Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 64 (1989)

Artikel: Leafwise hyperbolicity of proper foliations.

Autor: Cantwell, John / Conlon, Lawrence DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-48949

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 20.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Leafwise hyperbolicity of proper foliations

JOHN CANTWELL¹ and LAWRENCE CONLON²

Introduction

A foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) is said to be *proper* if every leaf of \mathcal{F} is proper. A leaf L is proper if the relative topology of L in M coincides with the manifold topology of L. This is equivalent to requiring that each point $x \in L$ lies in a foliation chart $U \subset M$ such that $L \cap U$ is a single plaque. Equivalently, the leaf L is not asymptotic to itself.

Proper foliated manifolds have been studied by various authors. For example, in arbitrary codimension, Millett [Mi] has organized the leaves of such foliations into a countable ordinal hierarchy that is completely analogous to the Epstein hierarchy for foliations with all leaves compact [Ep].

In codimension one, with smoothness class at least C^2 , there is a more rigid hierarchy by integral *levels* [C-C1]. Leaves at a given level wind in on those at lower levels in a way reminiscent of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem. In [C-C2], this hierarchy is combined with Millett's to prove that C^2 -smoothness for proper foliated manifolds of codimension one implies C^{∞} -smoothability.

In the work of Gabai [Ga1], [Ga2], [Ga3], proper foliated 3-manifolds occur that are Reebless and of *finite depth* (which means that there is an upper bound on the levels). Generally, these foliations may only be of class C^0 , although frequently they are smooth.

In what follows, (M, \mathcal{F}) will denote a C^2 -foliated 3-manifold, where M is compact and orientable and \mathcal{F} is of codimension one and transversely orientable.

An interesting geometric problem is to find a Riemannian metric on M relative to which each leaf of \mathcal{F} is hyperbolic (i.e., has constant curvature -1). We say that such a metric is leafwise hyperbolic.

MAIN THEOREM. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be proper and assume that each component of ∂M is a leaf of \mathcal{F} . Then \exists a leafwise hyperbolic metric on M if and only if no leaf of \mathcal{F} is a torus or a sphere.

¹ Work partially supported by N.S.F. Contract 8420322.

² Work partially supported by N.S.F. Contract 8420956.

COROLLARY Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be proper and assume that each component of ∂M is either a leaf or is transverse to \mathcal{F} . If no compact leaf of \mathcal{F} is a torus, a sphere, a disk, or an annulus, then \exists a leafwise hyperbolic metric on M.

This corollary is immediate upon doubling (M, \mathcal{F}) along the transverse boundary.

Gabai's proper foliations of knot complements $M = S^3 \setminus N^0(k)$ are taut (that is, every leaf meets a closed transversal nontrivially) and admit a minimal genus spanning surface of the knot k as a leaf [Ga1, Theorem 5.5]. Together with the fact that $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, this implies that, for knots of genus greater than one, no compact leaf is a torus, a sphere, an annulus, or a disk. If the foliation can be chosen to be smooth, the corollary implies that it admits a leafwise hyperbolic metric.

The "only if" part of the Main Theorem is evident. The proof of the "if" part uses the Poincaré-Bendixson theory of totally proper leaves [C-C1, §6] and the smooth parametrization of hyperbolic structures by Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates [Th, § 5].

Remark. The hypothesis in [C-C1] that $\partial M = \emptyset$ can be replaced with the hypothesis that ∂M is a union of leaves without affecting any of the theorems or proofs in that paper. We fix this hypothesis throughout.

1. Constructing a hyperbolic skeleton

In this section, unless otherwise specified, (M, \mathcal{F}) need not be proper.

We fix a smooth, one dimensional foliation \mathscr{F}^{\perp} of M that is everywhere transverse to \mathscr{F} . There corresponds a decomposition

$$T(M) = T(\mathscr{F}) \oplus T(\mathscr{F}^{\perp})$$

into the respective sub-bundles of tangents to the two foliations. We will fix a Riemannian metric on $T(\mathcal{F}^{\perp})$. All metrics constructed on T(M) will be understood to induce this fixed metric on $T(\mathcal{F}^{\perp})$ and to make the two summands orthogonal. Accordingly, in this and the following sections, the Riemannian metrics that we will be constructing will be metrics on $T(\mathcal{F})$.

Let $O(\mathcal{F})$ denote the family of open, \mathcal{F} -saturated subsets of M and, for $U \in O(\mathcal{F})$, let \hat{U} denote the completion of U in any Riemannian metric inherited from a Riemannian metric on M. As is well known [Di], [C-C1], [H-H], [Go], \hat{U} is a manifold with boundary and the inclusion $i: U \hookrightarrow M$ induces a C^2 immersion $\hat{i}: \hat{U} \to M$ that may identify some components of $\partial \hat{U}$ pairwise. Then we obtain a

 C^2 foliation, $\hat{i}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}$, of \hat{U} that is tangent to $\partial \hat{U}$, while $\hat{i}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^{\perp}) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\perp}$ is a smooth, one dimensional foliation of \hat{U} , everywhere transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$.

Of special interest is the case in which \hat{U} is diffeomorphic to $L \times [0, 1]$ in such a way that the leaves of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\perp}$ are identified with the intervals $\{x\} \times [0, 1]$, $\forall x \in L$. Following our usage elsewhere (e.g., [C-C1]), we will say that U is a *foliated product*. We will also identify L with the leaf $\hat{i}(L \times \{0\})$ of \mathcal{F} , which may or may not be the same as the leaf $\hat{i}(L \times \{1\})$.

DEFINITION. A closed subset $X \subset M$ that is a finite union of leaves will be called a skeleton of (M, \mathcal{F}) if each component of $M \setminus X$ is a foliated product.

(1.1) LEMMA. If (M, \mathcal{F}) is proper, it has a skeleton.

Proof. Choose a countable family $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of leaves of \mathscr{F} such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i$ is everywhere dense in M. Let $X_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^k L_i$, $\forall k \geq 1$. Since (M, \mathscr{F}) is proper, the closure \bar{X}_k of X_k is a finite union of leaves [C-C1, (4.7)]. Since $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{X}_k$ is everywhere dense in M, the components of $M \setminus \bar{X}_k$ will all be foliated products, for k sufficiently large [Di, Proposition 1].

Our proof of the Main Theorem will proceed by finding, first, a metric making all leaves of the skeleton X hyperbolic and, then, by modifying the metric in each of the complementary foliated products. For the first step, the only property of the skeleton X that will be needed is that it is a compact union of finitely many leaves.

Let X be a compact, nonempty, union of finitely many leaves of \mathcal{F} , these being, of necessity, proper whether or not the foliation is proper (the closure of a nonproper leaf contains uncountably many leaves by the results in [C-C1, § 4 and § 5]). There will be an integer $n \ge 0$ such that each leaf in X has level at most n and, for each of the integers $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, at least one leaf in X has level k. The leaves at level 0 are exactly the compact ones, and the leaves at level k > 0 are asymptotic to some of the leaves at each level strictly less than k, but to no others. For the general theory of levels, see [C-C1].

Let $L \subset X$ be a leaf at level k > 0. The Poincaré-Bendixson theory of totally proper leaves applies [C-C1, §6] and gives a decomposition

$$L = A \cup B^1 \cup \cdots \cup B^r,$$

together with projections

$$p^j: B^j \to L^j$$

that are *spirals* onto leaves $L^j \subset X$ at lower levels. Each p^j is a projection along leaves of \mathscr{F}^\perp and is a semi-covering with covering semigroup the positive integers \mathbb{Z}^+ . Indeed, if $y_0 \in B^j$ and $p^j(y_0) = y \in L^j$, there is a compact subarc of a leaf of \mathscr{F}^\perp , having endpoints y_0 and y, which meets B^j in a sequence of points $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ that converges monotonically to y. The semigroup action of \mathbb{Z}^+ on B^j is generated by the proper imbedding $\pi: B^j \to B^j$ defined by $\pi(y_0) = y_1$. Finally, the submanifold A is compact and connected, $A \cap B^j = \partial B^j$ is also a component of ∂A , $1 \le j \le r$, and $B^i \cap B^j = \emptyset$ if $i \ne j$.

The above action of \mathbb{Z}^+ corresponds to an element of contracting holonomy of the leaf L^j . This element of holonomy determines a *compactly supported* cohomology class $\alpha \in H^1_c(L^j; \mathbb{Z})$. The proof that α is compactly supported makes essential use of the hypothesis that (M, \mathcal{F}) is of class C^2 . The Poincaré dual of α can be represented by a compact, connected, nonseparating submanifold $N^j \subset L^j$ of codimension one, called the *juncture* of the spiral p^j . In our case, dim $(L^j) = 2$, so N^j is always a circle.

The geometric interpretation of the juncture N^j is as follows. The manifold B^j will be an "infinite repetition" of segments $\{B_i^j\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, each diffeomorphic to a copy of the manifold L^j_* with two boundary components that is obtained by cutting L^j along N^j . If k > 1, then B_i^j and B_{i+k}^j will be disjoint, $\forall i \geq 0$, while $B_i^j \cap B_{i+1}^j = N^j_{i+1}$ will be a common boundary component of these manifolds. We also let N^j_0 denote ∂B^j , one of the two components of ∂B^j_0 . Finally, the projection p^j will carry N^j_i diffeomorphically onto N^j , $\forall i \geq 0$.

For all of the above, only the homology class of N^j in L^j matters, so we will always take the juncture to be a closed geodesic in a metric relativized from a metric on M.

Finally, it should be remarked that the compact manifold $A \subset L$ can be chosen as large as desired. It follows that, if L is not topologically a plane or a cylinder, then A can be chosen to be neither a disk nor an annulus.

For more details concerning the Poincaré-Bendixson theory of totally proper leaves, the reader should consult [C-C1, § 6].

(1.2) PROPOSITION. Let X be a compact union of finitely many leaves of \mathcal{F} . Then, if no leaf in X is a torus or a sphere, \exists a Riemannian metric g on M and an open neighborhood W of X such that $g \mid W$ is a leafwise hyperbolic for $\mathcal{F} \setminus W$ and such that projection along the leaves of $\mathcal{F}^{\perp} \mid W$ defines local isometries between the leaves of $\mathcal{F} \mid W$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the levels of the leaves in X. The leaves at level 0 are compact and, by the hypothesis, each supports a hyperbolic metric. In standard fashion, one constructs a metric on M that relativizes to the chosen

hyperbolic metric on each leaf at level 0 in X. Arrange that, on the union W_0 of suitable disjoint normal neighborhoods of these compact leaves (the normal fibers are open subarcs of leaves of \mathcal{F}^{\perp}), the metric is the lift, via the projection, of the hyperbolic metric. Hence, the metric is leafwise hyperbolic in W_0 and projection along the normal fibers defines local isometries between the leaves of $\mathcal{F} \mid W_0$.

Let X_k denote the union of all leaves in X at levels at most k. This is a compact set. Let $W_k \subseteq M$ be an open neighborhood of X_k obtained as the (generally not disjoint) union of sufficiently small normal neighborhoods of the leaves in X_k . Inductively, assume that a metric on M has been found relative to which each leaf of $\mathcal{F} \mid W_k$ has constant curvature -1 and such that the local projections along leaves of $\mathcal{F}^\perp \mid W_k$ define local isometries between the leaves of $\mathcal{F} \mid W_k$. Let L be a leaf in X at level k+1. Choose a decomposition $L = A \cup B^1 \cup \ldots \cup B^r$ with spirals $p^j : B^j \to L^j$, $1 \le j \le r$. By the inductive hypothesis, each of the leaves L^j is hyperbolic and we can arrange, as remarked above, that the juncture N^j be a closed geodesic in L^j . The metric on each leaf L^j is lifted, via p^j , to a hyperbolic metric on B^j in which ∂B^j is a closed geodesic. Furthermore, this metric on $B^j \cap W_k$ agrees with the one already defined.

An easy induction on the level of L (necessarily > 1) shows that this leaf has infinite genus, so the compact submanifold A can be chosen to be neither a disk nor an annulus. Decompose A into "pairs of pants". Each pair of pants has a hyperbolic metric, uniquely specified (up to isometries that are isotopic to the identity) by requiring each boundary circle to be a geodesic of specified length [Po]. Using this, one readily extends the metric on $\bigcup_{j=1}^r B^j$ smoothly over A so as to produce a hyperbolic metric on the leaf L. One does this for each leaf $L \subset X$ at level k+1. There is a neighborhood \tilde{W} of X_{k+1} of the desired type, obtained by extending W_k via disjoint, normal neighborhoods of the compact sets $L \setminus W_k$, and the metric extends over \tilde{W} to be as desired. Select the new neighborhood W_{k+1} of X_{k+1} by shrinking \tilde{W} slightly in the \mathcal{F}^\perp directions and then modify the metric in $\tilde{W} \setminus W_{k+1}$ so that it extends C^2 -smoothly to a Riemannian metric on M.

2. The metric on the foliated products

In this section, we assume that (M, \mathcal{F}) is proper. By (1.1) and (1.2), we choose a skeleton $X \subset M$ and a Riemannian metric on M that makes each leaf in X hyperbolic.

Let U be a component of $M \setminus X$. Fix the identification $\hat{U} \cong L \times [0, 1]$. Let

$$\hat{\rho}: L \times \{0\} \rightarrow L \times \{1\}$$

be projection along the leaves of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\perp}$. That is to say, $\hat{\rho}(x, 0) = (x, 1)$. Let $L = \hat{i}(L \times \{0\})$ and $\tilde{L} = \hat{i}(L \times \{1\})$. The projection $\hat{\rho}$ defines a diffeomorphism

$$\rho: L \to \tilde{L}$$

that is generally not an isometry. For instance, it is quite possible that $L = \tilde{L}$ and then, if L is not compact, it is impossible that ρ be the identity and generally it is impossible that it be an isometry. Consequently, we cannot expect $\hat{\rho}$ to be an isometry either.

Recall the decomposition of L into the compact submanifold A and "arms" B^j , $1 \le j \le r$, together with $p^j: B^j \to L^j$. Let $\tilde{A} = \hat{i}(A \times \{1\})$, let $\tilde{B}^j = \hat{i}(\tilde{B}^j \times \{1\})$, $1 \le j \le r$, and let p^j denote both of the spirals $B^j \to L^j$ and $\tilde{B}^j \to L^j$. The metrics on B^j and \tilde{B}^j have been lifted by p^j from a hyperbolic metric on L^j , $\forall j$. If $L = \tilde{L}$, then, depending on the side of the leaf L^j on which the arm B^j spirals, either ρ or ρ^{-1} carries B^j into itself and generates the covering semigroup \mathbb{Z}^+ on B^j . In any case, ρ carries B^j isometrically onto \tilde{B}^j and it follows that, in \hat{U} and relative to the metric pulled back by \hat{i} , the projection

$$\hat{\rho}: L \times \{0\} \rightarrow L \times \{1\}$$

carries $B^j \times \{0\}$ isometrically onto $B^j \times \{1\}$, $1 \le j \le r$. The problem alluded to in the previous paragraph is that the diffeomorphism $\hat{\rho} \mid (A \times \{0\})$ of $A \times \{0\}$ onto $A \times \{1\}$ is not generally an isometry. It is true, however, that $\hat{\rho}$ is an isometry of the boundary geodesics since these are also boundary geodesics for the arms.

Fix a decomposition of $A \times \{0\}$ into pairs of pants, each bounded by closed geodesics. Then $\hat{\rho}$ carries this to a decomposition of $A \times \{1\}$ into pairs of pants, but the boundary circles that are not already components of $\partial A \times \{1\}$ may not be geodesics. These circles can be replaced with closed geodesics in their free homotopy class, giving a decomposition of $A \times \{1\}$ that is diffeomorphic to the first one. Finally, one smoothly alters $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\perp} \mid (A \times [0, 1])$, without changing it near $A \times \{0, 1\}$ nor near $\partial A \times [0, 1]$, so that $\hat{\rho}$ carries the decomposition of $A \times \{0\}$ to the one of $A \times \{1\}$, although *not isometrically*. Remark that \mathcal{F}^{\perp} itself has been slightly modified, but in regions not affecting any preceding construction.

(2.1) LEMMA. The Riemannian metric on $A \times \{0, 1\}$ extends to a metric g' on $A \times [0, 1]$ that restricts to a hyperbolic metric g'_t on each level set $A \times \{t\}$. For t sufficiently near 0 or 1, respectively, the metric g'_t is independent of t. Finally, g'_t makes each component $\Sigma \times \{t\}$ of $\partial A \times \{t\}$ into a geodesic isometric to Σ , $0 \le t \le 1$.

Proof. The space of isotopy classes of hyperbolic metrics on A, relative to which the components of ∂A are closed geodesics of specified lengths, is smoothly parametrized as a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N , in a way that depends on the choice of decomposition of A into pairs of pants. These coordinates, due to Fenchel and Nielsen [Th, § 5], are the logarithms of the lengths of the boundary components of the pairs of pants, other than the components of ∂A , together with real numbers that record relative "twisting" along common boundary geodesics. Since $\hat{\rho}$ carries the decomposition of $A \times \{0\}$ to that of $A \times \{1\}$, we can view the metrics on these manifolds as points (a_1, \ldots, a_N) and (b_1, \ldots, b_N) in the same Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N . Choose a smooth path $\sigma:[0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^N$ that joins these points and is constant near 0 and 1. Then $\sigma(t) = g'_t$ is a hyperbolic metric on $A \times \{t\}$, $0 \le t \le 1$, and all assertions follow.

Should it happen that $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \mid (A \times [0, 1])$ is the product foliation, we are done. This is not generally the case. Furthermore, if Σ is a component of ∂A and if F is a leaf of $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \mid (A \times [0, 1])$, the components of $F \cap (\Sigma \times [0, 1])$ need not be closed.

Let \mathcal{H}' denote the product foliation of $A \times [0, 1]$ and let \mathcal{H} denote the foliation of that manifold induced by $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. Then g' is a Riemannian metric on $T(\mathcal{H}')$. If $v_i \in T_{(x,t)}(\mathcal{H})$, i=1, 2, let $v_i' \in T_{(x,t)}(\mathcal{H}')$ be the unique vector that differs from v_i by an element of $T(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp)$. Define a Riemannian metric g on $T(\mathcal{H})$ by setting $g(v_1, v_2) = g'(v_1', v_2')$.

(2.2) LEMMA. Under the metric g, each leaf of \mathcal{H} has constant curvature -1.

Proof. For v_i and v_i' as above, it is evident that (v_1, v_2) is a g-orthonormal frame if and only if (v_1', v_2') is g'-orthonormal. It will be enough, therefore, to show that the respective curvature tensors satisfy the identity

$$(R(v_1, v_2)v_2)' = R'(v_1', v_2')v_2'.$$

Let $\lambda: A \times [0, 1] \to A$ be the canonical projection. Set $\omega_i = \lambda_*(v_i) = \lambda_*(v_i')$, i = 1, 2. Let X_i be a smooth extension of ω_i to a field on A. Let $Y_i \in \Gamma(T(\mathcal{H}))$ and $Y_i' \in \Gamma(T(\mathcal{H}'))$ be the unique elements that are λ -related to X_i , i = 1, 2. By integrability, $[Y_1, Y_2] \in \Gamma(T(\mathcal{H}))$ and $[Y_1', Y_2'] \in \Gamma(T(\mathcal{H}'))$, and these fields are λ -related to $[X_1, X_2]$. It follows that $[Y_1, Y_2]' = [Y_1', Y_2']$. Let ∇ and ∇' be the respective Levi-Civita connections (along the leaves of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' , respectively) for the metrics g and g'. The well known formula for the Levi-Civita connection [K-N, p. 160] involves only the metric and the bracket operation, hence

$$(\nabla_{Y_1}Y_2)'=\nabla'_{Y_1}Y_2'$$

and it follows that $(R(v_1, v_2)v_2)' = R'(v_1', v_2')v_2'$.

(2.3) LEMMA. Let H be a leaf of \mathcal{H} . Then each component of $H \cap (\partial A \times [0, 1])$ is a geodesic for the metric $g \mid H$ and λ defines a local isometry of this geodesic onto one of the closed geodesics bounding A.

Proof. Let J be a subarc of a component of $H \cap (\partial A \times [0, 1])$, small enough that λ carries it one-one into ∂A . Relative to g, let v be a unit tangent field along J. At each point of J, the corresponding vector v' has unit length relative to g'. Since λ is an isometry of each $\partial A \times \{t\}$ (in the g' metric) onto ∂A , it follows that $\lambda_*(v)$ is a unit tangent field along $\lambda(J)$. The field $\lambda_*(v)$ lifts to a field Z on $\lambda^{-1}(J)$ that is everywhere tangent to \mathcal{H} . The corresponding field Z', tangent to \mathcal{H}' , has unit g'-length and is everywhere tangent to curves that are geodesics in leaves of \mathcal{H}' , hence $\nabla'_{Z'}Z' \equiv 0$. Then, as in the proof of (2.2), $(\nabla_Z Z)' = \nabla'_{Z'}Z' \equiv 0$, so $\nabla_Z Z \equiv 0$. In particular, J is a geodesic segment for $g \mid H$. \square

Remarks. Let g^j be the metric on $B^j \times [0, 1]$ lifted from B^j via projection. Since $\partial B^j \subset \partial A$ is a closed geodesic, the subarc J in the above proof has exactly the same geodesic structure relative to the metric g^j as it has relative to g.

We now build the metric g on \hat{U} (more precisely, on $T(\hat{\mathcal{F}})$) by using the metric constructed above in $A \times [0, 1]$ and using, in each arm $B^j \times [0, 1]$, the lifted metric g^j . The only problem is to make sure these definitions fit together smoothly (i.e., C^2). For this, it will be enough to verify leafwise smoothness.

(2.4) COROLLARY. The metric g, as defined above on \hat{U} , is of class C^2 and leaf-wise hyperbolic.

Proof. Let H be a leaf of \mathcal{H} and let J be a small open subarc of $H \cap (\partial A \times [0, 1])$, as in the proof of (2.3). Let W be an open subset of H such that $W \cap (\partial A \times [0, 1]) = J$. Let $W^+ = W \cap (A \times [0, 1])$ and $W^- = W \cap (B^j \times [0, 1])$ and let $\varphi^{\pm} : W^{\pm} \to \mathbb{H}^2$ be isometric mappings into the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 . Then $\varphi^-(J)$ and $\varphi^+(J)$ are hyperbolic line segments of the same length and, by standard hyperbolic geometry, there is an isometry $\tau : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2$ such that $\tau(\varphi^-(J)) = \varphi^+(J)$. Thus, the isometric imbeddings $\tau \circ \varphi^- : W^- \to \mathbb{H}^2$ and $\varphi^+ : W^+ \to \mathbb{H}^2$ fit together to define an isometric imbedding $\tilde{\varphi} : W \to \mathbb{H}^2$. \square

(2.5) LEMMA. Outside of a compact subset of $A \times]0, 1[$, the metric g is just the lift, via projections along the leaves of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\perp}$, of the hyperbolic metric on $\partial \hat{U}$ that is pulled back from the skeleton X via \hat{i} .

Proof. This is clear in the arms. In $A \times [0, 1]$, it follows from the relation between g and g' and the fact that, for t sufficiently near 0 (respectively, 1), g'_t is independent of t. \square

For each component U of $M \setminus X$, we have produced a leafwise hyperbolic metric of class at least C^2 . We claim that these metrics, together with the hyperbolic metric on X produced in (1.2), assemble to form the desired C^2 metric on M. Indeed, the metric in (1.2) is of class C^2 and, by (2.5), it agrees in a neighborhood of X with the metric we have just produced. Since the only points where differentiability could fail are the points of X, the proof of the Main Theorem is complete.

References

- [C-C1] J. CANTWELL and L. CONLON, Poincaré-Bendixson theory for leaves of codimension one, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 265 (1981), 181-209.
- [C-C2] J. CANTWELL and L. CONLON, Smoothability of proper foliations, Ann. Inst. Fourier, (to appear).
- [Di] P. DIPPOLITO, Codimension one foliations of closed manifolds, Ann. of Math., 107 (1978), 403-453.
- [Ep] D. B. A. EPSTEIN, Periodic flows on 3-manifolds, Ann. of Math., 95 (1972), 68-82.
- [Ga1] D. GABAI, Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds, J. Diff. Geo., 18 (1983), 445-503.
- [Ga2] D. GABAI, Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds II, J. Diff. Geo., 26 (1987), 461-478.
- [Ga3] D. GABAI, Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds III, J. Diff. Geo., 26 (1987), 479-536.
- [Go] C. GODBILLON, Feuilletages, Études Geometrique, II, Publ. Inst. de Recherche Math. Avancée, Univ. Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 1986.
- [H-H] G. HECTOR and U. HIRSCH, Introduction to the Geometry of Foliations, Part B, Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1983.
- [K-N] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. I, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [Mi] K. MILLETT, Generic properties of proper foliations, (I.H.E.S. preprint).
- [Po] V. POÉNARU, Rappels de géométrie hyperbolique en dimension 2 et généralités sur $i: \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}_+$, Astérisque, 66–67 (1979), 33–35.
- [Th] W. THURSTON, The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds, Princeton Lecture Notes.

St. Louis University

St. Louis, MO 63103

and

Washington University St. Louis, MO 63130

Received March 16, 1987