

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 70 (1995)

Artikel: Flat exterior Tor algebras and cotangent complexes.
Autor: Rodicio, Antonio G.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-53012>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 14.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Flat exterior Tor algebras and cotangent complexes¹

ANTONIO G. RODICIO

Introduction

Let A be a ring, B and C A -algebras (commutative with unit) and $D = B \otimes_A C$. It is well known [M, Theorem 2.2, p. 225] that $\text{Tor}^A(B, C)$ is a strictly anticommutative graded D -algebra. So we have a homomorphism of graded D -algebras

$$\gamma : \wedge_D \text{Tor}_1^A(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Tor}^A(B, C).$$

In [A₂], M. André has introduced for $n \geq 0$ and W a D -module, homology modules $H_n(A, B, C, W)$ generalizing in some way the classical homology functors of André–Quillen $H_n(R, S, -)$ (see [A₁], [Q₂], [Q₃]).

The purpose of this paper is to relate properties of γ and the vanishing of the functors $H_n(A, B, C, -)$, $n \geq 3$. More precisely, our main result is the following

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a ring, B and C A -algebras and $D = B \otimes_A C$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The D -module $\text{Tor}_1^A(B, C)$ is flat and the canonical homomorphism*

$$\gamma : \wedge_D \text{Tor}_1^A(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Tor}^A(B, C)$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) $H_j(A, B, C, -) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 3$.

This theorem has as a consequence two important results, the first one is already known but the second isn't.

COROLLARY 2. *Let A be a ring, I an ideal of A and $B = A/I$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

¹ Partially supported by Xunta de Galicia, XUGA 20701A92.

(1) *The B -module I/I^2 is flat and the canonical homomorphism*

$$\wedge_B I/I^2 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}^A(B, B)$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) $H_j(A, B, -) = 0$ for $j \geq 2$.

This result is due to Quillen [Q₂, Theorem 10.3], [Q₃, Theorem 6.13].

COROLLARY 3. *Let A be a ring, I an ideal of A , $B = A/I$, and E the Koszul complex associated to an arbitrary set of generators of I . The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The B -module $H_1(E)$ is flat and the canonical homomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\wedge_B H_1(E) \longrightarrow H(E)$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) $H_j(A, B, -) = 0$ for $j \geq 3$.

Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1') *The B -module $H_1(E)$ is projective and the canonical homomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\wedge_B H_1(E) \longrightarrow H(E)$$

is an isomorphism.

(2') $H^j(A, B, -) = 0$ for $j \geq 3$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is divided in two parts. In the first one we use an analogue to the fundamental spectral sequence of Quillen [Q₂, Theorem 6.8] to relate the vanishing of $H_n(A, B, C, -)$ with the structure of the homology algebra of a certain derived tensor product $D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D$. In the second part we use a spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^{H(Y)}(D, D)_q \Rightarrow H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$$

to compare $\text{Tor}^A(B, C)$ with $H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$.

Proofs. First recall the definition of $H_n(A, B, C, W)$. Let A be a ring, B and C two A -algebras, $D = B \otimes_A C$ and W a D -module. Let X be a cofibrant simplicial

A -algebra resolution of B , let $Y = X \otimes_A C$, and let Z be a cofibrant simplicial Y -algebra resolution of D . Then

$$\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A} := \Omega_{Z|Y} \otimes_Z D$$

is a cofibrant simplicial D -module, whose normalization is a chain complex of projective D -modules independent up to homotopy equivalence of the choice of X and Z , and which therefore represents an object unique up to isomorphism of the derived category of the category of D -modules. For a D -module W

$$H_n(A, B, C, W) = H_n(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A} \otimes_D W).$$

Notice that if J is the simplicial ideal kernel of the surjective canonical homomorphism $Z \otimes_Y D \rightarrow D$, then $\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A} = J/J^2$.

The resolution Z can be obtained by the “step by step” construction [A₁, Chap. IX]. So we can assume $Y_n = Z_n$ for $n = 0, 1$ and so

$$H_n(A, B, C, W) = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1.$$

For each p , J_p is the ideal generated by the variables of the polynomial D -algebra $(Z \otimes_Y D)_p$. In particular $J_0 = 0$ and J_p is a regular ideal. Quillen’s convergence theorem [Q₃, Theorem 6.12] implies $H_p(J^n) = 0$ for $p < n$.

Therefore the spectral sequence resulting from filtering $Z \otimes_Y D$ by the powers of J , is a convergent spectral sequence located in the first quadrant

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_{p+q}(S_D^n \mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) \Rightarrow H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D). \quad (\text{I})$$

since $H(Z \otimes_Y D) = H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$ as follows from [Q₁, Theorem 6-(a), p.II.6.8], because the Y_n -algebra Z_n is free for all n .

This spectral sequence is an analogue to Quillen’s fundamental spectral sequence.

With the shuffle product \otimes [Q₁, p.II.6.6] $Z \otimes_Y D$ with the differential induced by the face operators, is a strictly anticommutative differential graded D -algebra with a system of divided powers. Moreover $Z \otimes_Y D \supset J \supset J^2 \supset \dots$ is a filtration of $Z \otimes_Y D$ by differential graded ideals. So the spectral sequence is a spectral sequence of bigraded algebras with divided powers.

Since $H_0(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) = H_1(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) = 0$ we have [Q₂, Corollary 7.30] $H_j(S_D^n \mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) = 0$ if $j < 2n$, i.e., $E_{p,q}^2 = 0$ for $p < q$, and there exists a canonical map $\delta: \Gamma_D H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) \rightarrow H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$ which is the unique homomorphism of graded

D -algebras with divided powers extending the edge isomorphism $H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) = E_{1,1}^2 = H_2(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$.

Recall now some notation from [Q₂]. For a D -module T , $K(T, n)$ will be the simplicial D -module whose normalization is the complex with T in dimension n and zero in the remaining ones. We have a canonical morphism

$$\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A} \longrightarrow K(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}), 2)$$

which is a 2-equivalence (i.e., it induces isomorphisms in homology in dimensions ≤ 2).

For an object X , cX will be the constant simplicial object with $(cX)_q = X$, and whose faces and degeneracies are the identity map of X .

Finally, Σ is the suspension functor, so that

$$H_{q+1}(\Sigma X) = H_q(X).$$

The proof of the following proposition is analogous to that of Theorem 10.3 of [Q₂]. We give the details for convenience of the reader.

PROPOSITION 4. *The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) $H_j(A, B, C, -) = 0$ for $j \geq 3$
- (ii) The D -module $H_2(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$ is flat and the canonical homomorphism

$$\phi : \Gamma_D H_2(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D) \rightarrow H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$$

is an isomorphism

Proof. From the universal coefficient spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^D(H_q(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}), -) \Rightarrow H(A, B, C, -)$$

we deduce that condition (i) is equivalent to: $H_2(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$ is D -flat and

$$\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A} \longrightarrow K(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}), 2)$$

is an n -equivalence for all n .

Note that $K(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}), 2)$ is homotopically equivalent to $\Sigma\Sigma(c(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A})))$. Therefore, using [Q₂, 7.21] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{p+q}(S_B^q K(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}), 2)) &= H_{p+q}(S_B^q \Sigma \Sigma(c(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A})))) \\
&= H_p(\wedge_B^q \Sigma(c(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A})))) \\
&= H_{p-q}(\Gamma_B^q c(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}))) \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p-q \neq 0 \\ \Gamma_B^q H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) & \text{if } p-q=0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

So, assuming that $\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A} \rightarrow K(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}), 2)$ is an n -equivalence, $n \geq 2$, then by [Q₂, 7.3] so are the induced maps of symmetric powers, hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{p,q}^2 &= H_{p+q}(S_B^q \mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) = H_{p+q}(S_B^q K(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}), 2)) \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p+q \leq n, p \neq q \\ \Gamma_B^q H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) & \text{if } p+q \leq n, p = q. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

If (i) holds we can take $n = \infty$ and so

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \\ \Gamma_B^q H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) & \text{if } p = q. \end{cases}$$

So the spectral sequence (I) degenerates showing that the edge homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma_D H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) \xrightarrow{\wedge_D^L} H(D \xrightarrow{L} \mathbf{L})$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $\phi : \Gamma_D H_2(D \xrightarrow{L} \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow H(D \otimes_Y \mathbf{L})$ is an isomorphism.

Now assume that (ii) holds. We will prove by induction on n that $\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A} \rightarrow K(H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}), 2)$ is an n -equivalence for all n . Assuming $n \geq 2$ and that it is an n -equivalence, to see that it is an $(n+1)$ -equivalence we have to prove that $E_{n,1}^2 = H_{n+1}(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) = 0$.

Since

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p+q \leq n, p \neq q \\ \Gamma_B^q H_2(\mathbf{L}_{B-C|A}) & \text{if } p+q \leq n, p = q \end{cases}$$

the only possible non zero differential coming from $E_{n,1}^2$ is

$$E_{n,1}^2 = E_{n,1}^p \xrightarrow{d^p} E_{p,p}^p = E_{p,p}^2 \quad \text{with } n = 2p.$$

As the edge homomorphism is an isomorphism we have $d^p = 0$. So $E_{n,1}^2 = E_{n,1}^x = 0$.

Since $H(Y) = \text{Tor}^A(B, C)$, Theorem 1 is a consequence of Proposition 4 and the following general result.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let Y be a simplicial ring and $D = H_0(Y)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(ii) The D -module $H_2(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$ is flat and the canonical homomorphism

$$\phi : \Gamma_D H_2(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D) \longrightarrow H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$$

is an isomorphism.

(iii) The D -module $H_1(Y)$ is flat and the canonical homomorphism

$$\gamma : \wedge_D H_1(Y) \longrightarrow H(Y)$$

is an isomorphism.

Before proceeding to the proof of Proposition 5 we will need some remarks.

Remark 6. By [Q₁, Theorem 6-b), p.II.6.8] there exists a spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^{H(Y)}(D, D)_q \Rightarrow H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D). \quad (\text{II})$$

Since Y is a simplicial ring and D is a simplicial Y -algebra, this spectral sequence is of bigraded algebras with divided powers.

In fact we have the following. Let Y be a simplicial ring and D a simplicial Y -algebra. Then, on the lines of the construction of [Q₁, pp.II.6.13–6.14], it is possible to generalize the “step by step” method to obtain a bisimplicial Y -algebra P and a morphism $P \rightarrow D$ such that:

- (1) For each j , $P_{*,j}$ is a free simplicial Y_j -algebra resolution of D_j .
- (2) For each i , the graded $H(Y)$ -algebra $H(P_{i,*})$ is free as an $H(Y)$ -module and the induced sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H(P_{2,*}) \longrightarrow H(P_{1,*}) \longrightarrow H(P_{0,*})$$

is a resolution of $H(D)$.

The details of the construction of P are in [B].

Now, if E is another simplicial Y -algebra and $Y \xrightarrow{i} Q \xrightarrow{p} E$ is a factorization of the canonical morphism $Y \rightarrow E$ with i cofibration and p trivial fibration, then we have a bisimplicial Y -algebra

$$M_{i,j} = P_{i,j} \otimes_{Y_j} Q_j.$$

From the two spectral sequences of a double complex, we obtain

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^{H(Y)}(H(D), H(E))_q \Rightarrow H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y E).$$

This spectral sequence is of bigraded algebras with divided powers since it comes from a bisimplicial algebra.

Remark 7. Let L be a flat D -module and consider on the bigraded algebra $\wedge_D L \otimes_D \Gamma_D L$ the unique D -derivation of bidegree $(1, -1)$ such that $d(y \otimes 1) = 0$, $d(1 \otimes \gamma_p x) = x \otimes \gamma_{p-1} x$, $x, y \in L$. Then $(\wedge_D L \otimes_D \Gamma_D^* L, d_*)$ is a flat resolution of the $\wedge_D L$ -module D : by Lazard's Theorem, we can assume that L is a free D -module of finite type and then by Künneth formula we can take $L = D$. In this case it is clear.

This flat resolution $M_* = \wedge_D L \otimes_D \Gamma_D^* L$ is graded in the following way: $M_{*,i} = \wedge_D^{i-1} L \otimes_D \Gamma_D^* L$. Using this resolution we deduce

$$\text{Tor}_p^{\wedge_D L}(D, D)_q = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \\ \Gamma_D^p L & \text{if } p = q. \end{cases}$$

Now we come to the proof of Proposition 5. Consider the spectral sequence of Remark 6

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^{H(Y)}(D, D)_q \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D).$$

For it, the following hold:

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^{H_0(Y)}(D, D) = 0 \quad \text{if } p > 0$$

$$E_{0,q}^2 = (D \otimes_{H(Y)} D)_q = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q > 0 \\ D & \text{if } q = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{III})$$

$$E_{1,q}^2 = (H_+(Y) \otimes_{H(Y)} D)_q = (H_+(Y)/H_+(Y)^2)_q.$$

In particular we get an edge isomorphism $\alpha_2: H_2(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D) \rightarrow E_{1,1}^2$ and an isomorphism $E_{1,1}^2 = H_1(Y)$, which show that the flatness assumptions in (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.

Let $\Lambda = \wedge_D H_1(Y)$ and consider the homomorphism of bigraded D -algebras with divided powers

$$\Gamma_D E_{1,1}^2 = \text{Tor}^{\Lambda}(D, D) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{**}} \text{Tor}^{H(Y)}(D, D)$$

where the equality is due to Remark 7 and $\gamma_{*,*}$ is induced by γ . Since γ is bijective in degrees $\leq n$, then $\gamma_{p,q}$ is bijective for $q \leq n$, hence in the spectral sequence (II) we have

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq q, q \leq n \\ \Gamma_D^p E_{1,1}^2 & \text{if } p = q, q \leq n \end{cases} \quad (\text{IV})$$

When (iii) holds we can take $n = \infty$ and get an isomorphism of graded D -algebras with divided powers $\beta : \Gamma_D E_{1,1}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} H(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D)$, hence $\phi = \beta \circ \Gamma_D \alpha_2$ is bijective.

Conversely, let (ii) hold. Since γ_n is an isomorphism for $n = 0, 1$, assume by induction that γ_j is bijective for $j \leq n$ and some $n \geq 1$. We have for $p \leq n$ a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{2p}(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2p}} & E_{p,p}^2 \\ \phi_{2p} \uparrow & & \uparrow \psi_{2p} \\ \Gamma_D^p H_2(D \overset{L}{\otimes}_Y D) & \xrightarrow{r_D^p \alpha_2} & \Gamma_D^p E_{1,1}^2 \end{array}$$

which is commutative because α_{2p} is an edge homomorphism in a spectral sequence (II) of D -algebras with divided powers. Note that ϕ_{2p} is an isomorphism by condition (ii), and ψ_{2p} is an isomorphism by (IV). So α_{2p} is an isomorphism and therefore all differentials of the spectral sequence are zero on $E_{p,p}^r$ when $p \leq n$ and $r \geq 2$. In particular, no differential lands in $E_{1,2}^r$, $E_{1,n+1}^r$, or $E_{2,n+1}^r$ for $n \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$. Any differential leaving one of these modules lands into some $E_{p,*}^r$ with $p \leq 0$, which is trivial. Thus $E_{1,2}^2 = E_{1,2}^\infty$, $E_{1,n+1}^2 = E_{1,n+1}^\infty$ and $E_{2,n+1}^2 = E_{2,n+1}^\infty$ for $n \geq 2$. We have $E_{p,q}^\infty = 0$ if $p + q$ is odd, and the diagram implies $E_{p,q}^\infty = 0$ when $p \neq q$ and $p + q$ is even $\leq 2n$. Therefore $E_{1,2}^2 = E_{1,n+1}^2 = E_{2,n+1}^2 = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

By (III) we have $\text{Coker}(\gamma_{n+1}) = E_{1,n+1}^2$, hence γ_{n+1} is surjective. In order to determine $\text{Ker}(\gamma_{n+1})$ we consider an exact sequence of D -modules

$$F''_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\eta} F'_{n+1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{n+1}} H_{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow 0$$

in which F''_{n+1} and F'_{n+1} are free. It produces a complex of graded Λ -modules

$$\Lambda \otimes_D F''_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\Lambda \otimes_D \eta} \Lambda \otimes_D F'_{n+1} \rightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{\gamma} H(Y) \rightarrow 0$$

which is exact in degrees $\leq n+1$. Thus, by using appropriate graded free Λ -modules G, G', G'' with $G_j = G'_j = G''_j = 0$ for $j \leq n+1$ we can modify it to obtain the beginning of a graded free resolution of the graded Λ -module $H(Y)$ in the form

$$(A \otimes_D F''_{n+1}) \oplus G'' \longrightarrow (A \otimes_D F'_{n+1}) \oplus G' \longrightarrow A \oplus G \longrightarrow H(Y) \longrightarrow 0.$$

With its help we see that

$$\text{Tor}_1^A(D, H(Y))_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \leq n \\ \text{Coker } (\eta) = \text{Ker } (\gamma_{n+1}) & \text{if } j = n+1. \end{cases}$$

Since γ is surjective in degrees $\leq n+1$, the projection $Q = H(Y)/H_1(Y)H(Y) \rightarrow H(Y)/H_+(Y) = D$ is bijective in these degrees and thus induces isomorphisms

$$\text{Tor}_2^{H(Y)}(D, Q)_j = \text{Tor}_2^{H(Y)}(D, D)_j = E_{2,j}^2 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq n+1.$$

These observations and Remark 7 show that the standard change of rings exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_2^A(D, D) &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_2^{H(Y)}(D, Q) \longrightarrow (D \otimes_{H(Y)} \text{Tor}_1^A(D, H(Y))) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(D, D) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^{H(Y)}(D, Q) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

reduces in degree $n+1$ to an exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_2^A(D, D)_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{2,n+1}} E_{2,n+1}^2 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(D, H(Y))_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

For $n=1$ the map $\gamma_{2,2}$ is bijective, and for $n > 1$ the module $E_{2,n+1}^2$ is trivial, hence

$$\text{Ker } (\gamma_{n+1}) = \text{Tor}_1^A(D, H(Y))_{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Thus γ_{n+1} is injective, so the induction step is complete and the Proposition is proved.

Corollary 2 follows from Theorem 1 taking $C = B$ and so $D = B$ and $H_n(A, B, C, -) = H_{n-1}(A, B, -)$ [A₂, Example 5].

For Corollary 3, let τ be the set of generators τ_m to which the Koszul complex E is associated. Let R be the free A -algebra with variables t_m and consider the A -algebra homomorphisms $\beta : R \rightarrow A$, $\omega : R \rightarrow A$, such that $\beta(t_m) = 0$, $\omega(t_m) = \tau_m$. Denote by A_β and A_ω the corresponding R -algebra structures on A . Then there exists an isomorphism of graded B -algebras $H_*(E) = \text{Tor}_*^R(A_\beta, A_\omega)$. Moreover [A₂, Example 6], $H_n(R, A_\beta, A_\omega, -) = H_n(A, B, -)$ for $n \geq 3$, and the first part of Corollary 3 follows from Theorem 1.

In order to prove the second part of Corollary 3, we will need some facts about the cotangent complex $\mathbf{L}_{B|A}$. Let X be a simplicial resolution of the A -algebra B obtained by the “step by step” construction [A₁, Chap. IX], [A₂, p. 327]. In particular consider the first three steps. We begin by choosing a system of generators t_α of the ideal I . The first step is a simplicial A -algebra K with $K_0 = A$, K_1 the polynomial A -algebra on the variables T_α and K_{1+h} , $h > 0$, is the polynomial A -algebra on the variables

$$\sigma_h^{i_1} \sigma_{h-1}^{i_2} \cdots \sigma_1^{i_h}(T_\alpha), \quad 0 \leq i_h < \cdots < i_2 < i_1 \leq h,$$

where σ denotes the degeneration operators. The face operators are determined by

$$\varepsilon_1^0(T_\alpha) = 0, \quad \varepsilon_1^1(T_\alpha) = t_\alpha.$$

In order to construct the second step, we choose representants $s_v \in K_1$ of a set of generators of the B -module

$$\pi_1(K) = \frac{M \cap N}{MN}$$

where M is the ideal of K_1 generated by the elements T_α and N the ideal of K_1 generated by the elements $T_\alpha - t_\alpha$. The second step is a simplicial K -algebra F with $F_0 = K_0$, $F_1 = K_1$, F_2 is the polynomial K_2 -algebra on the variables S_v , and F_{2+h} , $h > 0$, is the polynomial K_{2+h} -algebra on the variables

$$\sigma_{1+h}^{i_1} \sigma_h^{i_2} \cdots \sigma_2^{i_h}(S_v), \quad 0 \leq i_h < \cdots < i_2 < i_1 \leq 1 + h.$$

The face operators are determined by

$$\varepsilon_2^0(S_v) = 0, \quad \varepsilon_2^1(S_v) = 0, \quad \varepsilon_2^2(S_v) = s_v.$$

Similarly the third step is constructed by choosing representants $z_w \in F_2$ of a set of generators of the B -module $\pi_2(F)$ to obtain a simplicial F -algebra G with $G_0 = F_0$, $G_1 = F_1$, $G_2 = F_2$, G_3 is the polynomial F_3 -algebra on the variables Z_w and G_{3+h} , $h > 0$, is the polynomial F_{3+h} -algebra on the variables

$$\sigma_{2+h}^{i_1} \sigma_{1+h}^{i_2} \sigma_h^{i_3} \cdots \sigma_3^{i_h}(Z_w), \quad 0 \leq i_h < \cdots < i_2 < i_1 \leq 2 + h.$$

The face operators are determined by

$$\varepsilon_3^0(Z_w) = 0, \quad \varepsilon_3^1(Z_w) = 0, \quad \varepsilon_3^2(Z_w) = 0, \quad \varepsilon_3^3(Z_w) = z_w.$$

We have $\mathbf{L}_{B|A} = J/J^2$ where J is the augmentation ideal of the simplicial B -algebra $X \otimes_A B$. Denote by N the normalization functor from simplicial B -modules. We have

$$(N(J/J^2))_3 = \bigoplus_w BZ_w, \quad (N(J/J^2))_2 = \bigoplus_v BS_v$$

and the image of the differential d_3 of $N(J/J^2)$ coincides with the image of the canonical homomorphism [A₂, Remarque 23]

$$\pi_2(F) \rightarrow \bigoplus_v BS_v.$$

Therefore [A₂, Proposition 24]

$$\text{Coker } d_3 = \pi_1(K).$$

Moreover

$$(N(J/J^2))_1 = \bigoplus_\alpha BT_\alpha$$

and the differential d_3 places in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_v BS_v & \xrightarrow{d_3} & \bigoplus_\alpha BT_\alpha \\ \pi \searrow & & \swarrow \phi \\ & \pi_1(K) & \end{array}$$

where π is the homomorphism sending S_v on the generator represented by s_v and ϕ the canonical homomorphism [A₂, Remarque 23].

On the other hand

$$\pi_1(K) = \frac{M \cap N}{MN} = \text{Tor}_1^{K_1}(A, A)$$

where in the first variable in Tor is the structure given by ε_1^0 and in the second the one given by ε_1^1 . If E denotes the Koszul complex associated to the elements t_α , then this Tor is isomorphic to $H_1(E)$. Moreover, through this isomorphism $\pi_1(K) = H_1(E)$, the homomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_\alpha BT_\alpha$ corresponds to the canonical homomorphism

$$H_1(E) \longrightarrow E_1 \otimes_A B = \bigoplus_\alpha BT_\alpha$$

induced by the inclusions of cycles and boundaries $Z_1(E) \subset E_1$, $B_1(E) \subset IE_1$. Thus we have the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 8. *Let A be a ring, I an ideal of A , $B = A/I$ and E the Koszul complex associated to an arbitrary set of generators of I . Then we can choose $\mathbf{L}_{B|A}$ satisfying:*

- (i) *The cokernel of the differential d_3 of $\mathbf{L}_{B|A}$ is a B -module isomorphic to $H_1(E)$.*
- (ii) *There exists a morphism of complexes*

$$\mathbf{L}_{B|A} \longrightarrow (H_1(E) \xrightarrow{\phi} E_1 \otimes_A B)$$

where the second complex is concentrated in degrees 2 and 1. This morphism induces isomorphisms in homology in dimensions ≤ 2 .

Now the cohomological part of Corollary 3 follows from the homological part and Proposition 8.

Remark 9. From Theorem 1 it follows that $H_j(A, B, C, -) = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$ if and only if $\text{Tor}_p^A(B, C) = 0$ for all $p \geq 1$. This result is due to André [A₂, Remarque 39].

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to the referee for the simplifications suggested in my original proof of Proposition 5.

REFERENCES

- [A₁] ANDRÉ, M., *Homologie des Algèbres Commutatives*. Berlin–Heidelberg–New York: Springer, 1974.
- [A₂] ANDRÉ, M. *Produit tensoriel et complexe cotangent*. Manuscripta Math. 66 (1990), 319–339.
- [B] BLANCO, A., *Bisimplicial resolutions and Künneth spectral sequences*. To appear.
- [M] MACLANE, S., *Homology*. Berlin–Gottingen–Heidelberg: Springer, 1963.
- [Q₁] QUILLEN, D., *Homotopical Algebra*. LNM 43. Springer, 1967.
- [Q₂] QUILLEN, D., *Homology of commutative rings*. Mimeographed, MIT, 1967.
- [Q₃] QUILLEN, D., *On the (co-)homology of commutative rings*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 17 (1970), 65–87.

*Departamento de Álgebra
Facultad de Matemáticas
Universidad de Santiago de Compostela
E-15706 Santiago de Compostela
Spain*

Received January 28, 1994