$ -module, and its rank equals  $\dim_k N_1$ , since clearly  $\mathcal{Y}/(t) \cdot \mathcal{Y}$  is isomorphic to  $N_1$ .  $\square$

We give a direct construction of the bimodule corresponding to  $T_{f,g}$  for an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} Z \oplus M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.1)$$

with a nilpotent map  $f$ . Set

$$\mathcal{Y}_{f,g} = k[[t]] \otimes_k M \oplus Z$$

as an  $A$ -module and define the action of  $t$  on  $Z$  by

$$t \cdot (0, z) = (1 \otimes g(z), f(z)).$$

Clearly, this action of  $t$  is torsion free, and  $\mathcal{Y}_{f,g}/(t)\mathcal{Y}_{f,g}$  is isomorphic to  $N$ , so that  $\mathcal{Y}_{f,g}$  actually belongs to  $\text{mod } A\text{-}k[[t]]$ . It is easy to see that the exact tube associated with  $\mathcal{Y}_{f,g}$  is  $T_{f,g}$ .

We will need the following truncated version of an exact tube:

**Definition 2.2.** For  $m \geq 1$ , an exact tube of height  $m$  is a representation in  $\text{mod } A$

$$N_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_1} \end{array} N_2 \dots N_{m-1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m-1}} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta_{m-1}} \end{array} N_m$$

of the full subquiver  $Q_m$  of  $Q$  whose vertices are  $1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & N_{i+1} \\ \downarrow \beta_{i-1} & & \downarrow \beta_i \\ N_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i-1}} & N_i \end{array}$$

is exact for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ . Again we set  $N_0 = 0$ .

The category of exact tubes of height  $m$  is equivalent to the category of  $A$ - $k[t]/(t^m)$ -bimodules which are free of finite rank over  $k[t]/(t^m)$ .

Obviously, an exact tube  $T$  restricts to an exact tube  $T_{\leq m}$  of height  $m$  for all  $m$ . We will see in Section 4 that an  $M$ -extendible tube  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  of height  $h \geq 1$  (see next definition) is always the restriction of an  $(M, N_1)$ -tube.

**Definition 2.3.** A tube  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  of height  $h$  is called  $M$ -extendible if there is a decomposition  $N_h = Z \oplus Z'$  and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}} N_{h-1} \oplus M \xrightarrow{(c \ d)} Z' \rightarrow 0$$

such that  $a = \beta_{h-1}|_Z$  and  $c = pr_{Z'} \circ \alpha_{h-1}$ , where  $pr_{Z'} : Z \oplus Z' \rightarrow Z'$  is the natural projection.

We end this section with some questions. We do not know how to describe the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } A\text{-}k[[t]]$  corresponding to  $(M, N)$ -tubes. Conceivably, its objects are just those bimodules  $\mathcal{Y}$  which contain  $k[[t]] \otimes_k M$  as a subbimodule. This would follow if we knew that any  $(M, N)$ -tube is of the form  $\mathcal{Y}_{f,g}$  for some exact sequence (2.1).

### 3. Complexity

**Definition 3.1.** We call a map

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} : Z \rightarrow Z \oplus M$$

an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism provided  $N$  is isomorphic to  $\text{coker} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ .

Recall that, for a degeneration  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ , we defined the complexity as

$$\text{cpl}(M, N) = \min \text{cpl}(T),$$

where  $T$  ranges over all  $(M, N)$ -tubes. There always are  $(M, N)$ -tubes with different complexities. For instance, if  $(f, g)^t : Z \rightarrow Z \oplus M$  is an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism and we set

$$f' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix} : Z^2 \longrightarrow Z^2, \quad g' = (g \ 0) : Z^2 \longrightarrow M,$$

the map  $(f', g')^t$  will be an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism, too, and it is easy to see that

$$\text{cpl}(T_{f', g'}) = 2 \text{cpl}(T_{f, g}).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $h \geq 1$  be a natural number and  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  a degeneration. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{cpl}(M, N) \leq h$
- (ii) *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} Z \oplus M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

*such that  $\text{cpl}(T_{f, g}) \leq h$ .*

- (iii) *There exists an exact tube  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  of height  $2h + 1$  with  $N \xrightarrow[A]{} N_1$  and such that*

$$N_{h+j+1} \xrightarrow[A]{} N_{h+j} \oplus M$$

*for  $j = 0, \dots, h$ .*

- (iv) *There exists an  $M$ -extendible exact tube  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  of height  $h$  with  $N \xrightarrow[A]{} N_1$ .*

*Proof.* Most ingredients for the proof will be given in Section 4. Here we indicate how they fit together: The implications (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) are obvious. The results of Section 4 up to Proposition 4.6 give that (ii) implies (iv), and Proposition 4.8 shows (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Finally, the implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows from Proposition 4.9 and the next lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  be an  $(M, N)$ -tube, and assume that  $N_{h+1} \xrightarrow[A]{} N_h \oplus M$  for some  $h \geq 1$ . Then  $\text{cpl}(T) \leq h$ .*

*Proof.* As  $T$  is an  $(M, N)$ -tube, there exists a natural number  $j \geq h$  such that  $N_{i+1} \xrightarrow[A]{} N_i \oplus M$  for all  $i \geq j$ . Take an integer  $i$  with  $h < i < j$ , and consider the



two exact squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 N_h \oplus M & \xrightarrow{\sim} & N_{h+1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i \dots \alpha_{h+1}} & N_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_j \dots \alpha_{i+1}} & N_{j+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} N_j \oplus M \\
 & & \downarrow \beta_h & & \downarrow \beta_i & & \downarrow \beta_j \\
 & & N_h & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i-1} \dots \alpha_h} & N_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{j-1} \dots \alpha_i} & N_j.
 \end{array}$$

The big square splits, and therefore the two small squares split as well. We conclude that  $N_{i+1}$  is isomorphic to  $N_i \oplus M$ .  $\square$

As  $N_0 = 0$ , our theorem takes the following simpler form for  $h = 1, 2$ :

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  be a degeneration. Then*

i)  $\text{cpl}(M, N) \leq 1$  *if and only if*  $N = Z \oplus Z'$  *and there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow M \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow 0.$$

ii)  $\text{cpl}(M, N) \leq 2$  *if and only if there exist two exact squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z & \xrightarrow{a} & N \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\
 M & \longrightarrow & Z'
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 N & \longrightarrow & Z \\
 \downarrow c & & \downarrow a \\
 Z' & \longrightarrow & N
 \end{array}$$

**Proposition 3.5.** *For any degeneration  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  we have*

$$\text{cpl}(M, N) \geq \frac{\ell\ell(M)}{\ell\ell(N)} - 1,$$

where  $\ell\ell(X)$  denotes the Loewy length of  $X$ ; i.e., the smallest integer  $r$  such that  $(\text{rad } A)^r X = 0$ .

*Proof.* Choose an  $(M, N)$ -tube  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  of complexity  $h = \text{cpl}(M, N)$ . Then  $M$  is a direct summand of  $N_{h+1}$ , and hence  $\ell\ell(M) \leq \ell\ell(N_{h+1})$ . We claim that, for all  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$\ell\ell(N_i) \leq i \ell\ell(N_1).$$

In fact, for any exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

the relation

$$\ell\ell(B) \leq \ell\ell(A) + \ell\ell(C)$$

holds true. Our claim follows by induction, considering the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow N_{i-1} \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

#### 4. Exact tubes from monomorphisms

Throughout this section,  $(f, g)^t : Z \rightarrow Z \oplus M$  denotes an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism.

**Definition 4.1.** We call two exact tubes  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  and  $T' = (N'_i, \alpha'_i, \beta'_i)$  *similar* if  $N_i$  is isomorphic to  $N'_i$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

So we do not ask for any compatibility with the maps in the tubes. Note that the property of being an  $(M, N)$ -tube is preserved under similarity, and so is complexity.

**Proposition 4.2.** *There is a direct summand  $Z'$  of  $Z$  and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow Z' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f|_{Z'} \\ g|_{Z'} \end{pmatrix}} Z' \oplus M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

*such that  $f|_{Z'}$  is nilpotent and  $T_{f,g}$  is similar to  $T_{f|_{Z'}, g|_{Z'}}$ . As a consequence,  $T_{f,g}$  is an  $(M, N)$ -tube.*

*Proof.* By Fitting's lemma, there is a decomposition  $Z = Z' \oplus Z''$  of  $Z$  as a direct sum which is preserved under  $f$  and such that  $f' = f|_{Z'}$  is nilpotent and  $f'' = f|_{Z''}$  is an automorphism of  $Z''$ . Set  $g' = g|_{Z'}$  and  $g'' = g|_{Z''}$ . Obviously the maps

$$\begin{pmatrix} f'^i & 0 & g' f'^{i-1} & \cdots & g' \\ 0 & f''^i & g'' f''^{i-1} & \cdots & g'' \end{pmatrix}^t : Z' \oplus Z'' \longrightarrow Z' \oplus Z'' \oplus M^i$$

and

$$(f'^i \quad g' f'^{i-1} \cdots g')^t : Z' \longrightarrow Z' \oplus M^i$$

have isomorphic cokernels as  $(f'')^i$  is an isomorphism for  $i \geq 1$ . Since  $f'$  is nilpotent,  $T_{f',g'}$  is an  $(M, N)$ -tube.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** Suppose that  $f^h = 0$ . As

$$\varphi_{h+j} = (0, \dots, 0, g f^{h-1}, \dots, g)^t : Z \longrightarrow Z \oplus M^{h+j},$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the exact tube  $T_{f,g}$  has the following particularly simple form:

$$N_{h+j} = Z \oplus M^j \oplus Z', \quad N_{h+j+1} = Z \oplus M^{j+1} \oplus Z',$$

$$\alpha_{h+j} = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : Z \oplus (M^j \oplus Z') \rightarrow Z \oplus M \oplus (M^j \oplus Z'),$$

$$\beta_{h+j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & l \end{pmatrix} : (Z \oplus M^j) \oplus M \oplus Z' \rightarrow (Z \oplus M^j) \oplus Z',$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $Z'$  is a cokernel of

$$\psi = (g \circ (f^{h-1}, \dots, f, 1))^t : Z \longrightarrow M^h$$

and

$$(k, \quad l) : M \oplus Z' \longrightarrow Z'$$

is obtained from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{h+1}} & Z \oplus M^{h+1} & \longrightarrow & N_{h+1} = Z \oplus M \oplus Z' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow (1 \ 0) & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & l \end{pmatrix} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{\varphi_h} & Z \oplus M^h & \longrightarrow & N_h = Z \oplus Z' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows.

Our next goal is to show that, up to similarity, we may choose  $g \in \text{rad}(Z, M)$ . We start with an auxiliary result:

**Lemma 4.4.** *The tube  $T_{f,g}$  is similar to  $T_{f',g}$  with  $f' = f - hg$ , where  $h : M \rightarrow Z$  is any homomorphism.*

*Proof.* It suffices to check the identity  $\psi_i \circ \varphi'_i = \varphi_i$ , for  $i \geq 1$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_i &= (f^i, g f^{i-1}, \dots, g)^t : Z \longrightarrow Z \oplus M^i, \\
 \varphi'_i &= (f'^i, g f'^{i-1}, \dots, g)^t : Z \longrightarrow Z \oplus M^i
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\psi_i := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h & fh & f^2h & \dots & f^{i-1}h \\ 0 & 1 & gh & gfh & \dots & g f^{i-2}h \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & gh & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & gh \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & gh \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : Z \oplus M^i \rightarrow Z \oplus M^i.$$

The key is the equation

$$f^r = f'^r + \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} f^s (hg) f'^{r-1-s}, \quad r \geq 1,$$

which is proved by induction.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *There exists a direct summand  $Z'$  of  $Z$  and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow Z' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f' \\ g' \end{pmatrix}} Z' \oplus M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.1)$$

*with  $g' \in \text{rad}(Z', M)$  and such that  $T_{f,g}$  is similar to  $T_{f',g'}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $g \in \text{rad}(Z, M)$ , there is nothing to be proved. Otherwise, we prove that a sequence (4.1) exists such that  $T_{f,g}$  is similar to  $T_{f',g'}$  and  $\dim Z' < \dim Z$  and then proceed by induction on  $\dim Z$ . We choose a non-zero direct summand  $Z_2$  of  $Z$  for which  $g|_{Z_2}$  is a section. Replacing  $Z$  by an isomorphic module if

necessary, which leads to an isomorphic tube, we may assume that  $Z = Z_1 \oplus Z_2$ ,  $M = M_1 \oplus Z_2$ ,

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying the preceding lemma for

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain a monomorphism  $\begin{pmatrix} f'' \\ g \end{pmatrix}$  of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} f'' \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \\ q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : Z_1 \oplus Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 \oplus Z_2 \oplus M_1 \oplus Z_2.$$

Now we may take  $Z' = Z_1$ ,  $M = Z_2 \oplus M_1$ ,  $f' = a$  and  $g' = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ q \end{pmatrix}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Set  $h = \text{cpl}(T_{f,g})$ , and suppose that  $g \in \text{rad}(Z, M)$  and that  $f$  is nilpotent. Then  $(T_{f,g})_{\leq h}$  is  $M$ -extendible.*

*Proof.* Our assumptions on  $f$  and  $g$  imply that, for some  $i$ , the restriction  $\psi|_Z$  of the composition

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_i & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{M^h} \end{pmatrix} : Z \oplus M^h \rightarrow Z \oplus M^i \oplus M^h$$

of the maps

$$Z \oplus M^h \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} Z \oplus M^{1+h} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} Z \oplus M^{i+h}$$

belongs to  $\text{rad}(Z, Z \oplus M^{i+h})$ . By construction of  $T_{f,g}$ , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \oplus M^h & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z \oplus M^i \oplus M^h \\ \downarrow \pi_h & & \downarrow \pi_{h+i} \\ N_h & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i+h-1} \cdots \alpha_h} & N_{i+h} \end{array}$$

is exact, where  $\pi_j : Z \oplus M^j \rightarrow N_j$  is the projection to the cokernel of  $\varphi_j : Z \rightarrow Z \oplus M^j$ , and it splits, since  $h = \text{cpl}(T_{f,g})$ . Therefore,  $\pi_h|_Z$  is a section, and replacing  $N_h$  by an isomorphic module, we may assume that

$$N_h = Z \oplus Z', \quad \pi_h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & d & * \end{pmatrix} : Z \oplus M \oplus M^{h-1} \longrightarrow Z \oplus Z',$$

where  $*$  is an arbitrary map.

Now consider the exact squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \oplus M^{h-1} & \xrightleftharpoons[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}]{\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M \oplus M^{h-1} \\ \downarrow \pi_{h-1} & & \downarrow \pi_h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & d & * \end{pmatrix} \\ N_{h-1} & \xrightleftharpoons[\beta_{h-1}]{\alpha_{h-1}} & Z \oplus Z' \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{g} & M \\ \downarrow \pi_{h-1}|_Z & & \downarrow d \\ N_{h-1} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{Z'} \circ \alpha_{h-1}} & Z' \end{array}$$

is exact as well. Moreover, we have

$$\pi_{h-1}|_Z = \beta_{h-1}|_Z.$$

□

Next we recall a different construction for  $T_{f,g}$ , which has been presented for the most part in [6]. From  $(f, g)^t$  we obtain the commutative diagram (Figure 2) with exact rows and  $(k_i, l_i) = \beta_i(k_{i+1}, l_{i+1})$  for  $i \leq m-1$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M & \xrightarrow{(k_1, l_1)} & N_1 = N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow k_{m-1} & & \downarrow (k_m, l_m) & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m-1}} & N_m & \xrightarrow{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{m-1}} & N_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_{m-2} & & \downarrow \beta_{m-1} & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_{m-2} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m-2}} & N_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{m-2}} & N_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & N_3 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1 \beta_2} & N_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \beta_2 & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & N_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & N_1 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Figure 2

The next step is always obtained by squeezing the push-out of the top sequence by  $k_m$  between the two top rows.

We claim that the exact tube  $(N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  of height  $m$  thus obtained is isomorphic to the restriction  $(T_{f,g})_{\leq m}$  of  $T_{f,g}$ .

By induction, we obtain the following series of exact squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 Z & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M^2 & \cdots & Z \oplus M^{m-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M^m \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2 & & \downarrow \psi_{m-1} & & \downarrow \psi_m \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & N_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & N_2 & \cdots & N_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m-1}} & N_m
 \end{array}$$

with  $\psi_i = (k_i, l_i, \alpha_{i-1}l_{i-1}, \dots, \alpha_{i-1} \dots \alpha_1 l_1) : Z \oplus M^i \rightarrow N_i$ .

Note that the composition of the first  $i$  maps of the top row is just  $\varphi_i : Z \rightarrow Z \oplus M^i$  and that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} Z \oplus M^i \xrightarrow{\psi_i} N_i \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . So  $N_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coker } \varphi_i$ , and the maps  $\alpha_i$  are the ones we claim. As for  $\beta_i$ , it suffices to show that

$$\psi_i \circ (1 \ 0) = \beta_i \circ \psi_{i+1}.$$

This follows easily from the explicit formulas for  $\psi_i$ ,  $\psi_{i+1}$ , the equation

$$(k_i, l_i) = \beta_i(k_{i+1}, l_{i+1})$$

and the fact that  $(N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  is an exact tube of height  $m$ . As a consequence we have:

**Remark 4.7.** Let  $(f, g)^t$  be an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism and  $T' = (N'_i, \alpha'_i, \beta'_i)$  an exact tube of height  $m$ . Then  $T'$  is isomorphic to  $(T_{f,g})_{\leq m}$  if and only if there exists an exact square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M \\
 \downarrow \beta'_{m-1} \circ k & & \downarrow (k, l) \\
 N'_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_{m-1}} & N'_m
 \end{array}$$

**Proposition 4.8.** Any  $M$ -extendible exact tube  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  of height  $m$  with  $N_1 \xrightarrow[A]{\sim} N$  is the restriction of the exact tube  $T_{f,g}$  to  $Q_m$  for some  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism  $(f, g)^t$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}} N_{m-1} \oplus M \xrightarrow{(c \ d)} Z' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence with  $N_m = Z \oplus Z'$ ,  $a = \beta_{m-1}|_Z$  and  $c = \text{pr}_{Z'} \circ \alpha_{m-1}$ . The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_m = Z \oplus Z' & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} c'a & c'a' \\ b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M \oplus Z' \\ \downarrow (a,a')=\beta_{m-1} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -d & ca' \end{pmatrix} \\ N_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{(c')=\alpha_{m-1}} & N_m = Z \oplus Z' \end{array}$$

is exact. Setting

$$N_{m+1} = Z \oplus M \oplus Z', \quad \alpha_m = \begin{pmatrix} c'a & c'a' \\ b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -d & ca' \end{pmatrix}$$

we may extend  $T$  to an exact tube of height  $m+1$ . By construction, the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} c'a \\ b \end{pmatrix} : Z \longrightarrow Z \oplus M$$

is an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism, and the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} c'a \\ b \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M \\ \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ N_m = Z \oplus Z' & \xrightarrow{\alpha_m} & N_{m+1} = Z \oplus M \oplus Z' \end{array}$$

is exact with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \beta_m \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The result now follows from Remark 4.7.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  be an exact tube of height  $h+m$ , for some  $h \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1$ . Suppose that*

$$N_{h+j+1} \xrightarrow[A]{\sim} N_{h+j} \oplus M$$

*for  $j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ . Then there is an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism*

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} : N_{h+m-1} \longrightarrow N_{h+m-1} \oplus M$$

*such that the restrictions  $T_{\leq m}$  and  $(T_{f,g})_{\leq m}$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* We wish to choose

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \chi \circ \alpha_{h+m-1}$$

for a suitable isomorphism  $\chi : N_{h+m} \rightarrow N_{h+m-1} \oplus M$  and to apply Remark 4.7 to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 N_{h+m-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{h+m-1}} & N_{h+m} & \xrightarrow[\chi]{\sim} & N_{h+m-1} \oplus M \\
 \downarrow \beta = \beta_{m-1} \cdots \beta_{h+m-2} & & \downarrow \beta_m \cdots \beta_{h+m-1} & \nearrow & \\
 N_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m-1}} & N_m & & 
 \end{array}$$

In order to do this, we only need to construct a section

$$s : N_{h+m-1} \longrightarrow N_{h+m}$$

satisfying

$$\beta_{m-1}\beta_m \cdots \beta_{h+m-1}s = \beta\beta_{h+m-1}s = \beta.$$

By our hypothesis, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 N_{h+1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & N_{h+m} \\
 \downarrow \beta_h & & \downarrow \beta_{h+m-1} \\
 N_h & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & N_{h+m-1}
 \end{array}$$

splits, where  $\alpha = \alpha_{h+m-1} \cdots \alpha_{h+1}$  and  $\alpha' = \alpha_{h+m-2} \cdots \alpha_h$ . Choose a maximal direct summand  $A$  of  $N_{h+m}$  for which  $\beta_{h+m-1}|_A$  is a section. Replacing  $T$  by an isomorphic exact tube, we may assume that we have

$$\beta_{h+m-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} : A \oplus B \oplus M \longrightarrow A \oplus B,$$

$$\alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : C \oplus B \longrightarrow A \oplus B$$

for some maps  $\gamma, \delta, \varepsilon$ . Setting

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : A \oplus B \longrightarrow A \oplus B \oplus M,$$

we obtain

$$1_{A \oplus B} - \beta_{h+m-1}s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \gamma \end{pmatrix} : A \oplus B \longrightarrow A \oplus B,$$

which factors through  $\alpha'$ . But the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_h \xrightarrow{\alpha'} N_{h+m-1} \xrightarrow{\beta} N_{m-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, which implies  $\beta = \beta\beta_{h+m-1}s$  as required.  $\square$



## 5. Examples

All our examples are representations of quivers with relations. Let  $Q$  be a quiver with vertex set  $Q_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $I$  an admissible two-sided ideal in the quiver algebra  $kQ$ ,  $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$  a vector in  $\mathbb{N}^n$ , and denote by

$$\text{Rep}(Q, I, \underline{d})$$

the affine algebraic variety of representations  $X$  of  $(Q, I)$  with  $X(i) = k^{d_i}$ ,  $i \in Q_0$ . The dimension vector of  $X$  in  $\text{Rep}(Q, I, \underline{d})$  is  $\underline{d}$ . The group  $G(\underline{d}) = \prod_{i=1}^n GL(d_i)$  acts on  $\text{Rep}(Q, I, \underline{d})$  by

$$(g \cdot X)(\alpha) = g_j \circ X(\alpha) \circ g_i^{-1}$$

for an arrow  $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$  and  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G(\underline{d})$ .

If we view  $M, N$  in  $\text{Rep}(Q, I, \underline{d})$  as modules over  $kQ/I$  of dimension  $d = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ , then  $M$  degenerates to  $N$  if and only if the representation  $N$  belongs to the closure of the orbit  $G(\underline{d}) \cdot M$  of  $M$  in  $\text{Rep}(Q, I, \underline{d})$  [1]. This allows us to work with the smaller group  $G(\underline{d})$ .

**5.1.** We begin with an example of a degeneration whose complexity is easy to compute: Choose a natural number  $n \geq 2$  and let  $\vec{A}_n$  be the equioriented quiver with underlying graph  $A_n$ :

$$\vec{A}_n = 1 \xleftarrow{\gamma_1} 2 \xleftarrow{\gamma_2} \dots \xleftarrow{\gamma_{n-1}} n.$$

Denote by  $X_i$  the indecomposable representation of  $\vec{A}_n$  given by

$$X_i(j) = \begin{cases} k & j \leq i, \\ 0 & j > i, \end{cases}$$

$$X_i(\gamma_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & j < i, \\ 0 & j \geq i. \end{cases}$$

Then  $M = X_n$  has a filtration

$$M = X_n \supset X_{n-1} \supset \dots \supset X_2 \supset X_1,$$

and it is well-known that  $M$  degenerates to the associated graded module

$$N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n X_i / X_{i-1},$$

where we set  $X_0 = 0$ . We wish to compute the complexity  $\text{cpl}(M, N)$ , thereby

showing again that  $M$  actually degenerates to  $N$ . Set

$$Z = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i,$$

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 0 \\ \iota_2 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \iota_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} : Z \longrightarrow Z \quad \text{and}$$

$$g = (0 \cdots 0 \ \iota_n) : Z \longrightarrow M = X_n,$$

where  $\iota_i : X_{i-1} \rightarrow X_i$  is the inclusion. It is easy to check that  $(f, g)^t$  is an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism. Moreover,  $f^{n-1} = 0$ , and thus

$$\text{cpl}(M, N) \leq n - 1.$$

On the other hand, the Loewy lengths of  $M$  and  $N$  are  $n$  and 1, respectively, which implies

$$\text{cpl}(M, N) \geq \frac{\ell\ell(M)}{\ell\ell(N)} - 1 = n - 1$$

by Proposition 3.5. This example shows that there are degenerations of arbitrary complexity.

Note that for  $n = 4$  we obtain the following chain of degenerations:

$$M = k \xleftarrow{1} k \xleftarrow{1} k \xleftarrow{1} k \leq_{\deg} P = k \xleftarrow{1} k \xleftarrow{0} k \xleftarrow{1} k \\ \leq_{\deg} N = k \xleftarrow{0} k \xleftarrow{0} k \xleftarrow{0} k.$$

The complexities are

$$\text{cpl}(M, P) = 1 = \text{cpl}(P, N) \quad \text{and} \\ \text{cpl}(M, N) = 3 > \text{cpl}(M, P) + \text{cpl}(P, N).$$

Comparing with the example given in the introduction, we see that  $\text{cpl}(M, P) + \text{cpl}(P, N)$  can be either smaller or greater than  $\text{cpl}(M, N)$  for a chain

$$M \leq_{\deg} P \leq_{\deg} N.$$

**5.2.** Next we give an example of a minimal degeneration of arbitrary complexity: Let  $Q$  be the quiver

$$Q = 1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \beta,$$

choose a natural number  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $I$  be the ideal generated by  $\beta^n$ . Define  $M$  and  $N$  to be the representations of dimension vector  $(1, n)$  given by

$$M(\alpha) = e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N(\alpha) = e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$M(\beta) = N(\beta) = J_n, \text{ respectively,}$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the standard basis of  $k^n$  and  $J_m$  is the Jordan block

$$J_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 0 \\ 1 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in  $\mathbb{M}_m(k)$ , for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *There is a degeneration  $M \leq_{\deg} N$ , which is minimal, and  $\text{cpl}(M, N) = n$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $Z$  the indecomposable representation with dimension vector  $(0, n)$ , given by  $Z(\beta) = J_n$ , and let  $(f, g)^t : Z \rightarrow Z \oplus M$  be given by

$$f = (0, J_n), \quad g = (0, 1).$$

It is easy to see that  $(f, g)^t$  is an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism, so  $M$  degenerates to  $N$ . Moreover, we have  $f^n = 0$ , and therefore  $\text{cpl}(M, N) \leq n$ . As

$$\dim \text{End } M = 1 \text{ and } \dim \text{End } N = 2,$$

the orbit of  $N$  has codimension 1 in the closure of the orbit of  $M$ , which implies that the degeneration is minimal.

Suppose  $\text{cpl}(M, N) \leq n - 1$ , and choose an  $(M, N)$ -tube  $T = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  with  $N_n \xrightarrow{\sim} N_{n-1} \oplus M$ . Let  $\psi_n : N_n \rightarrow M$  be the surjection obtained from this decomposition.

**Claim.** *For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there exists a surjection*

$$\psi_i : N_i \rightarrow M^{(i)},$$

where  $M^{(i)}$  has dimension vector  $(1, i)$  and is given by

$$M^{(i)}(\alpha) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t, \quad M^{(i)}(\beta) = J_i.$$

Using the claim for  $i = 1$ , we obtain a surjection  $\psi_1 : N_1 = N \rightarrow M^{(1)}$ , which is impossible.

We prove the claim by descending induction on  $i$ . Observe that any map from  $N$  to  $M^{(i)}$  factors through the socle  $\text{soc } M^{(i)}$  and that  $M^{(i)}/\text{soc } M^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{(i-1)}$ . Writing this factorization for  $\psi_i \circ \alpha_{i-1} \cdots \alpha_1$ , we obtain  $\psi_{i-1} : N_{i-1} \rightarrow M^{(i-1)}$  from the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i-1} \cdots \alpha_1} & N_i & \xrightarrow{\beta_{i-1}} & N_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_i & & \downarrow \psi_{i-1} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{soc} M^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & M^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & M^{(i-1)} \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

As  $\psi_i$  is surjective,  $\psi_{i-1}$  is as well.  $\square$

A version of this argument implies the following result, which we will not use:

$$\text{cpl}(M, M_i) = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{i-1} \right\rfloor + 1, \quad i \geq 2.$$

The representation  $M_i$  is given by

$$M_i(\alpha) = e_i, \quad M_i(\beta) = J_n.$$

**5.3.** We now exhibit a degeneration  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  of complexity 2 with the property that  $f^2 \neq 0$  for all  $(M, N)$ -monomorphisms  $(f, g)^t$ . Therefore the complexity can be strictly less than the “index of nilpotence of  $M$  and  $N$ ”; i.e., the number

$$\min\{r : f^r = 0\},$$

where the minimum is taken over all  $(M, N)$ -monomorphisms  $(f, g)^t$ . We stay with the same quiver  $Q$ , and we choose  $I$  to be generated by  $\beta^3$ ; i.e., we set  $n = 3$  in the preceding example. Note that  $kQ/I$  is representation-finite: it admits 29 indecomposables [4].

We let  $M$  and  $N$  be given by

$$M(\alpha) = e_2, \quad N(\alpha) = e_3, \quad M(\beta) = N(\beta) = J_3,$$

where  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  is the standard basis of  $k^3$ . Choose

$$\begin{array}{c}
Z' = 0 \longrightarrow k^3 \xrightarrow{\quad J_3 \quad} k^3 \\
f' = (0, J_3) : Z' \longrightarrow Z' \quad \text{and} \\
g' = (0, 1) : Z' \longrightarrow M.
\end{array}$$

Then  $(f', g')^t$  is an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism. As  $f'^2$  factors through  $g'$ , the cokernel  $N_3$  of the map

$$\varphi_3 = (f'^3, g'f'^2, g'f', g')^t : Z' \longrightarrow Z' \oplus M^3$$

used to define the tube  $T_{f', g'} = (N_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$  is isomorphic to the cokernel of

$$(f'^2, 0, g'f', g')^t : Z' \longrightarrow Z' \oplus M^3$$

and thus isomorphic to  $M \oplus N_2$ . By Lemma 3.3, we know that

$$\text{cpl}(M, N) \leq 2.$$

On the other hand, as  $N$  is indecomposable, the complexity must exceed 1, so

$$\text{cpl}(M, N) = 2.$$

**Claim.** *For any  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism*

$$(f, g)^t : Z \longrightarrow Z \oplus M,$$

*we have  $f^2 \neq 0$ .*

First we show:

**Lemma 5.2.** *For any  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism*

$$(f, g)^t : Z \longrightarrow Z \oplus M,$$

*$Z'$  is a direct summand of  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequences

$$\Sigma' : 0 \longrightarrow Z' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f' \\ g' \end{pmatrix}} Z' \oplus M \xrightarrow{(k', l')} N \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$\Sigma : 0 \longrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} Z \oplus M \xrightarrow{(k, l)} N \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Hom}(Z', M) &= \dim \text{Hom}(Z', N) = 3, \\ \dim \text{End } M &= \dim \text{Hom}(M, N) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the sequence of vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(Z' \oplus M, Z) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(Z' \oplus M, Z \oplus M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(Z' \oplus M, N) \longrightarrow 0$$

obtained from mapping  $Z' \oplus M$  into  $\Sigma$  is exact. In particular,  $(k', l') : Z' \oplus M \rightarrow N$  factors through  $(k, l) : Z \oplus M \rightarrow N$ , and hence we have the following commutative diagram (Figure 3) with exact rows and columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 \Sigma : & 0 \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & Z \oplus M & \xrightarrow{(k,l)} & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow (k',l') \\
 & 0 \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus (Z' \oplus M) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & Z' \oplus M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \uparrow \begin{pmatrix} s' \\ f' \\ g' \end{pmatrix} & & \uparrow \begin{pmatrix} f' \\ g' \end{pmatrix} \\
 & & & & Z' & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z' \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Figure 3

So the middle column splits as well, and since by construction  $f', g'$  lie in the radical,

$$s : Z' \longrightarrow Z$$

must be a section.  $\square$

Let  $(f, g)^t : Z \rightarrow Z \oplus M$  be an  $(M, N)$ -monomorphism, suppose  $f^2 = 0$ , and consider the commutative diagram (Figure 4) with exact rows and columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 \longrightarrow & \ker f & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} & Z \oplus M & \xrightarrow{(k,l)} & N & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow (1,0) & & \downarrow & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & \operatorname{im} f & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{coker} f & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 
 \end{array}$$

Figure 4

Then  $X$  must be a quotient of  $M$  and a submodule of  $N$ , which is possible in

exactly two ways:

$$(i) \quad X = k \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} k^2 \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} J_2$$

$$(ii) \quad X = 0 \longrightarrow k \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} 0$$

In the first case, we have

$$\ker f = 0 \longrightarrow k \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} 0,$$

and our assumption  $f^2 = 0$  implies that  $\dim Z(2) \leq 2$ . But then  $Z$  cannot contain  $Z'$  as a direct summand.

In the second case, we see that

$$\ker f = k \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} k^2 \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} J_2.$$

Now  $f^2 = 0$  implies that  $\dim Z(2) \leq 4$ . But then necessarily  $Z(\beta\alpha) = 0$ , since  $Z'$  must be a direct summand of  $Z$ , and  $Z$  cannot contain  $\ker f$  as a submodule.

**5.4.** As our last example, we find a degeneration  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  of complexity 2 for which there exists an exact sequence

$$\Sigma : 0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} N \oplus M \xrightarrow{\beta_1 = (f, -l)} N \longrightarrow 0.$$

So we have an exact tube

$$T = (N_1 = N, N_2 = N \oplus M, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$$

of height 2. If this tube were the restriction of an (infinite) exact tube, the complexity  $\text{cpl}(M, N)$  would have to equal 1. So the number  $2h + 1$  in condition (iii) of our main theorem cannot be replaced by  $2h$ .

Choose  $A = k[\alpha, \beta]/(\alpha^2, \beta^2)$ , let  $M$  and  $N$  be 4-dimensional with

$$M(\alpha) = N(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and set

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that the sequence  $\Sigma$  obtained from these choices is exact. So  $M$  degenerates to  $N$ . As  $N$  is indecomposable and  $f^2$  factors through  $g$ , the same argument as in Section 5.3 implies that  $\text{cpl}(M, N) = 2$ .

This example has another surprising feature: For any degeneration  $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$  we obtain

$$\text{cpl}(M^r, N^r) \leq \text{cpl}(M, N), \quad r \geq 1,$$

by taking for  $M^r \leq_{\text{deg}} N^r$  the direct sum of  $r$  copies of an  $(M, N)$ -tube of minimal complexity. In our example, we have

$$\text{cpl}(M^2, N^2) = 1 < \text{cpl}(M, N) = 2.$$

Indeed,  $M^2$  is a projective cover for  $N$ , and the kernel of an epimorphism  $M^2 \rightarrow N$  is  $N$  again. So there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M^2 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds for supporting the first and the third author. This article was written while the third author was visiting the University of Berne; he also gratefully acknowledges support from Polish Scientific Grant KBN No. 2 PO3A 012 14. This paper is part of the first author's thesis.

## References

- [1] K. Bongartz, A geometric version of the Morita equivalence, *J. Algebra* **139** (1991), 159–171.
- [2] F. Grunewald and J. O'Halloran, A characterization of orbit closure and applications, *J. Algebra* **116** (1988), 163–175.
- [3] H. Kraft, *Geometrische Methoden in der Invariantentheorie*, Vieweg, 1984.
- [4] Ch. Riedtmann, Algebren, Darstellungsköcher und zurück, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **55** (1980), 199–224.
- [5] Ch. Riedtmann, Degenerations for representations of quivers with relations, *Ann. Sci. École Normal Sup.* **19** (1986), 275–301.
- [6] G. Zwara, A degeneration-like order for modules, *Arch. Math.* **71** (1998), 437–444.
- [7] G. Zwara, Degenerations of modules are given by extensions, *Compositio Math.* **121** (2000), 205–218.

Robert Aehle  
Mathematisches Institut  
Universität Bern  
Sidlerstrasse 5  
3012 Bern  
Switzerland  
e-mail: robert.aehle@math-stat.unibe.ch

Christine Riedtmann  
Mathematisches Institut  
Universität Bern  
Sidlerstrasse 5  
3012 Bern  
Switzerland  
e-mail: christine.riedtmann@math-stat.unibe.ch

Grzegorz Zwara  
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Nicholas Copernicus University  
Chopina 12/18  
87-100 Toruń  
Poland  
e-mail: gzwara@mat.uni.torun.pl

(Received: January 30, 2001)