

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 61 (1986)

Artikel: Sphere-packing and volume in hyperbolic 3-space.
Autor: Meyerhoff, Robert
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-46931>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 04.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Sphere-packing and volume in hyperbolic 3-space

ROBERT MEYERHOFF⁽¹⁾

I. INTRODUCTION

A hyperbolic 3-manifold is a Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature -1 . We will restrict our attention to complete orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds M ; as such, we can think of M as H^3/Γ where Γ is a discrete torsion-free subgroup of $\text{Isom}_+(H^3)$, the orientation-preserving isometries of hyperbolic 3-space. We will generally work in the upper-half-space model H^3 of hyperbolic 3-space, in which case $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts as orientation-preserving isometries on H^3 by extending the action of $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on the Riemann sphere (boundary of H^3) to H^3 . An orbifold is a space locally modelled on \mathbb{R}^n modulo a finite group action. Complete orientable hyperbolic 3-orbifolds Q correspond to discrete subgroups Γ of $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$. If the discrete group Γ corresponding to M or Q has parabolic elements then M or Q is said to be cusped.

Unless otherwise stated, we will assume all manifolds and orbifolds are orientable. Mostow's theorem implies that a complete, hyperbolic structure on a 3-orbifold of finite volume is unique. Consequently, hyperbolic volume is a topological invariant for orbifolds admitting such structures. Jørgensen and Thurston proved (see [T] section 6.6) that the set of volumes of complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds is well-ordered and of order type ω^ω . In particular, there is a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of minimum volume V_1 among all complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds, and a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold of minimum volume V_ω . Further, all volumes of closed manifolds are isolated, while volumes of cusped manifolds are limits from below (thus the notation V_ω).

Modifying the proofs in the Jørgensen–Thurston theory yields similar results for complete hyperbolic 3-orbifolds (this result is folklore, and we will not prove it here). In particular, there is a hyperbolic 3-orbifold of minimum volume V'_1 , and a cusped hyperbolic 3-orbifold of minimum volume V'_ω .

¹ Partially supported by NSF grant MCS 8201827.

In [M1] and [M2] it is proved that

$$0.00064 < V_1 \leq \text{vol}(M_{(5,1)}) \approx 0.98$$

$$\sqrt{3}/4 \leq V_\omega \leq \text{vol}(S^3 - \text{figure-eight knot}) = 2V \approx 2.02988$$

$$0.0000013 < V'_1 \leq 2 \cdot \text{vol}(\circ \! \! \! \circ \! \! \! \circ \! \! \! \circ) \approx 0.072$$

$$\sqrt{3}/24 \leq V'_c \leq \text{vol}(H^3/PGL_2(\mathcal{O}_3)) = V/12 \approx 0.0846$$

where $M_{(5,1)}$ is the manifold obtained by performing $(5, 1)$ Dehn surgery on the figure-eight knot in the 3-sphere, V is the volume of the ideal regular tetrahedron in H^3 , $\circ \! \! \! \circ \! \! \! \circ \! \! \! \circ$ denotes the (non-orientable) tetrahedral orbifold with that Coxeter diagram (see [T] theorem (13.5.3)), and \mathcal{O}_3 is the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$.

The left-hand inequalities of all of these estimates can be improved by using sphere-packing arguments. In this paper we prove,

$$0.00082 < V_1 \leq 0.98 \dots^{(2)}$$

$$V/2 \leq V_\omega \leq 2V^{(3)}$$

$$0.0000017 < V'_1 \leq 0.07177 \dots$$

$$V/12 \leq V'_c \leq V/12$$

From the last set of inequalities we see $V'_c = V/12$, i.e.

THEOREM. *The orbifold $Q_1 = H^3/PGL_2(\mathcal{O}_3)$ has minimum volume among all orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-orbifolds.*

NOTE. Q_1 is the orientable double-cover of the (non-orientable tetrahedral orbifold with Coxeter diagram $\circ \! \! \! \circ \! \! \! \circ \! \! \! \circ$ (see [H] section 1). This tetrahedral orbifold has fundamental domain $1/24$ of the ideal regular hyperbolic tetrahedron (use the symmetries). In particular, Q_1 has a cusp and its volume is $1/12$ the volume of the ideal regular tetrahedron, i.e. $\text{vol}(Q_1) = V/12 \approx 0.0846$.

Remark. The four right-hand inequalities above are simply a list of the lowest volume orbifolds and manifolds of the various types known to date. These volumes are computed by decomposing the orbifold or manifold into hyperbolic

² Jeff Weeks has found a hyperbolic 3-manifold with less volume than $M_{(5,1)}$ (Princeton Univ. Ph.D. thesis, 1985).

³ Colin Adams has improved the left-hand inequality for V_ω by a factor of 2 (preprint, 1985).

tetrahedra and then using Lobachevsky's formula to compute the volumes of these tetrahedra (see [T] chapter 7 for the case of ideal hyperbolic tetrahedra, and [La] for the case of non-ideal tetrahedra – actually, these tetrahedra must be further decomposed into “doubly-rectangular” tetrahedra). The decomposition into tetrahedra for tetrahedral orbifolds is trivial. The tetrahedral decomposition of the figure-eight knot complement in the 3-sphere is carried out in [T] pages 3.6 and 3.7. Finally, solving the holonomy equations in section 4.6 of [T] for $(p, q) = (5, 1)$ produces a decomposition of $M_{(5,1)}$ into ideal hyperbolic tetrahedra (off of the surgered geodesic).

II. Sphere-packing

We will be concerned with how densely equal radius balls can be packed without overlapping. In general, the density of S with respect to (finite volume) T is

$$d(S, T) = \frac{\text{vol}(S \cap T)}{\text{vol}(T)}.$$

We can extend this notion to Euclidean n -space \mathbf{E}^n , i.e. $T = \mathbf{E}^n$ and $S =$ (the union of non-overlapping, equal-radius balls), by defining *upper* and *lower densities*

$$d_U = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} d(S, B(p, r)) \quad \text{and} \quad d_L = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} d(S, B(p, r))$$

where $B(p, r)$ is the radius r ball in \mathbf{E}^n centered at p . If $d_L = d_U$ then we have a notion of *global density* for \mathbf{E}^n . The fact that d_L and d_U are independent of the base point p chosen is proven in [FT] pages 161, 162 (see also pg. 261). The argument hinges on the fact that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B(p, r + \varepsilon))}{\text{vol}(B(p, r))} = 1.$$

Attempting to use this notion of global density in hyperbolic n -space H^n is problematic because

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B(p, r + \varepsilon))}{\text{vol}(B(p, r))} = e^{\varepsilon(n-1)}$$

(in H^3 , $\text{vol}(B(p, r)) = \pi(\sinh(2r) - 2r)$). We will avoid this problem by dealing with a “local” notion of density. Given a collection \mathcal{B} of equal radius, non-overlapping balls in H^n we define the *local density* of a ball B in \mathcal{B} to be

$$\ell d(B, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{\text{vol}(B \cap D)}{\text{vol}(D)} = d(B, D)$$

where $D = \{p \in H^n : p \text{ is closer to } B \text{ than to any other ball } B' \text{ in } \mathcal{B}\} := D(B, \mathcal{B})$ is the Dirichlet region for B with respect to \mathcal{B} . This notion is ideally suited to studying volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds $M = H^3/\Gamma$ because, given an embedded ball in M , the collection of all lifts of this ball to H^3 gives a packing \mathcal{B} of H^3 upon which Γ acts transitively, and $D(B, \mathcal{B})$ for any B in \mathcal{B} is a fundamental domain for $M = H^3/\Gamma$ (see [G] Section 2.5). A similar notion holds for orbifolds $Q = H^3/\Gamma$, but we may have to “chop” B and D due to torsion elements in Γ . That is, if Γ_b is the stabilizer of the center b of B , then D/Γ_b is a fundamental domain for $Q = H^3/\Gamma$ (see [Be] Section 9.6). This is not a problem, because $d(B, D) = d(B/\Gamma, D/\Gamma_b)$.

We can generalize local density to deal with a horoball packing (“horoball” is defined in Section III). The notion of a Dirichlet region $D = D(B, \mathcal{B})$ still makes sense if we define the distance of a point p from a horoball B to be the length of the unique perpendicular geodesic from p to the horosphere boundary of B . The fact that $B \cap D$ and D have infinite volume creates some problems. Thus, we define *local density* $\ell d(B, \mathcal{B})$ in a 2-step procedure: Assume we are in upper-half-space H^3 and that B is centered at the point at infinity. Then, we define

$$d_t = \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(B \cap D \cap A(t, c))}{\text{vol}(D \cap A(t, c))}$$

where $A(t, c) = \{(x, y, z) : -c < x < c, -c < y < c, \text{ and } z \geq t\}$. This definition is independent of the choice of origin (here the origin is $(0, 0, t)$); the independence-of-origin proof is a re-working of the proof for \mathbb{E}^n mentioned above, using the fact that horoballs have Euclidean structures on their horosphere boundaries and that $\text{vol}(A(t, c)) = c^2/2 \cdot t^2$. Since d_t is an increasing function of t , we can define $\ell d(B, \mathcal{B}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} d_t$.

This is the appropriate notion of local density to use in studying hyperbolic 3-manifolds $M = H^3/\Gamma$ with cusps. If we know that a cusped manifold contains an embedded cusp neighborhood, then lifting these cusp neighborhoods to H^3 gives a collection \mathcal{B} of disjoint horoballs B upon which Γ acts transitively; but $D(B, \mathcal{B})$ is no longer a fundamental domain for Γ . To get a fundamental domain F for Γ

we simply take F to be a fundamental domain for the action of Γ_c on $D(B, \mathcal{B})$ where Γ_c is the stabilizer of the center c of B (Γ_c is made up entirely of parabolic transformations). Using the above definition of local density for horoball packings, we have

$$\ell d(B, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{\text{vol}(B \cap F)}{\text{vol}(F)}.$$

The above holds verbatim for cusped orbifolds $Q = H^3/\Gamma$ except that Γ_c may have elliptic as well as parabolic transformations.

We now state Böröczky's theorem (which applies to constant curvature spaces of arbitrary dimension) in the case of hyperbolic 3-space (See [B] theorems 1 and 4):

THEOREM (Böröczky). *Consider 4 spheres of radius r in H^3 each touching all the others. Their centers determine a regular tetrahedron T of edge length $2r$ and dihedral angles 2α where $\sec(2\alpha) = 2 + \text{sech}(2r)$. Let S be the union of the 4 balls of radius r bounded by the 4 spheres. Then, for any radius r sphere-packing \mathcal{B} in H^3 the local density satisfies*

$$\ell d(B, \mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{\text{vol}(S \cap T)}{\text{vol}(T)} = \frac{(6\alpha - \pi)(\sinh(2r) - 2r)}{\text{vol}(T)} := d(r).$$

This result holds for horosphere packings as well, in which case the centers of the horoballs (points of tangency with ∂H^3) determine an ideal regular tetrahedron T , and

$$\ell d(B, \mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{\text{vol}(S \cap T)}{\text{vol}(T)} = \frac{4(\sqrt{3}/8)}{V} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2V} \approx 0.853, \quad \text{where } V = \text{vol}(T).$$

Remark. It was shown in [BF] that $d(r)$ is an increasing function of r . The number $d(0) \approx 0.7797$ is the density (with respect to the regular tetrahedron they determine) of 4 mutually touching equal radius balls in \mathbf{E}^3 . The 4 horoball packing can be extended uniformly to all of H^3 . In some sense, this is the densest packing of equal radius spheres in H^3 . The densest packing of equal radius spheres in \mathbf{E}^3 is not known even though the analog of the above theorem holds for \mathbf{E}^n . The difficulty is that the above tetrahedral packing does not extend uniformly to a global packing of \mathbf{E}^3 (See [SL] and [R]).

III. Remarks on hyperbolic space

As mentioned in Section 1, we are working in the upper-half-space model for hyperbolic 3-space, $H^3 = \{(x, y, z) : z > 0\}$ with metric $ds^2 = (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)/z^2$ and volume form $dV = dx dy dz/z^3$; $\partial H^3 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. The orientation-preserving isometries of hyperbolic 3-space can be identified either with $PGL_2(\mathbb{C}) = GL_2(\mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^*$ or $PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) = SL_2(\mathbb{C})/\pm I$ (See [S] pg. 448–449). But note that if \mathcal{O}_d is the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ then $PGL_2(\mathcal{O}_d)/PSL_2(\mathcal{O}_d) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ where $PGL_2(\mathcal{O}_d) = GL_2(\mathcal{O}_d)/\{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_d^*\}$ and $PSL_2(\mathcal{O}_d) = SL_2(\mathcal{O}_d)/\pm I$ (See [H] pg. 346). Thus, the use of $PGL_2(\mathcal{O}_d)$, and not $PSL_2(\mathcal{O}_d)$, in the statement of Theorem 1.

In H^3 a horoball B is either:

- 1) a Euclidean ball in $\{(x, y, z) : z \geq 0\}$ which is tangent to the xy plane, the point of tangency being the center of B ; or it is
- 2) a half space of the form $\{(x, y, z) : z \geq a > 0\}$, in which case the center of B is the point at ∞ .

Note that the hyperbolic metric on H^3 induces the Euclidean metric $ds^2 = (dx^2 + dy^2)/a^2$ on $\partial B \cap H^3 = \{(x, y, z) : z = a\}$, that is the bounding horosphere of the horoball B is flat. There is no real distinction between horoballs of type 1 and type 2, because there are isometries of H^3 taking either to the other. In particular, all horospheres are flat.

A discrete group Γ is said to have a *cusps* if Γ contains a parabolic element γ . Let the fixed point of γ be $p \in \partial H^3$; then Γ_p , the stabilizer of p , is of importance. Γ_p contains no hyperbolic elements (See [Be] theorem 5.1.2). In the manifold case Γ_p contains only parabolic transformations. In the orbifold case Γ_p may have elliptic elements.

IV. Sphere-packing and volume

It can be proved that short geodesics (length less than approximately 0.107) in complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds have embedded tubular neighborhoods (“solid tubes”), and that the shorter the geodesic the bigger the volume of the solid tube (See [M1]). This solid tube construction can be used to produce a lower bound for the volume of complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds (without cusps). The argument is as follows. A non-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold $M = H^3/\Gamma$ must have either an embedded ball of radius r or a geodesic of length less than $2r$. If we take $r = 0.053475$ then the embedded ball $B(0.053475)$ contributes at least 0.00064 to the volume of M , while a geodesic of length at most $2r = 0.10695$ has an embedded tubular neighborhood of volume at least 0.00068 (See [M1]). Thus, the volume of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold must be greater than 0.00064. By

choosing a smaller r we get more volume in the solid-tube case, but less in the embedded-ball case; thus the overall volume estimate is lower. The value $r = 0.053475$ was chosen to maximize the overall volume estimate; call this value or r the “trade-off value”. (Since cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds have volume greater than $\sqrt{3}/4$ we have that all complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds have volume at least 0.00064, i.e. $V_1 > 0.00064$ (See [M1]).)

Böröczky’s theorem can be used to improve the lower bound of 0.00064. Specifically, Böröczky’s theorem yields an improved volume contribution in the embedded-ball case. The argument is as follows. As mentioned in Section 2, the lifts of an embedded ball $B(r)$ to H^3 yield a packing \mathcal{B} of H^3 ; and a Dirichlet domain $D(B, \mathcal{B})$ for any ball B in the packing is a fundamental domain for Γ . Using Böröczky’s theorem, we have $\text{vol}(B(0.053475))/\text{vol}(H^3/\Gamma) = \text{vol}(B(0.053475))/\text{vol}(D(B, \mathcal{B})) \leq d(0.053475)$. Thus $\text{vol}(H^3/\Gamma) \geq \text{vol}(B(0.053475))/d(0.053475) > 0.00082$, and we have improved our estimate if an embedded ball of radius 0.053475 sits in M . This technique does not effect the solid-tube contribution; thus, if r is taken as 0.053475 then our lower bound is still 0.00064. However, we can take a smaller value of r and improve our solid-tube volume contribution while only marginally effecting our embedded-ball volume. In particular taking $r = 0.053463$ yields a solid-tube volume greater than 0.00082 while the embedded-ball volume is still greater than 0.00082. Thus, we have that 0.00082 is a lower bound for the volume of complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds; that is $V_1 > 0.00082$.

For orbifolds $Q = H^3/\Gamma$ without cusps the analysis is essentially the same except that the relevant “trade-off” radius is 0.0535 and the volume of the “chopped” solid ball is roughly 0.00000134 (see [M2]). Thus by the density argument $\text{vol}(Q) > 0.0000017$, i.e. $V'_1 > 0.0000017$.

In dealing with cusped manifolds $M = H^3/\Gamma$ we do not have to resort to this trading-off argument. In [M1] it is shown that there is a cusp neighborhood C in M of volume at least $\sqrt{3}/4$. This neighborhood yields a horoball packing \mathcal{B} of H^3 . Further, given B in \mathcal{B} centered at p we have that a fundamental domain F for the action of Γ_p on $D(B, \mathcal{B})$ is a fundamental domain for Γ . Applying Böröczky’s theorem, we have

$$\frac{\text{vol}(C)}{\text{vol}(M)} = \frac{\text{vol}(B \cap F)}{\text{vol}(F)} = d(B, \mathcal{B}) \leq \sqrt{3}/2V.$$

Thus, $\text{vol}(M) \geq \text{vol}(C)/(\sqrt{3}/2V) \geq (\sqrt{3}/4)(2V/\sqrt{3}) = V/2$ and $V_\omega \geq V/2 \approx 0.5072$.

This argument works for cusped orbifolds $Q = H^3/\Gamma$ as well, except that the cusp neighborhood C in Q in the worst case only contributes $\sqrt{3}/24$ to the volume

of Q (See [M2]). Thus $\text{vol}(Q) \geq (\sqrt{3}/24)(2V/\sqrt{3}) = V/12$, $V'_c \geq V/12 \approx 0.0846$. Since $Q_1 = H^3/PGL_2(\mathcal{O}_3)$ has volume $V/12$ we have (See Section 1):

THEOREM. $Q_1 = H^3/PGL_2(\mathcal{O}_3)$ has minimum volume among all orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-orbifolds.

Remark. There are cusped orbifolds on which Dehn surgery cannot be performed. Consequently, unlike the manifold case, there are cusped hyperbolic 3-orbifolds whose volumes are isolated— Q_1 is such an orbifold. The question of finding “the least limiting orbifold” remains open.

Acknowledgements

I thank John Smillie for a helpful conversation. Also, most of this research was done at the Institute for Advanced Study; I thank the Institute for its hospitality.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [Be] A. BEARDON, *The Geometry of Discrete Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1983).
- [B] K. BÖRÖCZKY, *Packing of Spheres in Spaces of Constant Curvature*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 32 (1978) 243–261.
- [BF] K. BÖRÖCZKY and A. FLORIAN, *Über die dichtest Kugelpackung im hyperbolischen Raum*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 15 (1964) 237–245. [MR28(3369)].
- [FT] L. FEJES TÓTH, *Regular Figures*, the MacMillan Co., New York, (1964).
- [G] L. GREENBERG, *Finiteness Theorems for Fuchsian and Kleinian Groups*: “Discrete Groups and Automorphic Functions” (edited by W. J. Harvey), Academic Press, London, 1977.
- [H] A. HATCHER, *Hyperbolic Structures of Arithmetic Type on Some Link Complements*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 27 (1983) 345–355.
- [La] B. L. LAPTEV, *The Volume of a Pyramid in a Lobačevskii Space*, Kazan, Gos. Univ. Uč. Zap. 114, no. 2 (1954) 53–77 (Russian), MR17(777).
- [L] J. LEHNER, *A Short Course in Automorphic Functions*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.
- [M1] R. MEYERHOFF, *A Lower Bound for the Volume of Hyperbolic 3-Manifolds*, preprint.
- [M2] R. MEYERHOFF, *A Lower Bound for the Volume of Hyperbolic 3-Orbifolds*, preprint.
- [R] C. A. ROGERS, *The Packing of Equal Spheres*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 8 (1958) 609–620.
- [S] P. SCOTT, *The Geometries of 3-Manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 15 (1983) 401–487.
- [SL] N. J. A. SLOANE, *The Packing of Spheres*, Scientific Amer. 250 (Jan. 1984) 116–125.
- [T] W. THURSTON, *The Geometry and Topology of 3-Manifolds*, Princeton University preprint (1978).

Mathematics Department
Boston University
111 Cummington Street
Boston, MA02215, USA

Received October 17, 1984