

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 69 (1994)

**Artikel:** Lie algebras and coverings.  
**Autor:** Riedtmann, Christine  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52262>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 15.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Lie algebras and coverings

CHRISTINE RIEDTMANN

*Meinem Lehrer Peter Gabriel gewidmet*

### 1. Introduction

1.1. Let  $A$  be an associative unitary finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra which is representation finite. This means that the number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable finite dimensional  $A$ -left modules is finite. Let us fix a set  $\mathcal{J}$  of representatives for these isomorphism classes.

We showed in [Rie] that the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module

$$L(A) = \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{Z}v_A$$

generated by the symbols

$$\{v_A : A \in \mathcal{J}\}$$

can be made into a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Lie algebra in the following way: set

$$[v_A, v_B] = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{J}} (\gamma_{A,B}^X - \gamma_{B,A}^X)v_X,$$

where

$$\gamma_{A,B}^X = \chi(V_A(A, B; X))$$

is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of the algebraic variety

$$V_A(A, B; X) = \{0 \subseteq Y \subseteq X : Y \text{ is a } A\text{-submodule of } X \text{ isomorphic to } A \text{ with quotient module } X/Y \text{ isomorphic to } B\}.$$

This is the complex version of Ringel's construction of Lie algebras via Hall algebras over finite fields [Rin].

The construction of  $L(A)$  carries over easily to the case where  $A$  is a locally representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -category. We will list the most important definitions and

facts about locally representation finite categories and their coverings in chapter 3; the references for these results are [BG] and [Ga].

1.2. If the representation finite algebra – or more generally the locally representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -category – is simply connected [BG], the Lie algebra  $L(\mathcal{A})$  has a particularly simple structure. Indeed, we proved in [Rie] that in this case one of the numbers

$$\gamma_{A,B}^X \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{B,A}^X$$

is zero for any choice of  $A, B, X$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  and that for fixed  $A$  and  $B$  there is at most one  $X$  for which

$$\gamma_{A,B}^X \neq 0.$$

To any locally representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  one can associate a locally representation finite one which is simply connected: its universal cover  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  ([BG], [Ga]). The reason why we consider  $\mathbb{C}$ -categories instead of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras in this paper is that  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is rarely a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.

It is tempting to try and use the simple structure of  $L(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$  in order to compute  $L(\mathcal{A})$ . The aim of this paper is to show that this is actually possible: if we choose a set  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  of representatives for the indecomposable  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules which is stable under the fundamental group  $G$  (see chapter 3), the set of  $G$ -orbits  $\{\bar{A} = GA : A \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$  is a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of representatives for the indecomposable  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. Our goal is to prove:

$$\chi(V_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{A}, \bar{B}; \bar{X})) = \sum_{g,h \in G} \chi(V_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(A, g(B); h(X)))$$

for any  $A, B, X \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ . Thus the structure constants for  $L(\mathcal{A})$  are sums of – more easily accessible – structure constants of  $L(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ .

In fact, we will define an “orbit” Lie algebra  $L/G$  in chapter 2 for an appropriate action of a group  $G$  on a Lie algebra  $L$  and show in chapter 4 that the action of the fundamental group  $G$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $L(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$  is appropriate. Our aim is then:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -category with universal cover  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  and fundamental group  $G$ . Then  $L(\mathcal{A})$  is isomorphic to  $L(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})/G$ .*

1.3. As a first application, let us prove again that for  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[T]/(T^n)$  the bracket on  $L(\mathcal{A})$  is trivial [Rie]. The universal cover  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by the quiver

$$\cdots - 1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} 0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} 1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} 2 \cdots,$$

having  $\mathbb{Z}$  as its vertex set and containing an arrow  $\alpha_i : i \rightarrow i + 1$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the ideal of relations generated by all paths of length  $n$ . The fundamental group  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  is generated by the shift  $i \mapsto i + 1$ . For  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  we choose the set

$$\{(i, r) : i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq r \leq n\},$$

where  $(i, r)$  is “the indecomposable with top  $i$  of length  $r$ ” defined by

$$(i, r)(j) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{for } i \leq j < i + r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(i, r)(\alpha_j) = \begin{cases} \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}} & \text{for } i \leq j < i + r - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$V_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}((i, r), (j, s); (k, t)) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ point} & \text{for } i = j + s, k = j, t = r + s, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any triple of indecomposables. Therefore

$$\chi(V_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}((j + s, r), (j, s); (j, r + s))) = 1 = \chi(V_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}((i + r, s), (i, r); (i, r + s)))$$

give the only non-trivial contributions to the bracket  $[v_A, v_B]$  with  $A = (i, r)$ ,  $B = (j, s)$ .

As a second example, consider the quotient  $\mathcal{A}$  of the algebra of the quiver  $\bullet \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bullet \circlearrowleft \beta$  by the ideal generated by  $\beta^3$ . In this case the quiver of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & -1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_{-1}} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & 1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & 2 & \cdots \\ & \uparrow \alpha_{-1} & & \uparrow \alpha_0 & & \uparrow \alpha_1 & & \uparrow \alpha_2 & \\ \cdots & -1' & & 0' & & 1' & & 2' & \cdots \end{array}$$

and the ideal of relations is generated by  $\{\beta_{i+2}\beta_{i+1}\beta_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . The fundamental group is generated by the shift again. Let us consider the indecomposables  $A, B, X$

given by

$$\dim A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x = 1, 2, 3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\dim B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x = 0, 1, 2, 1', 2', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\dim X(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } x = 1, 2, \\ 1 & \text{for } x = 0, 3, 1', 2', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$X(\beta_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X(\beta_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X(\beta_2) = (0 \ 1),$$

$$X(\alpha_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X(\alpha_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The variety of embeddings of  $\bar{A}$  into  $\bar{X}$  is 6-dimensional, and possible quotients are quite hard to determine. Over  $\tilde{\Lambda}$ , however, it is easy to see that the only way to embed  $A$  into a translate of  $X$  with quotient a translate of  $B$  is to choose a map  $f: A \rightarrow X$  of the form

$$f(1) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(2) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(3) = \mu$$

with  $\mu \neq 0$ . The quotient is isomorphic to  $B$  if and only if  $\lambda \neq 0, \mu$ . Hence

$$\chi(V_A(\bar{A}, \bar{B}; \bar{X})) = -1.$$

## 2. The “orbit” Lie algebra

2.1. Let  $L$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Lie algebra which is generated (as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module) by some basis  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$L = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Z}b.$$

Suppose the group  $G$  acts on  $L$  by Lie algebra automorphisms in such a way that it permutes the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  and that the following condition is satisfied:

$$\forall b, c \in \mathcal{B} : \#\{g \in G : [b, g(c)] \neq 0\} < \infty. \tag{*}$$

This condition is obviously empty in case  $G$  is finite. The main example to have in mind here, however, is the fundamental group  $G$  of a locally representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  acting on  $\text{ind } \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  for a  $G$ -stable set of representatives, and this is a free group [BG].

Set

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}} = \{\bar{b} = G \cdot b : b \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

and

$$L/G = \bigoplus_{\bar{b} \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{Z}\bar{b}.$$

Let

$$\bar{\gamma} : L \rightarrow L/G$$

be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map which takes  $b$  to  $\bar{b}$  for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ .

The following result is easy to prove:

**PROPOSITION.** *The bracket*

$$[\bar{b}, \bar{c}] = \overline{\sum_{g \in G} [b, g(c)]}, \quad \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \bar{\mathcal{B}},$$

*defines a Lie algebra structure on  $L/G$ .*

Note that the map  $\bar{\gamma}$  is *not* a Lie algebra homomorphism in general.

2.2. Comparing the structure constants of  $L/G$  with those of  $L$ , we find: if

$$[b, c] = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{B}} \gamma_{b,c}^d d$$

and

$$[\bar{b}, \bar{c}] = \sum_{\bar{d} \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{b},\bar{c}}^{\bar{d}} \bar{d},$$

then

$$\gamma_{\bar{b}, \bar{c}}^{\bar{d}} = \sum_{d' \in \bar{d}} \sum_{g \in G} \gamma_{b, g(c)}^{d'}.$$

In case the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is free as it is in the case we are interested in this formula becomes

$$\gamma_{\bar{b}, \bar{c}}^{\bar{d}} = \sum_{g, h \in G} \gamma_{b, g(c)}^{h(d)}.$$

2.3. Let  $G$  be a group acting on the Lie algebra

$$L = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Z}b$$

in such a way that the hypotheses of 2.1 are satisfied. If  $H \triangleleft G$  is a normal subgroup, they are satisfied for the action of  $H$  on  $L$  as well, so that we can consider the Lie algebra

$$L/H = \bigoplus_{\bar{b} \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{Z}\bar{b}$$

with

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}} = \{\bar{b} = Hb : b \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Extending the action given by

$$\bar{g}(\bar{b}) = \overline{g(b)}$$

of  $\bar{G} = G/H$  on  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearity, we obtain an action of  $\bar{G}$  on  $L/H$ . It is easy to see that it satisfies again the hypotheses of 2.1 and that the following proposition holds:

**PROPOSITION.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $L/G \rightarrow (L/H)/(G/H)$  sending the basis element  $Gb$  to  $(G/H)\bar{b}$  is an isomorphism of Lie algebras.*

### 3. Locally representation finite categories and coverings

The references for this chapter are [BG], [Ga].

3.1. We begin by recalling some definitions:

A  $\mathbb{C}$ -category  $\Lambda$  is locally bounded if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\Lambda(x, x)$  is a local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra for all objects  $x$  of  $\Lambda$ .
- (ii) Distinct objects of  $\Lambda$  are not isomorphic.
- (iii) For all objects  $x$  we have

$$\sum_y \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda(x, y) < \infty,$$

$$\sum_y \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda(y, x) < \infty,$$

where  $y$  ranges over the objects of  $\Lambda$ .

A finite dimensional  $\Lambda$ -left module is a covariant functor  $B : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{mod } \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\sum_x \dim_{\mathbb{C}} B(x) < \infty,$$

where  $x$  ranges over the objects of  $\Lambda$ .

We denote by  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  the category of finite dimensional  $\Lambda$ -modules and by  $\text{ind } \Lambda$  the full subcategory whose objects are a fixed set  $\mathcal{I}$  of representatives for the isomorphism classes of indecomposables in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ .

A locally bounded  $\mathbb{C}$ -category  $\Lambda$  is locally representation finite if, for every object  $x$  of  $\Lambda$ , the number of indecomposables  $B$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  with  $B(x) \neq 0$  is finite.

$\mathbb{C}$ -algebras  $\Lambda$  which are sober, i.e. with  $\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}$ , correspond to locally bounded  $\mathbb{C}$ -categories with finitely many objects and representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras to locally representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -categories with finitely many objects.

3.2. Let  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  be the universal cover of  $\Lambda$ , and choose a set  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  of representatives for the indecomposable  $\tilde{\Lambda}$ -modules which is stable under the action of the fundamental group  $G$  of  $\Lambda$  on  $\text{mod } \tilde{\Lambda}$ . Then  $G$  acts on the full subcategory  $\text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda}$  of  $\text{mod } \tilde{\Lambda}$  whose objects are the elements of  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  by  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear automorphisms. Moreover, we have

$$g(B) \neq B \quad \text{for every } B \text{ in } \tilde{\mathcal{I}} \text{ and every } g \neq 1 \text{ in } G$$

and

$$\#\{g \in G : \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(A, g(B)) \neq 0\} < \infty \quad \text{for every pair } A, B \text{ in } \tilde{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Under these circumstances  $\text{ind } \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  has a quotient modulo  $G$ : its objects are the  $G$ -orbits of objects in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , and the morphisms from the orbit of  $A$  to the orbit of  $B$  are families  $(f_{g,h})_{g,h \in G}$ ,

$$f_{g,h} : g(A) \rightarrow h(B)$$

with

$$l(f_{g,h}) = f_{lg, lh}$$

for all  $g, h, l$  in  $G$ .

Similarly, there exists a quotient  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}/G$ , which is locally representation finite. The category  $(\text{ind } \tilde{\mathcal{A}})/G$  is isomorphic to  $\text{ind } (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}/G)$ , which is in turn isomorphic to the so called mesh category  $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$  associated with the Auslander-Reiten quiver of  $\mathcal{A}$ . So  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}/G$  is the “standard form” of  $\mathcal{A}$ . But by [BGRS] non-standard algebras can exist only over ground fields of characteristic 2. Thus  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}/G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $(\text{ind } \tilde{\mathcal{A}})/G$  to  $\text{ind } \mathcal{A}$ . We fix the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $G$ -orbits in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  as a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules and identify  $\text{ind } \mathcal{A}$  with  $(\text{ind } \tilde{\mathcal{A}})/G$ .

### 3.3. The $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor

$$F : \text{ind } \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow (\text{ind } \tilde{\mathcal{A}})/G = \text{ind } \mathcal{A}$$

defined by

$$F(B) = G \cdot B$$

for  $B$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and by associating to  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , the family

$$Ff = (f'_{g,h}), \quad g, h \in G$$

with

$$f'_{g,h} = \begin{cases} g(f) & g = h \\ 0 & g \neq h \end{cases}$$

is a covering functor. This means that, for all  $A, B$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ ,  $F$  induces  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphisms

$$\bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(A, g(B)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(FA, FB),$$

$$\bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(g(A), B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(FA, FB).$$


We will need also that  $F$  induces  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphisms:

$$\bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(FA, FB),$$

$$\bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(g(A), B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(FA, FB),$$

for all  $A, B$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ . This is an easy consequence of the isomorphisms for Hom-sets and the fact that  $F$  is an exact functor preserving projectivity.

3.4. If  $H \triangleleft G$  is a normal subgroup, it is the fundamental group of  $\tilde{\Lambda}/H$ , and again we identify  $(\text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda})/H$  with  $\text{ind } (\tilde{\Lambda}/H)$  and note this quotient simply  $\text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda}/H$ . There is a commutative triangle of covering functors:



where  $\bar{F}$  sends  $HB$  to  $GB$  for  $B$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  and a morphism

$$(f_{h_1, h_2} : h_1(A) \rightarrow h_1(B))_{h_1, h_2 \in H}$$

to

$$(f'_{g_1, g_2} : g_1(A) \rightarrow g_2(B))_{g_1, g_2 \in G}$$

with

$$f'_{g_1, g_2} = \begin{cases} g_2(f_{g_2^{-1}g_1, 1}) & \text{if } g_2^{-1}g_1 \in H, \\ 0 & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

As  $\bar{F}$  is a covering functor,  $\bar{F}$  induces  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphisms:

$$\bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in G/H} \text{Ext}_{\bar{\Lambda}/H}^1(F'A, \bar{g}(F'B)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(FA, FB),$$

$$\bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in G/H} \text{Ext}_{\bar{\Lambda}/H}^1(\bar{g}(F'A), F'B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(FA, FB),$$

for any two elements  $A, B$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ .

#### 4. The theorem – and its proof in some cases

4.1. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -category with universal cover  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and fundamental group  $G$ . Fix a  $G$ -stable set  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  of representatives for the isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $\tilde{\Lambda}$ -modules and identify  $\text{ind } \mathcal{A}$  with  $\text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda}/G$ .

Extend the action of  $G$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear action of  $G$  on  $L(\tilde{\Lambda})$ . Note that, for  $A, B, X \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  and  $g \in G$ , the varieties  $V_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(A, B; X)$  and  $V_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(g(A), g(B); g(X))$  are isomorphic and hence homeomorphic. Therefore  $G$  acts by Lie algebra automorphisms.

Moreover, the sets

$$\{h \in G : \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(A, h(X)) \neq 0\}$$

and, for any  $h \in G$

$$\{g \in G : \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(h(X), g(B)) \neq 0\}$$

are finite for any  $A, B, X$  by 3.2. This implies that the action of  $G$  on  $L(\tilde{\Lambda})$  satisfies the condition  $(*)$  of 2.1 as well.

Now the statement of our theorem makes sense at least. In fact, both Lie algebras  $L(\mathcal{A})$  and  $L(\tilde{\Lambda})/G$  have as a basis the set of  $G$ -orbits in  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ . The isomorphism is the identity on this basis.

4.2. We recall from [Rie] that there is another way to compute the structure constants of  $L(\mathcal{A})$ , which is more adapted to coverings: let  $A, B$  and  $X$  be indecomposable  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. Then the following Euler-Poincaré characteristics coincide:

$$\chi(V_{\mathcal{A}}(B, A; X)) = \chi(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, B)_X/\mathbb{C}^*).$$

The variety on the left hand side has been introduced in 1.1. As to the right hand side,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, B)_X$  is the algebraic subset of equivalence classes of short exact

sequences in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(A, B)$  whose middle term is isomorphic to  $X$ . It is stable under the action of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  by homotheties on  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(A, B)$ .

**4.3. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a locally representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -category with universal cover  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and fundamental group  $G$ , and suppose that the set*

$$\{g \in G : \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(A, g(B)) \neq 0\}$$

*has at most one element for any pair  $A, B$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . Then  $L(\Lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\tilde{\Lambda})/G$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$F : \text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda}/G = \text{ind } \Lambda$$

be the orbit covering functor. Choose  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  in such a way that

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(FA, FB) \neq 0.$$

According to our hypothesis and 3.3 there is a unique element  $g \in G$  such that  $\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B)) \neq 0$ , and  $F$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(FA, FB).$$

Clearly the inverse image of  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(FA, FB)_{FX}$  under this isomorphism is the disjoint union

$$\dot{\bigcup}_{h \in G} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B))_{h(X)}$$

for any  $X$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ .

As the characteristic  $\chi(\mathcal{C})$  of a finite disjoint union  $\mathcal{C} = \dot{\bigcup} \mathcal{C}_i$  of constructible subsets of a variety  $\mathcal{C}$  is the sum  $\sum \chi(\mathcal{C}_i)$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(FA, FB)_{FX}/\mathbb{C}^*) &= \sum_{h \in G} \chi(\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B))_{h(X)}/\mathbb{C}^*) \\ &= \sum_{g, h \in G} \chi(\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B))_{h(X)}/\mathbb{C}^*). \end{aligned}$$

*Remarks.*

(i) As  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  is simply connected, there is in fact at most one  $h \in G$  with  $\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B))_{h(X)} \neq 0$ , as indecomposables are determined by their composition factors [Ha].

(ii) Note also that in case

$$\{g \in G : \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B)) \neq 0\}$$

contains at most one element for just a pair  $A, B$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , it is still true that

$$\chi(\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(FA, FB)_{FX}/\mathbb{C}^*) = \sum_{g, h \in G} \chi(\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B))_{h(X)}/\mathbb{C}^*).$$

## 5. $\mathbb{C}^*$ -actions

We fix a locally representation finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -category  $\Lambda$  with universal cover  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and fundamental group  $G$  as well as a  $G$ -stable set  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  of representatives for the isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $\tilde{\Lambda}$ -modules. We denote by  $F$  the orbit covering functor

$$F : \text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda}/G = \text{ind } \Lambda.$$

5.1. Any map  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  gives rise to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space

$$\bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B))$$

by

$$t \cdot (\varepsilon_g)_{g \in G} = (t^{\lambda(g)} \varepsilon_g)_{g \in G}$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\varepsilon_g \in \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B))$  and for any  $A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . A line through the origin in this vector space is stable under  $\mathbb{C}^*$  if and only if there exists an integer  $n$  such that the line lies in

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{g \in G \\ \lambda(g) = n}} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B)).$$

Using the  $\mathbb{C}$ -isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(FA, FB),$$

induced by  $F$  (see 3.3) we obtain a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(FA, FB)/\mathbb{C}^*,$$

whose fixed points are the disjoint union

$$\dot{\bigcup}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ F \left[ \bigoplus_{\substack{g \in G \\ \lambda(g) = n}} \text{Ext}_A^1(A, g(B))/\mathbb{C}^* \right] \right]$$

Recall that the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a variety  $Z$  admitting an algebraic action of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  equals the characteristic of the fixed point set  $Z^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ .

Therefore our theorem would be proved if we could exhibit a map  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying:

- (i) the middle term of a short exact sequence in  $\text{Ext}_A^1(FA, FB)$  changes only up to isomorphism under the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action defined by  $\lambda$ .
- (ii) for each integer  $n$  there is at most one  $g \in G$  with  $\lambda(g) = n$ .

Indeed, such a  $\lambda$  would give rise to a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action stabilizing  $\text{Ext}_A^1(FA, FB)_{FX}$  for any  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  by (i), and we could write

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\text{Ext}_A^1(FA, FB)_{FX}/\mathbb{C}^*) &= \chi((\text{Ext}_A^1(FA, FB)_{FX}/\mathbb{C}^*)^{\mathbb{C}^*}) \\ &= \chi \left[ \dot{\bigcup}_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \exists g_n : \lambda(g_n) = n}} \dot{\bigcup}_{h \in G} \text{Ext}_A^1(A, g_n(B))_{h(X)}/\mathbb{C}^* \right] \\ &= \sum_{g, h \in G} \chi(\text{Ext}_A^1(A, g(B))_{h(X)}/\mathbb{C}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used again that the inverse image of  $\text{Ext}_A^1(FA, FB)_{FX}$  in  $\text{Ext}_A^1(A, g_n(B))$  is the disjoint union

$$\dot{\bigcup}_{h \in G} \text{Ext}_A^1(A, g_n(B))_{h(X)}.$$

Unfortunately, such  $\lambda$ 's need not exist. We will concentrate first on the condition (i), which is indispensable.

5.2. For  $A, B, U \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  we consider the pull-back map

$$\pi : \text{Ext}_A^1(FA, FB) \times \text{Hom}_A(FU, FA) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(FU, FB)$$

which associates to an exact sequence

$$\varepsilon : 0 \rightarrow FB \rightarrow Z \rightarrow FA \rightarrow 0$$

with  $Z$  in  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  and a homomorphism  $f : FB \rightarrow FU$  the pull-back sequence  $\pi(\varepsilon, f)$  in  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(FU, FB)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \varepsilon & : & 0 & \rightarrow & FB & \rightarrow & Z & \rightarrow & FA & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow f \\ \pi(\varepsilon, f) & : & 0 & \rightarrow & FB & \rightarrow & Z' & \rightarrow & FU & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By Auslander's criterion [AR] two  $\Lambda$ -modules  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are isomorphic if and only if

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(FU, Z_1) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(FU, Z_2)$$

for all indecomposables  $FU$  over  $\Lambda$ . Thus two exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \varepsilon_1 & : & 0 & \rightarrow & FB & \rightarrow & Z_1 & \rightarrow & FA & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \varepsilon_2 & : & 0 & \rightarrow & FB & \rightarrow & Z_2 & \rightarrow & FA & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

have isomorphic middle terms if and only if

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \ker \pi(\varepsilon_1, ?) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \ker \pi(\varepsilon_2, ?)$$

for the two maps  $\pi(\varepsilon_1, ?)$  and  $\pi(\varepsilon_2, ?)$  from  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(FU, FA)$  to  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(FU, FB)$  and all indecomposables  $FU$ .

Let  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a map and consider the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on

$$\bigoplus_{l \in G} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(l(U), A)$$

given by

$$t \cdot (f_l)_{l \in G} = (t^{-\lambda(l)} f_l)_{l \in G},$$

for  $B, U$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{l \in G} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(l(U), A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(FU, FA)$$

allows us to transfer this action of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  to  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(FU, FA)$ .

LEMMA. Let  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a group homomorphism. Then the map

$$\pi : \text{Ext}_\lambda^1 (FA, FB) \times \text{Hom}_\lambda (FU, FA) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\lambda^1 (FU, FB)$$

is  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant, where on the left  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts diagonally.

*Proof.* It suffices to check that, for  $g, l$  in  $G$ , the pull-back map

$$\pi : \text{Ext}_\lambda^1 (A, g(B)) \times \text{Hom}_\lambda (l(U), A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\lambda^1 (l(U), g(B))$$

has the property

$$\pi(t^{\lambda(g)}\varepsilon_g, t^{-\lambda(l)}f_l) = t^{\lambda(l^{-1}g)}\pi(\varepsilon_g, f_l),$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . This is clear, as  $\lambda(l^{-1}g) = \lambda(g) - \lambda(l)$ .

COROLLARY. If  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a group homomorphism, the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\text{Ext}_\lambda^1 (FA, FB)$  associated with  $\lambda$  stabilizes  $\text{Ext}_\lambda^1 (FA, FB)_{FX}$ , for all  $A, B, X$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Thus our first condition is satisfied. But it is clear that a group homomorphism will rarely satisfy the second one.

5.3. PROPOSITION. Let  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a group homomorphism. Then  $L(\Lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda)/(G/\ker \lambda)$ .

COROLLARY. If the fundamental group  $G$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $L(\Lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\tilde{\Lambda})/G$ .

*Proof of the proposition.* We have to show that, for any  $A, B, X$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ ,

$$\chi(\text{Ext}_\lambda^1 (FA, FB)_{FX}/\mathbb{C}^*) = \sum_{\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in G/\ker \lambda} \chi(\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda}^1 (F'A, \bar{g}(F'B))_{\bar{h}(F'X)}/\mathbb{C}^*)$$

where  $F' : \text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \text{ind } \tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda$  is the orbit functor. This follows easily from the formula for fixed points in 5.1, as the inverse image of  $\text{Ext}_\lambda^1 (FA, FB)_{FX}$  in  $\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda}^1 (F'A, \bar{g}(F'B))$  is the disjoint union

$$\bigcup_{\bar{h} \in G/\ker \lambda} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda}^1 (F'A, \bar{g}(F'B))_{\bar{h}(F'B)}.$$

**6. The proof**

6.1. The last ingredient for our proof is the following:

**PROPOSITION.**  $L(\Lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\tilde{\Lambda}/G')/(G/G')$ , where  $G'$  is the commutator subgroup of  $G$ .


*Proof.* As  $G$  is free [BG], the quotient  $G/G'$  is free abelian. Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow G/G'$  be the projection.

Fix  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $S$  be the finite subset

$$S = \{g \in G : \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B)) \neq 0\}$$

of  $G$ . As  $G/G'$  is free abelian, there exists a group homomorphism  $\bar{\lambda} : G/G' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  whose restriction to  $\rho(S)$  is injective. Choose for  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  the composition  $\bar{\lambda} \circ \rho$ .

The following picture explains the notations we choose for orbit functors related to the groups  $G' \subseteq \ker \lambda \subseteq G$ :



We denote the residue class of an element  $g$  in  $G$  modulo  $G'$  by  $\bar{g}$  and modulo  $\ker \lambda$  by  $\bar{\bar{g}}$ .

We know from 5.3 that, for any  $X$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\chi(\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(FA, FB)_{FX}/\mathbb{C}^*) = \sum_{\bar{\bar{g}}, \bar{\bar{h}} \in G/\ker \lambda} \chi(\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda}^1(F''A, \bar{\bar{g}}(F''B))_{\bar{\bar{h}}(F''X)}/\mathbb{C}^*).$$

$\overline{F''}$  Applying 3.4 to  $\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda$ ,  $G' \subseteq \ker \lambda$  and the elements  $A, g(B) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , we find that  $\overline{F''}$  induces an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\bar{l} \in \ker \lambda/G'} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/G'}^1(F'A, \bar{l}(F'(gB))) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda}^1(F''A, F''(gB)).$$

We claim that, by our choice of  $\lambda$ , there is a unique  $\bar{l} \in \ker \lambda/G'$  for which

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/G'}^1(F'A, \bar{l}(F'(gB))) \neq 0$$

provided that

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda}^1(F''A, F''(gB)) \neq 0.$$

Indeed, for  $l \in \ker \lambda$ , the space

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/G'}^1(F'A, F'(lgB))$$

is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{g' \in G'} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g'lgB).$$

If now for  $l_1, l_2 \in \ker \lambda$  there exists  $g'_1, g'_2 \in G'$  such that

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g'_i l_i gB) \neq 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

the elements  $g'_i l_i g$  both belong to  $S$ , and their residue class modulo  $\ker \lambda$  is  $\bar{g}$ . Thus their classes modulo  $G'$  coincide, and therefore  $\bar{l}_1 = \bar{l}_2$ .

Suppose now that

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda}^1(F''A, F''(gB)) \neq 0,$$

and fix  $l$  in  $\ker \lambda$  with

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/G'}^1(F'A, F'(lgB)) \neq 0.$$

Then  $\overline{F''}$  induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/G'}^1(F'A, F'(lgB)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda}^1(F''A, F''(gB)).$$

The inverse image of

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/\ker \lambda}^1(F''A, F''(gB))_{F''(hX)}$$

under this isomorphism is the disjoint union

$$\dot{\bigcup}_{\bar{k} \in \ker \lambda/G'} \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/G'}^1(F'A, F'(lgB))_{\bar{k}F'(hX)}.$$

Summing up we find

$$\chi(\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(FA, FB)_{FX}/\mathbb{C}^*) = \sum_{\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in G/G'} \chi(\text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}/G'}^1(F'A, \bar{g}(F'B))_{\bar{h}(F'X)}/\mathbb{C}^*).$$

6.2. The higher commutator subgroups  $G^{(i)}$  of  $G$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , are defined inductively by

$$G^{(i+1)} = [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}].$$

They are normal subgroups of  $G$ . As a consequence of Magnus' theorem on the lower central series, they intersect in the neutral element of  $G$ , since  $G$  is free.

**COROLLARY.** *For any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $L(\Lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $L(\tilde{\Lambda}/G^{(i)})/(G/G^{(i)})$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, proposition 6.1 applied to  $\tilde{\Lambda}/G^{(i)}$  tells us that

$$L(\tilde{\Lambda}/G^{(i)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(\tilde{\Lambda}/G^{(i+1)})/(G^{(i)}/G^{(i+1)})$$

for all  $i$ . We conclude by induction applying 2.3.

6.3. **PROPOSITION.** *If  $\Lambda$  has finitely many objects there exists a natural number such that  $L(\tilde{\Lambda}/G^{(t)})$  is isomorphic to  $L(\tilde{\Lambda})/G^{(t)}$ .*

*Proof.* In view of proposition 4.3 (applied to  $\tilde{\Lambda}/G^{(t)}$ ) we only need to find  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\{g \in G^{(t)} : \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B)) \neq 0\}$$

has at most one element for all  $A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ . For  $A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  we set

$$S(A, B) = \{g \in G : \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1(A, g(B)) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$T(A, B) = \{g_1 g_2^{-1} : g_1, g_2 \in S(A, B)\}.$$

Clearly we have

$$S(h(A), l(B)) = hS(A, B)l^{-1}$$

and

$$T(h(A), l(B)) = hT(A, B)h^{-1}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has finitely many objects,  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  contains only finitely many  $G$ -orbits, and therefore the set

$$T = \bigcup_{A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}} T(A, B)$$

is a finite union of conjugacy classes in  $G$ .

Use now that the intersection  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G^{(i)}$  is reduced to  $\{1\}$ . Fix an integer  $t$  with

$$T \cap G^{(t)} = \{1\}.$$

Then the set

$$S(A, B) \cap G^{(t)} = \{g \in G^{(t)} : \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}^1(A, g(B)) \neq 0\}$$

contains at most one element for all  $A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ , and our proof is complete.

6.4. In case  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite the preceding proposition proves our theorem. Indeed, we have a chain of isomorphisms

$$L(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}/G^{(t)})/(G/G^{(t)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})/G^{(t)})/(G/G^{(t)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})/G.$$

In general, there is no reason why proposition 6.3 should hold. But “ $t$  exists locally”, and this suffices to prove our theorem: for  $A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  there exists  $t = t(A, B)$  such that

$$T(A, B) \cap G^{(t)} = \{1\},$$

as  $T(A, B)$  is finite.

Again this implies that

$$\{g \in G^{(t)} : \text{Ext}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}^1(A, g(B)) \neq 0\}$$

contains at most one element. By the second remark in 4.2 the Lie bracket of  $v_{G^{(t)} \cdot A}$  with  $v_{G^{(t)} \cdot B}$  is “the same” in  $L(\tilde{\Lambda}/G^{(t)})$  as in  $L(\tilde{\Lambda})/G^{(t)}$ . We finish the proof as in case  $\Lambda$  is finite, comparing the brackets of  $v_{G \cdot A}$  and  $v_{G \cdot B}$  in  $L(\Lambda)$ ,  $L(\tilde{\Lambda}/G^{(t)})/(G/G^{(t)})$ ,  $(L(\tilde{\Lambda})/G^{(t)})/(G/G^{(t)})$  and  $L(\tilde{\Lambda})/G$ .

### Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Aidan Schofield for valuable suggestions, and the referee for several remarks and improvements.

### REFERENCES

- [AR] AUSLANDER, M. and REITEN, I., *Modules determined by their composition factors*, Illinois J. Math. 29 (1985), 289–301.
- [BGRS] BAUTISTA, R., GABRIEL, P., ROITER, A. V. and SALMERON, L., *Representation finite algebras and multiplicative bases*, Invent. Math. 81 (1985), 217–285.
- [BG] BONGARTZ, K. and GABRIEL, P., *Covering spaces in representation theory*, Invent. Math. 65 (1982), 331–378.
- [GA] GABRIEL, P., *The universal cover of a representation-finite algebra*, in “Representations of algebras”, Springer Lecture Notes 903, 1981.
- [Ha] HAPPEL, D., *Composition factors for indecomposable modules*, Proc. AMS 86 (1982), 29–31.
- [Rie] RIEDTMANN, CH., *Lie algebras generated by indecomposables*, Prépublication de l’Institut Fourier n° 170, Grenoble, 1991 to appear in J. of Algebra.
- [Rin] RINGEL, C. M., *Hall algebras*, in “Topics in Algebra”, Banach Center Publications 26 (1990), 433–447.

*Mathematisches Institut  
Sidlerstr. 5  
CH-3012 BERN  
Switzerland*

Received July 7, 1993