# Perturbatively unstable eigenvalues of a periodic Schrödinger operator. 

Autor(en): Feldmann, J. / Knörrer, H. / Trubowitz, E.<br>Objekttyp: Article<br>Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Band (Jahr): 66 (1991)

PDF erstellt am:
29.04.2024

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-50416

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## Perturbatively unstable eigenvalues of a periodic Schrödinger operator

 Joel Feldman, Horst Knörrer and Eugene Trubowitz
## 1. Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ be a lattice of maximal rank in $\mathbf{R}^{d}, d \leq 3$, and

$$
\Gamma^{\#}=\left\{b \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \mid\langle b, \gamma\rangle \in 2 \pi \mathbf{Z} \text { for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

the lattice dual to $\Gamma$. For $q \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} / \Gamma\right)$ and $k \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ the spectrum of $-\Delta+q$ acting on the space

$$
\mathscr{F}_{k}=\left\{\psi \in H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \mid \psi(x+\gamma)=e^{i\langle k, \gamma\rangle} \psi(x) \text { for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\right\},
$$

or equivalently, the spectrum of $-\Delta_{k}+q$, where

$$
\Delta_{k}=\Delta+2 i k \cdot \nabla-k^{2}
$$

acting on

$$
L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} / \Gamma\right)
$$

is called the Floquet spectrum of $q$ with crystal momentum $k$. For example, the Floquet spectrum with crystal momentum $k$ when $q=0$, is the set

$$
\left\{(k+b)^{2} \mid b \in \Gamma^{\#}\right\}
$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are

$$
e^{i\langle k+b, x\rangle}, \quad b \in \Gamma^{*} .
$$

It is shown in [FKT] that for almost every $k \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, and any sufficiently regular $q$, there is a density zero subset $S(k)$ of $k+\Gamma^{*}$ such that for all $l \in\left(k+\Gamma^{*}\right)-S(k)$
there is exactly one point in the spectrum of $-\Delta_{k}+q$ lying in the interval

$$
\left[l^{2}+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d} / \Gamma} q d x-\frac{1}{|l|^{2-\epsilon}}, l^{2}+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d} / \Gamma} q d x+\frac{1}{|l|^{2-\epsilon}}\right]
$$

Moreover, the corresponding eigenfunctions are close to $e^{i\langle l, x\rangle}$. We called the eigenvalues $l^{2}, l \in\left(k+\Gamma^{\#}\right)-S(k)$ of $-\Delta_{k}+q$ stable under the perturbation $q$. The purpose of this paper is to discuss some of the Floquet eigenvalues $l^{2}, l \in S(k)$ that are unstable under the perturbation $q$.

We now recall the construction of [ERT] Section 3.b. It yields a class of unstable eigenvalues. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{0\}$, and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\gamma}(x) & =\int_{0}^{1} q(x+s \gamma) d s \\
& =\sum_{\substack{b \in \Gamma^{*} \\
\langle b, \gamma\rangle=0}} \hat{q}(b) e^{i\langle b, x\rangle},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\hat{q}(b)=\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{R}^{d} / \Gamma\right|} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d} / \Gamma} q(x) e^{-i\langle b, x\rangle} d x
$$

is the " $b$ 'th" Fourier coefficieint of $q$. The averaged potential $q_{\gamma}(x)$ is constant on all translates of the line $\mathbf{R} \cdot \gamma$.

Fix $k^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$. Let $\phi$ be an eigenfunction of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}(x)$ with crystal momentum $k^{\prime}$ and eigenvalue $\mu$ that is constant on all translates of the line $\mathbf{R} \cdot \gamma$. Then,

$$
\psi(x)=e^{i t\langle\gamma, x\rangle} \phi(x)
$$

is in the space $\mathscr{F}_{\left(t \gamma+k^{\prime}\right)}$ and satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{\|\psi\|}\left\|(-\Delta+q) \psi-\left(t^{2} \gamma^{2}+\mu\right) \psi\right\|=O\left(t^{-2}\right)
$$

The last estimate, combined with the spectral theorem, guarantees that there is a genuine Floquet eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $q$ with crystal momentum $t \gamma+k^{\prime}$ close to $t^{2} \gamma^{2}+\mu$. Consequently, the unperturbed eigenvalues $l^{2}, l$ near the line $\mathbf{R} \cdot \gamma$, may be moved
far out of the interval

$$
\left[l^{2}+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d} / \Gamma} q d x-\frac{1}{|l|^{2-\epsilon}}, l^{2}+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d} / \Gamma} q d x+\frac{1}{|l|^{2-\epsilon}}\right]
$$

by $\mu$ and are therefore unstable in the sense of [FKT]. This phenomenon is consistent with the observation made in [FKT], Section 4, that points of $k+\Gamma^{*}$ close to a line $\mathbf{R} \cdot \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ lie in $S(k)$.

The main object of this paper is to show that for each primitive $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and almost every $k^{\prime}$ satisfying $\left\langle k^{\prime}, \gamma\right\rangle=0$ and almost every sufficiently large $t$ the "WKB" Floquet eigenvalue $\lambda$ produced in the last paragraph is bounded away from all other points of the Floquet spectrum of $q$ with crystal momentum $t \gamma+k^{\prime}$, and that the corresponding eigenfunction is close to the quasimode

$$
\psi(x)=e^{i t\langle\gamma, x\rangle} \phi(x)
$$

We first, using the techniques of [FKT], make the WKB construction above more quantitative, giving estimates for the allowed values of $t$ and the accuracy with which Floquet eigenvalues of $q$ are determined. See, (i) of the Theorem below for a precise statement.

Next, for $d=2$, counting carefully, it is shown ((ii) of the Theorem) that there is a constant $Q$, depending only on a norm of $q$, such that for all $k$ lying in a density one subset of the line $k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \cdot \gamma$ the eigenvalues of $q$ with crystal momentum $k$ in the interval

$$
\left[k^{2}-Q, k^{2}+Q\right]
$$

are all accounted for by stable eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ and eigenvalues constructed as above from $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$.

Finally (part (iii)) for most $k$, the eigenvalues in the interval $\left[k^{2}-Q, k^{2}+Q\right]$ accounted for by $-\Delta$ are effectively separated from those accounted for by $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$. This allows us to estimate how well the true eigenfunctions are approximated by the quasi-modes $\psi(x)=e^{i t\langle\gamma, x\rangle} \phi(x)$.

## 2. Construction of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

As in [FKT] we introduce a monotonically increasing function $f \geq 1$ on $\mathbf{R}_{+}$such that $f(s) f(t) \geq f(s+t)$ and use the $f$-weighted $l_{1}$-norm $\|q\|_{f}=\Sigma_{b \in \Gamma *} f(|b|)|\hat{q}(b)|$. Furthermore choose constants $p<\frac{1}{2}, Q>0$. We restrict ourselves to potentials $q$ with mean zero and $\|q\|_{f} \leq Q$.

THEOREM. Let $\gamma$ be a primitive vector of $\Gamma$ and $k^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ with $\left\langle k^{\prime}, \gamma\right\rangle=0$. Let $q$ be a function on $\mathbf{R}^{d} / \Gamma$ with mean zero and $\|q\|_{f} \leq Q$.
(i) Let $t_{0}$ obey $t_{0} \geq 2^{1 / 2 p},\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{p} \geq 1 /(2 \sqrt{Q})\left|k^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left|t_{0} \gamma\right| \geq((72 Q|\gamma| / \pi)+12 \sqrt{Q})$. $\left(\left(1+k^{\prime 2}\right)^{p}+\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{2 p}\right)$. Let $\mu$ be any Floquet eigenvalue of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ (acting on functions on the hyperplane $\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \mid\langle x, \gamma\rangle=0\right\}$ ) with multiplier $k^{\prime}$ of finite multiplicity $m$ fulfilling $\left|\mu-k^{\prime 2}\right| \leq Q-\tau$ where

$$
\tau:=4 Q\left(\frac{1}{\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{2 p}}+\frac{1}{f\left(6 \sqrt{Q}\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{p}\right)}\right) .
$$

Then there are at least $m$ Floquet eigenvalues $\lambda$ (counted with multiplicity) of $-\Delta+q$ with multiplier $k^{\prime}+t_{0} \gamma$ satisfying $\left|\mu+t_{0}^{2} \gamma^{2}-\lambda\right| \leq \tau$.
(ii) Suppose in addition that $d=2, p<1 / 2$. Let $h(t)=1+\min \left(t^{1 / 2(1 / 2-p)}, t^{2 p}\right)$. Then there is a subset $K=K\left(k^{\prime}, \gamma, Q, p, h\right)$ of density one in $k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma$ such that for any $k=k^{\prime}+t_{0} \gamma \in K$ the following holds. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ be Floquet eigenvalues of $-\Delta+q$ with multiplier $k$ in the interval $\left[k^{2}-Q+\hat{\tau}, k^{2}+Q-\hat{\tau}\right]$ where

$$
\hat{\tau}(k)=4 Q\left(\frac{1}{\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{2 p}}+\frac{1}{f\left(6 \sqrt{Q}\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{p}\right)}+\frac{1}{f(h(|k|))}\right) .
$$

Let $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{m}$ be the Floquet eigenvalues of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ with multiplier $k^{\prime}$ in the interval $\left[k^{\prime 2}-Q, k^{\prime 2}+Q\right], v_{i}:=\mu_{i}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}$, and $v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ the numbers $(k+b)^{2}, b \in \Gamma^{*}$ with $\langle b, \gamma\rangle \neq 0$ and $(k+b)^{2} \in\left[k^{2}-Q, k^{2}+Q\right]$. All these numbers

are counted with multiplicity. Then there is an injection $\sigma:\{1, \ldots, r\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that for $i=1, \ldots, r$

$$
\left|\lambda_{i}-v_{\sigma(i)}\right| \leq \hat{\tau}
$$

Furthermore $v_{j}$ is in the image of $\sigma$ whenever $\left|v_{j}-k^{2}\right| \leq Q-\hat{\tau}$.
(iii) Suppose that for large $t$

$$
f\left(6 \sqrt{Q}|t \gamma|^{p}\right) \geq|t \gamma|^{2 p} \quad \text { and } \quad f(h(\sqrt{t})) \geq|t \gamma|^{2 p}
$$

Then for any $0<\delta<2 p$ there is $K^{\prime} \subset K$ of density one such that for every $k \in K^{\prime}$ the sets $\bigcup_{j=m+1}^{n}\left[v_{j}-\hat{\tau}, v_{j}+\hat{\tau}\right]$ and $\left[\mu_{i_{1}}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}-\hat{\tau}, \mu_{i_{1}}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}+\hat{\tau}\right]$, $\left[\mu_{i_{2}}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}-\hat{\tau}, \mu_{i_{2}}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}+\hat{\tau}\right], \ldots,\left[\mu_{i_{s}}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}-\hat{\tau}, \mu_{i_{s}}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}+\hat{\tau}\right]$, where $\mu_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \mu_{i_{s}}$ runs over the different Floquet eigenvalues of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ to the multiplier $k^{\prime}$, are mutually disjoint and have distance at least $1 /|k|^{2 p-\delta}$ from each other. If for some $i=1, \ldots, m$ one takes a Floquet eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $-\Delta+q$ in [ $\mu_{i}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}-\hat{\tau}, \mu_{i}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}+\hat{\tau}$ ] with multiplier $k$ and if $\psi$ is a normalized eigenfunction for that eigenvalue then there is a Floquet eigenfunction $\phi$ of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ for the eigenvalue $\mu_{i,}$ and multiplier $k^{\prime}$ that is constant in $\gamma$-direction such that $\left\|\psi-e^{i\left\langle k-k^{\prime}, x\right\rangle} \phi\right\| \leq$ const. $Q /\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{\delta}$.

## Remarks

(1) In [ERT] and also [KT] it was shown that the Floquet spectrum of $-\Delta+q$ determines that of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$. The proofs given there were non-constructive. For $d=2$ the theorem above gives a constructive way of determining the Floquet spectrum of $-\Delta+q$ from that of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$. Suppose you want to determine the Floquet eigenvalues of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ with multiplier $k^{\prime}\left(\left\langle k^{\prime}, \gamma\right\rangle=0\right)$ up to accuracy $\epsilon$. By minimax they are contained in $\bigcup_{b \in \Gamma^{*},\langle b, \gamma\rangle=0}\left[\left(k^{\prime}+b\right)^{2}-Q,\left(k^{\prime}+b\right)^{2}+Q\right]$. We show how one determines the desired spectrum up to accuracy $\epsilon$ in one of these intervals. Without loss of generality we may assume that this is the interval $\left[k^{\prime 2}-Q, k^{\prime 2}+Q\right]$. Choose $R$ so big that
(a) the set $\left\{k^{\prime}+t \gamma| | t| | \gamma \mid \leq R\right\} \cap K\left(k^{\prime}, \gamma, Q, p, h\right)$ has measure at least $3 R / 2$ in $k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma$.
(b) For each $\mu \in[-Q, Q]$ the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{k^{\prime}+t \gamma| | t| | \gamma \mid \leq R, \text { there is } b \in \Gamma^{*} \text { with }\langle b, \gamma\rangle \neq 0\right. \text { such that } \\
& \left.\quad\left|\left(k^{\prime}+t \gamma+b\right)^{2}-\left(k^{\prime}+t \gamma\right)^{2}-\mu\right| \leq 2 \hat{\tau}\left|k^{\prime}+t \gamma\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

has measure at most $R / 2$ in $k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma$.
(c) $\hat{\tau}\left|k^{\prime}+R \gamma\right|<\epsilon / 2$.

It is possible to find such an $R$ by part (ii) of the Theorem above and Proposition 2 of Section 3. We will see that bounded pieces of the set $K$ can be determined by finitely many operations. Similarly the constants involved in Proposition 2 of Section 3 can be estimated in terms of $k^{\prime}, \gamma$ and the lattice. So the choice of $R$ is constructive.

Now choose $k_{0} \in\left(k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma\right) \cap K$ with $\left|k_{0}-k^{\prime}\right| \geq R$. By part (ii) of the Theorem the Floquet spectrum of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ in $\left[k^{\prime 2}-Q, k^{\prime 2}+Q\right]$ is contained in the union of
the intervals of length $\epsilon$ around the points $\lambda+k^{\prime 2}-k_{0}^{2}$, where $\lambda$ runs over all points of the Floquet spectrum of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ with multiplier $k_{0}$ in $\left[k_{0}^{2}-Q, k_{0}^{2}+Q\right]$. To test whether the interval around such a point $\lambda+k^{\prime 2}-k_{0}^{2}$ actually contains a point of the Floquet spectrum of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ we proceed as follows. Put $\mu=\lambda-k_{0}^{2}$. By (a) and (b) there is $k_{1} \in\left(k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma\right) \cap K$ with $\left|k_{1}-k^{\prime}\right| \leq R$ such that for all $b \in \Gamma^{\#}$ with $\langle b, \gamma\rangle \neq 0$ one has $\left|\left(k_{1}+b\right)^{2}-k_{1}^{2}-\mu\right|>2 \hat{\tau}\left(k_{1}\right)$. Again $k_{1}$ can be found by finitely many operations. By part (ii) of the Theorem the interval around $\lambda+k^{\prime 2}-k_{0}^{2}$ of length $\epsilon$ contains a point of the Floquet spectrum of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ if and only if the interval of length $2 \hat{\tau}\left(k_{1}\right)$ around the point $\left(\lambda+k^{\prime}-k_{0}^{2}\right)+k_{1}^{2}-k^{\prime 2}=k_{1}^{2}+\mu$ contains a point of the spectrum of $-\Delta+q$ with multiplier $k_{1}$.
(2) If $q$ is sufficiently regular then the higher terms in the asymptotic expansion for the eigenvalues generated by the WKB-Ansatz (cf. [ERT2]) can also be determined by this method.
(3) With some extra work it should be possible to put all the sets $K\left(k^{\prime}, \gamma, Q, p\right)$ together in a subset of full density in a set of the form $\left\{k^{\prime}+\left.t \gamma\left|\left\langle k^{\prime}, \gamma\right\rangle=0,|t| \geq C_{\gamma} \cdot\right| k^{\prime}\right|^{N}\right\}$ for some $C_{\gamma}, N>0$.

In the proof of the Theorem we use the techniques and results of [FKT]. For $k_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ we put $\Delta_{k_{0}}:=\Delta+2 i k_{0} \cdot \nabla-k_{0}^{2}$. Then $\psi(x)$ is a periodic eigenfunction of $-\Delta_{k_{0}}+q$ for the eigenvalue $\lambda$ if and only if the function $e^{i\left\langle k_{0}, x\right\rangle} \psi(x)$ is a Floquet eigenfunction for the eigenvalue $\lambda$ with multiplier $k_{0}$. We showed in [FKT] that the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{k_{0}}+q$ in a neighborhood of $k_{0}^{2}$ are the zeroes of the second regularized determinant of a certain infinite matrix. Precisely for $r>0$ put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G=G_{r}:=\left\{\left(k_{0}+b\right)\left|b \in \Gamma^{\#},\left|\left(k_{0}+b\right)^{2}-k_{0}^{2}\right| \leq r\right\}\right. \\
& R_{r}:=\left(k^{2} \delta_{k l}+\hat{q}(k-l)\right)_{k, l \in G_{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r$ is sufficieintly big then the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{k_{0}}+q$ in the interval [ $k_{0}^{2}-Q, k_{0}^{2}+Q$ ] are the zeroes of $\operatorname{det}_{2}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \longrightarrow l \in k_{0}+\Gamma^{*} \\
& G_{r} \\
& {\underset{k \in k_{0}+\Gamma^{*}}{G_{r}}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
R_{r}-\lambda & \hat{q}(k-l) \\
\frac{\hat{q}(k-l)}{k^{2}-\lambda} & \delta_{k l}+\frac{\hat{q}(k-l)}{k^{2}-\lambda}
\end{array}\right] . ~ . . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~}_{\text {and }} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore if $\left(v_{k}\right)_{k \in k_{0}+\Gamma^{*}}$ lies in the kernel of this matrix then $\Sigma_{k \in k_{0}+\Gamma * v_{k}} e^{i\left\langle k-k_{0}, x\right\rangle}$ is in the kernel of $-\Delta_{k}+q-\lambda$. As $r \rightarrow \infty$ the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $R_{r}$ approximate those of the whole infinite matrix above.

PROPOSITION. Assume that $\|q\|_{f} \leq Q \leq \frac{1}{6} r$.
(i) Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be eigenvalues (allowing multiplicities) of $-\Delta_{k_{0}}+q$ that obey $\left|\lambda_{j}-k_{0}^{2}\right| \leq Q-3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)$. Then $R_{r}$ has at least $n$ eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{j}-3 Q^{2} /(r-Q), \lambda_{j}+3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)\right]$.
(ii) Let $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ be eigenvalues (allowing multiplicities) of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ that obey $\left|\lambda_{j}^{\prime}-k_{0}^{2}\right| \leq Q-3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)$. Then $\Delta_{k_{0}}+q$ has at least $n$ eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{j}^{\prime}-3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)\right.$, $\left.\lambda_{j}^{\prime}+3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)\right]$.
(iii) Let $I \subset\left[k_{0}^{2}-Q+3 Q^{2} /(r-Q), k_{0}^{2}+Q-3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)\right]$ be an interval of length $\epsilon$, such that all eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{k_{0}}+q$ and of $R_{r}$ either lie in $I$ or have distance at least $\rho$ from I. Let $\pi$ resp. $\pi^{\prime}$ be the orthogonal projections to $\vartheta:=$ $\oplus_{\lambda \in I} \operatorname{ker}\left(-\Delta_{k_{0}}+q-\lambda\right) \operatorname{resp} . \vartheta^{\prime}:=\bigoplus_{\lambda^{\prime} \in I}\left\{\Sigma_{k \in G_{r}} v_{k} e^{i\left\langle k-k_{0}, x\right\rangle} \mid v \in \operatorname{ker}\left(R_{r}-\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Then for any $\Psi \in \vartheta, \Psi^{\prime} \in \vartheta^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|\Psi^{\prime}-\pi\left(\Psi^{\prime}\right)\right\|}{\left\|\Psi^{\prime}\right\|} \leq \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\epsilon+\frac{2 Q^{2}}{r-Q}\right)+\frac{2 Q}{r-Q} \\
& \frac{\left\|\Psi-\pi^{\prime}(\Psi)\right\|}{\|\Psi\|} \leq \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\epsilon+\frac{2 Q^{2}}{r-Q}\right)+\frac{2 Q}{r-Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We put $W(\lambda):=\left(\hat{q}(k-l) /\left(k^{2}-\lambda\right)\right)_{k, l \in\left(k_{0}+\Gamma^{*}\right) \backslash G_{r}}$. Since $\left|k^{2}-\lambda\right| \geq r-Q$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda:=\left[k_{0}^{2}-Q, k_{0}^{2}+Q\right]$ and $k \in k_{0}+\Gamma^{\#} \backslash G_{r}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|W(\lambda)\|_{f} \leq \frac{Q}{r-Q} \leq \frac{1}{5}, \quad\left\|\frac{d}{d \lambda} W(\lambda)\right\|_{f} \leq \frac{Q}{(r-Q)^{2}} \quad \text { for } \lambda \in \Lambda \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{f}$ and its properties are introduced in [FKT eq. (3.4)].) In particular $1+W(\lambda)$ is invertible for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. So the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{k_{0}}+q$ in $\Lambda$ are the zeroes of

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(R_{r}-\lambda 1-V U\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U:=\left(\sum_{k^{\prime} \in\left(k_{0}+\Gamma^{*}\right) \backslash G_{r}}(1+W)_{k, h^{\prime}}^{-1} \cdot \frac{\hat{q}\left(k^{\prime}-l\right)}{k^{\prime 2}-\lambda}\right)_{k \notin G_{r}, l \in G_{r}}, \\
& V:=(\hat{q}(k-l))_{k \in G_{r}, l \notin G_{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, for a vector $y$ in the kernel of $R-\lambda 1-V U$ the vector $\left[\begin{array}{c}y \\ -U y\end{array}\right]$ lies in the kernel of the matrix (1).

Similar to [FKT], Lemma 3.2, one gets the bounds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|U\| \leq \frac{2 Q}{r-Q}, \quad\|V U\| \leq \frac{2 Q^{2}}{r-Q} \\
& \left\|\frac{d}{d \lambda}(V U)\right\| \leq \frac{Q^{3}}{(r-Q)^{3}}+\frac{2 Q^{2}}{(r-Q)^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

As in the proof of [FKT], Theorem 3.3, we define the matrix $\tilde{R}(\lambda, v):=$ $R-\lambda 1+v V U$ and call the eigenvalues of this matrix

$$
\rho_{1}(\lambda, v) \leq \rho_{2}(\lambda, v) \leq \cdots \leq \rho_{k}(\lambda, v)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\rho_{i}(\lambda, v)-\rho_{i}\left(\lambda, v^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{2 Q^{2}}{r-Q}\left|v-v^{\prime}\right| & \text { for } \lambda \in \Lambda ; \quad v, v^{\prime} \in[0,1] \\
\rho_{i}(\lambda, v)-\rho_{i}\left(\lambda^{\prime}, v\right) \leq-\frac{3}{4}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right) & \text { for } \lambda \geq \lambda^{\prime} ; \quad \lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda, \quad v \in[0,1] .
\end{array}
$$

The zeroes of $\rho_{i}(\lambda, 0)$ are the eigenvalues of $R$ while the zeroes of $\rho_{i}(\lambda, 1)$ in $\Lambda$ are the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{k_{0}}+q$ in this interval. The estimates above show that for all $v \in[0,1]$ the function $\rho_{i}(-, v)$ has at most one zero in $\Lambda$, and that this zero moves with speed at most $\frac{8}{3}\left(Q^{2} /(r-Q)\right)$ with $v$. This proves part (i) and (ii) of the Proposition.

To prove (iii) let $\tilde{\pi}$ resp. $\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}$ be the orthogonal projections onto $\tilde{\vartheta}:=$ $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in I} \operatorname{ker}\left(R_{r}-\lambda 1-V(\lambda) U(\lambda)\right)$ resp. $\Im^{\prime}:=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in I} \operatorname{ker}\left(R_{r}-\lambda 1\right)$. First we show that for all $v \in \tilde{\Im}, v^{\prime} \in \mathscr{\Im}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|v^{\prime}-\tilde{\pi}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\|}{\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|} \leq \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\epsilon+\frac{2 Q^{2}}{r-Q}\right), \quad \frac{\left\|v-\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}(v)\right\|}{\|v\|} \leq \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\epsilon+\frac{2 Q^{2}}{r-Q}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let for example $v \in \operatorname{ker}\left(R_{r}-\lambda 1-V(\lambda) U(\lambda)\right)$ with $\lambda \in I$. Then

$$
\left\|\left(R_{r}-\lambda 1\right)\left(\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}(v)-v+v\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon\left\|\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}(v)\right\|
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(R_{r}-\lambda 1\right)\left(\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}(v)-v\right)\right\| & \leq \epsilon\left\|\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}(v)\right\|+\left\|\left(R_{r}-\lambda 1\right) \cdot v\right\| \\
& \leq \epsilon\|v\|+\|V(\lambda) U(\lambda) \cdot v\| \leq\left(\epsilon+\frac{2 Q^{2}}{r-Q}\right)\|v\|
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3). Since $v-\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}(v)$ is orthogonal to $\widetilde{\vartheta}^{\prime}$ and the norm of $\left(R_{r}-\lambda 1\right)^{-1}$ on $\Im^{\prime \perp}$ is at most $\rho^{-1}$ this gives the estimate (4).

Since for all $v \in \operatorname{ker}\left(R_{r}-\lambda 1-V U\right)$ the vector $\left[\begin{array}{c}v \\ -U v\end{array}\right]$ lies in the kernel of the matrix (1) and $\|U v\| \leq(2 Q /(r-Q))\|v\|$ by (3) we get the estimates stated in part (iii) of the Proposition.

We now proceed to the proof of the theorem. Let

$$
k=k^{\prime}+t_{0} \gamma \quad \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

We will apply the Proposition with $k_{0}=k, r=4 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}$. Split $G_{r}$ into the union of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}_{1} & :=\left\{l \in G_{r} \mid\langle k-l, \gamma\rangle=0\right\}, \\
\mathscr{L}_{2} & :=\left\{l \in G_{r} \mid\langle k-l, \gamma\rangle \neq 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $B_{i}:=\left(l^{2} \delta_{l m}+\hat{q}(l-m)\right)_{l, m \in \mathscr{L}}$, be the subblock of $R_{r}$ corresponding to $\mathscr{L}_{i}$. The key observation is that $B_{1}-\left(k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}\right) 1$ is equal to a subblock of the matrix describing $-\Delta_{k^{\prime}}+q_{\gamma}$. Precisely put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime}:=\left\{\left(k^{\prime}+b\right)\left|b \in \Gamma^{\#},\langle b, \gamma\rangle=0,\left|\left(k^{\prime}+b\right)^{2}-k^{\prime 2}\right| \leq r^{\prime}\right\},\right. \\
& R_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime}:=\left(l^{\prime 2} \delta_{l m^{\prime}}+\hat{q}\left(l^{\prime}-m^{\prime}\right)\right)_{l^{\prime}, m^{\prime} \in G_{r}^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
B_{1}-\left(k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}\right) \mathbf{1}=R_{r}^{\prime}
$$

and the proposition above also applies to the operator $-\Delta_{k^{\prime}}+q_{\gamma}$ and $r^{\prime}$. Thus eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $B_{1}$ are related to those of $-\Delta_{k^{\prime}}+q_{\gamma}$. In order to also relate them to eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $R_{r}$ (and then of $-\Delta_{k}+q$ ) we use that the entries $\hat{q}\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)$ of $R_{r}$ with $l \in \mathscr{L}_{1}, l^{\prime} \in \mathscr{L}_{2}$ are small. This will be a consequence of

LEMMA. Assume that $\left|k^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}$, and

$$
\left|t_{0} \gamma\right| \geq 12 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}+72|\gamma| Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p} / \pi .
$$

Then for all $b \in \Gamma^{\#}$ with $\left|(k+b)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 4 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}$ one has either

$$
\langle b, \gamma\rangle=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|b| \leq 5 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}
$$

or
$\langle b, \gamma\rangle \neq 0 \quad$ and $\quad|b| \geq 6 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}$.
In particular for any $l \in \mathscr{L}_{1}, l^{\prime} \in \mathscr{L}_{2}$ one has $\left|l-l^{\prime}\right| \geq \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}$.
Proof. Let $b \in \Gamma^{\#}$ such that $\left|(k+b)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 4 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}$. First assume that $\langle b, \gamma\rangle=0$. Then $(k+b)^{2}-k^{2}=\left(k^{\prime}+b\right)^{2}-k^{\prime 2}$ so that $\left(k^{\prime}+b\right)^{2} \leq 4 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}+$ $k^{\prime 2} \leq 9 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}$ so $|b| \leq 3 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}+\left|k^{\prime}\right| \leq 5 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}$.

Now assume that $\langle b, \gamma\rangle \neq 0$. Write $b=b^{\prime}+s \gamma$ with $\left\langle b^{\prime}, \gamma\right\rangle=0$. Since $\gamma$ is primitive $|s \gamma| \geq 2 \pi /|\gamma|$. If $|s \gamma| \geq 6 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}$ then there is nothing to prove, so assume that $|s \gamma| \leq 6 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}$. Then

$$
\left(k+b^{2}\right)-k^{2}=\left(k^{\prime}+b^{\prime}\right)^{2}-k^{\prime 2}+\left(t_{0}+s\right)^{2} \gamma^{2}-t_{0}^{2} \gamma^{2}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(k^{\prime}+b^{\prime}\right)^{2} & \geq\left|\left(t_{0}+s\right)^{2}-t_{0}^{2}\right| \gamma^{2}-k^{\prime 2}-4 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p} \\
& \geq\left|2 t_{0}+s\right||s| \gamma^{2}-8 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p} \\
& \geq 2 \pi\left|2 t_{0}+s\right|-8 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p} \\
& \geq \pi\left|t_{0}\right|-\frac{12 \pi \sqrt{Q}}{|\gamma|}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}-8 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p} \geq 64 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left|b^{\prime}\right| \geq 8 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}-\left|k^{\prime}\right| \geq 6 \sqrt{Q}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p / 2}
$$

From now on we assume that $t_{0}$ fulfills the hypotheses of part (i) of the theorem. Then the lemma above applies.

Put

$$
g(t):=6 \sqrt{Q}(1+t)^{p / 2}
$$

The lemma above implies that

$$
\left\|R_{r}-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & 0  \tag{5}\\
0 & B_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{Q}{f\left(g\left(k^{2}\right)\right)}
$$

Now let $\mu$ be a Floquet eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{k^{\prime}}+q_{\gamma}$ of multiplicity $m$ fulfilling $\left|\mu-k^{\prime 2}\right| \leq \tau$. By the proposition applied to $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ there are at least $m$ eigenvalues of $R_{r}^{\prime}$ in the interval $\left[\mu-3 Q^{2} /(r-Q), \mu+3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)\right.$ ]. So there are at least $m$ eigenvalues of $B_{1}$ in the interval around $\mu+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}$ of length $3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)$. By (5) there are then at least $m$ eigenvalues of $R_{r}$ in the interval around $\mu+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}$ of length $3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)+Q / f\left(g\left(k^{2}\right)\right)$. Applying the proposition to $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ we see that there are at least $m$ eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{k_{0}}+q$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mu+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}-\lambda\right| & \leq \frac{6 Q^{2}}{r-Q}+\frac{Q}{f\left(g\left(k^{2}\right)\right)} \\
& \leq 4 Q\left(\frac{1}{\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{2 p}}+\frac{1}{f\left(6 \sqrt{Q}\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{p}\right)}\right)=\tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves part (i) of the theorem.
For part (ii) we put
$M:=\left\{k \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \mid\right.$ there are $c \neq c^{\prime}$ in $\Gamma^{\#}$ with $\langle c, \gamma\rangle \neq 0,\left\langle c^{\prime}, \gamma\right\rangle \neq 0$ such that

$$
\left.\left|(k+c)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 2 Q,\left|\left(k+c^{\prime}\right)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 4 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p} \text { and }\left|c-c^{\prime}\right| \leq h(|k|)\right\} .
$$

Then we define $K$ as the intersection of $\left\{k=k^{\prime}+t \gamma| | t\left|\geq 2^{1 / 2 p},|t \gamma|^{p} \geq 1 /(2 \sqrt{Q})\right| k^{\prime} \mid\right.$, $\left.|t \gamma| \geq((72 / \pi)|\gamma|+12 \sqrt{Q})\left(\left(1+k^{\prime 2}\right)^{p}+\left|t \gamma^{2 p}\right|\right)\right\}$ with $\mathbf{R}^{d} \backslash M$. In Section 3, Proposition 1, we show that

$$
\left|\left\{k \in\left(k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma\right) \cap M\left|\left|k-k^{\prime}\right| \leq s\right\} \mid=O\left(s^{1-c}\right)\right.\right.
$$

for some $\epsilon>0$, so $K$ is of density one in $k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma$.
Now assume that $k=k^{\prime}+t_{0} \gamma$ lies in $K$. We keep the notation used in the proof of part (i) of the theorem. Put

$$
\mathscr{L}_{2}^{\prime}:=\left\{l \in \mathscr{L}_{2}| | l^{2}-k^{2} \mid \leq 2 Q\right\}, \quad \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\prime \prime}:=\mathscr{L}_{2} \backslash \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\prime},
$$

and let $B_{2}^{\prime}$ resp. $B_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ be the subblocks of $B_{2}$ corresponding to $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{\prime}$ resp. $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. Furthermore let $D$ be the diagonal part of $B_{2}^{\prime}$. Since for all $l \in \mathscr{L}_{2}, l^{\prime} \in \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\prime}$ one has $\left|l-l^{\prime}\right| \geq h(|k|)$, by the definition of $M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\prime} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\
& \left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D & 0 \\
0 & B_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)-B_{2}\right\| \leq \frac{Q}{f(h(|k|))},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\left\|R_{r}-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{6}\\
0 & D & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{Q}{f\left(g\left(k^{2}\right)\right)}+\frac{Q}{f(h(|k|))}
$$

By minimax $B_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ has no eigenvalues in $\left[k^{2}-Q, k^{2}+Q\right]$. Therefore the eigenvalues of $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}B_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_{2}^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right)$ in the interval $\left[k^{2}-Q, k^{2}+Q\right]$ are the eigenvalues of $B_{1}$ in this interval, and the numbers $(k+b)^{2}, b \in \Gamma^{*},\langle b, \gamma\rangle \neq 0$ that lie in this interval. We already know that the eigenvalues of $B_{1}$ in the interval under consideration are obtained from the eigenvalues of $-\Delta+q_{\gamma}$ by adding $k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}$ and shifting by at most $3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)$. Similarly the eigenvalues of $R_{r}$ are obtained from those of $-\Delta+q$ by shifting by at most $3 Q^{2} /(r-Q)$. This yields part (ii) of the theorem.

To prove part (iii) put

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i}^{\prime}:= & \left\{k \in k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma \mid \text { for all } b \in \Gamma^{\#} \text { with }\langle b, \gamma\rangle \neq 0\right. \text { one has } \\
& \left.\left|(k+b)^{2}-k^{2}+k^{\prime 2}-\mu_{i}\right| \geq \frac{1}{|k|^{2 p-\delta}}+2 \hat{\imath}(k)\right\}, \\
K^{\prime}:= & \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} K_{i}^{\prime} \cap K .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Section 3 we will show that each $K_{i}^{\prime}$ and hence also $K^{\prime}$ has density one in $k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma$ (Proposition 2 of Section 3). Now suppose that $\mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ is big enough that $1 /|k|^{2 p-\delta}+2 \hat{\tau}(k) \leq\left|\mu_{i}-\mu_{j}\right|$ for all $i, j$ such that $\mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}$. Then the first statement of part (iii) of the Theorem is trivially true.

Now let $\lambda \in\left[\mu_{i}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}-\hat{\tau}, \mu_{i}+k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}+\hat{\tau}\right]$ and $\tilde{\Psi}(x)=\Sigma_{l \in k+\Gamma} * v_{l} e^{i\langle l-k, x\rangle}$ be a unit vector in $\operatorname{ker}\left(-\Delta_{k}+q-\lambda\right)$. Put $I:=\left[\mu_{i}-\hat{\tau}, \mu_{i}+\hat{\tau}\right]$ and $\tilde{\vartheta}^{\prime}:=$ $\bigoplus_{\mu^{\prime} \in I} \operatorname{ker}\left(R_{r}^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime}\right)$. Then $v:=\left(v_{l}\right)_{t \in G_{r}}$ is an eigenvector of $R_{r}-V U$ to the eigenvalue $\lambda$ and $\left\|\left(v_{l}\right)_{l \in\left(k+\Gamma^{*}\right) G_{r}}\right\|=\|U v\| \leq \hat{\tau}$. Put $I:=\left[\mu_{i}-\hat{\tau}, \mu_{i}+\hat{\tau}\right]$ and $\tilde{\vartheta}:=\oplus_{\mu \in I} \operatorname{ker}\left(R_{r}^{\prime}-\mu\right)$. Let $w$ be the projection of $v$ onto $\tilde{\mathscr{V}}$. Then

$$
\left\|\left(\left(\begin{array}{lll}
B_{1} & & \\
& & B_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)-v_{i}\right) w\right\| \leq \hat{\tau}\|w\| .
$$

Since all eigenvalues of $\left(\begin{array}{lll}B_{1} & & \\ & & B_{2}^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right)$ that lie in $\left[k^{2}-Q, k^{2}+Q\right]$ are actually contained in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left[v_{i}-\hat{\tau}, v_{i}+\hat{\tau}\right]$

$$
\left\|\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & \\
& \\
& \\
B_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)-v_{i}\right)(v-w)\right\| \geq \frac{1}{|k|^{2 p-\delta}}\|(v-w)\| .
$$

As in the proof of part (iii) of the proposition we get (using (3) and (6)) that

$$
\|v-w\| \leq 4 \hat{\tau}|k|^{2 p-\delta}
$$

After part (iii) of the proposition there is $\tilde{\phi} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(-\Delta_{k^{\prime}}+q_{\gamma}-\mu_{i}\right)$ such that $\left\|\tilde{\phi}-\Sigma_{l \in G_{r}} w_{l} e^{i\left\langle l-k^{\prime}, x\right\rangle}\right\| \leq 4 \hat{\tau}|k|^{2 p-\delta}+\hat{\tau}$. Hence

$$
\|\tilde{\phi}-\tilde{\Psi}\| \leq 8 \hat{\tau}|k|^{2 p-\delta}+2 \hat{\tau}
$$

Under the hypotheses in the theorem $\hat{\tau} \leq 12 Q\left(1 /\left|t_{0} \gamma\right|^{2 p}\right)$. So if $t_{0}$ was chosen big enough we get the claimed estimate.

## 3. Lattice properties

In Section 2 we used two purely lattice theoretic results, which we are going to prove now. As before fix $0 \leq p \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $Q>0$, and choose a monotonically increasing function $h(t) \geq 1$. With this notation put

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(P, Q, h):= & \left\{k \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid \exists b, c \in \Gamma^{*} \text { with }\langle c, \gamma\rangle \neq 0,\langle b+c, \gamma\rangle \neq 0\right. \text { such that } \\
& \left|(k+c)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 2 Q, b \neq 0,\left|(k+b+c)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 4 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p} \\
& \text { and }|b| \leq h(|k|)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

PROPOSITION 1. Assume that $p<\frac{1}{2}, h(t)=O\left(\min \left(t^{1 / 2(1 / 2-p)}, t^{2 p}\right)\right.$. Then for each $k^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$

$$
\left|\left\{k \in k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma| | k \mid \leq r\right\} \cap M(p, Q, h)\right|=O\left(r^{1-\epsilon}\right)
$$

for some $\epsilon>0$.

The other result we needed can be phrased as follows. For any $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ put

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\prime}(\alpha, \mu):= & \left\{k \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid \text { there is } b \in \Gamma^{\#} \text { with }\langle b, \gamma\rangle \neq 0\right. \text { such that } \\
& \left.\left|(k+b)^{2}-k^{2}-\mu\right| \leq \frac{1}{|k|^{\alpha}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

PROPOSITION 2. Let $k^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ and $m>0$. Then there is a constant $C>0$ such that for all $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ with $|\mu| \leq m$

$$
\left|\left\{k \in k^{\prime}+\mathbf{R} \gamma| | k \mid \leq r\right\} \cap M^{\prime}(\alpha, \mu)\right| \leq C \cdot\left(1+r^{1-\alpha}\right) .
$$

Remark. The proofs given below are constructive, i.e. each bounded piece of the sets $M(p, Q, h)$ resp. $M^{\prime}(\alpha, \mu)$ can be determined by finitely many operations.

For the proof of Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 we may, after rotating and scaling the lattice, assume that $\gamma=(0,2 \pi)$. We prove the propositions in the case $k^{\prime}=0$, the general case is similar. To simplify notation write $B_{r}:=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}| | x \mid \leq r\right\}$.

Proof of Proposition 1. Split $M(p, Q, h)$ into the union of

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}(p, Q, h):= & \left\{k \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid \exists b, c \in \Gamma^{\#} \text { with } b_{2}=0, b \neq 0, c_{2} \neq 0 \text { and }|b| \leq h(|k|),\right. \\
& \left.\left|(k+c)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 2 Q,\left|(k+b+c)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 4 Q\left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{p}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}(p, Q, h):= & \left\{k \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid \exists b, c \in \Gamma^{*} \text { with } b_{2} \neq 0, c_{2} \neq 0, b_{2}+c_{2} \neq 0 \text { and }|b| \leq h(|k|),\right. \\
& \left.\left|(k+c)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 2 Q,\left|(k+b+c)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 4 Q\left(1+|k|^{2}\right)^{p}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

LEMMA 1. Suppose that $h(t) \leq t^{2 p}$. Then for any $\epsilon>0$

$$
\left|\mathbf{R} \gamma \cap M_{1}(p, Q, h) \cap B_{r}\right|=O\left(r^{2 p+c}\right)
$$

Proof. Take $\epsilon>0$ and put
$N:=\left\{k \in \mathbf{R} \gamma \mid \exists c \in \Gamma^{*} \backslash\{0\}\right.$ such that $\left|(k+c)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 2 Q$ and

$$
\left.\left|\left(k_{2}+c_{2}\right)^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right| \leq|k|^{4 p+2 c}\right\} .
$$

Below we show that $\left|\left\{k \in \mathbf{N}||k| \leq r\} \mid=O\left(r^{2 p+c}\right)\right.\right.$. We claim that there is an $R>0$ such that $M_{1} \cap\{k \in \mathbf{R} \gamma| | k \mid \geq R\} \subset N$. So suppose that $k \in \mathbf{R} \gamma \cap M_{1}$ but $k \notin N$. By definition there are $b, c \in \Gamma^{*}$ with $b_{2}=0, c_{2} \neq 0$ and $|b| \leq h(|k|)$ such that with $l:=k+c$

$$
\left|l^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 2 Q \quad \text { and } \quad\left|(l+b)^{2}-l^{2}\right| \leq 6 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}
$$

Since $k \notin N$ this implies

$$
\left|l_{2}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right| \geq|k|^{4 p+2 c}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}^{2} \geq|k|^{4 p+2 c}-2 Q . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the inequality $\left|(l+b)^{2}-l^{2}\right| \leq 6 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}$ gives

$$
\left|2 l_{1}+b_{1}\right| \leq \frac{6 Q}{\left|b_{1}\right|}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p} .
$$

Since $\left|b_{1}\right| \leq h(|k|) \leq|k|^{2 p}$ we get

$$
\left|2 l_{1}\right| \leq \frac{6 Q}{\left|b_{1}\right|}\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}+|k|^{2 p},
$$

which is a contradiction to (1) whenever $k$ is big enough.
It remains to prove the estimate for $N$. For each $c \in \Gamma^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ the intersection of $\left\{k \in \mathbf{R}^{2}| |(k+c)^{2}-k^{2} \mid \leq 2 Q\right\}$ with the line $\mathbf{R} \gamma$ is contained in the interval $J_{c}$ of length $2 Q /\left|c_{2}\right|$ around the point $\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}\left(|c|^{2} / c_{2}\right)\right)$. The inequalities $\left|\left(k_{2}+c_{2}\right)^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right| \leq$ $|k|^{4 p+2 c}$ and $\left|(k+c)^{2}-k^{2}\right| \leq 2 Q$ imply $c_{1}^{2} \leq|k|^{4 p+2 c}+2 Q$. Therefore there is a compact subset $C$ of $N$ such that for all $r>0$

$$
\left\{k \in N \backslash C||k| \leq r\} \subset \bigcup_{\substack{c \in \Gamma^{*} \\ c_{1}^{2} \leq 2 r^{*}+2 c \\\left|c_{2}\right| \leq r+1}} J_{c} .\right.
$$

The measure of the latter set is bounded by

$$
4 \sum_{c_{2}=1}^{r}\left(\sqrt{2} \frac{r^{2 p+c}}{L}+2\right) \frac{4 Q}{c_{2}},
$$

where $L$ is the length of the shortest non-zero vector in $\Gamma$. This proves Lemma 1.

We now discuss the set $M_{2}$. Again for $c \in \Gamma^{*}$ the intersection of $\left\{k \in \mathbf{R}^{2}| |(k+c)^{2}-k^{2} \mid \leq 2 Q\right\}$ with the line $\mathbf{R} \gamma$ is contained in the interval $J_{c}$ of $\cdot$ length $2 Q /\left|c_{2}\right|$ around $\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}\left(|c|^{2} / c_{2}\right)\right)$. If $c^{2} /\left|c_{2}\right|$ is big enough then for any $b \in \Gamma^{*}$
with $b_{2}+c_{2} \neq 0$ this interval meets

$$
\left\{k \in \mathbf{R}^{2}| |(k+b+c)^{2}-k^{2} \mid \leq 4 Q\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{p}\right\}
$$

only if

$$
\left|\frac{c^{2}}{c_{2}}-\frac{(c+b)^{2}}{c_{2}+b_{2}}\right| \leq 6 Q \frac{|c+b|^{4 p}}{\left|c_{2}+b_{2}\right|^{1+2 p}}
$$

So up to a finite interval $\mathbf{R} \gamma \cap M_{2}$ is contained in the union of the intervals $J_{c}$ over all $c$ in the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
P:= & \left\{c \in \Gamma^{*} \mid c_{2} \neq 0 \text { and there is } b \in \Gamma^{*} \text { with } b_{2} \neq 0, b_{2}+c_{2} \neq 0\right. \text { and } \\
& \left.\left|\frac{c^{2}}{c_{2}}-\frac{(c+b)^{2}}{c_{2}+b_{2}}\right| \leq 6 Q \frac{|c+b|^{4 p}}{\left|c_{2}+b_{2}\right|^{1+p}},|b| \leq h\left(\frac{c^{2}}{\left|c_{2}\right|}\right)+1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we put for each $b \in \Gamma^{*}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{b} & :=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}| | \frac{x^{2}}{x_{2}}-\frac{(x+b)^{2}}{x_{2}+b_{2}}\left|\leq 6 Q \frac{|x+b|^{4 p}}{\left|x_{2}+b_{2}\right|^{1+2 p}},\left|x_{2}\right| \geq 1,\left|x_{2}+b_{2}\right| \geq 1\right.\right. \\
& \left.x^{2} \geq\left|x_{2}\right|\left(h^{-1}(|b|)-1\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
P=\bigcup_{\substack{b \in \Gamma * \\ b_{2} \neq 0}}\left(P_{b} \cap \Gamma^{*}\right)
$$

By elementary computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{b} & =\left\{\left.x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}| |\left(x+\frac{b}{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rr}
b_{2} & -b_{1} \\
-b_{1} & -b_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(x+\frac{b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} b_{2}|b|^{2} \right\rvert\,\right. \\
& \left.\leq 6 Q \frac{|x+b|^{4 p}}{\left|x_{2}+b_{2}\right|^{2 p}}\left|x_{2}\right|, x^{2} \geq\left|x_{2}\right|\left(h^{-1}(|b|)-1\right),\left|x_{2}\right| \geq 1,\left|x_{2}+b_{2}\right| \geq 1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

LEMMA 2. Suppose that $p<\frac{1}{2}, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(h^{-1}(t) / t^{2}\right)=\infty$.
(i) There is a constant $A$ such that for all but finitely many $b \in \Gamma^{*}$ with $b_{2} \neq 0$,

$$
P_{b} \cap\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}| | x_{2} \left\lvert\, \leq A \frac{h^{-1}(|b|)}{|b|^{2}}\right.\right\}=\varnothing
$$

(ii) There is a constant $\mu$ such that for all $b \in \Gamma^{\#}$ with $b_{2} \neq 0$ and all $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$ with $|\eta| \geq \mu\left|b_{2}\right|$ the intersection of $P_{b}$ with the line $\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x_{2}=\eta\right\}$ is contained in the union of at most two intervals, each of length at most const. $\left(|b|^{4^{p-1} / b} b_{2}^{4 p}\right)|\eta|^{2 p}$. Here const. is a constant independent of $b$ and $\eta$.

Let us first explain how Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 imply Proposition 1. By Lemma 2 and the assumption on $h$ there is a finite set $S \subset \Gamma^{*}$ such that for all $b \in \Gamma^{\#} \backslash S$ with $b_{2} \neq 0$,

$$
P_{b} \cap\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}| | x_{2} \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{h^{-1}(|b|)}{|b|^{2}}\right.\right\}=\varnothing
$$

and

$$
\mu\left|b_{2}\right| \geq A \frac{h^{-1}(|b|)}{|b|^{2}}
$$

Put $\rho:=\max \left\{\mu\left|b_{2}\right| \mid b \in S\right\}$, and for $b \in \Gamma^{\#}$ with $b_{2} \neq 0$,

$$
\tilde{P}_{b}:=\left\{x \in P_{b}| | x_{2} \left\lvert\, \geq \max \left(\mu\left|b_{2}\right|, A \frac{h^{-1}(|b|)}{|b|^{2}}\right)\right.\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
P \subset\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}| | x_{2} \mid \leq \rho\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{b \in \Gamma \\ b_{2} \neq 0}} \widetilde{P}_{b}
$$

Now by Lemma 2 for each $|\eta| \geq \rho$ and each $b \in \Gamma^{*}$ with $b_{2} \neq 0$, $\widetilde{P}_{b} \cap\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x_{2}=\eta\right\}$ contains at most const. $\left(1+|b|^{4 p-1} / b_{2}^{4 p}\right)|\eta|^{2 p}$ points of $\Gamma^{*}$.

Let $l(t)$ be the inverse function of $A h^{-1}(t) / t^{2}$. The assumptions on $h$ imply that $l(t)=O\left(t^{1 / 2-p-\tau}\right)$ for some $\epsilon>0$.

Then for sufficiently large $r$

The first sum clearly is $O\left(r^{1 / 2}\right)$. By what we said above the second sum is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { const. } \sum_{c_{2}=1}^{2 r} \sum_{\substack{b \in \Gamma^{*} \\
|b| \leq l\left(c_{2}\right)}}\left(1+\frac{|b|^{4 p-1}}{\left|b_{2}\right|^{4 p}}\right) c_{2}^{2 p-1} \leq \\
& \text { } \leq \text { const. } \sum_{c_{2}=1}^{2 r} c_{c}^{2 p-1} l\left(c_{2}\right)^{2}=0\left(r^{1-\varepsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\left|M_{2} \cap B_{r}\right|=O\left(r^{1-\varepsilon}\right)$. This, together with Lemma 1, implies Proposition 1.

We now prove Lemma 2. Fix any $\eta \in \mathbf{R}, b \in \Gamma^{*}$ with $b_{2} \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $b_{2}>0$. Parametrise the line $\left\{x \in R^{2} \mid x_{2}=\eta\right\}$ by $\Phi$ : $t \rightarrow(t, \eta)$, and denote by $f_{1}(t)$, resp. $f_{2}(t)$, the restriction of the functions $\left(x+\frac{b}{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rr}b_{2} & -b_{1} \\ -b_{2} & -b_{2}\end{array}\right)\left(x+\frac{b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} b_{2} b^{2}$, resp. $6 Q|x+b|^{4 p} \frac{|\eta|}{\left|\eta+b_{2}\right|^{2 p}}$, to this line. Then

$$
\left\{t \in \mathbf{R} \mid \Phi(t) \in P_{b}\right\}=\left\{t \in \mathbf{R} \| f_{1}(t) \mid \leq f_{2}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad t^{2} \geq \eta\left(h^{-1}(|b|)-1\right)-\eta^{2}\right\}
$$

The matrix $\left(\begin{array}{rr}b_{2} & -b_{1} \\ -b_{2} & -b_{2}\end{array}\right)$ has $\pm|b|$ as eigenvalues. Its isotropic subspaces are spanned by the vectors $\left(b_{1} \pm|b|, b_{2}\right)$. The zeros of the restriction of $\left(x+\frac{b}{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rr}b_{2} & -b_{1} \\ -b_{1} & -b_{2}\end{array}\right)\left(x+\frac{b}{2}\right)$ to $\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x_{2}=\eta\right\}$ are at $t=\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}} \pm|b|\left(\frac{\eta}{b_{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$.
The restriction of $\left(x+\frac{b}{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rr}b_{2} & -b_{1} \\ -b_{1} & -b_{2}\end{array}\right)\left(x+\frac{b}{2}\right)$ to the line $\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x_{2}=\eta\right\}$ is a quadratic polynomial in $t$ with leading coefficient $b_{2}$ and the zeroes described above, so it equals $b_{2}\left(t-\eta\left(b_{1} / b_{2}\right)\right)^{2}-b_{2} b^{2}\left(\eta / b_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}$. Therefore

$$
f_{1}(t)=b_{2}\left(t-\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\eta b^{2}}{b_{2}}\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)
$$



The function

$$
f_{2}(t)=6 Q\left[\left(t+b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{2 p} \frac{|\eta|}{\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)^{2 p}}
$$

is symmetric about $t=-b_{1}$ and increasing monotonically but slower than quadratically in $\left|t+b_{1}\right|$ :

We now show that any intersection point $T$ with $f_{1}(T)=f_{2}(T)$ obeys

$$
\left|T-\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}} \eta\right| \leq \text { const. } \begin{cases}|b|, & |\eta| \leq 2 b_{2}  \tag{2}\\ \frac{|b|}{b_{2}}|\eta|, & |\eta| \geq 2 b_{2}\end{cases}
$$

To prove (2) we introduce $\tau=T-\left(b_{1} / b_{2}\right) \eta$ and observe that the equation

$$
f_{1}\left(\tau+\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)=f_{2}\left(\tau+\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)
$$

i.e.

$$
b_{2} \tau^{2}=\eta \frac{b^{2}}{b_{2}}\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)+6 Q\left[\tau^{2}+2 \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}} \tau\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)+\frac{|b|^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}}\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{2 p} \frac{|\eta|}{\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)^{2 p}}
$$

implies

$$
\tau^{2} \leq \frac{\text { const. }}{b_{2}} \max \left\{\frac{|\eta|}{\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)^{2 p}} \tau^{4 p},|\eta|\left|\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}} \tau\right|^{2 p}, \eta \frac{b^{2}}{b_{2}}\left(\eta+b_{2}\right), \frac{|b|^{4 p}}{b_{2}^{4 p}}|\eta|\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)^{2 p}\right\} .
$$

When $|\eta| \geq 2 b_{2}$ we get

$$
\tau^{2} \leq \text { const. } \max \left\{\frac{|\eta|^{1-2 p}}{b_{2}} \tau^{4 p}, \frac{\left|b_{1}\right|^{2 p}}{\left|b_{2}\right|^{1+2 p}}|\eta||\tau|^{2 p}, \frac{b^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}} \eta^{2}, \frac{|b|^{4 p}}{b_{2}^{1+4 p}}|\eta|^{1+2 p}\right\}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\tau| & \leq \text { const. } \max \left\{|\eta|^{1 / 2},\left|\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right|^{p /(1-p)}|\eta|^{1 /(2-2 p)}, \frac{|b|}{b_{2}}|\eta|,\left(\frac{|b|}{b_{2}}\right)^{2 p}|\eta|^{1 / 2+p}\right\} \\
& \leq \text { const. } \frac{|b|}{b_{2}}|\eta| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The case $|\eta| \leq 2 b_{2}$ is treated similarly.
The inequality (2) implies that for any $t \in P_{b}\left\{x_{2}=\eta\right\}$

$$
|\tau| \leq|\tau|+\left|\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right| \leq C \begin{cases}|b| & \text { if }|\eta| \leq 2 b_{2} \\ \frac{|b|}{b_{2}}|\eta| & \text { if }|\eta| \geq 2 b\end{cases}
$$

where the constant $C$ is independent of $b$ and $\eta$. So if $P_{b} \cap\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x_{2}=\eta\right\}$ is not empty then

$$
|\eta|\left(h^{-1}(|b|)-1\right)-\eta^{2} \leq C^{2} \begin{cases}|b|^{2} & \text { if }|\eta| \leq 2 b_{2}, \\ \frac{|b|^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}} \eta^{2} & \text { if }|\eta| \geq 2 b_{2} .\end{cases}
$$

When $1 \leq|\eta| \leq 2 b_{2}$

$$
h^{-1}(|b|) \leq\left(C^{2}+4\right)|b|^{2}+1,
$$

which is satisfied only by finitely many $b$ 's since $h^{-1}(|b|) /|b|^{2}$ tends to infinity with $|b|$. When $2 b_{2} \leq|\eta| \leq A\left(h^{-1}(|b|) /|b|^{2}\right)$ with $A=1 /\left(2 C^{2}\right)$ this would imply

$$
h^{-1}(|b|)-1-|\eta| \leq \frac{\eta^{-1}(|b|)}{2 b_{2}^{2}}
$$

which is impossible. We have thus shown part (i) of Lemma 2.

We now prove part (ii). Assume that $|\eta| \geq \mu b_{2}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)=-\eta \frac{b^{2}}{b_{2}}\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)<0, \\
& f_{2}\left(\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right) \leq\left|f_{1}\left(\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $\mu$ is chosen sufficiently large. (Consequently $P_{b} \cap\left\{\eta \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x_{2}=\eta\right\}$ is contained in the union of two intervals, one to the left and one to the right of $\eta\left(b_{1} / b_{2}\right)$. The longer of these two intervals is that on the side of $\eta\left(b_{1} / b_{2}\right)$ opposite to $-b_{1}$. See the figure. Define the end points $T_{n}$, resp. $T_{f}$, of this interval to be the solution of $\left|f_{1}(t)\right|=f_{2}(t)$ nearest to, resp. farthest, from $\eta\left(b_{1} / b_{2}\right)$ on the side of

$\eta\left(b_{1} / b_{2}\right)$ opposite $-b_{1}$. To bound $\left|T_{f}-T_{n}\right|$ observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(T_{f}\right) & =f_{2}\left(T_{f}\right), \\
f_{1}\left(T_{n}\right) & =-f_{2}\left(T_{n}\right), \\
\Rightarrow f_{1}\left(T_{f}\right)-f_{1}\left(T_{n}\right) & =f_{2}\left(T_{f}\right)+f_{2}\left(T_{n}\right), \\
\Rightarrow b_{2}\left(T_{f}-T_{n}\right)\left(T_{f}+T_{n}-2 \eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right) & =f_{2}\left(T_{f}\right)+f_{2}\left(T_{n}\right), \\
\Rightarrow\left|T_{f}-T_{n}\right| & \leq \frac{2}{b_{2}} \frac{f_{2}\left(T_{f}\right)}{\left|T_{f}-\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $\tau=T_{f}-\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}$ we have that

$$
\text { const. } \frac{|b|}{b_{2}}|\eta| \leq|\tau| \leq \text { const. } \frac{|b|}{b_{2}}|\eta| \text {, }
$$

with the upper bound coming from (2) and the lower bound coming from the fact that $T_{f}$ is farther from $\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}$ than the zeroes $\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}} \pm\left[\eta \frac{b^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}}\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$ of $f_{1}(t)$. Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{f}-T_{n}\right| & \leq \text { const } \frac{1}{b_{2}} \frac{\left[\left(\tau+\eta \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}+b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\eta+b_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{2 p}}{|\tau|} \frac{|\eta|}{\left(n+b_{2}\right)^{2 p}} \\
& \leq \text { const } \frac{1}{b_{2}} \tau^{4 p-1}|\eta|^{1-2 p} \\
& \leq \text { const } \frac{|b|^{4 p-1}}{b_{2}^{4 p}}|\eta|^{2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of Proposition 2

Choose a finite set $S \subset \Gamma^{*}$ such that for all $b \in \Gamma^{*} \backslash S$ with $b_{2} \neq 0$
(i) $b^{2} \geq 2 m, 4 \frac{\left|b_{2}\right|^{\alpha-1}}{\left(b^{2}-\mu\right)^{\alpha}} \leq m$
(ii) for all $\mu \in[-m, m]$ the intersection of $\left\{k \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \|(k+b)^{2}-k^{2}-\mu \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{1}{|k|^{\alpha}}\right.\right.$ with $\mathbf{R} \gamma$ is contained in the interval on this axis around this point
$\left(0, \frac{-b^{2}-\mu}{2 b_{2}}\right)$ of radius $4 \frac{\left|b_{2}\right|^{\alpha-1}}{\left(b^{2}-\mu\right)^{\alpha}}$.
Then it suffices to show that there is a constant $C$ and that for all $\mu \in[-m, m]$

$$
\sum_{\substack{b \in \Gamma * \in S, b_{2} \neq 0 \\ I_{b} \cap B_{r} \neq \varnothing}}\left|I_{b}\right| \leq C r^{1-\alpha} .
$$

The sum under consideration is bounded by
$8 \sum_{\substack{b \in \Gamma^{*} \\ \frac{b^{2}-\mu}{4\left|b_{2}\right|} \leq r+m,}} \frac{\left|b_{2}\right|^{\alpha-1}}{\left(b^{2}-\mu\right)^{\alpha}} \leq 16 \sum_{\substack{b \in \Gamma+\\ b^{2} \leq 4(r+2 m)\left|b_{2}\right|}} \frac{\left|b_{2}\right|^{\alpha-1}}{\mid b^{\alpha}} \leq O\left((r+2 m)^{1-\alpha}\right)$,
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