

<b>Zeitschrift:</b>	Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
<b>Herausgeber:</b>	Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
<b>Band:</b>	68 (1993)
<b>Artikel:</b>	The characteristic polynomial of a monodromy transformation attached to a family of curves.
<b>Autor:</b>	Lorenzini, Dino J.
<b>DOI:</b>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51763">https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51763</a>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 05.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## The characteristic polynomial of a monodromy transformation attached to a family of curves

DINO J. LORENZINI<sup>1</sup>

Let  $K$  be a complete field with respect to a discrete valuation  $v$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote the ring of integers in  $K$ , and let  $k$  be the residue field. We assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed and we denote by  $p \geq 0$  the residue characteristic. Let  $X/K$  be a curve of genus  $g$  having a  $K$ -rational point. Let  $A/K$  denote its jacobian. Let  $\ell$  be a prime,  $\ell \neq p$ , and denote by  $T_\ell(A)$ , or simply by  $T_\ell$ , when no confusion may result, the Tate module of  $A/K$ . The Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  acts in a natural way on the module  $T_\ell$ . In this paper, we describe the characteristic polynomial of a monodromy transformation attached to the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  on the module  $T_\ell$  in terms of the special fiber of a regular model  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $X/K$ .

In the first section of this paper, we introduce a polynomial  $f_{X/K}(x)$  of degree  $2g$  attached to the special fiber of a regular model of  $X/K$ . In the second section, we discuss the main result of this paper, Theorem 2.1: a homological interpretation of a factor  $f_{X/K}^{(p)}(x)$  of the polynomial  $f_{X/K}(x)$ . In the third section, we give a description of the behavior of the polynomial  $f_{X/K}(x)$  under base change, a description which is a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.1. In the last section of this work, we use a variation on the proof of Theorem 2.1 to describe the characteristic polynomial of an automorphism of a curve acting on the first homology group of the curve.

In a forthcoming article, we will apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain a bound for the order of the  $p$ -part of the group of components of a jacobian variety.

### 1. The polynomial $f_{X/K}(x)$

In this paper, a scheme  $X/K$  is called a *curve* if it is a smooth, proper, geometrically irreducible scheme of dimension one, and if the following additional property holds. Let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  denote a regular model of  $X/K$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_k$  denote the special

---

<sup>1</sup> Research partially supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation.

fiber of  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$ . The closed subscheme  $\mathcal{X}_k$  is an effective Cartier divisor and, as such, we write it as

$$\mathcal{X}_k = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i C_i,$$

where  $r_i$  is the multiplicity of the irreducible component  $C_i$ . We include in our definition of a curve that

$$\gcd(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 1.$$

This condition does not depend on the choice of  $\mathcal{X}$ . It holds, for instance, if  $X/K$  has a  $K$ -rational point.

We say that a regular model  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $X/K$  is a *good* model if the following conditions are satisfied:

- The components  $C_i$  are smooth curves of genus  $g(C_i)$ .
- Let  $(C_i \cdot C_j)$  denote the intersection number of the components  $C_i$  and  $C_j$ . If  $i \neq j$ , then

$$(C_i \cdot C_j) \leq 1.$$

In particular, the reduced curve  $(\mathcal{X}_k)_{\text{red}}$  has normal crossings.

1.1. Let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  be a normal model of  $X/K$ . Let  $g(C_i)$  denote the geometric genus of the component  $C_i$ . We associate to the special fiber  $\mathcal{X}_k$  a graph  $G$  defined as follows. Its vertices are the curves  $C_i$  and a vertex  $C_h$  is linked to a vertex  $C_j$  if and only if  $C_h \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$ . For each curve  $C_i$ , we define the *degree* of  $C_i$  in  $G$  to be the integer

$$d(C_i) := |C_i \cap (\overline{\mathcal{X}_k^{\text{red}} \setminus C_i})|.$$

When no confusion may result, we denote the integer  $d(C_i)$  simply by  $d_i$ . When  $\mathcal{X}$  is a good model, we find that

$$d(C_i) = \sum_{j \neq i} (C_i \cdot C_j).$$

Let

$$\beta(\mathcal{X}) := \text{first Betti number of } G.$$

One easily checks that

$$2\beta(\mathcal{X}) - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 2).$$

Let

$$\alpha(\mathcal{X}) := \sum_{i=1}^n g(C_i).$$

Denote by  $f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}(x)$  the following rational function:

$$f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}(x) := (x-1)^{2\alpha(\mathcal{X}) + 2\beta(\mathcal{X})} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{x^{r_i} - 1}{x-1} \right)^{2g(C_i) + d_i - 2}.$$

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Let  $X/K$  be a curve of genus  $g$  and let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  be a good model of  $X/K$ .*

- (i) *The rational function  $f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $2g$ . It is independent of the choice of a good model for  $X/K$ . We denote this polynomial by  $f_{X/K}(x)$ .*
- (ii) *The integers  $\alpha(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\beta(\mathcal{X})$  are independent of the choice of a good model for  $X/K$ . We denote these integers by  $\alpha(X/K)$  and  $\beta(X/K)$ , respectively.*
- (iii) *The polynomial  $f_G(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n [(x^{r_i} - 1)/(x-1)]^{d_i - 2}$  is independent of the choice of a good model of  $X/K$ .*

*Proof.* To show that  $f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}(x)$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$f_G(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{x^{r_i} - 1}{x-1} \right)^{d_i - 2}$$

is a polynomial. The fact that  $f_G(x)$  is a polynomial is proved in [Lor], Theorem 3.1. The proof of Theorem 3.1 uses only the algebraic properties of the intersection matrix  $M$ . Note that it is by no means obvious that the rational function  $f_G(x)$  is a polynomial, since a curve  $C_i$  could have multiplicity  $r_i \geq 2$  while  $d_i = 1$ . Note also that, in general,  $f_G(x)$  is not a polynomial if  $\gcd(r_1, \dots, r_n) > 1$ .

To show that  $\deg f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}(x) = 2g$ , we use the following “adjunction formula”:

$$2g - 2 = \mathcal{X}_k \cdot (\mathcal{X}_k + \mathcal{K}),$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the relative canonical sheaf of  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$ . Recall that  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$2g(C_i) - 2 = C_i \cdot (C_i + \mathcal{K}),$$

and

$$(C_i \cdot \mathcal{X}_k) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$2g - 2 = \mathcal{X}_k \cdot \mathcal{K}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_i r_i (C_i \cdot \mathcal{K}) \\ &= \sum_i r_i (2g(C_i) - 2 - (C_i \cdot C_i)) \\ &= \sum_i r_i (2g(C_i) - 2) + \sum_i \left( \sum_{j \neq i} r_j (C_i \cdot C_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_i r_i (2g(C_i) - 2) + \sum_i r_i d_i \\ &= \sum_i 2g(C_i) + \sum_i (d_i - 2) + \sum_i (r_i - 1)(d_i - 2) + \sum_i (r_i - 1)2g(C_i). \end{aligned}$$

As we already pointed out in 1.1, one easily checks that

$$2\beta(\mathcal{X}) - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 2).$$

Hence,  $\deg f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}(x) = 2g$ .

1.3. We turn now to showing that  $f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}(x)$  does not depend on the choice of a good model. Let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  be a good model of  $X/K$  and let  $\mathcal{Y}_P$  be a model obtained from  $\mathcal{X}$  by blowing up a closed point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{X}_k$ . We call the birational map  $\pi: \mathcal{Y}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  an *elementary blow-up*. Let  $E$  denote the exceptional curve on  $\mathcal{Y}_P$ . Denote by  $\tilde{C}_i$  the strict transform of  $C_i \subset \mathcal{X}_k$  in  $\mathcal{Y}_P$ . Since  $E$  is a rational curve, and since  $g(C_i) = g(\tilde{C}_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , it follows that

$$\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_P) = \alpha(\mathcal{X}).$$

Let  $(C_i, r_i)$  and  $(C_j, r_j)$  be two components of  $\mathcal{X}_k$ . Recall that, if  $P \in (C_i, r_i)$  is a regular point of  $\mathcal{X}_k$ , then  $E$  has multiplicity  $r_i$  in  $\mathcal{Y}_P$  and  $(E \cdot \tilde{C}_i) = 1$ . Recall also that, if  $P = C_i \cap C_j$ , then  $E$  has multiplicity  $r_i + r_j$  in  $\mathcal{Y}_P$ , with  $(E \cdot \tilde{C}_i) =$

$(E \cdot \tilde{C}_j) = 1$  and  $(\tilde{C}_i \cdot \tilde{C}_j) = 0$ . It follows immediately that  $\mathcal{Y}_P$  is a good model of  $X/K$ . Using the formula  $2\beta(\mathcal{X}) - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (d(C_i) - 2)$ , one shows easily that

$$\beta(\mathcal{Y}_P) = \beta(\mathcal{X}).$$

Let  $G'$  denote the graph associated to the special fiber of  $\mathcal{Y}_P$ . If  $P \in C_i \cap C_j$ , then it is obvious that  $f_{G'}(x) = f_G(x)$ . If  $P \in C_i$  is a regular point of  $\mathcal{X}_k$ , then the degree of  $\tilde{C}_i$  in  $G'$  is equal to  $d_i + 1$  while the degree of  $E$  in  $G'$  is equal to 1. Both components  $E$  and  $\tilde{C}_i$  have multiplicity equal to  $r_i$ . Hence,  $f_{G'}(x)$  equals  $f_G(x)$  in this case also.

1.4. Let  $\mathcal{X}_1$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2$  denote two good models of  $\mathcal{X}$ . It is well known that there exists a third model  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $X$  and two maps

$$\pi_i : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

such that each map  $\pi_i$  is a composition of elementary blow-ups  $\pi_{i,j}$ :

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}_{i,s_i} \xrightarrow{\pi_{i,s_i}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{i,1}} \mathcal{X}_{i,1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{i,1}} \mathcal{X}.$$

Therefore, we can apply 1.3 to each  $\pi_{i,j}$  and deduce that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a good model of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that

$$f_{\mathcal{X}_1/\mathcal{O}_K} = f_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_K} = f_{\mathcal{X}_2/\mathcal{O}_K},$$

and

$$f_{G(\mathcal{X}_1)}(x) = f_{G(\mathcal{X}_2)}(x).$$

It also follows from 1.3 that  $\alpha(\mathcal{X}_1) = \alpha(\mathcal{Y}) = \alpha(\mathcal{X}_2)$  and  $\beta(\mathcal{X}_1) = \beta(\mathcal{Y}) = \beta(\mathcal{X}_2)$ . This concludes the proof of Proposition 1.2.  $\square$

15. Let  $q$  be any prime and let  $r$  be any integer. Let  $r^{(q)}$  denote the largest prime-to- $q$  integer dividing  $r$ . Let  $\text{ord}_q(r)$  be defined by the factorization

$$r = r^{(q)} \cdot q^{\text{ord}_q(r)}.$$

We let

$$r^{(0)} := r,$$

so that the integer  $r^{(p)}$  is defined for all possible values of the residual characteristic  $p$ .

Let

$$f_{X/K}^{(q)}(x) := (x-1)^{2\alpha(X) + 2\beta(X)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{x^{r_i^{(q)}} - 1}{x-1} \right)^{2g(C_i) + d_i - 2}.$$

We let

$$f_{X/K}^{(0)}(x) := f_{X/K}(x),$$

so that the rational function  $f_{X/K}^{(p)}(x)$  is also defined for all possible values of the residual characteristic  $p$ .

**COROLLARY 1.6.** *Let  $X/K$  be a curve. Let  $q$  be any prime. The rational function  $f_{X/K}^{(q)}(x)$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients, and is independent of the choice of a good regular model for  $X/K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi_s(x)$  denote the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbf{Z}$  of a primitive  $s^{\text{th}}$  root of unity. Recall that

$$(x^r - 1) = \prod_{s \mid r} \Phi_s(x).$$

Our corollary follows immediately from the fact that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n [(x^{r_i^{(q)}} - 1)/(x-1)]^{d_i - 2} = \prod_{\Phi_s(x) \mid f_G(x), q \nmid s} \Phi_s(x)^{\text{ord}_{\Phi_s(x)}(f_G(x))}. \quad \square$$

**Remark 1.7.** Let  $f(x)$  be a product of cyclotomic polynomials. Let  $q$  be any prime. The prime-to- $q$  part  $f^{(q)}(x)$  of  $f(x)$  is defined as follows:

$$f^{(q)}(x) := \prod_{\substack{\Phi_s(x) \mid f(x) \\ q \nmid s}} (\Phi_s(x))^{\text{ord}_{\Phi_s(x)}(f(x))}.$$

It follows from this definition that the polynomial  $f_{X/K}^{(q)}(x)$  is the prime-to- $q$  part of the polynomial  $f_{X/K}(x)$ .

## 2. Homological Interpretation of $f_{X/K}^{(p)}(x)$

Let  $X/K$  be a curve and let  $A/K$  denote its jacobian. Let  $\ell$  be a prime,  $\ell \neq p$ . Let  $T_\ell(A)$  denote the Tate module of  $A/K$ . We denote  $T_\ell(A)$  simply by  $T_\ell$  when no

confusion may result. Fix a separable closure  $K^s$  of  $K$ . The free  $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$ -module  $T_\ell$  is equipped with a natural action of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K^s/K)$ . Note that, under our hypothesis on  $K$ , the group  $\text{Gal}(K^s/K)$  is equal to its inertia subgroup  $I_K := I(K^s/K)$ . Let  $P$  denote the pro- $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $I_K$ . The group  $I_K$  acts on  $(T_\ell A)^P$  through its quotient

$$I_K/P \cong \prod_{\ell \neq p} \mathbf{Z}_\ell.$$

Let  $\sigma$  be a topological generator of  $I_K/P$ , and let  $\sigma_\ell$  denote its image in  $\text{Aut}(T_\ell^P)$ . We let  $\text{char}(\sigma_\ell)(x)$  denote the characteristic polynomial of  $\sigma_\ell$  acting on  $(T_\ell A)^P$ .

When  $p = 0$  and  $q$  is any prime, let  $Q$  denote the pro- $q$ -Sylow subgroup of  $I_K$ . This subgroup  $Q$  is normal in  $I_K$  and, therefore, the module  $T_\ell^Q$  is  $I_K$ -invariant. We denote by  $\sigma_{\ell,Q}$  the image in  $\text{Aut}(T_\ell^Q)$  of a topological generator  $\sigma$  of  $I_K$ . We let  $\text{char}(\sigma_{\ell,Q})(x)$  denote the characteristic polynomial of  $\sigma_{\ell,Q}$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $X/K$  be a curve and let  $A/K$  denote its jacobian.*

- (i) *The polynomials  $f_{X/K}^{(p)}(x)$  and  $\text{char}(\sigma_\ell)(x)$  are equal.*
- (ii) *If  $p = 0$ , and if  $q$  and  $\ell$  are distinct primes, then  $f_{X/K}^{(q)}(x) = \text{char}(\sigma_{\ell,Q})(x)$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.2** (T. Saito, [Sai], Corollary 1.6). *Under the above hypothesis and notations,*

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell A)^P = 2\alpha(X/K) + 2\beta(X/K) + \sum_{i=1}^n (r_i^{(p)} - 1)(d(C_i) - 2 + 2g(C_i)).$$

**Remark 2.3.** The automorphism  $\sigma_\ell$  may be called a *monodromy transformation*, consistent with the terminology used in the complex case. Recall that in the “dictionary” between algebraic geometry and the theory of complex manifolds, our situation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_{K^s} & \rightarrow & X & \subset & \mathcal{X} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi & & \\ \text{Spec}(K^s) & \rightarrow & \text{Spec}(K) & \subset & \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) & & \end{array}$$

corresponds to a situation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{X}_\eta & \rightarrow & \mathbf{X} \setminus \mathbf{X}_0 & \subset & \mathbf{X} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p' & & \downarrow p & & \\ \eta & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^* & \subset & \Delta & & \end{array}$$

where  $\Delta$  is a small disk in the plane centered at the origin,  $\Delta^* := \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\eta \in \Delta^*$ . The natural action of  $\pi_1(\Delta^*, \eta)$  on  $H_1(X_n, \mathbf{Z})$  corresponds to the action of  $\text{Gal}(K^s/K)$  on  $T_\ell A$ .

Let  $\rho : \pi_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$  be a representation of a fundamental group  $\pi_1$  on a module  $M$ . In the classical terminology, the elements of  $\rho(\pi_1)$  are called *monodromy transformations*. The interested reader will have no difficulty in adapting the proof of our theorem to the complex case. Steenbrink has informed us that the analogue of our result in the complex case can be proven using the tools and methods introduced in his paper [Ste].

*Remark 2.4.* Let  $K$  be a field of equicharacteristic zero and let  $X/K$  be a curve of genus  $g$ . If the special fiber of a good model of  $X/K$  is reduced, then  $X/K$  has semistable reduction ([D-M], 2.2), and

$$f_{X/K}(x) = (x - 1)^{2g}.$$

The semistable reduction theorem for curves ([D-M], 2.4, and [Gro], IX, 3.5) states that the curve has semistable reduction if and only if the inertia group  $I_K$  acts on  $T_\ell A$  in a unipotent way. Therefore, when the special fiber of a good model of  $X/K$  is reduced,

$$\text{char}(\sigma_\ell)(x) = (x - 1)^{2g}.$$

Hence, in this case,  $f_{X/K}(x) = \text{char}(\sigma_\ell)(x)$ .

The two main ingredients in the proof of our theorem are the semistable reduction theorem and the following explicit description of the behavior of  $f_{X/K}(x)$  under base extension.

**2.5.** Let  $\Phi_u(x)$  denote the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbf{Z}$  of a primitive  $u^{\text{th}}$  root of unity. Let  $q$  be any prime. Define

$$\Gamma_q(\Phi_u(x)) := \begin{cases} \Phi_u(x) & \text{if } q \nmid u. \\ [\Phi_{u/q}(x)]^{q-1} & \text{if } q \mid u, q^2 \nmid u. \\ [\Phi_{u/q}(x)]^q & \text{if } q^2 \mid u. \end{cases}$$

When  $f(x) = \prod_i \Phi_{u_i}(x)$  is a product of cyclotomic polynomials, let

$$\Gamma_q(f(x)) = \prod_i \Gamma_q(\Phi_{u_i}(x)).$$

Let  $d = q_1^{a_1} \cdots q_k^{a_k}$  be any integer. Define

$$\Gamma_d := (\Gamma_{q_1})^{a_1} \circ \cdots \circ (\Gamma_{q_k})^{a_k}.$$

Note that, if the characteristic polynomial  $\text{char}_\tau(x)$  of an automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\mathbf{Z}^{2g}$  is equal to a product of cyclotomic polynomials, then the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau^d$  is equal to  $\Gamma_d(\text{char}_\tau(x))$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *Let  $X/K$  be a curve. Let  $d$  be any integer prime to  $p$ . Let  $K_d/K$  denote the unique (cyclic) extension of  $K$  of degree  $d$ . Then*

$$f_{X_{K_d}/K_d}(x) = \Gamma_d(f_{X/K}(x)).$$

We postpone the proof of this proposition to the next section and turn now to the proof of Theorem 2.1. We need to recall some standard facts about abelian varieties and their Néron models. Let  $A/K$  be an abelian variety and let  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K$  denote its Néron model. The connected component of zero of the special fiber of  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_k^0/k$ , is a smooth connected group scheme. As such, it can be described by an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_k^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0$$

of smooth group schemes over  $k$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is unipotent of dimension  $u_K$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a torus of dimension  $t_K$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $a_K$ .

2.7. Raynaud has shown in [Ray] (see also [BLR], Theorem 4 on page 267 and Propositions 9 and 10 on pages 248–249) that, if  $X/K$  is a curve and  $A/K$  denotes its jacobian, then

$$\alpha(X/K) = a_K$$

and

$$\beta(X/K) = t_K.$$

2.8. Fix a prime  $\ell \neq p$  and fix a polarization of  $A/K$ . Associated to this polarization is a Galois invariant skew-symmetric separating pairing:

$$\langle , \rangle : T_\ell A \times T_\ell A \rightarrow T_\ell \mathbf{G}_m \cong \mathbf{Z}_\ell.$$

When  $X \subseteq T_\ell$  is any submodule, we let  $X^\perp$  denote the orthogonal complement of  $X$  under the pairing  $\langle , \rangle$ . When  $M/K$  is any finite extension, we let

$$W_{\ell,M} := T_\ell^{I_M} \cap (T_\ell^{I_M})^\perp.$$

We refer the reader to [Gro], IX, §2 and §3, for a proof of the following facts:

- $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(W_{\ell,K}) = t_K$ .
- $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(T_{\ell,K}^{I_K}) = 2a_K + t_K$ .
- There exists a finite extension  $L/K$ , minimal with the property that

$$(W_{\ell,L})^\perp = T_{\ell}^{I_L}.$$

2.9. Let  $q = p$  or, when  $p = 0$ , let  $q$  be any prime. Let  $Q$  denote the pro- $q$ -Sylow subgroup of  $I_K$ . If  $\ell \neq q$ , then the image  $Q_0$  of  $Q$  in  $\text{Aut}(T_\ell A)$  is a *finite* group, and  $|Q_0|$  is invertible in  $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$ . Therefore, the averaging map

$$T_\ell A \rightarrow (T_\ell A)^Q$$

$$x \rightarrow 1/|Q_0| \cdot \sum_{\tau \in Q_0} \tau(x)$$

is well defined. The above map is a section to the inclusion  $(T_\ell A)^Q \subseteq T_\ell A$ . Hence, the functor which associates to a module  $X$  its module of  $Q$ -invariants  $X^Q$  is exact. It is easy to check (see for instance [L–O], 1.1, when  $Q = P$ ) that the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  restricts to a nondegenerate pairing on  $T_\ell^Q$ , denoted again by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : T_\ell^Q \times T_\ell^Q \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\ell.$$

When  $Y \subseteq T_\ell^Q$  is any submodule, we let  $Y^\$$  denote the orthogonal complement of  $Y$  under the restricted pairing. If  $X \subseteq T_\ell$  is any submodule, then

$$(X^Q)^\$ = (X^\perp)^Q.$$

2.10. Let us now show that the characteristic polynomial  $\text{char}(\sigma_\ell)(x)$  of  $\sigma_\ell$  acting on  $T_\ell^P$  has integer coefficients. The proof of this claim presented here will also show that the polynomial  $\text{char}(\sigma_\ell)(x)$  is independent of  $\ell$  ( $\ell \neq p$ ), but this fact will not be used in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Let  $L/K$  again denote the extension of  $K$  minimal with the property that  $(W_{\ell,L})^\perp = T_{\ell}^{I_L}$ . Let  $L_0$  denote the maximal tame extension of  $K$  in  $L$ . Consider the inclusions

$$W_{\ell,L_0} \subseteq T_{\ell}^{I_{L_0}} \subseteq T_\ell^P.$$

Let  $f_{W_{\ell,L_0}}(x)$  and  $f_{T_{\ell}^{I_{L_0}}}(x)$  denote the characteristic polynomials of  $\sigma_\ell$  restricted respectively to  $W_{\ell,L_0}$  and  $T_{\ell}^{I_{L_0}}$ . Since

$$T_\ell^P / T_{\ell}^{I_{L_0}}$$
 is isomorphic to  $W_{\ell,L_0}$ ,

it follows that, in order to prove our claim, we need only to show that the polynomials  $f_{W_{\ell, L_0}}(x)$  and  $f_{T_{\ell}^{\prime L_0}}(x)$  have integer coefficients and are independent of  $\ell$  ( $\ell \neq p$ ). Grothendieck showed, in [Gro], IX, Théorème 4.3, that the characteristic polynomial of any element  $\tau$  of  $I_K$  acting on  $T_{\ell}$  has integer coefficients. His proof can be modified to give a proof of our claim, as we shall now sketch for the convenience of the reader.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{L_0}$  denote the Néron model of  $A_{L_0}/L_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{L_0, k}^0$  denote the connected component of zero in the special fiber of  $\mathcal{A}_{L_0}$ . The smooth group scheme  $\mathcal{A}_{L_0, k}^0$  is an extension of an abelian variety  $\mathcal{B}$  by the product of a torus  $\mathcal{T}$  and a unipotent group  $\mathcal{U}$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow A_{L_0, k}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0.$$

Any element  $\sigma$  of  $I_K$  induces two automorphisms

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}.$$

Both automorphisms,  $\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ , induce automorphisms of the corresponding Tate modules,

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{T}} : T_{\ell}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow T_{\ell}(\mathcal{T})$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{B}} : T_{\ell}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow T_{\ell}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Grothendieck shows in [Gro], IX, 4.2, that  $T_{\ell}(\mathcal{T})$  and  $W_{\ell, L_0}$  are isomorphic  $I_K$ -modules. He also shows that  $T_{\ell}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $T_{\ell}^{\prime L_0}/W_{\ell, L_0}$  are isomorphic  $I_K$ -modules. It was proven by Weil (see [Mil], 12.9) that the characteristic polynomial of  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$  acting on  $T_{\ell}(\mathcal{B})$  has integer coefficients and is independent of  $\ell \neq p$ . Grothendieck shows in the proof of Théorème 4.3 of [Gro], IX, that the characteristic polynomial of  $\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}$  on  $T_{\ell}(\mathcal{T})$  has integer coefficients and is independent of  $\ell \neq p$ . Therefore, our claim is proved.

**2.11. Proof of Theorem 2.1, Part (i).** We proceed by induction on the integer  $\lambda(X/K)$  defined below. Let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  be a normal model of  $X/K$ . Write  $\mathcal{X}_k^{red} = \bigcup C_i$ ,

where  $C_i$  is an irreducible component of multiplicity  $r_i$  and genus  $g(C_i)$ . Let  $d(C_i)$  denote the degree of the vertex  $C_i$  in the associated graph  $G(\mathcal{X})$ . Set

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K} := \text{lcm} (r_i^{(p)} \mid g(C_i) > 0 \text{ or } d(C_i) > 2).$$

Let

$$\lambda(X/K) := \min \{ \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K} \mid \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K \text{ is a regular good model of } X/K \}.$$

The properties of  $\lambda(X/K)$  needed in the proof of our theorem are summarized in the following proposition, whose proof is postponed to the next section.

**PROPOSITION 2.12.** *Let  $X/K$  be a curve. Let  $d$  be any integer prime to  $p$  and let  $K_d/K$  denote the unique extension of  $K$  of degree  $d$ . Then*

- (i)  $\lambda(X_{K_d}/K_d)$  divides  $\lambda(X/K)$  and, if  $d$  divides  $\lambda(X/K)$ , then  $\lambda(X_{K_d}/K_d)$  divides  $d^{-1}\lambda(X/K)$ .
- (ii) If  $\lambda(X/K) = 1$ , then  $\alpha(X_{K_d}/K_d) = \alpha(X/K)$  and  $\beta(X_{K_d}/K_d) = \beta(X/K)$ .

2.13. Assume now that  $\lambda(X/K) = 1$ , so that  $f_{X/K}^{(p)}(x) = (x - 1)^{2\alpha(X/K) + 2\beta(X/K)}$ . We claim that in order to prove Theorem 2.1 under this assumption, it is sufficient to show that

$$W_{\ell,K}^{\$} = T_{\ell,K}^{I_K}.$$

Indeed, the automorphism  $\sigma_{\ell}$  acts trivially on  $T_{\ell,K}^{I_K}$  and on  $W_{\ell,K}$ . Hence, when  $W_{\ell,K}^{\$} = T_{\ell,K}^{I_K}$ , it also acts trivially on

$$T_{\ell}^P / T_{\ell}^{I_K} = T_{\ell}^P / W_{\ell,K}^{\$} \cong W_{\ell,K}.$$

Therefore, all the eigenvalues of  $\sigma_{\ell}$  on  $T_{\ell}^P$  are equal to one and the characteristic polynomial of  $\sigma_{\ell}$  is equal to

$$(x - 1)^{2a_K + 2t_K}.$$

Raynaud's result quoted in 2.7 implies that  $a_K = \alpha(X/K)$  and that  $t_K = \beta(X/K)$ , which proves our claim.

Let us now show that  $W_{\ell,K}^{\$} = T_{\ell,K}^{I_K}$  when  $\lambda(X/K) = 1$ . Let  $L_0 \subseteq L$  denote the extension of  $K$  corresponding to the inertia group  $I_{L_0} := I_L \cdot P$ . The extension  $L_0/K$  is tame and cyclic. Therefore, Proposition 2.12 implies that

$$\lambda(X_{L_0}/L_0) = \lambda(X/K) = 1,$$

and that

$$a_{L_0} = \alpha(X_{L_0}/L_0) = \alpha(X/K) = a_K,$$

and that

$$t_{L_0} = \beta(X_{L_0}/L_0) = \beta(X/K) = t_K.$$

Hence,  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_K}$  and  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}}$  have the same rank. Since  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}}$  contains  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_K}$ , the group  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}}/T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_K}$  is finite, from which one easily deduces that

$$T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_K} = T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}}.$$

In particular,

$$W_{\mathcal{F}, K} = W_{\mathcal{F}, L_0}.$$

Note that, by definition of  $L_0$ , the group  $P$  is equal to the pro- $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $I_{L_0}$ . Hence, the operation  $(-)^{\$}$  is the same for both ground fields  $K$  and  $L_0$ . Therefore, to prove that  $W_{\mathcal{F}, K}^{\$} = T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_K}$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$W_{\mathcal{F}, L_0}^{\$} = T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (W_{\mathcal{F}, L})^P &= [T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_L} \cap (T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_L})^{\perp}]^P \\ &= (T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_L})^P \cap [(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_L})^P]^{\$} \\ &= T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}} \cap (T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}})^{\$} \\ &= T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}} \cap (T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}})^{\perp} \\ &= W_{\mathcal{F}, L_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (W_{\mathcal{F}, L_0})^{\$} &= [(W_{\mathcal{F}, L})^P]^{\$} \\ &= [(W_{\mathcal{F}, L})^{\perp}]^P \\ &= (T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_L})^P = T_{\mathcal{F}}^{I_{L_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of our theorem when  $\lambda(X/K) = 1$ .

2.14. The proof of our theorem in general, by induction on the integer  $\lambda(X/K)$ , proceeds as follows. If  $d$  divides  $\lambda := \lambda(X/K)$ , then

$\sigma^d$  is a topological generator of  $I_{K_d}/P$ .

By construction,

$$\text{char}(\sigma_\ell^d) = \Gamma_d(\text{char}(\sigma_\ell)).$$

Proposition 2.6 implies that, if  $d$  divides  $\lambda$ , then

$$f_{X_{K_d}/K_d}^{(p)}(x) = \Gamma_d(f_{X/K}^{(p)}(x)).$$

Proposition 2.12 implies that, if  $d$  divides  $\lambda$  and  $d \neq 1$ , then  $\lambda(X_{K_d}/K_d)$  strictly divides  $\lambda(X/K)$ . Hence, by induction,

$$f_{X_{K_d}/K_d}^{(p)}(x) = \text{char}(\sigma_\ell^d)(x)$$

for all  $d \mid \lambda$ ,  $d \neq 1$ . It also follows from Proposition 2.12 that  $\lambda(X_{K_\lambda}/K_\lambda) = 1$ . Therefore, by induction, it follows that

$$f_{X_{K_\lambda}/K_\lambda}^{(p)}(x) = (x - 1)^{\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell^p)} = \text{char}(\sigma_\ell^i)(x).$$

Lenstra and Oort have shown in [L–O], 1.3, that the multiplicity of the integer one as eigenvalue of  $\sigma_\ell$  on  $T_\ell^p$  is equal to  $2a_K + 2t_K$ . Raynaud's Theorem quoted in 2.7 implies that  $2a_K + 2t_K$  is equal to  $2\alpha(X/K) + 2\beta(X/K)$ . Therefore, it follows from the definitions of  $f_{X/K}^{(p)}(x)$  and  $\text{char}(\sigma_\ell)(x)$  that the multiplicity of  $(x - 1)$  in  $f_{X/K}^{(p)}(x)$  is equal to the multiplicity of  $(x - 1)$  in  $\text{char}(\sigma_\ell)(x)$ . Hence, in order to conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1, we need only to prove the following lemma.

**LEMMA 2.15.** *Let  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be two polynomials in  $\mathbf{Z}[x]$  whose roots are roots of unity of order dividing  $\lambda$ . Suppose that*

- (i) *If  $d$  divides  $\lambda$  and  $d \neq 1$ , then  $\Gamma_d(f(x)) = \Gamma_d(g(x))$ .*
- (ii) *The multiplicity of the integer one as root of  $f(x)$  is equal to the multiplicity of the integer one as root of  $g(x)$ .*

*Then  $f(x) = g(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\lambda$  is minimal with the property that

$$\Gamma_\lambda(f(x)) = (x - 1)^{\deg f}.$$

Let  $B_r(f)$  (resp.  $B_r(g)$ ) denote the set of roots of  $f(x)$  (resp. of  $g(x)$ ) having order dividing  $r$ . By hypothesis,

$$B_1(f) = B_1(g),$$

and, if  $r \neq 1$ , then

$$B_r(f) = \text{multiplicity of } (x - 1) \text{ in } \Gamma_r(f) = B_r(g).$$

Let  $P_r(f)$  (resp.  $P_r(g)$ ) denote the set of primitive  $r$ -th roots of unity in  $B_r(f)$  (resp. in  $B_r(g)$ ). Clearly,

$$|B_r(f)| = \sum_{d|r} |P_d(f)|.$$

Applying the Möbius inversion formula, we find that

$$|P_r(f)| = \sum_{d|r} \mu(d) |B_{r/d}(f)|.$$

It follows that

$$|P_r(f)| = |P_r(g)|, \quad \forall r \mid \lambda.$$

Since  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  have integer coefficients, we conclude that the multiplicity of  $\Phi_r(x)$  in  $f(x)$  is equal to

$$|P_r(f)|/\deg \Phi_r(x).$$

Therefore, the multiplicity of  $\Phi_r(x)$  in  $f(x)$  is equal to the multiplicity of  $\Phi_r(x)$  in  $g(x)$ .  $\square$

2.16. *Proof of Theorem 2.1, Part (ii).* Assume that  $p = 0$ , and let  $q$  be any prime. One can prove Part (ii) in virtually the same way as Part (i), proceeding by induction on the integer  $\mu(X/K)$  defined as follows. Let

$$\mu(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K) := \text{lcm } (r_i^{(q)} \mid g(C_i) > 0 \text{ or } d(C_i) > 2),$$

and define

$$\mu(X/K) := \min \{ \mu(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K \text{ is a regular good model of } X/K \}.$$

We leave the details of such a proof to the reader and turn now to a different proof of Part (ii). We will show that, in fact, Part (ii) follows from Part (i).

We need to show that

$$\text{char}(\sigma_{\ell, Q})(x) = f_{X/K}^{(q)}.$$

Recall that, in the terminology of Remark 1.7, the polynomial  $f_{X/K}^{(q)}(x)$  is equal to the prime-to- $q$  part of the polynomial  $f_{X/K}(x)$ . Recall also that Part (i) implies that  $f_{X/K}(x) = \text{char}(\sigma_{\ell})(x)$ . Therefore, we need only to show that

$$\text{char}(\sigma_{\ell, Q})(x) = \text{prime-to-}q \text{ part of } \text{char}(\sigma_{\ell})(x).$$

Let  $L/K$  denote the extension of  $K$  minimal with the property that  $A_L/L$  has semistable reduction. Let  $L_q/K$  denote the unique subfield of  $L$  such that

$$[L : L_q] = q^{\text{ord}_q([L : K])}.$$

Consider the inclusions

$$W_{\ell, L} \subseteq T_{\ell}^{I_L} \subseteq T.$$

Taking the  $Q$ -invariant submodules of these modules, we obtain

$$W_{\ell, L_q} \subseteq T_{\ell}^{I_{L_q}} \subseteq T^Q.$$

Let  $g_{W_{\ell, L}}(x)$  and  $g(x)$  denote respectively the characteristic polynomial of  $\sigma_{\ell}$  restricted to  $W_{\ell, L}$  and to  $T_{\ell}^{I_L}/W_{\ell, L}$ . Similarly, let  $h_{W_{\ell, L_q}}(x)$  and  $h(x)$  denote respectively the characteristic polynomial of  $\sigma_{\ell}$  restricted to  $W_{\ell, L_q} = (W_{\ell, L})^Q$  and to  $T_{\ell}^{I_{L_q}}/W_{\ell, L_q} = (T_{\ell}^{I_L}/W_{\ell, L})^Q$ . Note that, as in the proof of Part (i), one can show that

$$W_{\ell, L_q}^{\$} = T_{\ell}^{I_{L_q}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{char}(\sigma_{\ell, Q})(x) = (h_{W_{\ell, L_q}}(x))^2 \cdot h(x).$$

Hence, to prove Part (ii), it is sufficient to show that

$$h_{W_{\ell, L_q}}(x) = g_{W_{\ell, L}}^{(q)}(x)$$

and

$$h(x) = g^{(q)}(x).$$

Since  $I_K$  acts on the modules  $W_{\ell,L}$  and  $T_{\ell}^{I_L}/W_{\ell,L}$  through the finite cyclic quotient  $I_K/I_L$ , our claim follows from our next lemma.

**LEMMA 2.17.** *Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of order  $m$  generated by an element  $\tau$ . Let  $q$  be a prime and denote by  $G_q$  the  $q$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $X$  be a free  $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}$ -module on which  $\tau$  acts and assume that the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$  has integer coefficients. Then the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$  restricted to  $X^{G_q}$  has integer coefficients and is equal to the prime-to- $q$  part of the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$  on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two integers such that  $am^{(q)} + bq^{\text{ord}_q(m)} = 1$ . Clearly,  $\gcd(q, a) = 1 = \gcd(b, m^{(q)})$ . Let

$$\gamma := (\tau^{m^{(q)}})^a \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = (\tau^{q^{\text{ord}_q(m)}})^b.$$

We find that  $\tau = \gamma \cdot \delta$ . Let  $F$  be an algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}$ . Since  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  commute, we can find a basis for  $X^{G_q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}} F$  such that both  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are in diagonal form in that basis. Similarly, we can find a basis for  $(X/X^{G_q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}} F$  such that both  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are in diagonal form in that basis. Since  $\gamma$  is a generator of  $G_q$ , the operator  $\gamma$  has no eigenvalues equal to 1 when restricted to  $X/X^{G_q}$ . Therefore, the eigenvalues of the characteristic polynomial of  $\gamma\delta$  restricted to  $X/X^{G_q}$  are roots of unity of order divisible by  $q$ . Since  $\gamma$  is the identity when restricted to  $X^{G_q}$  and since the eigenvalues of  $\delta$  restricted to  $X^{G_q}$  are roots of unity of order prime to  $q$ , we conclude that the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$  restricted to  $X^{G_q}$  has integer coefficients and that it is equal to the prime-to- $q$  part of the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$ .

### 3. Behavior of $f_{X/K}(x)$ under base change

Let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  be a good model of  $X/K$ . Fix a prime  $q \neq p$ . Let  $K_q/K$  denote the unique extension of  $K$  of degree  $q$ . In order to prove Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.12, we need to recall how to obtain a good model  $\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}$  of  $X_{K_q}/K_q$  from a good model of  $X/K$ . The assumption that the extension  $K_q/K$  is tame is essential in this section.

Let  $\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}$  denote the normalization of the scheme

$$\mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_q}).$$

Let  $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  denote the composition of the natural maps

$$\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

Let

$$p : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

denote the minimal desingularization of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . To recall the descriptions of the maps  $p$  and  $\pi$ , we need the following definition. Let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  be any regular model of  $X/K$ . Let  $C_1, \dots, C_m$  be irreducible components of the special fiber  $\mathcal{X}_k$ . The divisor

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^m C_i$$

is said to be a *Hirzebruch–Young string* if:

- $g(C_i) = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$
- $(C_i \cdot C_i) \leq -2 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$
- $(C_i \cdot C_j) = 1 \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1.$
- $(C_i \cdot C_j) = 0 \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1.$

We state the following well known facts without proof (see for instance [BPV], Theorem 5.2, when  $\mathcal{X}/\mathbf{C}$  is a surface).

*Facts 3.1.* Let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  be a good model of  $X/K$  and  $q$  be a prime,  $q \neq p$ .

- The map  $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is ramified over the divisor

$$R := \sum_{\gcd(q, r_i) = 1} C_i.$$

In particular,  $R \subset \mathcal{X}$  has normal crossings. A point  $P \in \mathcal{Y}$  is singular if and only if  $\pi(P)$  is a singular point of  $R$ .

- If  $P \in \mathcal{Y}$  is a singular point, then the divisor  $p^{-1}(P) := \sum_{i=1}^{m(P)} E_i$  is a Hirzebruch–Young string. Let  $P \in D_i \cap D_j$ , where  $D_i$  and  $D_j$  are irreducible components of  $\mathcal{Y}_k$ . Write  $\tilde{D}_i$  for the strict transform of  $D_i$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then:

$$(p^{-1}(P) \cdot \tilde{D}_i) = (E_1 \cdot \tilde{D}_i) = 1 = (E_{m(P)} \cdot \tilde{D}_j) = (p^{-1}(P) \cdot \tilde{D}_j).$$

Moreover, if  $D$  is an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{Z}_k$  and  $D \neq \tilde{D}_i$  or  $\tilde{D}_j$ , then

$$(p^{-1}(P) \cdot D) = 0.$$

Using the facts recalled in 3.1, one easily proves the following proposition. The integer  $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}}$  is defined as in 2.11.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *If  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  is a good model for  $X/K$ , then  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}$  is a good model for  $X_{K_q}/K_q$ . Moreover, the following invariants attached to  $\mathcal{X}$  can be computed on  $\mathcal{Y}$ :*

- (i)  $\alpha(\mathcal{X}) = \alpha(\mathcal{Y})$  and  $\beta(\mathcal{X}) = \beta(\mathcal{Y})$ ,
- (ii)  $f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}}(x) = f_{X_{K_q}/K_q}(x) = f_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}}(x)$ , and
- (iii)  $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}} = \lambda_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}}$ .

**Facts 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  be a good model of  $X/K$  and let  $q \neq p$  be a prime. Let  $C_i$  be any component of  $\mathcal{X}_k$  having multiplicity  $r_i$  and degree  $d_i$ . Let  $b(C_i) = b_i$  denote the number of components  $C_j$  adjacent to  $C_i$ , and such that  $\gcd(r_i, r_j)$  is prime to  $q$ .

- Assume that  $q \nmid r_i$ . Then  $D_i := \pi^{-1}(C_i)$  is irreducible and the restricted map

$$\pi_{|D_i} : D_i \rightarrow C_i$$

is an isomorphism. The multiplicity of  $D_i$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$  is equal to  $r_i$ . The degree of  $D_i$  in  $G(\mathcal{Y})$  is equal to  $d_i = d(C_i)$ .

- Assume that  $q \mid r_i$  and  $b_i > 0$ . Then  $D_i := \pi^{-1}(C_i)$  is irreducible. The restricted map

$$\pi_{|D_i} : D_i \rightarrow C_i$$

has degree  $q$  and is ramified over  $b_i$  points of  $C_i$ . The degree of  $D_i$  in  $G(\mathcal{Y})$  is equal to  $b_i + q(d_i - b_i)$ . The genus  $g(D_i)$  of  $D_i$  is given by the formula

$$2g(D_i) = 2g(C_i) \cdot q + (q - 1)(b_i - 2),$$

obtained using the Riemann–Hurwitz formula. The curve  $D_i$  has multiplicity  $r_i/q$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

- Assume that  $q \mid r_i$  and  $b_i = 0$ . Then either  $D_i := \pi^{-1}(C_i)$  is irreducible and

$$\pi_{|D_i} : D_i \rightarrow C_i$$

is an étale map, or else  $\pi^{-1}(C_i)$  is equal to the disjoint union of  $q$  curves  $D_j$  and each restricted map

$$\pi_{|D_j} : D_j \rightarrow C_i$$

is an isomorphism. In either case, each irreducible component of  $\pi^{-1}(C_i)$  has multiplicity  $r_i/q$  in  $\mathcal{Y}_k$ .

The following lemmas follow immediately from the facts recalled above.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *If  $q$  divides  $r_i$ , then*

$$\sum_{D_j \subseteq \pi^{-1}(C_i)} (2g(D_j) + d(D_j) - 2) = q(2g(C_i) + d(C_i) - 2).$$

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $D_j$  be an irreducible component of  $\pi^{-1}(C_i)$ . If  $g(C_i) = 0$  and  $d(C_i) \leq 2$ , then  $g(D_j) = 0$  and  $d(D_j) = d(C_i)$ .*

**Remark 3.6.** The integer  $(2g(C_i) + d(C_i) - 2)$  is equal to the “topological” Euler–Poincaré characteristic  $\text{EP}(C'_i)$  of the open curve

$$C'_i := C_i \setminus (C_i \cap \overline{\mathcal{X}_k \setminus C_i}).$$

Let

$$D'_i := \pi^{-1}(C_i) \setminus (\pi^{-1}(C_i) \cap \overline{\mathcal{Y}_k \setminus \pi^{-1}(C_i)}).$$

Since the restricted map  $\pi : D'_i \rightarrow C'_i$  is étale of degree  $q$  prime to  $p$ , Lemma 3.4 simply states that

$$\text{EP}(D'_i) = q \text{ EP}(C'_i).$$

*Proof of Proposition 2.6.* We want to prove that

$$\Gamma_q(f_{X/K}(x)) = f_{X_{K_q}/K_q}(x).$$

Let us first check that the multiplicity of the factor  $(x - 1)$  is the same in both polynomials. We keep the notations introduced in 3.3. It follows from the definitions of  $\Gamma_q$  and of  $f_{X/K}(x)$  that

$$\text{ord}_{(x-1)}(\Gamma_q(f_{X/K}(x))) = 2\alpha(X) + 2\beta(X) + \sum_{q \mid r_i} (q-1)(2g(C_i) + d_i - 2).$$

It follows from the definition of  $f_{X_{K_q}/K_q}(x)$  that

$$\text{ord}_{(x-1)}(f_{X_{K_q}/K_q}(x)) = 2\alpha(X_{K_q}) + 2\beta(X_{K_q}).$$

Recall that Part (i) of Proposition 3.2 shows that  $\alpha(X_{K_q}) = \alpha(\mathcal{Y})$  and  $\beta(X_{K_q}) = \beta(\mathcal{Y})$ . Recall also the following formula for the Betti number of a graph  $G$ :

$$2\beta(G) - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (d(C_i) - 2).$$

Therefore,

$$2\alpha(X_{K_q}) + (2\beta(X_{K_q}) - 2) = \sum_{D_j \subseteq \pi^{-1}(C_i)} 2g(D_j) + d(D_j) - 2.$$

It follows immediately from Lemma 3.4 that

$$2\alpha(X_{K_q}) + 2\beta(X_{K_q}) - 2 = 2\alpha(X) + 2\beta(X) - 2 + \sum_{q \mid r_i} (q-1)(2g(C_i) + d_i - 2).$$

Hence,

$$\text{ord}_{(x-1)}(f_{X_{K_q}/K_q}(x)) = \text{ord}_{(x-1)}(\Gamma_q(f_{X/K}(x))).$$

Let  $d > 1$  be any integer. To finish the proof of Proposition 2.6, we need to show that

$$\text{ord}_{\Phi_d(x)}(\Gamma_q(f_{X/K}(x))) = \text{ord}_{\Phi_d(x)}(f_{X_{K_q}/K_q}(x)).$$

Let us first state a lemma.

**LEMMA 3.7.** *Let  $q$  be prime, and let  $d$  and  $r$  be any integers. Then*

$$\text{ord}_{\Phi_d(x)}(\Gamma_q(x^r - 1)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if and only if } d \nmid r \text{ or } q \mid d \mid r, dq \nmid r. \\ 1 & \text{if and only if } d \mid r, q \nmid r. \\ q & \text{if and only if } dq \mid r. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The first two cases are obvious and we leave them to the reader. With regard to the third case, let us note that if  $dq \mid r$ , then  $\Phi_d \cdot \Phi_{dq}$  divides  $(x^r - 1)$ . Therefore, when  $q^2 \mid dq$ , then  $\Gamma_q(\Phi_{dq}) = (\Phi_d)^q$  and when  $q \nmid d$ , then  $\Gamma_q(\Phi_d \cdot \Phi_{dq}) = \Phi_d(\Phi_d)^{q-1}$ .  $\square$

Using the above lemma and the definition of  $f_{X/K}(x)$ , we can write:

$$\text{ord}_{\Phi_d(x)}(\Gamma_q(f_{X/K}(x))) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid r_i \\ q \nmid r_i}} (2g(C_i) + d(C_i) - 2) + \sum_{dq \mid r_i} q(2g(C_i) + d(C_i) - 2).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{\Phi_d(x)}(f_{X_{K_q}/K_q}(x)) &= \sum_{\substack{D_j \subseteq \pi^{-1}(C_i) \\ d \mid r_i, q \nmid r_i}} (2g(D_j) + d(D_j) - 2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{D_j \subseteq \pi^{-1}(C_i) \\ dq \mid r_i}} (2g(D_j) + d(D_j) - 2). \end{aligned}$$

It is then easy to check, using the facts recalled in 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, that

$$\text{ord}_{\Phi_d(x)}(\Gamma_q(f_{X/K}(x))) = \text{ord}_{\Phi_d(x)}(f_{X_{K_q}/K_q}(x)).$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 2.6.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.12.* Let  $q$  be a prime,  $q \neq p$ . Part (i) of Proposition 2.12 states that  $\lambda(X_{K_q}/K_q)$  divides  $\lambda(X/K)$  and that, if  $q$  divides  $\lambda(X/K)$ , then  $\lambda(X_{K_q}/K_q)$  divides  $q^{-1}\lambda(X/K)$ . Choose a good model  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $X/K$  such that

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K} = \lambda(X/K).$$

Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  denote the normalization of  $\mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_q})$  and let  $\mathcal{Z}$  denote the minimal desingularization of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Proposition 3.2 shows that

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}} = \lambda_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}}.$$

Therefore, in order to prove Part (i) of Proposition 2.12, we need only to show that

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}} \text{ divides } \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}$$

and that

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}} \text{ divides } q^{-1}\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K} \text{ if } q \text{ divides } \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}.$$

These two facts follow immediately from the facts recalled in 3.3 and from Lemma 3.5.

Part (ii) of Proposition 2.12 states that, if  $\lambda(X/K) = 1$ , then  $\alpha(X_{K_d}/K_d) = \alpha(X/K)$  and  $\beta(X_{K_d}/K_d) = \beta(X/K)$ . Choose a good model  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $X/K$  such that  $\lambda(X/K) = \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K} = 1$ . Let  $q$  be a prime. Proposition 3.2 shows that

$$\alpha(X_{K_q}/K_q) = \alpha(\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}) = \alpha(\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}),$$

and that

$$\beta(X_{K_q}/K_q) = \beta(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}) = \beta(\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}).$$

Let us now show that  $\alpha(\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}) = \alpha(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K)$ . Let  $C_i$  be a component of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $d(C_i) > 2$  or  $g(C_i) > 0$ , then, by hypothesis,  $r_i^{(p)} = 1$ . Therefore,  $C_i$  is in the ramification locus of

$$\pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

Hence,  $\pi^{-1}(C_i) =: D_i$  is irreducible and

$$\pi|_{D_i} : D_i \rightarrow C_i$$

is an isomorphism. In particular,  $g(D_i) = g(C_i)$ . If  $d(C_i) \leq 2$  and  $g(C_i) = 0$ , then Lemma 3.5 implies that

$$g(D_i) = 0 \quad \text{if } D_i \in \pi^{-1}(C_i).$$

Therefore,  $\alpha(\mathcal{X}) = \alpha(\mathcal{Y})$ . To show that  $\beta(\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_{K_q}) = \beta(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K)$ , let us first recall that

$$2\beta(\mathcal{X}) - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (d(C_i) - 2) = \sum_{q \nmid r_i} (d(C_i) - 2) + \sum_{q \mid r_i} (d(C_i) - 2).$$

We claim that if  $q$  divides  $r_i$ , then  $d(C_i) = 2$  and  $g(C_i) = 0$ . Certainly we must have  $g(C_i) = 0$  and  $d(C_i) \leq 2$  since  $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K} = 1$ . Let us assume, ab absurdo, that  $C_i$  is such that  $q$  divides  $r_i$  and  $d(C_i) = 1$ . Recall that a vertex  $C$  of  $G$  is called a node if  $d(C) > 2$ . Since  $\gcd(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 1$ , the existence of  $C_i$  with  $q \mid r_i$  implies the existence of a node in  $G$ . It is then easy to check that  $q$  must divide the multiplicity of the node  $C$  of  $G$  closest to  $C_i$  (we assume that an edge of  $G$  has unit length). This is a contradiction since our assumption  $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K} = 1$  implies that all nodes have multiplicity prime to  $q$ . Therefore,  $d(C_i) = 2$  if  $q$  divides  $r_i$ . Hence, the above formula for  $\beta(\mathcal{X})$  simplifies and reads:

$$2\beta(\mathcal{X}) - 2 = \sum_{q \nmid r_i} (d(C_i) - 2).$$

We can compute  $2\beta(\mathcal{Y}) - 2$  in the same way:

$$2\beta(\mathcal{Y}) - 2 = \sum_{D_j \in \pi^{-1}(C_i), q \nmid r_i} (d(D_j) - 2) + \sum_{D_j \in \pi^{-1}(C_i), q \mid r_i} (d(D_j) - 2).$$

As we have shown above, if  $q$  divides  $r_i$ , then  $g(C_i) = 0$  and  $d(C_i) = 2$ . Therefore, we can apply Lemma 3.5 to  $C_i$  and conclude that  $d(D_j) = 2$  if  $D_j \in \pi^{-1}(C_i)$ . Hence,

$$2\beta(\mathcal{Y}) - 2 = \sum_{D_j \in \pi^{-1}(C_i), q \nmid r_i} (d(D_j) - 2) = \sum_{q \nmid r_i} (d(C_i) - 2) = 2\beta(\mathcal{X}) - 2.$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 2.12.  $\square$

#### 4. The characteristic polynomial of an automorphism

Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of order  $r$  of a compact Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g(X)$ . Let  $Y$  denote the quotient of  $X$  by the cyclic group  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  generated by  $\sigma$ . Let

$$\pi : X \rightarrow Y$$

denote the quotient map and let  $B \subset Y$  denote the branch locus of  $\pi$ . The Riemann–Hurwitz formula applied to  $\pi$  reads:

$$2g(X) - 2 = r(2g(Y) - 2) + \sum_{P \in B} |\pi^{-1}(P)|(r/|\pi^{-1}(P)| - 1).$$

To motivate our next theorem, let us rewrite this formula in the following form:

$$2g(X) = 2g(Y) + (2g(Y) + |B| - 2)(r - 1) - \sum_{P \in B} (|\pi^{-1}(P)| - 1).$$

Let

$$f_\sigma(x) := (x - 1)^{2g(Y)} \cdot \left( \frac{x^r - 1}{x - 1} \right)^{2g(Y) + |B| - 2} \cdot \prod_{P \in B} \left( \frac{x^{|\pi^{-1}(P)|} - 1}{x - 1} \right)^{-1}.$$

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface and let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of order  $r$ . Assume that  $\gcd(|\pi^{-1}(P)|, P \in B) = 1$ . Then the rational function  $f_\sigma(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $2g(X)$  and this polynomial is equal to the characteristic polynomial  $\text{char}(\sigma)(x)$  of  $\sigma$  acting on  $H_1(X, \mathbf{Z})$ .*

**Remark 4.2.** Much has been written on automorphisms of curves. This theorem may well follow from the Lefshetz trace formula. We did not, however, find it stated in the literature. Our proof is a variation of the proof of Theorem 2.1. It uses

little more than the Riemann–Hurwitz formula. Note that the assumption  $\gcd(|\pi^{-1}(P)|, P \in B) = 1$  holds when  $\sigma$  has a fixed point.

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Assume that  $\gcd(|\pi^{-1}(P)|, P \in B) = 1$ . Then the rational function  $f_\sigma(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $2g(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Our lemma will follow from Theorem 3.1 in [Lor]. To be able to apply Theorem 3.1, we need to associate an arithmetical graph  $G$  to the integers  $r$  and  $|\pi^{-1}(P)|, P \in B$ . We proceed as follows. Let  $R$  be the ramification locus of  $\pi$  and fix  $\xi \in X \setminus R$ . Let  $\eta = \pi(\xi)$ . Then

$$\pi : X \setminus R \rightarrow Y \setminus B$$

is étale and we have an exact sequence of fundamental groups

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1(X \setminus R, \xi) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y \setminus B, \eta) \xrightarrow{p} \langle \sigma \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $B := \{P_1, \dots, P_{|B|}\}$  and set  $s_i := |\pi^{-1}(P_i)|$ . Let  $\mu_i$  be a “loop around  $P_i$ ” passing through  $\eta$ , and such that

$\mu_i^{r/s_i}$  can be lifted to  $X \setminus R$ .

In particular, there exist  $|B|$  integers  $m_i$ , with the property that  $\gcd(m_i, r/s_i) = 1$ , and such that

$$p(\mu_i) = \sigma^{s_i m_i}.$$

The path  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{|B|}$  is equal to a product of commutators in  $\pi_1(Y \setminus B, \eta)$ . Therefore, its image in the abelian group  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  is trivial:

$$p(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{|B|}) = \text{id} = \sigma^{\sum s_i m_i}.$$

In particular,

$$r \text{ divides } \sum s_i m_i.$$

Let  $r_i := s_i m_i$ , with  $\gcd(r, r_i) = s_i$ . For each pair  $(r, r_i)$ , we can construct a terminal chain  $T_i$  of an arithmetical graph using Euclid's algorithm as in 2.4 of [Lor]. The terminal vertex on the terminal chain  $T_i$  has multiplicity  $s_i$ . The graph  $G$  needed to

apply Theorem 3.1 of [Lor] is obtained by attaching the terminal chains  $T_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, |B|$ , to a single vertex  $C$ , given multiplicity  $r$ . The “self-intersection” of  $C$  in  $G$  is then equal to

$$(C \cdot C) = - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{|B|} r_i \right) / r.$$

Since  $\gcd(s_1, \dots, s_{|B|}) = 1$ , we can apply Theorem 3.1 in [Lor] to show that

$$f_G(x) := \left( \frac{x^r - 1}{x - 1} \right)^{|B| - 2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{|B|} \left( \frac{x^{s_i} - 1}{x - 1} \right)^{-1}$$

is a polynomial. Since

$$f_\sigma(x) = (x - 1)^{2g(Y)} \cdot [(x^r - 1)/(x - 1)]^{2g(Y)} \cdot f_G(x),$$

our lemma follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* The proof of Theorem 4.1 is a variation on the proof of Theorem 2.1. We proceed by induction on the integer  $r$ . If  $r = 1$ , our claim is obviously true. Therefore, we may assume by induction that, if  $d$  divides  $r$  and  $d < r$ , then

$$f_{\sigma^d}(x) = \text{char}(\sigma^d)(x).$$

Theorem 4.1 will follow from Lemma 2.15 once we have proven Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 below. Indeed, Lemma 4.4 shows that

$$\text{ord}_{(x-1)}(f_\sigma(x)) = \text{ord}_{(x-1)}(\text{char}(\sigma)(x)).$$

Therefore, to apply Lemma 2.15 to our situation, we need only to know that  $\Gamma_q(f_\sigma(x)) = f_{\sigma^q}(x)$ . This is the statement of Lemma 4.5.

**LEMMA 4.4.** *The kernel of the map*

$$\sigma - \text{id} : H_1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbf{Z})$$

*has rank equal to  $2g(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* This lemma is certainly well known. It follows for instance from V.2.2.3 in [F-K], where it is shown that the kernel of

$$\sigma - \text{id} : H^0(X, \Omega_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X)$$

has dimension  $g(Y)$ . □

**LEMMA 4.5.** *Let  $q$  be any divisor of  $r$ . Then  $\Gamma_q(f_\sigma(x)) = f_{\sigma^q}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward but rather tedious. We leave it to the reader. □

## REFERENCES

- [BPV] W. BARTH, C. PETERS, and A. VAN DE VEN, *Compact Complex Surfaces*, Springer Verlag (1984).
- [BLR] S. BOSCH, W. LÜTKEBOHMERT, and M. RAYNAUD, *Néron Models*, Springer Verlag, (1990).
- [D-M] P. DELIGNE and D. MUMFORD, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Publ. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 36 (1969), 75–109.
- [F-K] M. FARKAS and I. KRA, *Riemann Surfaces*, Springer Verlag (1980).
- [Gro] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique SGA 7, I*, Lect. Notes Math. 288, Springer Verlag (1970).
- [L-O] H. LENSTRA and F. OORT, *Abelian varieties having purely additive reduction*, J. Pure and Applied Alg. 36 (1985), 281–298.
- [Lor] D. LORENZINI, *Jacobians with potentially good  $\ell$ -reduction*, J. reine angew. Math. 430 (1992), 151–177.
- [Mil] J. MILNE, *Abelian varieties*, in Arithmetic Geometry, G. Cornell and J. Silverman, editors, Springer Verlag (1986).
- [Ray] M. RAYNAUD, *Spécialisation du foncteur de Picard*, Publ. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 38 (1970), 27–76.
- [Sai] T. SAITO, *Vanishing cycles and the geometry of curves over a discrete valuation ring*, Am. J. of Math. 109 (1987), 1043–1085.
- [Ste] J. STEENBRINK, *Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology*, in the Proceedings of the Nordic Summer School on Real and Complex Singularities, Oslo, August 1976, P. Holm, editor, Sijthoff & Noordhoff International Publishers (1977).

*Present address:*

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Georgia  
Athens, Georgia 30602*

Received March 24, 1992