**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
**Band:** 61 (1986)

**Artikel:** A non-quasicircle with almost smooth mapping functions.
**Autor:** Lesley, F. David
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-46932

**Nutzungsbedingungen**
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

**Conditions d'utilisation**
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

**Terms of use**
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

**Download PDF:** 18.10.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch**

Comment. Math. Helvetici 61 (1986) 279–289 0010–2571/86/020279–11$01.50 + 0.20/0
© 1986 Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel

# A non-quasicircle with almost smooth mapping functions

F. David Lesley

## 1. Introduction

A Jordan curve $\Gamma$ in the $\omega$-plane is a quasicircle (or quasiconformal curve) if, for all $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma$ and any $\omega$ on $C(\omega_1, \omega_2)$, the arc of smaller diameter between $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$,

$$\frac{|\omega_1 - \omega| + |\omega - \omega_2|}{|\omega_1 - \omega_2|} < M, \tag{1.1}$$

for a constant $M > 0$ depending on $\Gamma$.

Let $f$ be a conformal mapping of the disk $D = \{\zeta : |\zeta| < 1\}$ onto $\Omega$, the interior of $\Gamma$, and let $f^*$ be a conformal mapping of $D^* = \{\zeta : |\zeta| > 1\}$ onto $\Omega^*$, the exterior of $\Gamma$. Since $\Gamma$ is a Jordan curve, these functions extend continuously to homeomorphisms of $\partial D$ with $\Gamma$. We shall say that a function $g$ is Lip $(\alpha)$, or Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha$ in its domain if there exist $K > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ for which

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq K\,|x - y|^\alpha \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x$ and $y$ in the domain of $g$.

It is well known that if $\Gamma$ is a quasicircle, then $f$, $f^{-1}$, $f^*$ and $f^{*-1}$ are Hölder continuous on the closure of their domains, and in fact the Hölder exponents can be expressed in terms of the $M$ in (1.1) ([5, 8]). The question then arose as to whether Hölder continuity of the four functions implies that $\Gamma$ is a quasicircle. This is true if $f$ and $f^{-1}$ (or $f^*$ and $f^{*-1}$) are Lip (1). The question was settled by Becker and Pommerenke [1] who constructed a curve $\Gamma$ which is not a quasicircle, but for which the functions are all Hölder continuous. The exponents, however, are less than $\frac{1}{4}$, and the question remained as to how large the exponents can be with $\Gamma$ not a quasicircle. Since $f^{-1}$ and $f^{*-1}$ are Lip $(\alpha)$ for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ whenever $\Gamma$ is a

quasicircle [5], one might conjecture that $\Gamma$ is a quasicircle if the exponents are sufficiently large (but still less than 1). We prove the following

THEOREM. *There exists a non-quasicircle $\Gamma$ for which the mapping $f$ of $\bar{D}$ onto $\bar{\Omega}$ is* Lip $(\alpha)$ *for all $\alpha < 1$ and the mapping $f^*$ of $\bar{D}^*$ onto $\bar{\Omega}^*$ is* Lip (1). *The inverse mappings $f^{-1}$ and $f^{*-1}$ are* Lip $(\alpha)$ *for all $\alpha < 1$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{\Omega}^*$ respectively. In fact, $|f'(e^{i\theta})|$ is exponentially integrable while $1/|f'(e^{i\theta})|$, $|f^{*\prime}(e^{i\theta})|$ and $|1/f^{*\prime}(e^{i\theta})|$ are uniformly bounded on $\partial D$.*

Let $\mu$ be Lebesgue measure on $[0, 2\pi)$ and define

$$m(\lambda, f') = \mu(\{\theta \in [0, 2\pi) : |f'(e^{i\theta})| > \lambda\}), \tag{1.3}$$

to be the distribution function of $|f'|$. Using the fact that for constant $A$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{A\,|f'(e^{i\theta})|}\, d\theta = 2\pi + A \int_0^{\infty} e^{A\lambda} m(\lambda, f')\, d\lambda, \tag{1.4}$$

the exponential integrability of $|f'|$ follows if there exists $M$ such that for $\lambda > M$ and $B > A$,

$$m(\lambda, f') \leq e^{-B\lambda}. \tag{1.5}$$

We shall construct $\Gamma$ such that an inequality like (1.5) holds for any $B > 0$, so that the integral in (1.4) will be finite for any $A > 0$. $\Gamma$ will be constructed so that $|f'|$ is non-zero and finite on $\partial D$ and $m(\lambda, f')$ will be estimated using the techniques in [4] and [6], where curves were constructed with all mappings Lip (1), but $\Gamma$ respectively not smooth or "asymptotically conformal." In the last section we shall mention some other phenomena exhibited by the example, in connection with the Muckenhoupt $A_\infty$ condition for $|f'|$.

## 2. Construction of the curve and estimation of derivatives

Let $S_1 = \{z = x + iy : |y| < \pi/2\}$ and $S_2 = \{z = x + iy : \pi/2 < y < 3\pi/2\}$. We shall construct a strip domain $\Sigma_1$, in the $w = u + iv$ plane, which is bounded by $C_2 = \{w : v = -\pi/2\}$ and a Jordan arc $C_1$ with $-\infty$ and $+\infty$ as endpoints. This $C_1$ will be very close to the line $v = \pi/2$. The strip $\Sigma_2$ will be the "complementary" strip bounded by $C_1$ and $C_2' = \{w : v = 3\pi/2\}$.

We then define $w_1(z) = u_1(z) + iv_1(z)$ and $w_2(z) = u_2(z) + iv_2(z)$ to be the conformal mappings of $S_1$ and $S_2$ respectively onto $\Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2$, with $w_j(-\infty) = -\infty$,

$w_j(+\infty) = +\infty$, $w_j(\pi i/2) = \pi i/2 \in \partial\Sigma_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. We denote by $z_j(w)$ the inverse of $w_j(z)$, for $j = 1, 2$.

Next, define

$$\omega(w) = \frac{e^w - 1}{e^w + 1}, \qquad w \in \overline{\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2}$$

and

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{e^z - 1}{e^z + 1}, \qquad z \in \overline{S_1 \cup S_2}.$$

Then $S_1$ and $S_2$ correspond to the interior and exterior of the unit disk in the $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ plane, while $\Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2$ correspond to the interior $\Omega$ and exterior $\Omega^*$ of a closed Jordan curve $\Gamma$ in the $\omega = s + it$ plane. $C_1$ will be constructed so that the image $\Gamma$ of $C_1 \cup C_2$ is not a quasicircle. The function $f(\zeta) = \omega(w_1(z(\zeta)))$ is a conformal mapping of $D$ onto $\Omega$ and $f^*(\zeta) = \omega(w_2(z(\zeta)))$ is a conformal mapping of $D^*$ onto $\Omega^*$. Both functions may be assumed to be extended continuously to the closures of their domains.

Now, by the chain rule we have, for $\zeta \neq \pm 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{df}{d\zeta}(\zeta)\right| &= \left|\frac{d\omega}{dw}\right| \left|\frac{dw_1}{dz}\right| \left|\frac{dz}{d\zeta}\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{2e^w}{(e^w+1)^2}\right| \left|\frac{dw_1}{dz}\right| \left|\frac{(e^z+1)^2}{2e^z}\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{dw_1}{dz}\right| e^{x-u_1(z)} \left|\frac{1+e^{-z}}{1+e^{-w}}\right|^2 \\
&= \left|\frac{dw_1}{dz}\right| e^{x-u_1(z)}(1+o(1)), \quad \text{as} \quad x \to +\infty. \qquad (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Our goal here is to estimate the distribution function (1.3) and to show that $1/|f'(e^{i\theta})|$ is bounded uniformly from above, so that we must estimate $|dw_1/dz|$ and $x - u_1(z)$ for points on $\partial\Sigma_1$. Similar considerations connect $|f^{*\prime}|$ to $|dw_2/dz|$ and $x - u_2(z)$ on $\partial\Sigma_2$.

We now construct $C_1$. We start with "building blocks" as in [6]. For each $k \geq 3$ consider the following circles in the $\tilde{\omega} = s + it$ plane.

$$T_1 : (t - \pi)^2 + (s - k)^2 = (\pi - k^{-1/2})^2$$

$$T_2 : t^2 + (s - u_2)^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4}, \qquad T_3 : t^2 + (s - u_3)^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4}$$

where $u_2 = k - ((\pi/2 - k^{-1/2})^2 + 2\pi(\pi/2 - k^{-1/2}))^{1/2}$ and $u_3 = k + ((\pi/2 - k^{-1/2})^2 + 2\pi(\pi/2 - k^{-1/2}))^{1/2}$, so that $T_2$ and $T_3$ are tangent to $T_1$. Let $L = \{s + it : s \geq 0,\ t = \pi/2\}$. We trace a curve $\Gamma_k$ as follows. Starting at $\pi i/2$ move to the right, first along $L$ to $T_2$, then on $T_2$ to $T_1$, on $T_1$ to $T_3$, on $T_3$ to $L$ and then on $L$ to $+\infty$. Let $\Gamma_k'$ be the reflection of $\Gamma_k$ across the $s$-axis and let $\Omega_k$ be the "half strip" bounded by $\Gamma_k \cup \Gamma_k' \cup \{ti : |t| \leq \pi/2\}$. (See the figure, upper left.)




For $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_k$, let $w_k(\tilde{\omega}) = -ie^{-\tilde{\omega}} + w_k$ for $w_k = g(k) + \pi i/2$. The function $g(k) = e^{k \ln k}$ will guarantee (1.5). Other choices of $g(k)$ will yield corresponding integrability of $|f'|$, as will be evident. We shall work with $g(k) = e^{k \ln k}$ for our purposes. Let $D_k$ be the image of $\Omega_k$ under this $w_k(\tilde{\omega})$. Let $\Sigma = \{w = u + iv : |v| < \pi/2\}$. Delete from $\Sigma$ the half disks $\{w : |w - w_k| < 1,\ v < \pi/2\}$ and replace them with the $D_k$. The resulting domain is then $\Sigma_1$, and we let $\Sigma_2 = \{w = u + iv : -\pi/2 < v < 3\pi/2\} - \bar{\Sigma}_1$. The upper boundary of $\Sigma_1$ is then the curve $C_1$, with a sequence of shrinking and narrowing double bumps going to $+\infty$. Under the mapping $\omega = (e^w - 1)/(e^w + 1)$, $\Sigma_1$ corresponds to a domain $\Omega$ which is nearly a unit disk, with a sequence of double bumps converging to $\omega = 1$. It is clear that (1.1) fails for $\partial\Omega$, because the bottlenecks on the $\Omega_k$ have width $2/\sqrt{k}$. A rigorous argument can be easily obtained from that on page 229 of [6].

As previewed above we consider the conformal mappings $w_j(z)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ from $S_j$ onto $\Sigma_j$ with $w_j(-\infty) = -\infty$, $w_j(+\infty) = +\infty$ and $w_j(\pi i/2) = \pi i/2$, and define $f$ and $f^*$ on $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{D}^*$ accordingly. We shall work with $|f'|$ and $1/|f'|$; the proofs for the exterior mappings are simpler, as will be noted later. In order to use (2.1) we first observe that there exists $K_1$, constant, such that for all $z \in S_1$,

$$-K_1 < x - u_1(z) < K_1. \tag{2.2}$$

The upper bound follows as in Lemma 5 of [6] from the Ahlfors upper inequality (see [2]) while the lower bound follows similarly from the Ahlfors lower inequality (the Ahlfors distortion theorem). We now turn to the estimation of $|dw_1/dz|$, which depends on the $\Omega_k$. For each $k$ ($\geq 3$) let $z_k = z_1(w_k)$ and define $\tilde{\zeta}_k(z) = \operatorname{Log}(1/(z - z_k)) - \pi i/2$ for $z \in S_1$, so that $|\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\zeta}_k(z)| < \pi/2$. For $w_k(\tilde{\omega}) = -ie^{-\tilde{\omega}} + w_k$, the function $\zeta_k(\tilde{\omega}) = \tilde{\zeta}_k(z_1(w_k(\tilde{\omega})))$ maps $\Omega_k$ conformally onto a half strip $S_k$ which is bounded by the horizontal rays from $\zeta_k(\pi i/2)$ and $\zeta_k(-\pi i/2)$ to $+\infty$ and by an arc $\gamma_k$ in $\{|\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\zeta}| < \pi/2\}$. As with (2.1) we see that for $z \in z_1(D_k)$, with $z_1(w)$ the inverse of $w_1(z)$ and $\omega_k(\tilde{\zeta})$ the inverse of $\zeta_k(\tilde{\omega})$, we have

$$\left|\frac{dw_1}{dz}\right| = \left|\frac{d\omega_k}{d\tilde{\zeta}}\right| e^{\xi - s_k(\tilde{\zeta})}. \tag{2.3}$$

Here $\tilde{\zeta} = \tilde{\zeta}_k(z)$ and $\omega_k(\tilde{\zeta}) = s_k(\xi + i\eta) + it_k(\xi + i\eta)$.

In order to estimate $\xi - s_k(\tilde{\zeta})$ on the horizontal boundary of $S_k$, we shall again use the Ahlfors inequalities. For a given $\Omega_k$ we let $\sigma(s)$ denote the vertical crosscut $\{\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega} = s\} \cap \Omega_k$. Let $\theta_k(s)$ be the length of $\sigma(s)$. We then define

$$\underline{\xi}_k(s) = \min \xi_k(\tilde{\omega}) \quad \tilde{\omega} \in \sigma(s), \qquad \bar{\xi}_k(s) = \max \xi_k(\tilde{\omega}) \quad \tilde{\omega} \in \sigma(s)$$

where $\xi_k(\tilde{\omega}) = \operatorname{Re} \zeta_k(\tilde{\omega})$. We first prove

LEMMA 1. *For $\tilde{\zeta} = \xi + i\eta \in S_k$, we have, for constant $K_2$,*

$$-K_2 < \xi - s_k(\tilde{\zeta}) < K_2 k \quad \textit{for each } k. \tag{2.4}$$

*Proof.* We begin by showing that there exists $K_3$ for which

$$-K_3 \leq \xi_k(it) < K_3 \quad \text{for all } k. \tag{2.5}$$

Because $\Sigma_1$ is so nearly a parallel strip $z_1(w)$ has an unrestricted derivative at $\infty$: $z_1(w) - w \to l$ as $w \to \infty$ for a real $l$ ([10], [11]). Choose $M$ such that for $\operatorname{Re} w > M$ and $w \in \bar{\Sigma}_1$ we have

$$|z_1(w) - w - l| < \tfrac{1}{10},$$

and choose $N$ such that for $k > N$, all $D_k$ lie in the half plane $\{\operatorname{Re} w > M\}$. Then for $|t| \leq \pi/2$,

$$|z_1(-ie^{-it} + w_k) - (-ie^{-it} + w_k) - l| < \tfrac{1}{10}$$

and from $|z_k - w_k - l| < \frac{1}{10}$, we obtain

$$|(z_1(-ie^{-it} + w_k) - z_k) - (-ie^{-it})| < \tfrac{2}{10}$$

Thus

$$\tfrac{4}{5} < |z_1(-ie^{-it} + w_k) - z_k| < \tfrac{6}{5}$$

and

$$\log \tfrac{5}{6} < \xi_k(it) = -\log |z_1(-ie^{-it} + w_k) - z_k| < \log \tfrac{5}{4}$$

from which (2.5) follows.

From the Ahlfors distortion theorem we have

$$\underline{\xi}_k(s) - \bar{\xi}_k(0) \geq \int_0^s \frac{\pi}{\theta_k(t)}\, dt - 2\pi$$

so that

$$\xi_k(\tilde{\omega}) - s \geq \int_0^s \frac{\pi - \theta_k(t)}{\theta_k(t)}\, dt - 2\pi + \bar{\xi}_k(0)$$

and the left side of (2.4) follows from (2.5) and the fact that $\pi - \theta_k(t) \geq 0$. Next we apply the Ahlfors upper inequality as expressed in Theorem 3 of [2], to see that

$$\bar{\xi}_k(s) - \underline{\xi}_k(0) \leq \int_0^s \frac{\pi\, dt}{\theta(t)} + k\frac{\pi}{2} + \pi k^{1/2},$$

so that

$$\xi_k(\tilde{\omega}) - s \leq \int_0^s \frac{\pi - \theta(t)}{\theta(t)}\, dt + \frac{\pi}{2} k + \pi k^{1/2} + \underline{\xi}_k(0).$$

Then the right inequality of (2.4) follows from the above, (2.5) and the construction of $\Omega_k$.

LEMMA 2 *There exist positive $K_4$ and $M$, independent of $k$, such that for $\xi \in \partial S_k$ with* Re $\xi > M$,

$$\frac{1}{K_4} < \left|\frac{d\omega_k}{d\xi}\right| < K_4 k^{1/2}.$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is essentially that of Lemma 3 of [4]. (See also Lemma 7 of [6].) Briefly, the right inequality holds because for each $\tilde{\omega} \in \partial\Omega_k$ with $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega} > \pi/2$, one may inscribe a circle of radius at least $k^{-1/2}$ in $\Omega_k$, tangent to $\partial\Omega_k$ at $\tilde{\omega}$ and with center on the $s$ axis. Furthermore, the image of the $s$ axis is asymptotic to the $\xi$ axis. One then bounds $|d\omega_k/d\tilde{\zeta}|$ by a Schwarz lemma argument. The lower bound is simpler in that at each $\tilde{\omega} \in \partial\Omega_k$ there is a circle of radius $\pi - k^{-1/2}$ in the exterior of $\Omega_k$, tangent to $\partial\Omega_k$ at $\tilde{\omega}$.

It is now evident from (2.3) and Lemmas 1 and 2 that for $z \in \partial\Sigma_1 \cap D_k$, we have

$$\frac{1}{K_4} e^{-K_2} \leq \left|\frac{dw_1}{dz}\right| \leq K_4 k^{1/2} e^{K_2 k} < e^{K_5 k}. \tag{2.6}$$

At every other point of $\partial\Sigma_1$, there are tangent circles interior and exterior to $\partial\Sigma_1$ with radius $\pi$, and the image of the $x$ axis under $w_1(z)$ is asymptotic to the $u$ axis in $S_1$. Thus there exists $K_6 > 0$ for which

$$\frac{1}{K_6} < \left|\frac{dw_1}{dz}\right| < K_6$$

on the rest of $\partial\Sigma_1$. It now follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.6) that $1/|f'(e^{i\theta})| \in L^\infty(\partial D)$.

Next we must estimate the length of the image of $\partial\Sigma_1 \cap D_k$ under $\omega(w) = (e^w - 1)/(e^w + 1)$, recalling that $D_k$ is centered at $w_k = g(k) + i\pi/2$.

Let $z_k' = z_1(w_k - 1) = x_k' + i\pi/2$, $z_k'' = z_1(w_k + 1) = x_k'' + i\pi/2$, $\zeta_k' = \zeta(z_k')$ and $\zeta_k'' = \zeta(z_k'')$ so that $\zeta_k'$ and $\zeta_k''$ are the endpoints of the interval $I_k \subset \partial D$ which corresponds to $\partial D_k \cap \Sigma_1$. Since $z_1(w)$ has an unrestricted derivative $l$ at $+\infty$, $x_k' \to g(k) - 1 + l$ and $x_k'' \to g(k) + 1 + l$ as $k \to \infty$. Thus for $|I_k|$ the length of $I_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_k| &= \operatorname{Arg} \zeta(z_k') - \operatorname{Arg} \zeta(z_k'') \\
&= \operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{e^{z_k'} - 1}{e^{z_k'} + 1} \frac{e^{z_k''} + 1}{e^{z_k''} - 1}\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{ie^{x_k'} - 1}{ie^{x_k'} + 1} \frac{ie^{x_k''} + 1}{ie^{x_k''} - 1}\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{e^{x_k' + x_k''} + 1 - i(e^{x_k'} - e^{x_k''})}{e^{x_k' + x_k''} + 1 + i(e^{x_k'} - e^{x_k''})}\right) \\
&= 2\tan^{-1} \frac{e^{x_k''} - e^{x_k'}}{e^{x_k'' + x_k'} + 1} \leq 2\tan^{-1} \frac{e^{x_k'' - x_k'} - 1}{e^{x_k''}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x_k'' - x_k' \to 2$ and $x_k'' \to g(k) + l + 1$ we see that there exists $K_7 > 0$ for which

$$|I_k| < K_7 e^{-g(k)} \quad \text{for each } k, \text{ noting that } k \geq 3. \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.6), we obtain, for $g(k) = e^{k \ln k}$ and a positive constant $K_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{\theta : |f'(e^{i\theta})| > K_0 e^{K_5 k}\} &< \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} |I_n| \\ &< \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} K_7 \exp(-e^{n \log n}) \\ &< K_8 \exp(-e^{k \log k}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

for a positive constant $K_8$.

Now let $\lambda_k = e^{K_5 k}$. Then for any $A > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} A \int_{\lambda_3}^{\infty} e^{A\lambda} m(\lambda, f')\, d\lambda &= \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} A \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} e^{A\lambda} m(\lambda, f')\, d\lambda \\ &\leq \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} m(\lambda_k, f') A \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} e^{A\lambda}\, d\lambda \\ &\leq \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} m(\lambda_k, f') e^{A\lambda_{k+1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} K_8 \exp(-e^{k \log k} + A e^{k_5(k+1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (2.8). Since this series converges for any $A > 0$, it follows from (1.4) that $|f'(e^{i\theta})|$ is exponentially integrable to any power.

The boundedness of $|f^{*\prime}|$ and $1/|f^{*\prime}|$ follow in the same way as that of $1/|f'|$. The argument is applied to $\Omega_k^*$ which is bounded by $\Gamma_k$, its reflection across $s = \pi$ and $\{ti : \pi/2 < t < 3\pi/2\}$. Rather than a narrowing, $\Omega_k^*$ has a widening, so that a disk inside $\Omega_k^*$ with radius $\pi/2$ is tangent to $\Gamma_k$ at any $\tilde{\omega} \in \Gamma_k$ ($\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega} > \pi/2$).

## 3. Hölder continuity and further remarks

Since $|f^{*\prime}|$ is bounded on $\partial D$, $f^*$ is in $\operatorname{Lip}(1)$. An application of Hölder's inequality shows that $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ on $\bar{D}$, for any $\alpha < 1$, because $f' \in L^p$ for any $p < \infty$. The Hölder continuity of the inverse functions is less obvious, as $\Gamma$ is not a quasicircle. However, by a theorem of Pommerenke [9, Theorem 1], the fact that

$|f^{*\prime}|$ is bounded above and below on $\partial D$ implies that $\Gamma$ is an "exterior quasicircle," which is defined as follows. For $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma$, let

$$d_{\Omega^*}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \inf_C \operatorname{diam} C$$

where $C$ ranges over all arcs which lie in $\Omega^*$ except for their endpoints, $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. We say that $\Gamma$ is an "exterior quasicircle" if there exists $M > 0$ such that for every $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma$,

$$\operatorname{diam} C(\omega_1, \omega_2) \leq M d_{\Omega^*}(\omega_1, \omega_2).$$

With the corresponding definition of "interior quasicircle," it is easy to see that if $\Gamma$ is both an interior and exterior quasicircle then it is a quasicircle.

In [4, Corollary to Theorem 1] it is shown that if $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ on $\partial D$ and if $\Gamma$ is a quasicircle then $f^{*-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}(1/(2-\alpha))$ on $\Gamma$. This proof in fact only requires that $\Gamma$ be an exterior quasicircle, for then the result of Lemma 4 in [7] holds and the fact that $f^{*-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}(1/(2-\alpha))$ on $\Gamma$ follows exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2 in [7]. Thus $f^{*-1}$ is Hölder continuous for any exponent less than 1.

We now turn to the proof that $f^{-1}$ is $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha < 1$. Let $s(\omega)$ denote arclength on $\Gamma$, starting at some $\omega_0 \in \Gamma$, proceeding in the positive direction to $\omega$. Choose $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma$, and let $f^*(\zeta_i) = \omega_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Since $f^*$ is $\operatorname{Lip}(1)$ on $\partial D$, we have for some $K > 0$

$$|s(\omega_1) - s(\omega_2)| < K\,|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| = K\,|f^{*-1}(\omega_1) - f^{*-1}(\omega_2)| \tag{3.1}$$

The Hölder continuity of $f^{*-1}$ yields, for some $K_1 > 0$,

$$|f^{*-1}(\omega_1) - f^{*-1}(\omega_2)| < K_1\,|\omega_1 - \omega_2|^{\alpha} \tag{3.2}$$

for any $\alpha < 1$. But from the rectifiability of $\Gamma$ it follows that $f^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous on $\Gamma$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{-1}(\omega_1) - f^{-1}(\omega_2)| &= \left| \int_{s(\omega_1)}^{s(\omega_2)} f^{-1\prime}(s)\, ds \right| \\ &\leq K_2\,|s(\omega_1) - s(\omega_2)| \end{aligned}$$

where $|f^{-1\prime}| \leq K_2$. This together with (3.1) and (3.2) yields the Hölder continuity of $f^{-1}$ for any exponent $\alpha < 1$.

This example is also of interest in connection with the Muckenhoupt $A_\infty$

condition for $|f'|$, which is equivalent to the existence of $\delta > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that for any interval $I \subset \partial D$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |f'(z)|^{1+\delta}\,|dz|\right)^{1/1+\delta} &\leq \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |f'(z)|\,|dz| \\ &\leq M\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int |f'(z)|^{-\delta}\,|dz|\right)^{-1/\delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

This of course implies that $|f'| \in L^{1+\delta}$ and $|1/f'| \in L^{\delta}$; it also implies that $\log f'$ is of bounded mean oscillation.

We shall say that $\Gamma$ has bounded arclength – interior distance ratio if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that,

$$\frac{l(C(\omega_1, \omega_2))}{d_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2)} < M \tag{3.4}$$

where $l(\ )$ denotes arclength. A corresponding definition holds for bounded arclength – exterior distance ratio. If (3.4) holds then $\Gamma$ is an interior quasicircle. Pommerenke [9] has shown that (3.4) is equivalent to the condition that $\Omega$ is a Smirnov domain ($\log|f'| \in H^1$) and $|f'|$ satisfies the $A_\infty$ condition.

By a result of Jerison [3], the rectifiability of our $\Gamma$ and the fact that $1/f'$ is bounded imply that $\Omega$ is a Smirnov domain, so that Pommerenke's theorem implies that $|f'|$ does not satisfy the $A_\infty$ condition (since (3.4) fails for our $\Gamma$). Thus, our example yields a function $|f'|$ which is exponentially integrable, with $1/|f'|$ bounded, but for which (3.3) fails. Furthermore $\log|f'|$ is of bounded mean oscillation, since $\arg f'$ is bounded on $\partial D$.

## REFERENCES


[1] J. Becker and Ch. Pommerenke, *Hölder continuity of conformal mappings and non-quasiconformal Jordan curves*, Comment. Math. Helvetici *57* (1982), 221–225.

[2] J. A. Jenkins and K. Oikawa, *On results of Ahlfors and Hayman*, Illinois J. Math. *15* (1971), 664–671.

[3] D. Jerison, *The failure of $L^p$ estimates for harmonic measure in chord–arc domains*, Michigan Math. J. *30* (1983), 191–198.

[4] F. D. Lesley, *On interior and exterior conformal mappings of the disk*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) *20* (1979), 67–78.

[5] F. D. Lesley, *Hölder continuity of conformal mappings at the boundary via the Strip Method*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. *31* (1982), 341–354.

[6] F. D. Lesley, *Domains with Lipschitz mapping functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A *I.8* (1983), 219–234.

[7] F. D. LESLEY, *Conformal mappings of domains satisfying a wedge condition*, Proc. A.M.S. *93* (1985), 483–488.

[8] R. NÁKKI and B. PALKA, *Quasiconformal circles and Lipschitz classes*, Comment. Math. Helv. *55* (1980), 485–498.

[9] CH. POMMERENKE, *One sided smoothness conditions and conformal mapping*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) *26* (1982), 77–88.

[10] B. RODIN and S. E. WARSCHAWSKI, *Extremal length and univalent functions*, Math. Z. *153* (1977), 1–17.

[11] S. E. WARSCHAWSKI, *Über das Randverhalten der Ableitung der Abbildungsfunktion bei konformer Abbildung*, Math. Z. *35* (1932), 322–456.

*Department of Mathematics*
*San Diego State University*
*San Diego, California 92182*

Received April 15, 1985/February 5, 1986