

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 67 (1992)  
  
**Artikel:** On the volumes of hyperbolic 5-orthoschemes and the Trilogarithm.  
**Autor:** Kellerhals, Ruth  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51115>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 20.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# On the volumes of hyperbolic 5-orthoschemes and the Trilogarithm

RUTH KELLERHALS\*

## Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to calculate volumes of certain five-dimensional hyperbolic orthoschemes. Orthoschemes in a space  $X$  of constant curvature are simplices whose vertices  $P_0, \dots, P_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) are such that

$$\text{span}(P_0, \dots, P_k) \perp \text{span}(P_k, \dots, P_n) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (1)$$

These are the most basic objects in polyhedral geometry: They generate the scissors congruence groups  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  of polytopes in  $X$  (see 1.4). In addition, orthoschemes are characterized by nice metrical properties, e.g., they have at most  $n$  non-right dihedral angles  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , and all their faces and vertex figures are orthoschemes. It is therefore natural to restrict the volume problem to orthoschemes. In doing so, Lobachevsky found a volume formula for hyperbolic 3-orthoschemes (see 2.2), which, for a 2-asymptotic (i.e.,  $P_0, P_3$  are points at infinity) orthoscheme  $R(\alpha)$  with angles  $\alpha_1 = \pi/2 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 =: \alpha$ , reduces to

$$\text{vol}_3(R(\alpha)) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}(\alpha). \quad (2)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{J}(\alpha)$  denotes the classical Lobachevsky function related to Euler's Dilogarithm  $\text{Li}_2(z) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} z^r/r^2$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|z| \leq 1$ , by

$$\mathcal{J}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Im}(\text{Li}_2(e^{2i\alpha})).$$

Since, for even-dimensional orthoschemes, volumes are expressible in terms of those of certain lower (odd) dimensional orthoschemes (see, e.g., [K, §14.2.2]), the next

---

\* This work was partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

step is to look for a volume formula for hyperbolic orthoschemes of dimension five. In this context, Dehn [B, p. 308] raised the question whether this can still be done by means of a function in one variable. This problem was solved affirmatively by Böhm [B] resp. Paul Müller [M] using different approaches; they showed that – apart from logarithms of lower orders – the Trilogarithm  $\text{Li}_3(z) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} z^r/r^3$  is sufficient to express the volume of a compact resp. 1-asymptotic 5-orthoscheme. However, their volume formulae are very difficult to survey involving dozens of Trilogarithms with rational arguments in trigonometrical expressions of the dihedral angles.

By results of Dupont and Sah (see 1.4), the hyperbolic scissors congruence groups of dimensions  $\geq 2$  are isomorphic to the scissors congruence groups of polytopes in extended hyperbolic space which, for odd dimensions, are generated by the 2-asymptotic orthoschemes (i.e.,  $P_0, P_n$  are points at infinity). Focussing on 2-asymptotic orthoschemes, we can derive a comparatively simple volume formula for a certain subclass among them. Let  $R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  denote a 5-orthoscheme with angles  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_4 =: \alpha, \alpha_2 = \alpha_5 =: \beta, \alpha_3 =: \gamma$  satisfying

$$\cos^2 \alpha + \cos^2 \beta + \cos^2 \gamma = 1. \quad (3)$$

Then,  $R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is 2-asymptotic, and its volume is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_5(R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = & \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \Pi_3(\alpha) + \Pi_3(\beta) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \gamma\right) \right\} \\ & - \frac{1}{16} \left\{ \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha + \beta\right) + \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha + \beta\right) \right\} + \frac{3}{64} \zeta(3), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\Pi_3(\omega)$  denotes the Lobachevsky function of order three (see Section 2) related to the Trilogarithm by

$$\Pi_3(\omega) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Re}(\text{Li}_3(e^{2i\omega})), \quad \omega \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The proof of formula (4) is based on Schläfli's theorem about the volume differential (see 3.1) and the results of Lobachevsky in dimension three (see 2.2).

Together with some dissection properties for regular cross-polytopes, equation (4) enables us to compute, among other things, the volumes of the three Coxeter orthoschemes (i.e., all dihedral angles are submultiples of  $\pi$ ) of dimension five (cf. 3.2). It turns out that the corresponding reflection groups have commensurable covolumes being rational multiples of  $\zeta(3)$ . Hence, by passing over to torsionfree

subgroups, we obtain examples of hyperbolic cusped 5-manifolds whose volumes are rational multiples of  $\zeta(3)$ . This result gives a first glimpse into the structure of the volume spectrum for hyperbolic 5-space forms which, by a theorem of Wang [W], forms a discrete subset of  $\mathbf{R}_+$ .

## 1. Orthoschemes in hyperbolic space

1.1. Let  $X$  denote either the  $n$ -dimensional euclidean space  $E^n$ , the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  or the  $n$ -dimensional hyperbolic space  $H^n$ . An  $n$ -orthoscheme in  $X$  is a simplex in  $X$  whose vertices  $P_0, \dots, P_n$  are labelled in such a way that

$$\text{span}(P_0, \dots, P_k) \perp \text{span}(P_k, \dots, P_n) \quad (5)$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . The initial and final vertices  $P_0, P_n$  of the orthogonal edge-path  $P_0P_1, \dots, P_{n-1}P_n$  are called principal vertices and play a distinguished role. E.g. in extended hyperbolic space  $\overline{H}^n = H^n \cup \partial H^n$  (see, e.g., [K. §14.1.1]), only the two principal vertices may be points at infinity in which cases the orthoscheme is called 1- or 2-*asymptotic*. Moreover, an orthoscheme has at most  $n$  non-right dihedral angles (hyperbolic orthoschemes have exactly  $n$  non-right dihedral angles  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  all of them being acute, i.e.,  $\alpha_i < \pi/2$ , and they form a complete system of invariants).

Since orthoschemes are characterized by many orthogonality conditions, they are most conveniently described by means of weighted graphs or schemes. First, we observe that an  $n$ -orthoscheme  $R$  is a simplex bounded by hyperplanes  $H_0, \dots, H_n$  such that

$$H_i \perp H_j \quad \text{for } 2 \leq |i-j| \leq n, \quad (6)$$

where  $H_i$  denotes the bounding hyperplane of  $R$  opposite to  $P_i$ . Every hyperplane  $H_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , can be described by a unit normal vector  $e_i$  in the ambient space directed outwards with respect to  $R$ , say, i.e.:

$$H_i = e_i^\perp := \{x \in H^n \mid \langle x, e_i \rangle = 0\} \quad \text{with } \langle e_i, e_i \rangle = 1.$$

Then, the scheme  $\Sigma(R)$  of  $R$  is the linear weighted graph (describing  $R$  up to congruence) whose nodes  $i$  correspond to the hyperplanes  $H_i = e_i^\perp$  of  $R$ . The weights between adjacent nodes  $i-1, i$  equal  $\alpha_i$ , where  $\cos \alpha_i = -\langle e_{i-1}, e_i \rangle_X$ , while non-adjacent nodes, associated to orthogonal hyperplanes, are not joined:

$$\Sigma(R) : \circ \overset{\alpha_1}{\text{---}} \circ \text{---} \dots \text{---} \circ \overset{\alpha_n}{\text{---}} \circ.$$

Frequently, we shall think of orthoschemes in terms of their associated graphs.

Rank, determinant and character of definiteness of  $\Sigma(R)$  are defined to be the corresponding ones of the Gram matrix  $G(R) = (\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_X)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . In particular,  $\Sigma(R)$  is said to be either *elliptic*, *parabolic*, or *hyperbolic* if the  $n$ -orthoscheme  $R$  is either spherical, euclidean, or hyperbolic, which is equivalent to  $\Sigma(R)$  being either positive definite, positive semidefinite of rank  $n$ , or of signature  $(n, 1)$  (cf. [K, §14.1.2]). Every vertex  $P_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , of  $R \subset X$  is described by an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional vertex orthoscheme  $r_i$  formed by the vectors  $e_k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $k \neq i$ .  $\Sigma(r_i)$  is obtained from  $\Sigma(R)$  by discarding the node  $i$  and the edges emanating from it. If  $P_i \in H^n$  is an ordinary vertex of  $R$ , then  $\Sigma(r_i)$  is elliptic. If  $P_i \in \partial H^n$  is a vertex at infinity of  $R$  implying that  $i = 0$  or  $n$ , then  $\Sigma(r_i)$  is connected and parabolic.

1.2. For the graphs of orthoschemes whose dihedral angles are commensurable with  $\pi$ , we use the standard notations: If two nodes are related by the weight  $(p\pi/q)$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  coprime with  $1 \leq p < q$ , then they are joined by a  $(q-2)$ -fold line for  $p = 1$  and  $q = 3, 4$ , and by a single line marked  $q/p$ , otherwise. From now on, let  $X = \overline{H^n}$ . Hyperbolic Coxeter orthoschemes ( $p = 1$ , i.e., all dihedral angles are submultiples of  $\pi$ ) were classified by Coxeter (cf. [C1]). His list ends for  $n = 5$  with the three examples

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1 : & \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{====} \circ \text{---} \circ \\ \sigma_2 : & \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{====} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \\ \sigma_3 : & \circ \text{---} \circ \text{====} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{====} \circ .\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Coxeter orthoschemes are characteristic simplices for regular honeycombs. Orthoschemes whose dihedral angles are commensurable with  $\pi$  are related to characteristic simplices for regular star-honeycombs (cells and vertex figures are regular star-polytopes); in case of finite density (covering the space a finite number of times), they were completely enumerated by Coxeter (cf. [C1, p. 161 ff]) and exist only up to  $n = 4$ . If one allows infinite density, the one finds among the regular star-honeycombs all of whose cells and *spherical* vertex figures are regular star-polytopes and whose characteristic simplices are 2-asymptotic orthoschemes exactly five examples; they are given by the schemes

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1 : & \circ \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \circ \\ \mu_2 : & \circ \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \circ \\ \mu_3 : & \circ \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \circ \\ \nu_1 : & \circ \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \circ \\ \nu_2 : & \circ \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \text{---} \overset{5/2}{\circ \text{---} \circ} \circ .\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

That these schemes are the only 2-asymptotic ones, is easily seen using list 14.14 in Coxeter's classification of regular star-honeycombs of finite densities (see [C2, §14]).

1.3. Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denote the  $n$ -th scissors congruence group of polytopes in  $X$  (see [Sa, §1]). Then, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(H^n)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{H^n})$  (see [DS, Theorem 2.1, p. 162]), and, for  $d \geq 3$  odd,  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{H^d})$  is generated by the classes of 2-asymptotic orthoschemes (see [Sa, Remark 3.10 and p. 199]). This latter property was reproved by Debrunner [D, p. 125] using a certain dissection of a  $d$ -orthoscheme into  $d+1$  orthoschemes ( $d \geq 2$  arbitrary). This dissection process will be helpful later (cf. 1.4, 3.2).

1.4. Consider a five-dimensional 2-asymptotic orthoscheme  $R = P_0 \cdots P_5$  with vertices  $P_0, \dots, P_5$  and with graph

$$\Sigma(R) : \circ \overset{\alpha_1}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_2}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_3}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_4}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_5}{\text{---}} \circ .$$

It is characterized by three independent dihedral angles  $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ , say, while  $\alpha_1, \alpha_5$  are given by the relations (cf. 1.1)

$$\det \left( \circ \overset{\alpha_1}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_2}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_3}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_4}{\text{---}} \circ \right) = \det \left( \circ \overset{\alpha_2}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_3}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_4}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_5}{\text{---}} \circ \right) = 0. \quad (9)$$

An angle  $\alpha_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 5$ ) is formed by the facet orthoschemes  $\hat{R}_{i-1} = H_{i-1} \cap R = P_0 \cdots \widehat{P_{i-1}} \cdots P_5$  and  $\hat{R}_i = H_i \cap R = P_0 \cdots \hat{P}_i \cdots P_5$ ; it is attached to the apex orthoscheme  $F_i = \hat{R}_{i-1} \cap \hat{R}_i = P_0 \cdots \widehat{P_{i-1}} P_i \cdots P_5$ , and, by the orthogonality conditions (5), can be seen as planar or spatial angle (cf. Figure 1).

Moreover, the following angular relation will be of use later.

LEMMA. *Let*

$$\Sigma(R) : \circ \overset{\alpha_1}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_2}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_3}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_4}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha_5}{\text{---}} \circ .$$

*denote the graph of a 2-asymptotic hyperbolic 5-orthoscheme  $R$ . Then,*

$$\tan \alpha_1 \tan \alpha_2 = \tan \alpha_4 \tan \alpha_5. \quad (10)$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $P_0, \dots, P_5$  the vertices of  $R$  satisfying (5). Consider the 1-asymptotic face orthoscheme  $P_0 P_1 P_2 P_3$  of dimension three and its spherical




Figure 1

vertex orthoscheme at  $P_3$  with angles  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  (cf. Figure 1) whose hypotenuse of length  $\alpha$  satisfies

$$\cos \alpha = \cot \alpha_1 \cot \alpha_2.$$

But  $\alpha$  is also the parallel angle in the orthoscheme  $P_0P_2P_3$ , i.e.,

$$\cos \alpha = \tanh l,$$

where  $l$  denotes the length of the edge  $P_2P_3$ . On the other hand, this edge belongs to the 1-asymptotic 3-orthoscheme  $P_2P_3P_4P_5$  whose spherical vertex orthoscheme at  $P_3$  has angles  $\alpha_4, \alpha_5$ . If  $\beta$  denotes the parallel angle in  $P_2P_3P_5$ , we deduce that

$$\cos \beta = \cot \alpha_4 \cot \alpha_5 = \tanh l.$$

Hence,  $\tanh l = \cot \alpha_1 \cot \alpha_2 = \cot \alpha_4 \cot \alpha_5$ . Q.E.D.

For the subsequent volume investigations, we are interested in the graphs  $\Sigma(F_i)$  of the apices  $F_i$  to  $\alpha_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 5$ ). First, we observe that  $F_1, F_5$  resp.  $F_2, F_3, F_4$  are 1- resp. 2-asymptotic. Moreover, it is easy to see (cf. Figure 1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(F_1) : & \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \alpha_4} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_4} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \circ, & \Sigma(F_4) : & \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \alpha_1} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \circ \\ \Sigma(F_2) : & \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \alpha_5} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \circ, & \Sigma(F_5) : & \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \alpha_2} \circ. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

To determine the scheme  $\Sigma(F_3)$ , we define first the following auxiliary angle:

DEFINITION. Let  $\alpha_6 \in (0, \pi/2)$  be such that the graph

$$\Sigma : \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_4} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_6} \circ$$

is the graph  $\Sigma(Q)$  of a 2-asymptotic orthoscheme  $Q \subset \overline{H^5}$ , implying that

$$\det \left( \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_6} \circ \right) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Now, the apex orthoscheme  $F_3$  associated to  $\alpha_3$  is given by

$$\Sigma(F_3) : \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \alpha_6} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_6} \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \alpha_6} \circ. \quad (13)$$

This follows from (12) written in the form

$$\cot^2 \alpha_6 = \frac{\sin^2 \alpha_3 \sin^2 \alpha_5 - \cos^2 \alpha_4}{\cos^2 \alpha_3 \cos^2 \alpha_5} \cot^2 \alpha_3,$$

which satisfies Böhm's general formula (4.4) relating apex angles to angles of  $R$  (see [B, p. 303–304]). It can also be seen by the following dissection comparing  $R$  with  $Q$  (see Definition above): A set of vertices  $Q_0, \dots, Q_5$  for  $Q$  can be constructed as follows. Choose  $Q_5 = P_0$  and  $Q_0$  as the point at infinity on the ray from  $P_0 = Q_5$  through  $P_1$ . Let  $H$  denote the four-dimensional plane through  $Q_0$  orthogonal to the line through  $P_0P_5$  and set (cf. Figure 2)

$$Q_i = H \cap P_0P_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Then,  $Q_0 \cdots Q_5$  is a 2-asymptotic orthoscheme (cf. [D, Theorem (2.6) (i)]) whose (euclidean) vertex orthoscheme at  $Q_5 = P_0 \in R$  coincides with (cf. 1.1)

$$\Sigma(r_0) : \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_4} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \circ.$$

By (12),  $Q_0 \cdots Q_5$  is therefore described by the graph

$$\circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_4} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_6} \circ.$$




Figure 2

Since  $\alpha_6 = Q_4 Q_3 Q_5 = Q_0 Q_4 Q_3$  (cf. Figure 2), and because the plane through  $Q_0, Q_3, Q_4$  is orthogonal to  $P_0 P_5$  in  $F_3$ , the scheme  $\Sigma(F_3)$  of  $F_3 = P_0 P_1 P_4 P_5$  is given by (13).

The orthoschemes  $R, Q$  take part of the following dissection which will be useful for later volume computations. Form the simplices

$$R_k := Q_0 \cdots Q_{k-1} P_k \cdots P_5, \quad k = 1, \dots, 5, \quad (14)$$

in  $\overline{H^5}$ . Then, by a result of Debrunner (see [D, Theorem (2.6) (i)]), one has that

- (a)  $R_k$  is a 2-asymptotic orthoscheme;
- (b) On the scissors congruence level, there is the relation  $[R] + [R_1] = [Q] + \sum_{i=2}^5 [R_i]$ .

Moreover, by the above Lemma (see also Figure 2), one can deduce that

$$\Sigma(R) = \Sigma(R_1), \quad \Sigma(Q) = \Sigma(R_5), \quad (15)$$

i.e.,  $R, R_1$  and  $Q, R_5$  are congruent, and, since  $\alpha_2 = Q_2 Q_1 Q_5 = Q_0 Q_2 Q_1$ ,  $\alpha_6 = Q_4 Q_3 P_5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(R_2) : & \circ \frac{2\alpha_1}{\phantom{x_1}} \circ \frac{x_1}{\phantom{y_1}} \circ \frac{y_1}{\phantom{z_1}} \circ \frac{\alpha_4}{\phantom{z_1}} \circ \frac{\alpha_5}{\phantom{z_1}} \circ \\ \Sigma(R_3) : & \circ \frac{\alpha_2}{\phantom{x_2}} \circ \frac{x_2}{\phantom{y_2}} \circ \frac{y_2}{\phantom{z_2}} \circ \frac{z_1}{\phantom{z_2}} \circ \frac{\alpha_5}{\phantom{z_2}} \circ \\ \Sigma(R_4) : & \circ \frac{\alpha_2}{\phantom{x_2}} \circ \frac{\alpha_3}{\phantom{y_3}} \circ \frac{y_3}{\phantom{z_3}} \circ \frac{z_2}{\phantom{z_3}} \circ \frac{\pi - 2\alpha_6}{\phantom{z_3}} \circ .\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Here  $x_i, y_i, z_i \in (0, \pi/2)$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\tan x_1 &= \cot(2\alpha_1) \tan \alpha_4 \tan \alpha_5, & x_1 + x_2 &= \alpha_2, \\ \tan z_1 &= \cot \alpha_5 \tan \alpha_2 \tan x_2, \\ \tan z_2 &= \cot(\pi - 2\alpha_6) \tan \alpha_2 \tan \alpha_3,\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

and  $y_i$  are such that the parabolicity conditions (cf. (9)) are satisfied. Hence,

$$2[R] = 2[Q] + [R_2] + [R_3] + [R_4].\tag{18}$$

1.5. Among the set of 2-asymptotic orthoschemes in  $\overline{H^5}$ , there is a particular family of orthoschemes  $R$  given by graphs

$$\Sigma(R) : \circ \frac{\alpha}{\phantom{\beta}} \circ \frac{\beta}{\phantom{\gamma}} \circ \frac{\gamma}{\phantom{\alpha}} \circ \frac{\alpha}{\phantom{\beta}} \circ \frac{\beta}{\phantom{\gamma}} \circ\tag{19}$$

with

$$\cos^2 \alpha + \cos^2 \beta + \cos^2 \gamma = 1.\tag{20}$$

Condition (20) guarantees that  $R$  is 2-asymptotic, and it implies that the auxiliary angle  $\alpha_6$  (see Definition 1.4) satisfies  $\alpha_6 = \gamma$ .

By a result of Gordan (cf. [C2, p. 109]), the only solutions  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  of (20) with ingredients commensurable with  $\pi$  are – up to permutations –  $(\pi/3, \pi/3, \pi/4)$  and  $(\pi/3, \pi/5, 2\pi/5)$  yielding five different orthoscheme realizations in  $\overline{H^5}$ , namely,  $\sigma_2, \sigma_3$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  (see (7) and (8)). The connected subschemes of (19) of order four were studied by Schläfli and Coxeter (cf. [S, p. 281 ff] and [C2, §6.7]); they occur as characteristic simplices of the three-dimensional spherical regular honeycombs and regular star-honeycombs of finite density.

## 2. Polylogarithms and higher Lobachevsky functions

2.1. Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|z| \leq 1$ . Then,

$$\operatorname{Li}_n(z) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^r}{r^n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (21)$$

denotes the Polylogarithm function with the properties (cf. [L, §7.1 and 7.3]), for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\operatorname{Li}_n(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\operatorname{Li}_{n-1}(t)}{t} dt, \quad (22)$$

$\operatorname{Li}_n(1) = \zeta(n)$ , Riemann's zeta function, and

$$\frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \operatorname{Li}_n(z^k) = \operatorname{Li}_n(z) + \operatorname{Li}_n(\omega z) + \dots + \operatorname{Li}_n(\omega^{k-1}z) \quad \text{for } \omega = e^{2\pi i/k}, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (23)$$

2.2. The Dilogarithm  $\operatorname{Li}_2(z)$  at arguments  $z = e^{2i\theta}$ ,  $\theta$  real, leads to the Lobachevsky function

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Li}_2(e^{2i\theta})) = - \int_0^\theta \log |2 \sin t| dt, \quad (24)$$

which is known to represent volumes of polyhedra in hyperbolic 3-space: If  $R$  denotes a hyperbolic 3-orthoscheme with graph

$$\Sigma(R) : \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \circ,$$

then, Lobachevsky showed that (cf. [K, Introduction and Theorem 14.5])

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{vol}_3(R) = \frac{1}{4} \bigg\{ & \mathcal{L}(\alpha_1 + \theta) - \mathcal{L}(\alpha_1 - \theta) + \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha_2 - \theta\right) + \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha_2 - \theta\right) \\ & + \mathcal{L}(\alpha_3 + \theta) - \mathcal{L}(\alpha_3 - \theta) + 2\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \bigg\}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where

$$0 \leq \theta := \arctan \left( \frac{\cos^2 \alpha_2 - \sin^2 \alpha_1 \sin^2 \alpha_3}{\cos^2 \alpha_1 \cos^2 \alpha_3} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

The Lobachevsky function is closely related to the Clausen function (see [L, §4])

$$\text{Cl}_2(\theta) := \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(r\theta)}{r^2} = - \int_0^{\theta} \log \left| \sin \frac{t}{2} \right| dt$$

according to

$$\mathbb{I}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Cl}_2(2\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Analogous to the case of higher Clausen functions  $\text{Cl}_n(\theta)$  (see [L, §7.1.4]), we define higher Lobachevsky functions as follows:

**DEFINITION.** For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ , the higher Lobachevsky functions are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{2m}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2^{2m-1}} \text{Im}(\text{Li}_{2m}(e^{2i\theta})) = \frac{1}{2^{2m-1}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2r\theta)}{r^{2m}}, \\ \mathbb{I}_{2m+1}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \text{Re}(\text{Li}_{2m+1}(e^{2i\theta})) = \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2r\theta)}{r^{2m+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{I}_{2m}(\theta) = \int_0^{\theta} \mathbb{I}_{2m-1}(t) dt, \quad \mathbb{I}_{2m+1}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \zeta(2m+1) - \int_0^{\theta} \mathbb{I}_{2m}(t) dt. \quad (27)$$

Moreover,  $\mathbb{I}_m(\theta)$  is  $\pi$ -periodic, even for  $m$  odd and odd for  $m$  even, respectively. By means of (23) (see also [L, (7.46)]), one deduces the following distribution law

$$\frac{1}{k^{m-1}} \mathbb{I}_m(k\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{I}_m\left(\theta + \frac{r\pi}{k}\right) \quad (28)$$

and, as a particular case, the duplication formula

$$\frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \mathbb{I}_m(2\theta) = \mathbb{I}_m(\theta) + \mathbb{I}_m\left(\theta + \frac{\pi}{2}\right). \quad (29)$$

2.3. In connection with volumes of five-dimensional hyperbolic polytopes, we are mainly interested in the Lobachevsky function of order three. By the above, we obtain the following results for  $\Pi_3(\theta)$ :

$$\Pi_3(0) = \frac{1}{4} \zeta(3), \quad \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = -\frac{3}{128} \zeta(3), \quad \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = -\frac{3}{16} \zeta(3); \quad (30)$$

$$\Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right) = \frac{1}{12} \zeta(3), \quad \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = -\frac{1}{9} \zeta(3); \quad (31)$$

$$\Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right) + \Pi_3\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) = -\frac{3}{25} \zeta(3). \quad (32)$$

### 3. The volume formula and applications

3.1. In order to derive volume formulae for orthoschemes in terms of their angles, we make use of the hyperbolic analog of Schläfli's volume differential representation: For a family of orthoschemes  $R$  in  $H^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with dihedral angles  $\alpha_i$  attached to the apices  $F_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), the volume differential  $d \operatorname{vol}_n(R)$  can be represented by

$$d \operatorname{vol}_n(R) = \frac{1}{1-n} \sum_{r=1}^n \operatorname{vol}_{n-2}(F_r) d\alpha_r, \quad \operatorname{vol}_0(F_r) := 1. \quad (33)$$

Schläfli proved the spherical version of this formula for arbitrary simplices. For a proof of both, the spherical and hyperbolic case, we refer to Kneser [Kn]. Plainly, formula (33) is still valid for a family of orthoschemes in  $\overline{H}^n$ ,  $n \neq 3$ , with one or two of the principal vertices at infinity. With these preliminaries, we are ready to prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $R$  denote the 2-asymptotic 5-orthoscheme given by*

$$\Sigma(R) : \circ \overset{\alpha}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\beta}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\gamma}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\alpha}{\text{---}} \circ \overset{\beta}{\text{---}} \circ \quad \text{with } \cos^2 \alpha + \cos^2 \beta + \cos^2 \gamma = 1.$$

*Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{vol}_5(R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = & \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \Pi_3(\alpha) + \Pi_3(\beta) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \gamma\right) \right\} \\ & - \frac{1}{16} \left\{ \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha + \beta\right) + \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha + \beta\right) \right\} + \frac{3}{64} \zeta(3), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where  $\Pi_3(\omega)$ ,  $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ , denotes the Lobachevsky function of order three.

*Proof.* We use Schläfli's volume differential (33) for a family of 2-asymptotic orthoschemes  $R$  given by graphs

$$\Sigma(R) : \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_4} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \circ \quad \text{with } \cos^2 \alpha_1 + \cos^2 \alpha_2 + \cos^2 \alpha_3 = 1. \quad (35)$$

Then, by the asymptoticity conditions,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_4 =: \alpha$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_5 =: \beta$ . Moreover, we see that  $\alpha_3 = \alpha_6 =: \gamma$ . Now, assume that  $\beta$  is constant and that  $\alpha$  is the independent variable, i.e.,  $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha)$ . In order to determine the coefficients of  $d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_4 = d\alpha$ ,  $d\alpha_3 = d\gamma$  in (33), we observe that the corresponding apex orthoschemes  $F_1, F_3, F_4$  are characterized by the graphs (see (10), (13))

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(F_1) &: \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \alpha} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha} \circ \xrightarrow{\beta} \circ \\ \Sigma(F_3) &: \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \gamma} \circ \xrightarrow{\gamma} \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \gamma} \circ \\ \Sigma(F_4) &: \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha} \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \alpha} \circ \xrightarrow{\alpha} \circ. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lobachevsky's formula (see 2.2, (25)), their volumes are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_3(F_1) &= \frac{1}{2} \Pi(\alpha) + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \Pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha + \beta\right) - \Pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha + \beta\right) \right\}, \\ \text{vol}_3(F_3) &= \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \gamma\right), \\ \text{vol}_3(F_4) &= \frac{1}{2} \Pi(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Schläfli's formula (33) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (-4) d \text{vol}_5(R) &= \Pi(\alpha) d\alpha + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \Pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha + \beta\right) - \Pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha + \beta\right) \right\} d\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \gamma\right) d\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha)$ . Since  $\Pi_3(\omega) = \frac{1}{4}\zeta(3) - \int_0^\omega \Pi(t) dt$  is an even function, and since a volume formula for  $\Sigma(R)$  has to be symmetric in  $\alpha, \beta$ , we obtain the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \text{vol}_5(R) &= \Pi_3(\alpha) + \Pi_3(\beta) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \gamma\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha + \beta\right) + \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha + \beta\right) \right\} + c. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Here,  $c$  denotes the constant of integration which can be computed by evaluating (36) in the degenerate case of an orthoscheme  $R_{\text{deg}}$  in  $\overline{H^5}$  satisfying (35) such that  $\text{vol}_5(R_{\text{deg}}) = 0$ . For this, we consider the following class of orthoschemes  $R_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} \subset \overline{H^5}$  given by

$$\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} : \circ \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \varepsilon} \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \varepsilon} \circ \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} \circ \xrightarrow{\pi/2 - \varepsilon} \circ$$

with  $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/6$ ,  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon' < \pi/2$  and  $\sin^2 \varepsilon' = 2 \sin^2 \varepsilon$ . Then, property (35) is satisfied, and  $R_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$  is 2-asymptotic. Since, for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\varepsilon'(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\det(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}) = -\sin^2 \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $R_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$  converges to an orthoscheme  $R_{\text{deg}}$  with  $\text{vol}_5(R_{\text{deg}}) = 0$ . This implies that  $c = (3/16)\zeta(3)$  which finishes the proof. Q.E.D.

3.2. The above Theorem combined with certain dissection properties of orthoschemes (cf. 1.4) enables us to compute explicitly the volumes of the three Coxeter orthoschemes (7) as well as the volumes of the characteristic simplices (8) associated to certain regular star-honeycombs (being necessarily of infinite density) in  $\overline{H^5}$  (cf. 1.2).

The two Coxeter orthoschemes  $\sigma_2, \sigma_3$  (see (7)) satisfy the conditions of the Theorem. Using 2.3, we get for their volumes  $\text{vol}_5(\sigma_i)$ ,  $i = 2, 3$ :

$$\text{vol}_5(\sigma_2) = \frac{7}{9216} \zeta(3) \simeq 0.000913, \quad \text{vol}_5(\sigma_3) = \frac{7}{4608} \zeta(3) \simeq 0.001826. \quad (37)$$

Before we compute the volume  $\text{vol}_5(\sigma_1)$  of the remaining Coxeter orthoscheme  $\sigma_1$  (see (7)), which is 1-asymptotic, we make the following remark.

REMARK. Let  $\alpha_n := \arccos 1/\sqrt{n} \in (0, \pi/2)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and consider the schemes

$$\rho_i^n(\alpha) : \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \cdots \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \underset{i}{\text{---}} \underset{\alpha}{\text{---}} \underset{\alpha}{\text{---}} \underset{i}{\text{---}} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \cdots \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ,$$

of order  $n+1$ ,  $i \in [0, n]$ , which describe either spherical, euclidean or compact hyperbolic  $n$ -orthoschemes if either  $\alpha_n < \alpha < \pi - \alpha_n$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_n$ , or  $\alpha_{n-1} < \alpha < \alpha_n$  (see [D, (7.9)]). In the spherical case, Schläfli (cf. [S, p. 270]) derived the following volume relations

$$\text{vol}_n(\rho_i^n(\alpha)) = \binom{n}{i} \text{vol}_n(\rho_0^n(\alpha)), \quad i \in [0, n], \quad (38)$$

which were generalized by Debrunner (cf. [D, Theorem (7.8)]) to all three cases using a dissection argument: The orthoschemes  $\rho_i^n(\alpha)$  tile the regular cross-polytope with dihedral angle  $2\alpha$ .

But by continuity, we see that (38) holds even in the hyperbolic (asymptotic) limiting case  $\alpha = \alpha_{n-1}$ ; in particular, for  $n = 5$  (i.e.,  $\alpha = \pi/3$ ), where  $\rho_0^5(\pi/3) = \sigma_1$ ,  $\rho_1^5(\pi/3) = \sigma_2$  and  $\rho_2^5(\pi/3) = \sigma_3$ , we obtain the relations (see (37))

$$\text{vol}_5(\sigma_1) = \frac{1}{5} \text{vol}_5(\sigma_2) = \frac{1}{10} \text{vol}_5(\sigma_3) = \frac{7}{46080} \zeta(3) \simeq 0.000183. \quad (39)$$

Hence, the volumes of the three Coxeter orthoschemes in  $\overline{H^5}$  are rational multiples of  $\zeta(3)$  and therefore commensurable. Considering the associated reflection groups and passing over to torsionfree subgroups of finite index, which, by a result of Borel (see [Bo, Theorem B(ii), p. 345]), always exist, we obtain hyperbolic cusped manifolds of dimension five whose volumes are rational multiples of  $\zeta(3)$ .

Finally, consider the orthoschemes  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, v_1, v_2$  presented in (8). Since  $\cos^2(\pi/3) + \cos^2(\pi/5) + \cos^2(2\pi/5) = 1$ , we can use our Theorem to calculate the volumes of the first three schemes  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  making use of 2.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_5(\mu_1) &= \frac{\zeta(3)}{1200} \simeq 0.001002; \\ \text{vol}_5(\mu_2) &= \frac{1}{144} \left\{ \Pi_3\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) + \frac{\zeta(3)}{5} \right\} = \frac{1}{144} \left\{ -\Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right) + \frac{2\zeta(3)}{25} \right\} \simeq 0.000339; \\ \text{vol}_5(\mu_3) &= \frac{1}{144} \left\{ \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right) + \frac{\zeta(3)}{5} \right\} \simeq 0.001998. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

For the computation of the values  $\text{vol}_5(v_1), \text{vol}_5(v_2)$ , we use the orthoscheme dissection presented in 1.4 and the above results. Let  $R$  denote the orthoscheme with graph  $\Sigma(R) = v_1$ , which we take as starting simplex with respect to the dissection  $2[R] = 2[Q] + [R_2] + [R_3] + [R_4]$  (see Definiton, (15) and (18) of 1.4). Then,  $Q$  is the 2-asymptotic orthoscheme given by the graph  $\Sigma(Q) = v_2$ . Using the Lemma, (16) and (17) of 1.5, we obtain the following identities between the schemes of  $R_2, R_3, R_4$ .

$$\Sigma(R_2) = \Sigma(R_3) = \Sigma(R_4) = \mu_2,$$

which, by (18), imply that

$$\text{vol}_5(v_1) = \text{vol}_5(v_2) + \frac{3}{2} \text{vol}_5(\mu_2). \quad (41)$$

Repeating this process by starting with the orthoscheme  $R$  given by  $\Sigma(R) = \mu_2$ , we deduce that  $\Sigma(Q) = \mu_3$ , and that

$$\Sigma(R_2) = \Sigma(R_3) = v_2, \quad \Sigma(R_4) = \mu_3.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\text{vol}_5(\mu_2) = \text{vol}_5(v_2) + \frac{3}{2} \text{vol}_5(\mu_3), \quad (42)$$

which together with (40) and (41) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_5(v_1) &= \frac{1}{96} \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right) + \frac{\zeta(3)}{800} \simeq 0.001996; \\ \text{vol}_5(v_2) &= \frac{1}{96} \Pi_3\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right) \simeq 0.000493. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

#### REFERENCES

- [B] J. BÖHM, *Inhaltsmessung im  $\mathbf{R}_5$  konstanter Krümmung*, Arch. Math. 11 (1960), 298–309.
- [Bo] A. BOREL, *Compact Clifford–Klein forms of symmetric space*, Top. 2 (1963), 111–122.
- [C1] H. S. M. COXETER, *Regular Honeycombs in Hyperbolic Space*, Proceedings ICM, 1954 Amsterdam, Noordhoff and North-Holland, 1957.
- [C2] H. S. M. COXETER, *Regular Polytopes*, Dover, New York, 1973.
- [D] H. E. DEBRUNNER, *Dissecting orthoschemes into orthoschemes*, Geom. Dedicata 33 (1990), 123–152.
- [DS] J. L. DUPONT, C. H. SAH, *Scissors congruences, II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 25 (1982), 159–195.
- [K] R. KELLERHALS, *The Dilogarithm and volumes of hyperbolic polytopes*, in: *Structural Properties of Polylogarithms*, Leonard Lewin, Editor, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 37, 1991.
- [Kn] H. KNESER, *Der Simplexinhalt in der nichteuklidischen Geometrie*, Deutsche Math. 1 (1936), 337–340.
- [L] L. LEWIN, *Dilogarithms and Associated Functions*, North Holland, N.Y., Oxford, 1981.
- [M] P. MÜLLER, *Über Simplexinhalt in nichteuklidischen Räumen*, Dissertation, Universität Bonn, 1954.
- [Sa] C. H. SAH, *Scissors congruences, I, Gauss–Bonnet map*, Math. Scand. 49 (1981), 181–210.
- [S] L. SCHLÄFLI, *Theorie der vielfachen Kontinuität*, in: *Gesammelte Mathematische Abhandlungen*, Band 1, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1950.
- [W] H. C. WANG, *Topics in totally discontinuous groups*, in: *Symmetric Spaces*, Boothby–Weiss, Editors, N. Y., 1972.

Max–Planck-Institut für Mathematik  
Gottfried–Claren-Strasse 26  
W-5300 Bonn 3, FRG

and

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bonn  
Wegelerstrasse 10  
W-5300 Bonn 1, FRG

Received September 24, 1991