Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 65 (1990)

Artikel: On the genus of represenattion spheres.
Autor: Bartsch, Thomas
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-49714

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 18.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Comment. Math. Helvetici 65 (1990) 85–95 0010–2571/90/010085–11$1.50 + 0.20/0
© 1990 Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel

On the genus of representation spheres

THOMAS BARTSCH

0. Introduction

Let G be a topological group and X a G-space. The (G-) genus of X, $\gamma_G(X)$, is the minimal number n such that there exist subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ of G and a continuous equivariant map $X \to G/H_1 * \cdots * G/H_n$. We require that each H_i is the isotropy group of some element of X. $A * B$ denotes the join of the topological spaces A and B. In this note we provide lower bounds for the genus of spheres of (orthogonal) representation spaces of G when G is a cyclic group.

If $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ acts via the antipodal map on S^{n-1} then the Borsuk–Ulam theorem tells us that $\gamma_G(S^{n-1}) = n$. More generally, in [Ba] it is has been proved for a compact Lie group G acting freely on a representation sphere SV that $\gamma_G(SV) \geq (\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V)/(1 + \dim G)$. The situation gets more complicated if the action of G on SV is not free (but has no fixed points). Already for $G = \mathbb{Z}/4$, the simplest nontrivial example, $\gamma_G(SV)$ will in general be smaller than $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$.

For $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ the concept of genus is well known (cf. [K]) although sometimes under different names like B-index [Y], coindex [CF], level [DL]. In [PS] estimates for the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-genus (level) of projective spaces are given. As a corollary of our theorem we obtain lower bounds for the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-genus of lens spaces. This generalizes the result in [PS].

For $G = S^1$ a notion closely related to the genus (it is called index) has been used in [Be] to prove the existence of critical points of G-invariant functionals $SV \to \mathbb{R}$. This type of applications is one of the main reasons to study γ_G (aside from its intrinsic interest).

1. Statement of results

For a topological group G and a G-space X we use standard notations: X^G denotes the set of fixed points and X/G the orbit space. We write $I(X)$ for the set of conjugacy classes (H) of those subgroups H of G which are the isotropy group of some element of X. We now define two versions of the genus.

DEFINITION 1.1. If $X = \emptyset$ then $\gamma_G(X) := \tilde{\gamma}_G(X) := 0$. If $X \neq \emptyset$ then

$$\gamma_G(X) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exist } (H_1), \ldots, (H_n) \in I(X) \text{ and a continuous equivariant map } X \to G/H_1 * \cdots * G/H_n\},$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_G(X) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exist closed subgroups } H_1, \ldots, H_n \text{ of } G,\ H_i \neq G, \text{ and a continuous equivariant map } X \to G/H_1 * \cdots * G/H_n\}.$$

We use the convention $\min \emptyset = \infty$.

Obviously, $\gamma_G(X) \geq \tilde{\gamma}_G(X)$ if $X^G = \emptyset$ and $\gamma_G(X) = 1$, $\tilde{\gamma}_G(X) = \infty$ if $X^G \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, $\gamma_G(X) = \tilde{\gamma}_G(X)$ if $X^G = \emptyset$ and if every closed subgroup H of G, $H \neq G$, is contained in an isotropy group of some element of X.

As mentioned in the introduction for $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$, γ_G has long been known under a variety of names. We prefer to call it genus for several reasons. First for free G-spaces X $\gamma_G(X)$ has been introduced by Fadell in [F] as G-genus. Second, index theories abound. In addition it has become customary to speak of an index theory i (in the context considered here) if it has a number of properties (cf. [Be]), e.g. the monotonicity property: If there exists a G-map $f : X \to Y$ then $i(X) \leq i(Y)$. This is not true for γ_G. It is true, though, for $\tilde{\gamma}_G$. In fact, $\tilde{\gamma}_G$ is an index theory in the sense of [Be]. It is even equivalent to the one defined there for $G = S^1$.

Now let $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$ be cyclic and V a G-module, i.e. a finite-dimensional orthogonal representation space of G. Let SV denote the unit sphere of V and $d := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$. We assume $SV^G = \emptyset$.

THEOREM 1.2. (a) *If $n = p^k$ is a power of a prime p then $\tilde{\gamma}_G(SV) \geq (p \cdot d + n - p)/n$.*

(b) *If n is arbitrary suppose $t := gcd\{|G/H| : (H) \in I(SV)\} \geq 2$ and let p be a prime dividing t. Let $n_p = p^k$ be the highest power of p dividing n. Then*

$$\gamma_G(SV) \geq (p \cdot d + n_p - p)/n_p.$$

REMARKS 1.3. (a) If $n_p = p$ then Theorem 1.2 gives $\gamma_G(SV) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$. It is easy to see that equality holds.

(b) Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/4$ act on $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ by scalar multiplication; $H := \mathbb{Z}/2 \subset G$. In [Ba] a G-map $S^3 \to G/H * G/H * G/H$ has been constructed (see also [BCP]). Thus $\tilde{\gamma}_G(S^3) \leq 3$ and the theorem yields equality. Since G acts freely on S^3 it is easy to see that $\gamma_G(S^3) = 4$. More generally, if G acts on $S^{2d-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ with only one orbit type, G or G/H, then $\gamma_G(S^{2d-1}) = 2d$. I do not know the exact value of $\gamma_G(S^{2d-1})$ if both orbit types occur on S^{2d-1}.

(c) The following results are known for other groups G. If G is an elementary abelian p-group, $G = \mathbb{Z}/p \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/p$, p a prime, which acts continuously and without fixed points on a sphere S^{n-1} then $\gamma_G(S^{n-1}) = \tilde{\gamma}_G(S^{n-1}) = n$.

If G is a torus, $G = S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$, acting continuously and fixed point free on S^{2n-1} then $\gamma_G(SV) = \tilde{\gamma}_G(SV) = n$. A proof can be found in [CP]. In the case when the action of G is linear these two results can be easily obtained via a reduction to the case $\mathbb{Z}/p$.

If G is a compact Lie group and V a G-module such that the sphere SV is a free G-space then $\gamma_G(SV) \geq (\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V)/(1 + \dim G)$ (cf. [Ba]). See also [M] for related results.

A simple corollary of the theorem is the following result. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/2n$ act on $V \cong \mathbb{C}^d$ such that all isotropy groups on SV are contained in $H := \mathbb{Z}/n$. The orbit space $LV := SV/H$ is called a lens space (in particular when the action of H on SV is free). There exists a free action of $\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong G/H$ on LV.

COROLLARY 1.4. (a) $\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(LV) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$ *if n is odd.*
(b) $\gamma_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(LV) \geq 1 + (\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V)/2^r$ *if $n = 2^r \cdot$ odd, $r > 0$.*

If $n = 2$ and the action of G is free, $LV = \mathbb{R}P^{2d-1}$, we recover a result of [PS].

2. Reduction to an algebraic problem

We first prove part (a) of the theorem. Thus we consider the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/n \subset S^1$ has prime power order, $n = p^k, k \geq 1$.

Let V be a real G-module with $V^G = \{0\}$, SV its unit sphere, $d := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$. If $\gamma := \tilde{\gamma}_G(SV)$ there exist subgroups H_i of G, $H_i \neq G$, and a G-map $f: SV \to G/H_1 * \cdots * G/H_\gamma$. We have to show $\gamma \geq (p \cdot d + n - p)/n$.

The complex irreducible representations of G are denoted by $V_0, \ldots, V_{n-1}$, where all $V_i \cong \mathbb{C}$ and G acts on V_i via $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^i$.

LEMMA 2.1. *There exists a G-map $\varphi : SV_1^d \to SV_m^\gamma$, $m = n/p$.*

Proof. First consider the map

$$f * f : S(V \oplus V) \cong SV * SV \to G/H_1 * \cdots * G/H_\gamma * G/H_1 * \cdots * G/H_\gamma$$
$$\cong G/H_1 * G/H_1 * \cdots * G/H_\gamma * G/H_\gamma.$$

Next observe that $V \oplus V$ can be considered as a complex representation of G. Hence, it can be decomposed into $V_1, \ldots, V_{n-1}$. V_0 does not occur since $V^G = \{0\}$. The maps $V_1 \ni z \mapsto z^i \in V_i$ induce a G-map $SV_1^d \to S(V \oplus V)$. Moreover, there exists a G-map

$$G/H_i * G/H_i \to G/H * G/H \to SV_m.$$

Here $H = \mathbb{Z}/m$, all $H_i \subset H$. This gives the left map. The right one is induced by the map

$$G/H * G/H \ni [t_1, g_1H, t_2, g_2H] \mapsto t_1 g_1^m + t_2 g_2^m e^{\pi i/2} \in V_m \backslash \{0\}.$$

It is easy to check that this map is well defined, continuous and equivariant ($t_i \geq 0$, $t_1 + t_2 = 1$). Putting all these maps together yields $\varphi : SV_1^d \to SV_m^\gamma$. □

We now apply equivariant K-theory K_G to the map φ in order to get the required inequality. All facts about K_G which we need can be found in [A] and [S]. For a complex G-module W one can compute $K_G(SW)$ as follows. The Gysin sequence of W yields the exact sequence

$$K_G(pt) \xrightarrow{e_W} K_G(pt) \to K_G(SW) \to 0.$$

The map denoted by e_W is simply multiplication with the Euler class of W. Since the Euler class is multiplicative, $e_{W_1 \oplus W_2} = e_{W_1} \cdot e_{W_2}$, we only have to compute e_{V_i}. Now $K_G(pt) \cong RG \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(1 - x^n)$ and the representation V_i corresponds to the monomial x^i. The Euler class e_W corresponds to the element $\Sigma_{j=0}^{\dim W} \Lambda^j W$ where $\Lambda^j W$ is the j-th exterior power of W. In particualr, e_{V_i} corresponds to $V_0 - V_i$, i.e. to $1 - x^i$. Thus $K_G(SV_i^\alpha) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(1 - x^n, (1 - x^i)^\alpha)$. Next the homomorphism $\varphi^* : K_G(SV_m^\gamma) \to K_G(SV_1^d)$ is simply given by $\varphi^*(x) = x$. To see this observe that φ induces the identity

$$K_G(pt) \cong K_G(DV_m^\gamma) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} K_G(DV_1^d) \cong K_G(pt).$$

Here DW denotes the unit disc of W. So we have a homomorphism

$$\varphi^* : \mathbb{Z}[x]/(1 - x^n, (1 - x^m)^\gamma) \to \mathbb{Z}[x]/(1 - x^n, (1 - x)^d)$$

with $\varphi^*(x) = x$. This implies of course that

$$(1 - x^m)^\gamma \in (1 - x^n, (1 - x)^d).$$

In the next section (Proposition 3.1) we shall show that this implies

$$(m - 1) \cdot (\gamma - 1) \geq d - \gamma \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma \geq \frac{d + m - 1}{m}.$$

Using $m = n/p$ this is the desired inequality needed to prove (a).

To prove (b) let $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary. If the prime p divides $gcd\{|G/H| : (H) \in I(SV)\}$ it also divides n. Let $G_p := \mathbb{Z}/n_p \subset G$, $n_p = p^k$ the highest power of p dividing n (G_p is the p-Sylow subgroup of G). It is easy to see that $\gamma_G(SV) \geq \gamma_{G_p}(SV)$. This is true for all abelian groups G and all closed subgroups of finite index. It is false for $\tilde{\gamma}$. G_p acts without fixed points on SV since p divides $|G/H|$ for all $(H) \in I(SV)$. Thus we can apply (a) to get (b). □

Finally we prove the Corollary. Here $G = \mathbb{Z}/2n \supset \mathbb{Z}/n = H$, $n = 2^r \cdot$ odd. Writing $\gamma := \gamma_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(LV)$ there exists a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-map $LV \to S^{\gamma-1}$. Here we consider the antipodal action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on $S^{\gamma-1}$. This induces a G-map $SV \to S^{\gamma-1}$ where G acts on $S^{\gamma-1}$ via $G \to G/H$. We need only consider the 2-Sylow subgroup G_2 of G since G_2 acts without fixed points on SV; $|G_2| = 2^{r+1}$. So we know

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma \geq \tilde{\gamma}_{G_2}(SV) &\geq (2 \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V + 2^{r+1} - 2)/2^{r+1} \\ &= (\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V + 2^r - 1)/2^r.\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Computations in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

The goal of this section is to prove the following proposition which we needed in Section 2. Fix a prime p and let n be a power of p, $m := n/p$, and γ, d be natural numbers.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If* $(1 - x^m)^\gamma$ *is an element of the ideal generated by* $1 - x^n$ *and* $(1 - x)^d$ *in* $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ then $(m - 1) \cdot (\gamma - 1) \geq d - \gamma$.

Proof. First observe that $1 - x^m$ divides $1 - x^n$. Hence, under the assumptions of the Proposition

$$(1 - x^m)^{\gamma-1} \in (1 + x^m + \cdots + x^{(p-1)m}, (1 - x)^{d-1}).$$

Now assume $\gamma \leq d$. (If not the proposition is true). Hence,

$$(1 + x + \cdots + x^{m-1})^{\gamma-1} \in (1 + x^m + \cdots + x^{(p-1)m}, (1 - x)^{d-\gamma}).$$

We now set $a := \gamma - 1$, $b := d - \gamma$ and have to show $b \leq (m - 1)a$. Substituting $y = 1 - x$ denote

$$\varphi := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (1 - y)^i \in \mathbb{Z}[y] \quad \text{and} \quad \psi := \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (1 - y)^{mi} \in \mathbb{Z}[y].$$

Now

$$\varphi^a \in (\psi, y^b) \quad \text{iff} \quad \varphi^a/\psi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i y^i \in \mathbb{Q}[[y]]$$

is such that $s_0, \ldots, s_{b-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set

$$1/\psi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i y^i \in \mathbb{Q}[[y]].$$

LEMMA 3.2. (a) *For all* $i \geq 1$ *and all* $0 \leq j < (p-1)mi$: $p^i r_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(b) *For all* $i \geq 0$: $p^{i+1} r_{(p-1)mi} \in \pm 1 + p\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We use induction on i to prove both statements simultaneously. If $i = 0$ then $p \cdot r_0 = 1$ by definition of r_i. The first statement is trivially true.

Suppose the lemma is true for $i \geq 0$. We have to show:

(i) For all $0 \leq j < (p-1)m(i+1) =: J$: $p^{i+1} r_j \in \mathbb{Z}$,
(ii) $p^{i+2} r_J \in \pm 1 + p\mathbb{Z}$,

(i) is true by induction for all $j \leq (p-1)mi$. Take $j > (p-1)mi$ and suppose (i) is true up to $j-1$. Then by definition

$$p \cdot r_j = \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} (-1)^{\nu+1} r_{j-\nu} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu m}{\nu}.$$

Here and in the sequel we use the convention that $\binom{a}{b}$ is zero for $b > a$. We now compute mod 1.

$$\begin{aligned} p^{i+1} r_j &= p^i \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} (-1)^{\nu+1} r_{j-\nu} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu m}{\nu} \\ &\equiv p^i \sum_{\nu=1}^{j-(p-1)mi} (-1)^{\nu+1} r_{j-\nu} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu m}{\nu} \equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first congruence holds by induction. The second is true since for

$$\nu \leq j - (p-1)mi < (p-1)m: \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu m}{\nu} \in p\mathbb{Z},$$

(see Lemma 3.4). And $p^{i+1}r_{j-\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ by induction on j. To prove (ii) we compute mod p.

$$\begin{aligned} p^{i+2}r_J &= p^{i+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{J} (-1)^{\nu+1} r_{J-\nu} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu m}{\nu} \\ &= p^{i+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{(p-1)m} (-1)^{\nu+1} r_{J-\nu} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu m}{\nu} \\ &\equiv p^{i+1}(-1)^{(p-1)m+1} r_{(p-1)mi} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu m}{(p-1)m} \equiv \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

All congruences hold by induction (and Lemma 3.4). □

LEMMA 3.3. *Set* $J_a := (m-1) \cdot a$. *Remember*: $\varphi^a/\psi = \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i y^i$.
(a) *For all* $0 \le j < J_a : s_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(b) *For all* $i \ge 1$ *and all* $0 \le j < (p-1)mi : p^i s_{J_a+j} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(c) *For all* $i \ge 0 : p^{i+1} s_{J_a+(p-1)mi} \in \pm 1 + p\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We use induction on a.

If $a = 0$ then $J_a = 0$ and $s_j = r_j$. Hence, (a) is trivial and (b) and (c) correspond to (a) and (b) from Lemma 3.2.

Now suppose the lemma is true for $a \ge 0$. We write $\varphi^{a+1}/\psi = \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty} t_i y^i$ and have to show (a), (b) and (c) with $a+1$ instead of a and t_i instead of s_i. The t_i and s_i are related by the equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t_i y^i = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i y^i\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (1-y)^i\right).$$

We first prove (a). We only treat the case $p \mid m$. If $m = 1$ (i.e. $n = p$) then $J_a = J_{a+1} = 0$. This case is easier (and Theorem 1.2 is known for $n = p$).

If $j < J_a$ then $t_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ since it is true for all $s_j, j < J_a$. Now take $J_a \le j < J_{a+1}$ and suppose $t_{j-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_j - t_{j-1} &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{j} \sum_{\mu=\nu}^{m-1} s_{j-\nu}(-1)^{\nu} \binom{\mu}{\nu} - \sum_{\nu=0}^{j-1} \sum_{\mu=\nu}^{m-1} s_{j-1-\nu}(-1)^{\nu} \binom{\mu}{\nu} \\ &= m \cdot s_j + \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} (-1)^{\nu} \cdot s_{j-\nu} \left[1 + \sum_{\mu=\nu}^{m-1} \binom{\mu+1}{\nu}\right] \\ &= m \cdot s_j + \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} (-1)^{\nu} \left[\binom{m}{\nu} + \binom{m}{\nu+1}\right] \cdot s_{j-\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\nu < m-1$ then

$$\binom{m}{\nu}+\binom{m}{\nu+1}\in p\mathbb{Z},$$

and $p\cdot s_{j-\nu}\in\mathbb{Z}$ since $j-\nu<J_{a+1}<J_a+(p-1)m$.

If $\nu\geq m-1$ then $j-\nu<J_{a+1}-(m-1)=J_a$, hence $s_{j-\nu}\in\mathbb{Z}$. This yields $t_j\in\mathbb{Z}$ as required.

We next prove (b). Take $i\geq 1$ and $0\leq j<(p-1)mi$. Set $k:=J_{a+1}+j$. Then

$$p\cdot t_k=p^i\sum_{\nu=0}^{k}s_{k-\nu}\sum_{\mu=\nu}^{m-1}(-1)^\nu\binom{\mu}{\nu}.$$

If $\nu\geq m-1$ then $k-\nu\leq J_a+j$, hence $p^is_{k-\nu}\in\mathbb{Z}$.

If $\nu<m-1$ then

$$\sum_{\mu=\nu}^{m-1}\binom{\mu}{\nu}=\binom{m}{\nu+1}\in p\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $k-\nu\leq k<J_a+(p-1)m(i+1)$ we have $p^{i+1}\cdot s_{k-\nu}\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, we prove (c). Take $i\geq 0$ and set $j:=J_{a+1}+(p-1)mi$. Then

$$p^{i+1}t_j=p^{i+1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{j}s_{j-\nu}\sum_{\mu=\nu}^{m-1}(-1)^\nu\binom{\mu}{\nu}.$$

If $\nu<m-1$ then

$$\sum_{\mu=\nu}^{m-1}\binom{\mu}{\nu}\in p\mathbb{Z}\quad\text{and}\quad p^{i+2}s_{j-\nu}\in p\mathbb{Z},$$

since $p^{i+1}s_{j-\nu}\in\mathbb{Z}$.

If $\nu=m-1$ we have $j-\nu=J_a+(p-1)mi$, hence $p^{i+1}s_{j-\nu}\in\pm1+p\mathbb{Z}$. This yields $p^{i+1}t_j\in\pm1+p\mathbb{Z}$. □

The proposition is now a consequence of Lemma 3.3(c). Namely, if $i=0$ then $p\cdot s_{J_a}\in\pm1+p\mathbb{Z}$ which implies $s_{J_a}\notin\mathbb{Z}$. As mentioned before Lemma 3.2 $\varphi^a\in(\psi,y^b)$ iff $s_0,\ldots,s_{b-1}\in\mathbb{Z}$. We obtain $b\leq J_a=(m-1)\cdot a$ as required. □

In the proof of Lemma (3.2) we used a property of the binomial coefficients which we now prove.

LEMMA 3.4. *For all* $0 \le \nu < (p-1)m$: $\sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu m}{\nu} \in p\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Remember that m is a power of p, $m = p^l$.

Claim 1: For all $l \ge 1$ and all $\nu \notin p\mathbb{Z}$: $\binom{p^l}{\nu} \in p\mathbb{Z}$.

This is clear for $l = 1$. We compute mod p.

Using

$$\binom{a+b}{c} = \sum_{i=0}^{b} \binom{b}{i}\binom{a}{c-i}$$

we get

$$\binom{p^{l+1}}{\nu} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p} \binom{p}{i}\binom{p^l}{\nu - pi} \equiv \binom{p^l}{\nu} + \binom{p^l}{\nu - p^2} \equiv 0.$$

Claim 2: For all $\mu \ge 1$ and all $\nu \notin p\mathbb{Z}$: $\binom{\mu m}{\nu} \in p\mathbb{Z}$.

For $\mu = 1$ this is just Claim 1. Mod p we have

$$\binom{\mu m + m}{\nu} = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{m}{j}\binom{\mu m}{\nu - j} \equiv \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p|j}}^{m} \binom{m}{j}\binom{\mu m}{\nu - j} \equiv 0.$$

Claim 2 proves Lemma 3.4 if $\nu \notin p\mathbb{Z}$.

Claim 3: For all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$: $\binom{a}{b} \equiv \binom{pa}{pb} \bmod p$.

This is trivial for $a = 0$. Computing mod p we get

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{pa+p}{pb} &= \sum_{i=0}^{p} \binom{p}{i}\binom{pa}{pb-i} \equiv \binom{pa}{pb} + \binom{pa}{pb-p} \\ &\equiv \binom{a}{b} + \binom{a}{b-1} = \binom{a+1}{b}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\nu \in p\mathbb{Z}\backslash m\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\nu = \lambda p^r$ with $\lambda \notin p\mathbb{Z}$ and $r < l$, then

$$\binom{\mu m}{\nu} \equiv \binom{up^{l-r}}{\lambda} \equiv 0.$$

The first congruence is true because of Claim 3, the second because of Claim 2. Finally, if $\nu = \lambda m$ with $0 \leq \lambda < p-1$ we have mod p

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu m}{\nu} \equiv \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} = \binom{p}{\lambda+1} \equiv 0. \qquad \square$$

4. Problems and remarks

(4.1) The assumption $t := gcd\{|G/H| : (H) \in I(SV)\} \geq 2$ in Theorem 1.2 allowed us to reduce the problem to the case of a cyclic group of prime power order. What can be said if $t = 1$? Of course, one still has to exclude fixed points ($SV^G = \emptyset$).

(4.2) As mentioned in Remark 1.3(c) $\gamma_G(SV)$ has been computed for elementary abelian subgroups and tori. This can be done with elementary methods. It is natural to consider more general groups. The most promising to attack are p-groups. From the point of view of applications, e.g. the dihedral groups or other subgroups of $O(3)$ are important.

(4.3) Related to the genus $\gamma_G(X)$ is the equivariant Lusternik–Schnirelman category $\mathrm{cat}_G(X)$. This is the smallest integer n (or ∞) such that there exists a numerable covering of X consisting of n invariant subsets of X which can be equivariantly deformed inside X to an orbit. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{cat}_G(X) \geq \gamma_G(X)$; cf. [CP] or [Ba] for properties of cat_G, some computations and applications (in particular for $G \subset O(3)$). In [BCP] equivariant stable cohomotopy is used to show that $\mathrm{cat}_G(SV) = \infty$ for all p-groups G and infinite-dimensional G-modules V with $V^G = \{0\}$. Unfortunately, the argument there does not give any estimates of $\mathrm{cat}_G(SV)$ for finite-dimensional V. In the very special case $G = \mathbb{Z}/p^k$ Theorem 1.2 implies $\gamma_G(SV) = \infty$ if $\dim V = \infty$.

(4.4) For applications lower estimates of $\gamma_G(SV)$ are more important than upper estimates. Still it would be very interesting to give upper estimates or even to compute $\gamma_G(SV)$.

(4.5) Another problem is to compute $\gamma_G(X)$ for other G-spaces X, e.g. G-manifolds. If $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $X = \mathbb{R}P^{2n-1}$, $\mathbb{C}P^{2n-1}$ see [PS]. What if G acts nonlinearly on a sphere?

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[A] ATIYAH, M., *Lectures on K-theory*. Benjamin, New York 1967.

[Ba] BARTSCH, T., *Critical orbits of invariant functionals and symmetry breaking*. Forschungsschwerpunkt Geometrie, Universität Heidelberg, Heft Nr. 34 (1988).

[BCP] BARTSCH, T., CLAPP, M. and PUPPE, D., *A mountain pass theorem for actions of compact Lie groups*. (preprint).

[Be] BENCI, V., *A geometrical index for the group S^1 and some applications to the study of periodic solutions of ordinary differential equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. *34*, (1981), 393–432.

[CF] CONNER, P. E., and FLOYD, E. E., *Fixed point free involutions and equivariant maps I*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. *66* (1960), 416–441.

[CP] CLAPP, M., and PUPPE, D., *Critical point theory with symmetries*. (preprint).

[DL] DAI, Z. D., and LAM, T. Y., *Levels in algebra and topology*. Comment. Math. Helv. *59* (1984), 476–424.

[F] FADELL, E., *The relationship between Ljusternik–Schnirelman category and the concept of genus*. Pacific J. Math. *89* (1980), 33–42.

[K] KRASNOSELSKI, M. A., *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, London, New York, Paris 1964.

[M] MARZANTOWICZ, W., *A Borsuk–Ulam theorem for orthogonal T^k and $\mathbb{Z}_p^r$ actions and applications*. (preprint).

[PS] PFISTER, A., and STOLZ, S., *On the level of projective spaces*. Comment. Math. Helv. *62* (1987), 286–291.

[S] SEGAL, G., *Equivariant K-theory*, Publ. Math. IHES *34* (1968), 129–151.

[Y] YANG, C. T., *On the theorems of Borsuk–Ulam, Kakutani–Yamabe–Yujòbô and Dyson II*. Ann. of Math. *62* (1955), 271–283.

Mathematisches Institut der Universität,
Im Neuenheimer Feld 288,
D-6900 Heidelberg

Received February 20, 1989.