

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 67 (1992)

Erratum: Correction to "Locally flat 2-spheres in simply connected 4-manifolds".
Autor: Lee, Ronnie / Wilczynski, D.M.

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 20.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Correction to “Locally flat 2-spheres in simply connected 4-manifolds”

RONNIE LEE AND DARIUSZ M. WILCZYŃSKI

In the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [3], p. 410, line-12, we asserted that $[P, h, z] \oplus H(\Lambda')$ has an orthogonal summand equivalent to $H(\Lambda'^{+1})$. This is indeed the case when $b_2(N) > |\sigma(N)| + 2$. For then $[H_2(N), \lambda, x] \oplus H(\mathbf{Z}')$ splits off a copy of $H(\mathbf{Z}'^{+1})$ and the assertion follows by Theorem 4.6. However, as already pointed out in Remark 4.5, $[H_2(N), \lambda, x] \oplus H(\mathbf{Z}')$ may not split off $H(\mathbf{Z}'^{+1})$ in the case $b_2(N) = |\sigma(N)| + 2$. Thus to show that the stable equivalence of (4.13) implies $[P, h, z] \cong [P', h', z']$ in this case as well, one argues that (i) $[P, h] \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n]$ has an orthogonal summand equivalent to $H(\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n])$ for each $n | d$, $n > 1$, and (ii) $[P, h] \otimes_{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}$ has an orthogonal summand of rank ≥ 1 which is perpendicular to $z \otimes 1$. (This works also in the case $b_2(N) > |\sigma(N)| + 2$.) For then the conclusion of Theorem 4.2 holds (see Remark 4.5) and the rest of the argument goes through without change. It remains to show (i) and (ii). As before, (i) follows from the signature hypothesis $b_2(N) > \max_{0 \leq j < d} |\sigma(N) - 2j(d-j)(1/d^2)x \cdot x|$ by Theorem 10 of [4]. Since $[P, h] \otimes_{\Lambda} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ has an orthogonal summand of rank ≥ 1 which is perpendicular to $z \otimes 1$, (ii) follows by the commutative diagram on p. 406.

In the proof of Theorem 4.6, p. 407, line-7, the result of [1] was misapplied. The argument was made for a primitive class \hat{z} whereas [1] requires the class to be unimodular. Therefore the argument should be applied instead to the unimodular class in $\hat{P} \otimes_{\Lambda} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ whose d multiple equals $\hat{z} \otimes 1$. This yields an isometry η of $[P, h] \otimes_{\Lambda} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ which maps $\hat{z} \otimes 1$ to the class corresponding to $\hat{\alpha}(z \otimes 1)$. It follows from the discussion in [2, ch. IV §3] that, for $k > 2$, there are such isometries η which lift to $[P, h] \otimes_{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}$. Since $\hat{z} \in \hat{P}^G$, any lifted isometry will map \hat{z} to $\hat{\alpha}(z \otimes 1)$, as required.

We are grateful to I. Hambleton and M. Kreck for bringing these two points to our attention.

REFERENCES

- [1] BAK, A., *On modules with quadratic forms*, In: Algebraic K-Theory and its Geometric Applications, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 108, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1969, pp. 55–66.

- [2] BASS, H., *Unitary algebraic K-theory*, In: Algebraic K-theory III. Hermitian K-theory and geometric applications, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 343, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1973, pp. 57–265.
- [3] LEE, R., WILCZYŃSKI, D. M., *Locally flat 2-spheres in simply connected 4-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. 65 (1990), 388–412.
- [4] WALL, C. T. C., *On the classification of hermitian forms*, I. *Rings of algebraic integers*, Comp. Math. 22 (1970), 425–451.

*Department of Mathematics
Yale University
New Haven, CT 06520, USA*

*Department of Mathematics
University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA*

Received October 22, 1991

Buchanzeigen

JAMES FORAN, **Fundamentals of Real Analysis**, Marcel Dekker, inc., 1991, 496 p.p. \$59.75.

Preface – 1.1. Basic Definitions and Background Material – 1.2. Additional Basic Theorems – 2.1. Sets and Cardinal Numbers – 2.2. Discussion of Axiomatic Set Theory – 3.1. Well-Ordered Sets and Ordinal Numbers – 3.2. Applications of the Axiom of Choice – 4.1. Borel Sets and Baire Functions – 4.2. Exact Baire Classes – 5.1. Measure and Measurable Sets – 5.2. Additive Functions of a Set – 6.1. Measurable Functions – 6.2. Density Topology and Approximate Continuity – 7.1. The Lebesgue Integral – 7.2. Introduction to L^p spaces – 8.1. Differentiation of the Integral – 8.2. Differentiation of Functions of a Set – 9.1. The Denjoy–Perron Integral – 9.2. The Denjoy Integral – Index.

R. KIRCHER, W. BERGNER, **Three-Dimensional Simulation of Semiconductor Devices**, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1991, 124 p.p., Sfr. 82.-.

1. Introduction – 1.1 Device Modeling – 1.2 Three-Dimensional Effects in VLSI Structures – 1.3 History of Three-Dimensional Device Simulation – 2. Basic Physical Models – 2.1 Carrier Densities – 2.2 Doping Concentration – 2.3 Carrier Mobilities – 2.4 Generation and Recombination – 2.5 Modeling of Device Boundaries – 2.5.1 Contacts – 2.5.2 Interfaces – 2.5.3 Artificial Boundaries – 2.6 Limits of Classical Device Modeling – 3. Discretization – 3.1 Spatial Discretization – 3.2 Discretization of Poisson's Equation – 3.3 Discretization of the Continuity Equations – 3.4 Discretization of Boundary Conditions – 3.4.1 Dirichlet Points – 3.4.2 Neumann Boundaries – 3.5 Non-Planar Boundaries – 3.6 Time Discretization – 3.7 Charge Conservation – 4. Numerical Solution – 4.1 Non-linear System – 4.2 Gummel's Relaxation Method – 4.3 Super Iterations – 4.4 Set of Variables – 4.5 Solution of the Linear System – 4.5.1 Symmetrical Matrix 4.5.2 Asymmetrical Matrix – 4.5.3 Vectorization – 4.5.4 Preconditioning – 4.6 Calculation of Physical Magnitudes – 4.6.1 Electric Field – 4.6.2 Current Densities and Terminal Currents – 4.6.3 Capacitance – 5 Examples – 5.1 Depletion-Type Trench Cell – 5.2 IVEC Cell.

ARTHUR G. WERSCHULZ, **The Computational Complexity of Differential Integral Equations**, An information-based approach, Oxford University Press, 1991, 331 p.p. £35.-.

1. Introduction – 2. Example: a two-point boundary value problem – 2.1 Introduction – 2.1.1 Problem formulation – 2.1.2 Information – 2.1.3 Model of computation – 2.2. Error, cost, and complexity – 2.3 A minimal error algorithm. 2.4 Complexity bounds – 2.5 Comparison with the finite element method – 2.6 Standard information – 2.7 Final remarks – 3. General formulation – 3.1 Introduction – 3.2 Problem formulation – 3.3 Information – 3.4 Model of computation – 3.5 Algorithms, their errors, and their costs – 3.6 Complexity – 3.7 Randomized setting – 3.8 Asymptotic setting – 4. The worst case setting: general results – 4.1 Introduction – 4.2 Radius and diameter – 4.3 Complexity – 4.4 Linear problems – 4.4.1 Definition – 4.4.2 Adaptive vs. nonadaptive information – 4.4.3 Optimal information – 4.4.4 Linear algorithms for linear problems – 4.4.5 Complexity – 4.5 The residual error criterion – 4.5.1 Basic definitions – 4.5.2 Reduction to the approximation problem – 4.5.3 Linear algorithms – 4.5.4 Complexity – 5. Elliptic partial differential equations in the worst case setting – 5.1 Introduction – 5.2 Variational elliptic boundary value problems – 5.3 Problem formulation – 5.4 The normed case with arbitrary linear information – 5.5 The normed case with standard information 5.6 The seminormed case – 5.7 Can adaption ever help? – 6. Other problems in the worst case setting – 6.1 Introduction – Linear elliptic systems – 6.2.1 Problem formulation – 6.2.2 Arbitrary linear information – 6.2.3 Standard Information – 6.3 Fredholm problems of the second kind – 6.3.1 Problem formulation – 6.3.2 Arbitrary linear information – 6.3.3. Standard information – 6.4 Ill-posed problems – 6.4.1 Definition – 6.4.2 The absolute error criterion – 6.4.2 The residual error criterion – 6.5 Ordinary differential equations – 6.5.1 Standard information – 6.5.2 Other information – 7. The average case setting – 7.1 Introduction – 7.2 Some basic measure theory – 7.3 General results for the average case setting – 7.4 Complexity of shift-invariant problems 7.4.1 Problem definition – 7.4.2 Continuous