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# The Euler and Pontrjagin numbers of an $n$-manifold in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ 

S. M. Webster ${ }^{(1)}$

## Introduction

According to a theorem of H . Whitney, every smooth $n$-dimensional manifold $M^{n}$ can be smoothly embedded in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$. Viewing $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ as $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, one may ask for embeddings which have nice properties relative to the complex structure. The simplest properties relate to complex tangents. If $M$ has no complex tangents, the embedding is said to be totally real. In general there are global obstructions to finding totally real embeddings. For example, if $\boldsymbol{M}$ is compact, orientable and totally real, then its Euler number and Pontrjagin classes must vanish, a result due to $R$. Wells [11].

In this paper we shall give an explicit formula for the Euler number of a compact real $n$-manifold $M$ suitably immersed in a complex $n$-manifold. (The requirements on $M$ hold generically if $n \leq 5$.) We shall also give a formula for the Pontrjagin number of a compact, orientable $M^{4}$ generically immersed in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. We must assume that $M$ has only one-dimensional complex tangents which are non-degenerate in a certain sense, and occur along a smooth, compact, codimension two submanifold $N \subset M$. There is a smooth invariant function $\gamma$ on $N$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq+\infty$. In section 5 we derive a relation among the Euler numbers $\chi(M)$, $e=\chi\left[\gamma<\frac{1}{2}\right], h=(-1)^{n} \chi\left[\gamma>\frac{1}{2}\right], \chi\left(M^{\perp}\right)$ (normal bundle), and the parabolic index $p$, which is described in section 1. As a special case we have the following.

THEOREM (0.1). Let the compact, orientable $n$-manifold $M$ be embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ as just described. Then its Euler number satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(M)=e-h+p \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n=2$ we have $p=0$, since there are no parabolic points. In this case the

[^0]theorem is due to E. Bishop [1], who reduced it to a theorem of Chern and Spanier [3]. Our method of proof is different, being based on the Poincare-Hopf formula for the Euler number. If $M$ is totally real, $(0.1)$ implies $\chi(M)=0$. For a direct proof see [11]. If the 2 -sphere is embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ as above, then it must have at least 2 elliptic points by (0.1). Elliptic points are of interest since they contribute to the local hull of holomorphy of $M$. They have been studied most recently in [6], [7], and [10]. It is not known whether a 4 -sphere generically embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ must have an elliptic point. If not then ( 0.1 ) gives $h=-2$, which puts some restriction on the topology of $N=N_{h}$.

In some cases the Pontrjagin numbers give more information about the complex-tangent structure. Let $M$ be immersed in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and $J$ denote the real operator corresponding to multiplication by $\sqrt{-1}$. Then $H_{m}=T_{m}(M) \cap J T_{m}(M)$ for $m \in N$, defines a complex line bundle $H$ over $N . N$ inherits a natural orientation from $M$ (section 1 ).

THEOREM (0.2). Suppose the compact, orientable 4-manifold $M$ is generically immersed in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. Then its Pontrjagin number satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(M)=\chi(H) \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is proved in section 3, where we also show that $\chi(H)=0$ if $M$ has no parabolic points. Thus if $p_{1}(M) \neq 0$, then $M$ has a parabolic point, hence nearby elliptic and hyperbolic points. A generic embedding of $\mathbb{C P}_{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ therefore has a non-trivial hull of holomorphy.

In [8] H. F. Lai has given general formulas for the Euler and Pontrjagin classes of a wider class of submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The relation of his work to the present paper is not clear. His formulas do not yield (0.1) or ( 0.2 ). In section 1 we describe the local properties of $M^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ near a complex tangent. In section 2 we study the real Grassmannian and give a transversality argument. Section 3 contains a general result about the intersection properties of Schubert varieties needed for Theorem (0.2). Section 4 is devoted to deriving suitable local equations for $\boldsymbol{M}$ near a complex tangent.

## 1. Complex tangents and the parabolic index

Let $\boldsymbol{M}^{n}$ be a smooth real $n$-manifold immersed in the complex $n$-manifold $\tilde{M}$. In a local holomorphic coordinate system $z=x+i y=\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{n}\right), M$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
M: R=\left(r^{1}, \ldots, r^{n}\right)=0, \quad R=\bar{R}, \quad & d r^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d r^{n} \neq 0 \\
& \partial r^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial r^{n}=B d z^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Under a change of holomorphic coordinates $z \rightarrow z^{\prime}$ and defining function $R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$, the factor $B$ changes by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \rightarrow B^{\prime}=\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial R}\left(\frac{\partial z^{\prime}}{\partial z}\right)^{-1} B . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F$ denote the normal bundle of $M$ in $\tilde{M}$, and $F^{*}$ its dual. Also, let $K$ denote the canonical line bundle of $\tilde{M}$, and $L$ and $L^{*}$ the real line bundles $\Lambda^{n} F$ and $\Lambda^{n} F^{*}$, respectively. Then (1.2) says that the collection $\left\{B, B^{\prime}, \ldots\right\}$, which we denote simply by $B$, defines a section of the complex line bundle $K \otimes L^{*-1}=$ $K \otimes L$ over $M$. In particular, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\{m \in M: B(m)=0\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined and is precisely the set of points $m$ at which $M$ has a (non-trivial) complex tangent space $H_{m}$. If $J_{m}$ denotes the real linear operator on the real tangent space $T_{m} \tilde{M}$ corresponding to multiplication by $\sqrt{ }-1$, then $H_{m}=T_{m} \cap J T_{m}$, where $T=T(M)$ is the real tangent bundle of $M$.

We assume that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H_{m}=1$, so that $H_{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}=H_{m}^{\prime} \oplus H_{m}^{\prime \prime}, H_{m}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{H}_{m}^{\prime}$, and $H_{m}^{\prime}$ is spanned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\sum \xi^{i} \partial / \partial z^{i}, \quad X R=\left.\sum \xi^{i}\left(\partial R / \partial z^{i}\right)\right|_{m}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further assume that the complex tangent $H_{m}$ is non-degenerate, in that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { either } X B \neq 0 \quad \text { or } \quad \bar{X} B \neq 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X$ is determined up to $X \rightarrow c X, c$ a non-zero complex constant, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(m)=\frac{1}{2}|X B / \bar{X} B| \in[0, \infty] \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a well defined biholomorphic invariant of $M$ at $m$. It was first found by Bishop [1] in the following form.

We suppose that $m$ is the origin of a coordinate system $\left(z_{1}, z^{\alpha}, 2 \leq \alpha \leq n-\right.$ $1, z_{n}$ ) in which $T_{m}$ is the ( $z_{1}, x^{\alpha}$ )-space. $M$ is given locally as the graph $R=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
r & \equiv-z_{n}+F\left(z_{1}, x\right), \quad F=q+x \cdot O(1)+O(2), \\
r^{\alpha} & \equiv-y^{\alpha}+f^{\alpha}\left(z_{1}, x\right), \quad f^{\alpha}=\bar{f}^{\alpha}=O(2), \quad 2 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant n-1  \tag{1.7}\\
x & =\left(x^{\alpha}\right), \quad q=a z_{1}^{2}+b z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+c \bar{z}_{1}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here and elsewhere $O(k)$ indicates a term which vanishes to order $k$ at the origin. Then, up to a constant, $X=\partial / \partial z_{1}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
B=\frac{\partial\left(r, \bar{r}, r^{\alpha}\right)}{\partial\left(z_{1}, z_{n}, z^{\beta}\right)}=(1 / 2)^{n-2} \partial \bar{F} / \partial z_{1}+O(2), \quad X B=2 c, \quad & \bar{X} B=\bar{b}, \\
& \gamma(m)=|c / b| . \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We also introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=|X B|^{2}-|\bar{X} B|^{2} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ is partitioned into

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{e}=\left[\gamma<\frac{1}{2}\right], \quad N_{p}=\left[\gamma=\frac{1}{2}\right], \quad N_{h}=\left[\gamma>\frac{1}{2}\right], \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

the sets of elliptic, parabolic, and hyperbolic points. These sets correspond to $\Delta<0, \Delta=0, \Delta>0$, respectively.

Next we examine more closely a parabolic point $m$. Since the determinant (1.9) vanishes, (1.5) implies that the system

$$
a X B+b \bar{X} B=0, \quad a X \bar{B}+b \bar{X} \bar{B}=0,
$$

has a non-trivial solution $(a, b)$ unique up to $(a, b) \rightarrow\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=(\mu a, \mu b)$. Complex conjugation of these equations shows that $\bar{b}=\lambda a, \bar{a}=\lambda b$, for some $\lambda,|\lambda|=1$. The factor $\mu$ can be adjusted so that $b^{\prime}=\bar{a}^{\prime}$. Hence, there is an $a$, unique up to $a \rightarrow \rho a, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y B=0, \quad Y=a X+\bar{a} \bar{X} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It's easily to be seen that the changes $X \rightarrow c X$ and (1.2) can change $Y$ by at most a real factor. Thus $Y$ spans an intrinsic real line $l_{m} \subset H_{m}$, which we call the parabolic line at $m$.

Now we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d B \wedge d \bar{B} \neq 0 \quad \text { on } \quad B=0, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $N$ is a real submanifold of $M$ of codimension 2 . The conormal bundle $S^{*}$ of $N$ in $M$ is spanned by the coframes $d B$ along $N$, and hence is the restriction of $(K \otimes L)^{*}$ to $N$. So the normal bundle $S$ of $N$ in $M$ is the complex line bundle $K \otimes L$ restricted to $N$. The non-degeneracy condition (1.5) precludes $H_{m}$ being contained in $T_{m}(N)$. It follows from (1.11) and (1.9) that $m$ is a parabolic point
precisely when $T_{m} N \cap H_{m}=l_{m}$ is one dimensional. We denote by $\varphi$ the composite vector bundle mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi:\left.H \hookrightarrow T(M)\right|_{N} \rightarrow\left(\left.T(M)\right|_{N}\right) / T(N) \equiv S \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d B$ is local coframe for $S$ and $X$ is a (1,0)-frame for $H_{m}$, consideration of the sign of (1.9) shows that $\varphi_{m}$ is orientation reversing if $m$ is elliptic and orientation preserving if $m$ is hyperbolic. It is singular of real rank one if $m$ is parabolic.

We assume still further that $d \gamma \neq 0$ when $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$, so that $N_{p}$ is a smooth $(n-3)$-dimensional manifold. We may now proceed to define the parabolic index. For each $m \in N_{p}$ we have two lines in $T_{m}(N)$, the parabolic line $l_{m}$ and the line $k_{m}$ determined by the normal vector $\nabla \gamma$ (gradient relative to any convenient metric on $N$ ). This gives us two sections $l, k$ of the projective bundle $P \rightarrow N_{p}$, which has as fiber over $m \in N_{p}$ all lines through the origin in $T_{m}(N)$.

We next describe an orientation on the open subset of $P$ consisting of lines not tangent to $N_{p}$. Let $x^{\alpha}, 3 \leq \alpha \leq n-1$, be local coordinates on $N_{p}$. Let $y$ be a local defining function for $N_{p}: y=0$, with $\partial \gamma / \partial y>0$. Then $(x, y)$ are local coordinates on $N$, and any line $L \in P$, not tangent to $N_{p}$, is spanned by a unique vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\sum_{\alpha=3}^{n-1} w^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \in L \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus ( $x, w$ ) are coordinates for $L$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{P}=d x^{3} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{n-1} \wedge d w^{3} \wedge \cdots \wedge d w^{n-1} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a local volume form on the $(2 n-6)$-dimensional manifold $P$. If $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ is another such coordinate system, then one easily sees that

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{P}}=(\operatorname{det} \partial \tilde{x} / \partial x)^{2}(\partial \tilde{y} / \partial y)^{-n+3} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}}
$$

Since $\partial \tilde{y} / \partial y>0$, we have a well defined orientation.
The parabolic index is defined as the intersection number of $l\left(N_{p}\right)$ and $k\left(N_{p}\right)$ relative to this orientation. This is possible since $k_{m}$ is never tangent to $N_{p}$. More precisely, we take a slight perturbation of $k$, if necessary, so that $k\left(N_{p}\right)$ and $l\left(N_{p}\right)$ intersect transversely in $P$ at a finite number of points. At a point $m \in N_{p}$ where $l_{m}=k_{m}$, we choose local coordinates as in the previous paragraph. Then $\partial l / \partial x^{\alpha}$, $\partial k / \partial x^{\alpha}, 3 \leq \alpha \leq n-1$, give frames for $l\left(N_{p}\right)$ and $k\left(N_{p}\right)$ at $m$. The intersection index
at $m$ is given by the sign

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{P, m}(l, k)=\operatorname{sgn} \Omega_{P}(\partial l / \partial x, \partial k / \partial x) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is well defined since a change of orientation of the local coordinates $x$ changes the orientations on both $l\left(N_{p}\right)$ and $k\left(N_{p}\right)$, so that (1.16) remains unchanged. The parabolic index is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\sum\left\{\operatorname{ind}_{P, m}(l, k): m \in N_{p}, l_{m}=k_{m}\right\} . \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. The Grassmann manifold and transversality

In this section we consider an $n$-manifold $M$ immersed in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Its Gauss map $g$ associates to each $m \in M$ the real tangent plane $T_{m}(M)$. It is a smooth mapping of $M$ into $\operatorname{Gr}(n ; n) \equiv G r$, the $n^{2}$-dimensional Grassmann manifold of real $n$-planes through the origin of $\mathbb{C}^{n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$. We define

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{k} & =\left\{V \in G r: \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V \cap J V=k\right\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n / 2  \tag{2.1}\\
C & =C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{[n / 2]} .
\end{align*}
$$

$C_{0}$ is the dense open subset of totally real planes, and $G r=C_{0} \cup \cdots \cup C_{[n / 2]}$ is a disjoint union. For each $k, 0<k \leq n / 2, C_{k}$ fibers over the complex Grassmannian $G c(k, n-k)$ of complex $k$-planes in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. In fact, for $V$ in $C_{k}, V \cap J V \cong \mathbb{C}^{k}$ and $V \cap(V \cap J V)^{\perp}$ is a totally real $(n-2 k)$-plane in $\mathbb{C}^{n-k}$. So the fiber is an open subset of $\operatorname{Gr}(n-2 k ; n-k)$, which has dimension $n(n-2 k)$, while the base has real dimension $2 k(n-k)$. Thus $C_{k}$ is a real submanifold of codimension $2 k^{2}$. If $n=2 m$ is even, $C_{m}=G c(m ; n)$; while if $n=2 m+1, C_{m}$ is a bundle over $G c(m ; n)$ with fiber the real projective space $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}(2 m+1)$.

We repeat some of the constructions of section one in this "universal" setting. For $V \in G r$ there are two natural vector spaces; $V$ itself and $F_{V}=\mathbb{C}^{n} / V$. We also have the two real line bundles $L=\Lambda^{n} F, L^{*}=\Lambda^{n} F^{*}$, and the complex line bundle $K=\Lambda^{n}\left(G r \times \mathbb{C}^{n *}\right) \cong G r \times \mathbb{C}$. We refer back to (1.1) where now the $d r^{i}$ are $n$ independent real linear forms on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ annihilating a fixed $V$ in $G r$, and the $d z^{i}$ are a basis of complex linear functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. As before it follows that $B$ is a section of $K \otimes L$, having as zero set precisely $C$. We restrict this bundle to $C_{1}$, where it is identified with the normal bundle $S$ of $C_{1}$ in $G r$. Its dual bundle has local frames $d B$ restricted to $C_{1}$. Also, we have the complex line bundle $H \rightarrow C_{1}$, $H_{V}=V \cap J V, H \otimes \mathbb{C}=H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}$.

In addition we have a real 4-plane bundle $E \rightarrow C_{1}$ defined by $E_{V}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(H_{V}, S_{V}\right)$. Each element of $E_{V}$ may be described by an equation

$$
d B=X B \boldsymbol{\theta}+\bar{X} \boldsymbol{B} \overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}},
$$

where $X$ is a frame vector for $H^{\prime}$ and $\theta$ is its dual. If we denote by $E_{0}$ the zero section of $E$, which corresponds to $X B=\bar{X} B=0$, then we have a well defined function $\gamma:\left(E-E_{0}\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ given by (1.6). Parallel to (1.10) we have the disjoint union $E=E_{0} \cup E_{e} \cup E_{\mathrm{p}} \cup E_{h}$, where $E_{e}, E_{\mathrm{p}}, E_{\mathrm{h}}$ are the sets where $\gamma<\frac{1}{2}, \gamma=\frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, respectively.

For $M$ immersed in $\mathbb{C}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ with at most one dimensional complex tangents, it is clear that $\mathrm{g}^{*} H$ and $\mathrm{g}^{*} S$ are the corresponding bundles of section 1. For each $m \in N$ we have

$$
d g_{m}: H_{m} \cong H_{\mathrm{g}(m)} \rightarrow T_{\mathrm{g}(m)} G r \rightarrow T_{\mathrm{g}(m)} G r / T_{\mathrm{g}(m)} C_{1} \cong S_{\mathrm{g}(m)},
$$

which defines a map $d g: N \rightarrow E$. The degenerate points form the set $d g^{-1}\left(E_{0}\right)$. Clearly, $\gamma \circ d g$ is the invariant (1.6).

PROPOSITION (2.1). Let $f: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a smooth immersion. a) A generic small perturbation of $f$ results in an immersion with the following properties: $M$ has no complex $k$-dimensional tangents if $2 k^{2}>n$, while if $2 k^{2} \leq n$, the points with such tangents form a submanifold of codimension $2 k^{2}$. b) Suppose in addition that the immersion $f$ has only one dimensional complex tangents which occur along the set $N$. After a generic small perturbation, $N$ is a compact smooth ( $n-2$ )-manifold, and the set $N_{0}$ of degenerate points is a smooth ( $n-6$ )-manifold. c) Assume further that $N_{0}$ is empty. Then after a generic small perturbation the parabolic set $N_{p}$ forms $a$ compact smooth ( $n-3$ )-manifold along which $d \gamma \neq 0$.

Remark. As a consequence a generic $\boldsymbol{M}^{n}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ has the following characteristics:
i) $n=2 \quad$-isolated elliptic or hyperbolic points;
ii) $n=3,4,5$-at most one-dimensional non-degenerate complex tangents with $N_{\mathrm{p}}$ smooth;
iii) $n=6$-at most one-dimensional complex tangents and at most isolated degenerate points;
iv) $n=8 \quad$-at most isolated 2-dimensional complex tangents.

Case i) is due to Hunt and Wells [5].
The main ingredient in the proof is the parametric transversality theorem (see
e.g. Hirsch [4], p. 79). Let $f$ be any immersion of $M$ with Gauss map $g=f_{f}$. Let $Q$ denote the set of all real affine transformations $A(z)=A^{\prime}(z)+a, A^{\prime} \in G l(2 n, \mathbb{R})$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then $G(A, z) \equiv g_{A f}(z)=A^{\prime} \circ g_{f}(z)$ defines a smooth map

$$
\begin{equation*}
G: Q \times M \rightarrow G r \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that the mapping $G$ is transverse to $C_{k}$ for every $k \leq[n / 2]$. This means that $T C_{k}+T \Phi_{m} G=T G r$, at every point $G(A, z) \in C_{k}$, where $T \Phi_{m} G \equiv$ $D G(T(Q \times M))$. This will follow from $T \nsubseteq m G=T G r$, which has nothing to do with complex tangents. We let $\mathbb{C}^{n}=V \oplus V^{\perp}$ with coordinates ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ), and restrict to the submanifold of $Q$ of maps of the form $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-B x_{1}\right), B \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(V, V^{\perp}\right)$. It is clear that $D G$ maps the tangent space of this submanifold at $B=0$ onto $T_{V} G r$.

By the parametric transversality theorem, the set $Q_{k}$ of $A$ 's for which $z \rightarrow G(A, z)$ is transverse to $C_{k}$ is residual. It follows that $Q_{1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{[n / 2]}$ is also residual and therefore dense. Thus there are affine (or even linear) mappings $A$, arbitrarily close to the identity, for which $A \circ f$ is transverse to every $C_{k}$. Part a) follows by transversality. Under the additional assumption of $b) N$ is a compact smooth ( $n-2$ )-manifold.

For parts b) and c) we must use mappings quadratic in $(z, \bar{z}): A(z)=a+$ $A^{\prime}(z)+A^{\prime \prime}\left(z^{2}\right)$. We first replace $f$ by a perturbation as in a), so that the Gaussian image $g(M)$ remains disjoint from $C_{k}, k>1$, and intersects $C_{1}$ transversely. We then restrict to quadratic mappings $A$ with $A^{\prime}$ unitary and $A^{\prime \prime}$ so small that this situation is preserved. We let $Q$ denote this set of maps. For $A^{\prime \prime}$ small enough, perturbation by $A \in Q$ will result in a new manifold $N_{A}$ close to the original $N$ in the following sense. $N_{A}$ will lie in a small tubular neighborhood $u=U\left\{D_{m}: m \in\right.$ $N\}$ and will intersect each normal 2-disc $D_{m}$ in a unique point $\eta_{A}(m)$, giving a diffeomorphism $\eta_{A}: N \rightarrow N_{A}$. We consider the composite map.

$$
G^{\prime}(A, m)=d g_{A f} \circ \eta_{\mathrm{A}}(m), \quad G^{\prime}: Q \times N \rightarrow E
$$

We claim that the map $G^{\prime}$ is transverse to $E_{0}$ under the assumptions of $b$ ) and transverse to $E_{p}$ under those of c). Assume $G^{\prime}(A, m) \in E_{0}$. After an affine unitary coordinate change we may assume that $m=0$ and that $M$ is given as in (1.7). We then restrict $G^{\prime}$ to the submanifold of $Q$ consisting of mappings of the special form

$$
A:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{1} \rightarrow z_{1}, z^{\alpha} \rightarrow z^{\alpha}, \quad 2 \leq \alpha \leq n-1 \\
z_{n} \rightarrow z_{n}+B z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+C \bar{z}_{1}^{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

which result in $(b, c) \rightarrow(b-B, c-C)$ in (1.7). Locally $E \cong C_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{4}$ and $E_{0} \cong C_{1} \times\{0\}$. The normal space to $E_{0}$ at $m$ is $\{m\} \times \mathbb{R}^{4} \cong\{m\} \times \mathbb{C}^{2}$ with coordinates $(b, c)$. If we restrict $G^{\prime}$ further to ( $A, m$ ) with $A$ as described and $m=0$, then it is clear that $D G^{\prime}$ at $(A, m)=(I, 0)$ maps onto the normal space. Thus $G^{\prime}$ is transverse to $E_{0}$. A similar argument shows that $G^{\prime}$ is transverse to $E_{p} \cong C_{1} \times$ Cone. Now b) and c) follow by the parametric transversality theorem since $E_{0}$ has codimension 4 and $E_{p}$ codimension one in $E$.

## 3. The Pontrjagin number of a 4-manifold in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$

By a well-known theorem [9] the Pontrjagin class $p_{k}(T(M))$ equals $(-1)^{k} c_{2 k}(T(M) \otimes \mathbb{C})$, where $c$ denotes the Chern class. For $M^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with Gauss map $g, p_{k}(T(M))=g^{*} p_{k}(V G r)$, where $V G r \rightarrow G r$ is the universal bundle. $G r \subset$ $G c(n, n)$ and $(V G r) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the restriction of the complex universal bundle VGc $\rightarrow$ $G c$. Thus we must consider the Chern classes $c_{k}(V G c)$.

We begin by recalling some facts [2] about $\operatorname{Gc}(n, r)$, the space of all complex $n$-planes $Z^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+r}$. For $0 \leq k_{1} \leq \cdots \leq k_{n} \leq r$ and linear spaces $L_{1} \subset \cdots \subset L_{n}$, $\operatorname{dim} L_{j}=k_{j}+j$, the Schubert variety is defined by

$$
Z\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=\left\{Z \in G c(n, r) \mid \operatorname{dim} Z \cap L_{i} \geq j\right\}
$$

and has complex dimension $k_{1}+\cdots+k_{n}$. The Chern class $c_{k}(\operatorname{VGc}(n, r))$ is dual to $Z_{k}(n, r) \equiv Z(r-1, \ldots, r-1, r, \ldots, r)$, where $r-1$ appears $k$-times. It may also be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{k}(n, r)=\{Z \in G c(n, r) \mid \operatorname{dim} Z \cap L \geq k\}, \quad \operatorname{dim} L=r-1+k, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and decomposes into the disjoint union of

$$
Z_{k}^{0}(n, r)=\{Z: \operatorname{dim} Z \cap L=k\}
$$

and

$$
Z_{k}^{s}(n, r)=\{Z: \operatorname{dim} Z \cap L>k\} .
$$

$Z_{k}^{0}(\dot{n}, r)$ is a complex manifold of complex codimension $k$, fibering over $G c(k, r-$ $1)$, and $Z_{k}^{s}(n, r)=Z(r-2, \ldots, r-2, r, \ldots, r)(k+1(r-2)$ 's) has codimension $2(k+1)$. Thus a generic compact orientable real $2 k$-manifold in $G c(n, r)$, which we also denote by $M$, will be disjoint from $Z_{k}^{s}(n, r)$ and intersect $Z_{k}^{0}(n, r)$
transversely in finitely many points. $c_{k}(\operatorname{VGc}(n, r))[M]$ is the sum of the intersection indices at these points.

We fix $k$ and $L_{r-2+k} \subset L_{r-1+k}$, subscripts denoting dimension, and consider the corresponding varieties $Z_{k-1}(n, r) \supset Z_{k}(n, r), Z_{k-1}^{s}(n, r) \supset Z_{k}^{s}(n, r)$. A generic $M^{2 k}$ will miss $Z_{k-1}^{s}(n, r)$, since it has real codimension $4 k$. Thus

$$
M \cap Z_{k-1}(n, r)=M \cap Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r) \equiv N
$$

is a smooth compact oriented 2-manifold containing the finite set $Z_{k}(n, r) \cap M$. For $Z \in Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r)$, we set

$$
A_{Z}=Z \cap L_{r-2+k} \quad \text { and } \quad B_{Z}=Z \cap A_{Z}^{1}
$$

so that $Z=A_{Z} \oplus B_{Z}$ is an orthogonal direct sum relative to the standard hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{n+r}$. We define a smooth map $\beta$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta: Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r) \rightarrow G c(n-k+1, r+k-1), \quad \beta(Z)=B_{Z} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $Z \in Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r), Z \in Z_{k}^{0}(n, r)$ if and only if $B_{Z} \in Z_{1}(n-k+1, r+k-1)$; i.e.

$$
Z_{k}(n, r) \cap Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r)=\beta^{-1}\left(Z_{1}(n-k+1, r+k-1)\right)
$$

## LEMMA (3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(V G c(n, r))[M]=c_{1}(V G c(n-k+1, r+k-1))[\beta N] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This comes down to comparing two intersection indices. First, we have the equality of oriented vector spaces at $m \in M \cap Z_{k}^{0}(n, r)$

$$
T_{m} Z_{k}^{0}(n, r) \oplus T_{m} M=c_{k} T_{m} G c(n, r)
$$

where $c_{k}= \pm 1$. If $S$ is the normal bundle of $Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r)$ in $G c(n, r)$, then its restriction to $N$ is that of $N$ in $M$, so

$$
T_{m} G c=T_{m} Z_{k-1}^{0} \oplus S_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{m} M=T_{m} N \oplus S_{m}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{m} Z_{k}^{0}(n, r) \oplus T_{m} N=c_{k} T_{m} Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map $\beta$ is not holomorphic since it involves the orthogonal complement $A_{Z}^{\frac{1}{Z}}$. However, a slight local deformation of it is. For all $Z \in Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r)$ sufficiently near $m$ we may replace $A_{Z}^{\perp}$ by $A_{m}^{\perp}$, then $\alpha(z)=Z \cap A_{m}^{\perp}$ is holomorphic and approximates $\beta$ near $m$. Clearly, $\alpha^{-1}\left(Z_{1}^{0}(n-k+1, r+k-1)\right)=Z_{k}^{0}(n, r)$. By (3.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\alpha m}\left(\alpha Z_{k}^{0}(n, r)\right) \oplus T_{\alpha m}(\alpha N)=c_{k} T_{\alpha m}\left(\alpha Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r)\right), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the orientations agree with those from $\operatorname{Gc}(n-k+1, r+k-1)$, since $\alpha$ is holomorphic. If $\tilde{S}$ is the normal bundle of $\alpha Z_{k-1}^{0}(n, r)$ in $G c(n-k+1, r+k-1)$ it is also the normal bundle of $\alpha Z_{k}^{0}(n, r)$ in $Z_{1}^{0}(n-k+1, r+k-1)$. Adding $\tilde{S}_{\alpha m}$ to both sides of (3.5) gives

$$
T_{\alpha m} Z_{1}^{0}(n-k+1, r+k-1) \oplus T_{\alpha m}(\alpha N)=c_{k} T_{\alpha m} G c(n-k+1, r+k-1) .
$$

Now $\alpha m=\beta m$, and if we continuously deform $\alpha$ back to $\beta$, we see that $c_{k}=c_{1}$, where $c_{1}$ is the intersection index at $\beta m$ entering into the right hand side of (3.3). Summing over all such $m$ in $M \cap Z_{k}^{0}(n, r)$ gives (3.3).

Note. The same argument gives

$$
c_{k}\left(V G c(n, r)\left[M^{2 k}\right]=c_{k-l}(V G c(n-l, r+l))\left[M \cap Z_{l}^{0}(n, r)\right],\right.
$$

when the intersections are nice, which is generically so when $2 l+2>k$.
We return to the study of $\operatorname{Gr}(n ; n)$. We set $\mathbb{C}^{n}=(W, J), W \otimes \mathbb{C} \equiv W^{c} \equiv$ $W^{\prime} \oplus W^{\prime \prime}, \bar{W}^{\prime \prime}=W^{\prime}=\left\{w \in W^{c}: J w=i w\right\}$. For a subspace $V \subset W, V^{c} \subset W^{c}, V^{c} \supset$ $V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}$, where $V^{\prime}=V^{c} \cap W^{\prime}, V^{\prime \prime}=V^{c} \cap W^{\prime \prime}$. The map $V \rightarrow V^{c}$ embeds $\operatorname{Gr}(n ; n)$ in $\operatorname{Gc}(n, n)$ as a totally real submanifold.

LEMMA (3.2). a) $V^{c}=V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if $J V=V$.
b) $V^{\prime}=H^{\prime}$ if $H=V \cap J V$.
c) $C=\operatorname{Gr}(n ; n) \cap Z_{1}(n, n)$.

Proof. a) If $J V=V$, then $J V^{c}=V^{c}$, and any $w \in V^{c}$ is the sum $\frac{1}{2}(w-i J w)+$ $\frac{1}{2}(w+i J w) \in V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}$. If $V^{c}=V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}$, then $J V^{c}=J V^{\prime}+J V^{\prime \prime}=V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}=V^{c}$; so $J V=V$. b) $V^{\prime} \supset H^{\prime}$ is clear since $V^{c} \supset H^{c}$. If $w \in V^{\prime}$, then $J w=i w$. So if $w=u+$ $i v, u, v \in V$, then $u=J v, v=-J u$. Hence, $u, v \in H$ and b) holds. If we apply a) to $H$, then it follows that $V \in C$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V^{c} \cap W^{\prime} \geq 1$. So c) follows by taking $n=r, k=1$, and $L_{n}=W^{\prime}$ in (3.1).

We now turn to the proof of Theorem (0.2) of the introduction. Since $M^{4}$ is generically immersed in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ it has the properties of Remark (ii) following Proposi-
tion (2.1). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}(M)=p_{1}(T(M))[M]=p_{1}(V G r & (4 ; 4))[g M] \\
& =-c_{2}(V G c(4,4))[g M]=-c_{1}(\operatorname{VGc}(3,5))[\beta g N]
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.3) with $n=r=4, k=2$. Now over the surface $N$ (or rather $\beta g N$ ) $\operatorname{VGc}(4,4)=A \oplus B=H^{\prime} \oplus \operatorname{VGc}(3,5)$ by Lemma 3.2). Thus, the total Chern class $c=1+c_{1}$ satisfies [9] $c(\operatorname{VGc}(4,4))=c\left(H^{\prime}\right) c(\operatorname{VGc}(3,5))$ or $c_{1}(\operatorname{VGc}(4,4))=$ $c_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)+c_{1}(\operatorname{VGc}(3,5))$. Over $\operatorname{Gr}(4 ; 4) \operatorname{VGc}(4,4)=\operatorname{VGr}(4 ; 4) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, hence its first Chern class is a 2 -torsion element. When pulled back to the compact orientable surface $N$ it vanishes; thus $c_{1}(\operatorname{VGc}(3,5))=-c_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=-c(H)$. Hence, $p_{1}(M)=$ $\chi(H)$, since $\chi(H)=c_{1}(H)[N]$.

The bundle mapping $\varphi$ (1.13) can be used to get a formula for $\chi(H)$. Since $\tilde{M}=\mathbb{C}^{n}$, the canonical bundle $K$ is trivial. Since $M$ is orientable, so is its normal bundle, hence the line bundle $L$ is trivial. It follows that $S=K \otimes L$ is trivial. $\varphi$ is a bundle isomorphism over $N_{h}$ and an anti-isomorphism over $N_{e}$. Therefore $H$ is trivial over any connected component of $N$ which does not meet $N_{p}$. If we let $N^{0}$ be the union of the components of $N$ which meet $N_{p}$, and $H^{0}$ the restriction of $H$ to $N^{0}$, then $\chi(H)=\chi\left(H^{0}\right)$. We choose a section $v$ of $H^{0}$ which does not vanish on $N_{e}^{0} \cup N_{p}$ and has only isolated non-degenerate zeros in $N_{h}^{0} . v$ gives a trivialization of $H$ over $N_{p}$; hence, the parabolic line $l$ gives a map $\tilde{l}: N_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}_{1}$, where $N_{p}$ and $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}_{1}$ have naturally induced orientations. We define the $H$-parabolic index $p_{H}$ to be the degree of this mapping $\tilde{l}$. If $w$ is a piecewise smooth section of $H$ over $N_{p}$ which spans $l$ at each point, then $w=\mu v, \mu \neq 0$ and piecewise smooth. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mathrm{H}}=\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{N_{\mathrm{v}}} \frac{d \mu}{\mu} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $w$ is determined up to $w \rightarrow \rho w$, with $\rho \neq 0$, real and piecewise smooth. It follows that (3.6) is not affected by this change. Also, $v$ may be changed by $v \rightarrow \xi v, \xi \neq 0$ and smooth on $N_{p} \cup N_{e}^{0}$. Applying Stokes's theorem to $d \xi / \xi$ on $N_{e}^{0}$ shows that the integral in (3.6) remains unchanged. Thus $p_{H}$ is well defined.

LEMMA (3.3). $\chi(H)=-p_{H}$.
Proof. This follows by comparing the index sums for $v$ and $\varphi(v)$. We assume that $v$ has been chosen so that $l_{m}$ contains $v_{m}$ at only a finite number of points $m$ in $N_{p}$ and that $l$ crosses $v$ transversely at such points. In otherwords $1 \in \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}_{1}$ is a regular value of $\tilde{l}$. At such a point $m$ we choose local coordinates $(x, y)$ on $N$ so that $m=(0,0), N_{p}$ is given by $y=0, N_{h}$ by $y>0$, and $N_{e}$ by $y<0$. We let $\zeta=\xi+i \eta$
be a local fiber coordinate on $H^{0}$ relative to $v$ and choose a local frame $v^{\prime}$ and related coordinate $\zeta^{\prime}=\xi^{\prime}+i \eta^{\prime}$ for $S$ near $m$. We may assume that $\varphi(v)$ is a positive multiple of $i v^{\prime}$ at $m$. Then

$$
\varphi: \begin{array}{rll}
\xi^{\prime}=a \xi+b \eta, & & a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=0, \\
\eta^{\prime}=c \xi+d \eta, & & d(0)>0 .
\end{array}
$$

$\Delta=a d-b c, \Delta(x, 0)=0, \Delta_{y}(x, 0)>0$. We let $(\xi, \eta)=(1, \lambda(x)), \lambda(0)=0$, span $l$ along $N_{p}$; then the sign of $\lambda_{x}(0)$ gives the intersection index of $l$ with respect to $v$. Since $\varphi(l)=0$, we have $c+d \lambda=0$, so $\lambda_{x}(0)=-c_{x}(0) / d(0)$. Also, $a(x, 0)=$ $(b c / d)(x, 0)$, so $a_{x}(0)=0$, and $\Delta_{y}(0,0)=a_{y}(0) d(0)$, so $a_{y}(0)>0$. Finally, $\varphi(v)=$ $\varphi(1,0)=(a, c)$ has index at $m=(0,0)$ given by the sign of

$$
\frac{\partial(a, c)}{\partial(x, y)}(0,0)=-\left(a_{y} c_{x}\right)(0,0) .
$$

Thus the index of $\varphi(v)$ at $m$ is the same as the $H$-parabolic index at $m$. Since $\varphi(v)$ has the same index as $v$ at any zero of $v\left(\right.$ in $\left.N_{h}\right)$, we have $\chi(S)=\chi(H)+p_{H}$. But $\chi(S)=0$, since $S$ is trivial, and the lemma follows.

Theorem (0.2) and Lemma (3.3) give
COROLLARY (3.4). If $M^{4}$ is compact, orientable and generically immersed in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, then $p_{1}(M)=-p_{\mathrm{H}}$. If $p_{1}(M) \neq 0$, then $M$ must have elliptic, parabolic, and hyperbolic points.

## 4. Local equations for $M$

To facilitate the study of $M$ near a complex tangent, we shall simplify the presentation (1.7) by means of a local holomorphic coordinate change. In this section we prove the following.

PROPOSITION (4.1). Suppose $M$ has a non-degenerate one-dimensional complex tangent at a point $m$. Then holomorphic coordinates $z=$ $\left(z_{1}, z^{\alpha}, 2 \leq \alpha \leq n-1, z_{n}\right)$ can be chosen so that $m=0$ and $M$ is given locally by

M:

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{n} & =F\left(z_{1}, x\right), & x & =\left(x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
y^{\alpha} & =f^{\alpha}\left(z_{1}, x\right), & f^{\alpha} & =\bar{f}^{\alpha}, 2 \leq \alpha \leq n-1
\end{align*}
$$

If $m$ is an elliptic or hyperbolic point, then

$$
\begin{align*}
F & =q+H, q=a z_{1}^{2}+b z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+a \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, H=O(3),  \tag{4.2}\\
f^{\alpha} & =b^{\alpha} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+h^{\alpha}, \quad h^{\alpha}
\end{align*}=O(3), ~ \$
$$

where $a \geq 0$, and $b, b^{\alpha}$ are either 0 or 1 . If $m$ is a parabolic point, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=Q+H, \quad f^{\alpha}=O(4) \\
& Q=\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1}\right)^{2}+i\left(z_{1}-\bar{z}_{1}\right) c(x), \quad c(x)=c_{\beta} x^{\beta},  \tag{4.3}\\
& H=\left(-i \eta\left(z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1}\right)+\eta_{\beta} x^{\beta}\right) z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+O(4),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ is summed from 2 to $n-1, c_{\beta}, \eta_{\beta}$ are real, and $\eta$ is either 0 or 1 . If the transversality condition $d B \wedge d \bar{B} \neq 0$ holds, then $c(x) \neq 0$. In this case the parabolic line at $m$, which is the $y_{1}$-axis, is tangent to $N_{p}$ if and only if $\eta=0$.

We remark that (4.2) is already known [1], [10].
We begin with $M$ in the form (1.7). If $b \neq 0$, we replace $z_{n}$ by $b z_{n}$ to make $b=1$. By a rotation $z_{1} \rightarrow \mu z_{1}, \mu \bar{\mu}=1$, we can make $c \geq 0$. Then by a change of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
z_{n} \rightarrow z_{n}+(c-a) z_{1}^{2}+e_{\alpha} z_{1} z^{\alpha}+f_{\beta \alpha} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta},  \tag{4.4}\\
z^{\alpha} \rightarrow z^{\alpha}+2 i\left(a^{\alpha} z_{1}^{2}+d_{\beta}^{\alpha} z_{1} z^{\beta}+f_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha} z^{\beta} z^{\gamma}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

we can achieve (4.2) but with

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=c(x) z_{1}+\bar{c}(x) \bar{z}_{1}+O(3), \quad c(x)=c_{\beta} x^{\beta} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $b^{\alpha}$ are either 0 or can be made 1 by $z^{\alpha} \rightarrow b^{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$.
We make the further change

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1} \rightarrow z_{1}+A(z), \quad A(z)=A_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which $c(x)$ in (4.5) changes by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(x) \rightarrow c(x)+2 a A(x)+b \bar{A}(x), \\
& \bar{c}(y) \rightarrow \bar{c}(x)+b A(x)+2 a \bar{A}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\gamma=|a / b| \neq \frac{1}{2}$, then the determinant $b^{2}-4 a^{2} \neq 0$, and $A(z)$ can be chosen
uniquely to make $c(x) \rightarrow 0$. If $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$, we take $b=1$ and $a=\frac{1}{2}$. Then (4.6) results in

$$
c(x) \rightarrow c(x)+2 \operatorname{Re} A(x),
$$

which may be used to make the $c(x)$ in (4.5) purely imaginary. The $x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}$ terms introduced by (4.6) can then be removed by a transformation of the form (4.4). This gives (4.2) and the form (4.3) for the quadratic term $Q$.

We must investigate the third order terms in the parabolic case. Since $b=1$, the change $z^{\alpha} \rightarrow z^{\alpha}-b^{\alpha} z_{n}$, followed by one of the type (4.4), makes $f^{\alpha} \equiv h^{\alpha}=$ $O(3)$ in (4.1). We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{\alpha}=h_{0}^{\alpha}+h_{1}^{\alpha} x^{\beta}+h_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha} x^{\beta} x^{\gamma}+c_{\beta \gamma \gamma}^{\alpha} x^{\beta} x^{\gamma} x^{\rho}+O(4), \\
& h_{0}^{\alpha}=c^{\alpha} z_{1}^{3}+e^{\alpha} z_{1}^{2} \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{e}^{-\alpha} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+\bar{c}^{\alpha} \bar{z}_{1}^{3}, \\
& h_{\beta}^{\alpha}=c_{\beta}^{\alpha} z_{1}^{2}+e_{\beta}^{\alpha} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{c}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, e_{\beta}^{\alpha} \text { real, } \\
& h_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}=c_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha} z_{1}+\bar{c}_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha} \bar{z}_{1}, c_{\beta \gamma \rho}^{\alpha} \text { real. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\alpha} \rightarrow z^{\alpha}+2 i\left\{c^{\alpha} z_{1}^{3}+c_{\beta}^{\alpha} z_{1}^{2} z^{\beta}+c_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha} z_{1} z^{\beta} z^{\gamma}+\frac{1}{2} c_{\beta \gamma z^{\alpha}}^{\alpha} z^{\beta} z^{\gamma} z^{\rho}\right\} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

reduces $h^{\alpha}$ to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\alpha}=\left(c^{\alpha} z_{1}+\bar{c}^{\alpha} \bar{z}_{1}+c_{\beta}^{\alpha} x^{\beta}\right) z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+O(4) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\alpha} \rightarrow z^{\alpha}+2 i\left\{c^{\alpha} z_{1}+\frac{1}{2} c_{\beta}^{\alpha} z^{\beta}\right\} z_{n} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

followed by another one of type (4.7) (to remove any newly introduced third order terms already removed by (4.7)) results in

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{n} & =Q+H, & H & =O(3)  \tag{4.10}\\
y^{\alpha} & =h^{\alpha}, & h^{\alpha} & =O(4) .
\end{align*}
$$

Next we consider the third order terms in $h$,

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =H_{0}+H_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}+H_{\alpha \beta} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}+K_{\alpha \beta \gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} x^{\gamma}+O(4), \\
H_{0} & =K_{0} z_{1}^{3}+K_{1} z_{1}^{2} \bar{z}_{1}+K_{2} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}+K_{3} \bar{z}_{1}^{3},  \tag{4.11}\\
H_{\alpha} & =K_{\alpha 0} z_{1}^{2}+K_{\alpha 1} z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}+K_{\alpha 2} \bar{z}_{1}^{2}, \\
H_{\alpha \beta} & =K_{\alpha \beta 0} z_{1}+K_{\alpha \beta 1} \bar{z}_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall simplify this by means of a transformation of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1} \rightarrow z_{1}+A\left(z_{1}, z^{\alpha}, z_{n}\right), \quad A=A_{2}+A_{0} z_{n} \\
& z_{n} \rightarrow z_{n}+B\left(z_{1}, z^{\alpha}, z_{n}\right), \quad B=B_{3}+B_{1} z_{n} \\
& A_{2}=A_{20} z_{1}^{2}+A_{2 \alpha} z_{1} z^{\alpha}+A_{2 \alpha \beta} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}, \quad A_{0}=\text { const. }  \tag{4.12}\\
& B_{3}=B_{30} z_{1}^{3}+B_{3 \alpha} z_{1}^{2} z^{\alpha}+B_{3 \alpha \beta} z_{1} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}+B_{3 \alpha \beta \gamma} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} z^{\gamma}, \\
& B_{1}=B_{10} z_{1}+B_{1 \alpha} z^{\alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

This will not alter any of the previous normalizations. Note that

$$
Q\left(z_{1}+A, x\right)=Q\left(z_{1}, x\right)+\left(z_{1}^{\prime}+\bar{z}_{1}\right)(A+\bar{A})+Q(A, x) .
$$

Therefore, when we substitute (4.12) into (4.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \rightarrow H+\left(z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1}\right)(A+\bar{A})-B+i(A-\bar{A}) c(x)+\frac{1}{2}(A+\bar{A})^{2}, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which we must make the substitution (4.10). We shall simplify the terms of $H$ in order of increasing degree in $x^{\alpha}$. This allows us to ignore the term $i(A-$ $\bar{A}) c(x)$, and hence $Q(A, x)$, since $(A+\bar{A})^{2}$ is of fourth order.

In simplifying $H_{0}$ we ignore terms in $x^{\alpha}$ and $z^{\alpha}=x^{\alpha}+O(4)$, so that

$$
H_{0} \rightarrow H_{0}+\left(z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1}\right)\left(A_{2}+\bar{A}_{2}+\left(A_{0}+\bar{A}_{0}\right) Q\right)-B_{3}-B_{1} Q,
$$

with $A_{2} \equiv A_{20} z_{1}^{2}, B_{3}=B_{30} z_{1}^{3}, B_{1} \equiv B_{10} z_{1}, Q \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1}\right)^{2}$. Comparison of coefficients shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{0} \rightarrow K_{0}+A_{20}+\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{0}+\bar{A}_{0}\right)-B_{30}-\frac{1}{2} B_{10}, \\
& K_{1} \rightarrow K_{1}+A_{20}+\frac{3}{2}\left(A_{0}+\bar{A}_{0}\right)-B_{10}, \\
& K_{2} \rightarrow K_{2}+\bar{A}_{20}+\frac{3}{2}\left(A_{0}+\bar{A}_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} B_{10}, \\
& K_{3} \rightarrow K_{3}+\bar{A}_{20}+\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{0}+\bar{A}_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By proper choice of $A_{20}$ and $B_{30}$ we can realize $K_{0}=K_{3}=0$, after which $A_{20}=-\operatorname{Re} A_{0}, B_{30}=-\frac{1}{2} B_{10}$. Then $K_{1}-K_{2} \rightarrow K_{1}-K_{2}-\frac{1}{2} B_{10}$, so that we can make $K_{1}=K_{2}$, and restrict to $B_{10}=0$. This leaves the change $K_{1} \rightarrow K_{1}+2 \operatorname{Re} A_{0}$, by which we make $K_{1}=-i \eta$, purely imaginary.

To simplify $H_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ in (4.11), we set $A_{20}=A_{0}=B_{30}=B_{10}=0$ in (4.12) and work $\bmod x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}, z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}$. With $A_{0}=A_{20}=0, i(A-\bar{A}) c(x) \equiv 0, \bmod x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}$, so

$$
H_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \rightarrow H_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}+\left(z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1}\right)(A+\bar{A})-B_{3}-B_{1} Q,
$$

with $A \equiv A_{2 \alpha} z_{1} z^{\alpha}, B_{3} \equiv B_{3 \alpha} z_{1}^{2} z^{\alpha}, B_{1} \equiv B_{1 \alpha} z_{1}^{\alpha}$, and $Q \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1}\right)^{2}$. Comparison of coefficients gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\alpha 0} \rightarrow K_{\alpha 0}+A_{2 \alpha}-B_{3 \alpha}-\frac{1}{2} B_{1 \alpha} \\
& K_{\alpha 1} \rightarrow K_{\alpha 1}+A_{2 \alpha}+\bar{A}_{2 \alpha}-B_{1 \alpha} \\
& K_{\alpha 2} \rightarrow K_{\alpha 2}+\bar{A}_{2 \alpha}-\frac{1}{2} B_{1 \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we normalize to $K_{\alpha 0}=K_{\alpha 2}=0$ and restrict to $A_{2 \alpha}=B_{3 \alpha}+\frac{1}{2} B_{1 \alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \bar{B}_{1 \alpha}$. It follows that $K_{\alpha 1} \rightarrow K_{\alpha 1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{B}_{1 \alpha}-B_{1 \alpha}\right)$, so that we can make $K_{\alpha 1}=\eta_{\alpha}=\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}$, real.

Now we further restrict to $A_{2 \alpha}=\beta_{3 \alpha}=B_{1 \alpha}=0$ in (4.12) and work $\bmod x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} x^{\gamma}, z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} z^{\gamma}$. Again $i(A-\bar{A}) c(x)$ can be ignored in (4.13). We have

$$
H_{\alpha \beta} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \rightarrow H_{\alpha \beta} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}+\left(z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1}\right)(A+\bar{A})-B_{3},
$$

where $A \equiv A_{2 \alpha \beta} z^{\alpha} x^{\beta}, B_{3} \equiv B_{3 \alpha \beta} z_{1} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}$. This results in the change

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\alpha \beta 0} \rightarrow K_{\alpha \beta 0}+A_{2 \alpha \beta}-B_{3 \alpha \beta}, \\
& K_{\alpha \beta 1} \rightarrow K_{\alpha \beta 1}+\bar{A}_{2 \alpha \beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It's clear that we can make $K_{\alpha \beta 0}=K_{\alpha \beta 1}=0$. Finally, we remove the term $K_{\alpha \beta \gamma} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} x^{\gamma}$ by a transformation

$$
z_{n} \rightarrow z_{n}+B_{3 \alpha \beta \gamma} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} z^{\gamma}
$$

This achieves the form (4.3). If $\eta \neq 0$, a dilation $\left(z_{1}, z^{\alpha}, z_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda z_{1}, \lambda z^{\alpha}, \lambda^{2} z_{n}\right)$ with $\lambda$ real results in $\eta \rightarrow \lambda \eta$, so we can make $\eta=1$.

At a parabolic point (1.8) and (4.3) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=(i / 2)^{n-2}\left(z_{1}+\bar{z}_{1}+i c(x)+i \eta\left(\bar{z}_{1}^{2}+2 z_{1} \bar{z}_{1}\right)+\eta_{\beta} x^{\beta} \bar{z}_{1}\right)+O(3) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $d B \wedge d \bar{B}=4^{1-n} i c(d x) \wedge d x_{1}+O(1)$, so that $c(x) \neq 0$ if the transversality condition holds. We make a linear change in the coordinates $\left(x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right)$ so that $c(x)=x^{2}$, then $N$ has the local equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=O(3) \\
& x^{2}=-\eta y_{1}^{2}+\sum_{\beta=3}^{n-1} \eta_{\beta} x^{\beta} y_{1}+O(3) \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The conditions $X r=X \bar{r}=X r^{\alpha}=0$, which determine $X$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+(Q+H)_{z_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n}}+O(3) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
& X B=B_{z_{1}}+O(3)=1+\eta y_{1}+O(2), \\
& \bar{X} B=B_{\bar{z}_{1}}+O(3)=1+\sum_{\beta=3}^{n-1} \eta_{\beta} x^{\beta}+O(2) . \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

The condition (1.11) gives $a(0)+\bar{a}(0)=0$, so we may take $a=a^{\prime}+i$, $a^{\prime}$ real, $a^{\prime}(0)=0$. Then

$$
Y B=a^{\prime}\left(2+\eta y_{1}+\eta_{\beta} x^{\beta}\right)+i\left(\eta y_{1}-\eta_{\beta} x^{\beta}\right)+O(2),
$$

so that $a^{\prime}=O(2)$. Thus, in coordinates ( $y_{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n-1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\partial / \partial y_{1}+O(2) . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.17) and (1.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=2 \eta y_{1}-2 \sum_{\beta=3}^{n-1} \eta_{\beta} x^{\beta}+O(2) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $Y[\Delta]=2 \eta+O(1)$. It follows that $Y$ is tangent to $N_{p}: \Delta=0$ if and only if $\eta=0$. If $\eta=1$, then $Y[\Delta]>0$ implies that $Y$ points toward $N_{h}$.

## 5. A formula for the Euler number

To derive our formula we shall make use of the Poincare-Hopf theorem characterizing the Euler number $\chi(M)$ as the sum of the indices of the zeros of a vector field tangent to $M$. This does not require $M$ to be orientable and is applicable to compact manifolds with boundary, provided the vector field points outward along the boundary. For $\boldsymbol{M}^{n}$ immersed in the complex $n$-manifold $\tilde{M}$ with normal bundle $F, \chi(F)$ denotes the sum of the indices of the zeros of a suitable section of $F$. The index at an isolated zero $m \in M$ is well defined since $T_{m} \tilde{M}=T_{m} M \oplus F_{m}$ as oriented vector spaces locally. A reversal of the local orientation of $M$ near $m$ results in a reversal of that of $F$ as well as of TM.

In this section we prove the following, which does not require $M$ to be orientable.

THEOREM (5.1). Suppose that the compact $n$-dimensional manifold $M$ is immersed in the complex $n$-dimensional manifold $\tilde{M}$ with at most nondegenerate, one-dimensional complex tangents as in section 1 and Proposition (2.1c). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(M)=\varepsilon_{n} \chi(F)+e-h+p, \quad \varepsilon_{n}=(-1)^{(n-1) n / 2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e=\chi\left(N_{e}\right), h=(-1)^{n} \chi\left(N_{h}\right)$, and $p$ is the parabolic index.

If $M$ is also orientable and embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then a theorem of Whitney (see [4] or [9]) asserts that $\chi(F)=0$. Theorem (0.1) follows immediately from this. As mentioned after Proposition (2.1) the assumptions of Theorem (5.1) are generic if $n \leq 5$. The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem (5.1).

We choose some convenient hermitian metric on $\tilde{M}$ and denote by $\pi_{m}: T_{m} \tilde{M} \rightarrow F_{m}$, the orthogonal projection onto $F_{m}$ along $T_{m} \equiv T_{m} M$. Then $\pi_{m} \circ J_{m}$ gives a linear mapping from $T_{m}$ to $F_{m}$, which will be a linear isomorphism if $m$ is a totally real point of $M$. If $v$ is a vector field tangent to $M$, then $\pi J v$ is a section of $F$. The idea of the proof is to relate the index sum of $\pi J v$ to that of $v$ for a suitable choice of $v$.

About any particular $m$ in $M$ we choose holomorphic coordinates $z=x+i y$ for $\tilde{M}$ centered at $m$. The orientation of $\tilde{M}$ is given by the local form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Omega}=\prod_{\alpha=1}^{n}\left(\frac{i}{2} d z^{\alpha} \wedge d \bar{z}^{\alpha}\right)=\varepsilon_{n} d x^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{n} \wedge d y^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d y^{n} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the operator $J$ is identified with $(x, y) \rightarrow(-y, x)$. Suppose $m$ is a totally real point of $M$. Then the coordinates may be chosen so that $T_{m}$ is the $x$-space and $F_{m}$ is the $y$-space, which by (5.2) have the orientations

$$
\Omega_{T}=d x^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{n}, \quad \Omega_{F}=\varepsilon_{n} d y^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d y^{n}
$$

Since $\pi$ is smoothly deformable to $(x, y) \rightarrow(0, y)$ and $\pi \circ J$ to $(x, 0) \rightarrow(0, x)$, we have

$$
(\pi \circ J)^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}=c \Omega_{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \operatorname{sgn} c=\varepsilon_{n}
$$

It follows that the effect of $\pi J$ on the index of a vector field $v$ with isolated zero at
$m$ is

$$
\operatorname{ind}_{F, m}(\pi J v)=\varepsilon_{n} \operatorname{ind}_{M, m}(v)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \notin N} \operatorname{ind}_{F, m}(\pi J v)=\varepsilon_{n} \chi(M) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves (5.1) if $M$ is totally real.
In the general case we start with a smooth vector field $v_{0}$ tangent to $N$ with the following properties. It is to have only finitely many zeros $m_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq l$, which are non-degenerate and lie in $N_{e} \cup N_{h}$, and is to be transverse to $N_{p}$ and point toward $N_{h}$ along $N_{\mathrm{p}}$. Furthermore, the line field $k$ along $N_{\mathrm{p}}$ spanned by $v_{0}$ is to satisfy $k_{m}=l_{m}$ for only finitely many $m \in N_{p}$, and at such $m$ this intersection is transverse in the space $P$ (see (1.15)). We find disjoint neighborhoods $U_{i}$ of $m_{j}$ in $N-N_{p}$ and smooth sections $v_{j}$ of $H$, compactly supported in $U_{j}$, with $v_{j}\left(m_{j}\right) \neq 0$. Then we smoothly extend $v_{0}+\sum v_{j}$ to a vector field $v$ on $M$ having a finite number of non-degenerate zeros. By construction $v$ does not vanish on $N$; however $\pi J v$ will have a zero at each $m_{j}$ and at each $m$ in $N_{p}$ where $v(m) \in l_{m} \subset H_{m}$, as well as at each zero of $v$. There is much freedom in the choice of such a $v$, which we shall specify more precisely later.

Let $m_{j}$ be one of the zeros of $v_{0}$, and choose coordinates as in (4.1), (4.2), so that $\left(z_{1}, x^{\alpha}\right)$ are coordinates on $M$. We may assume that the hermitian metric on $\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}$ has been chosen so that $F_{m}$ coincides with the $\left(y^{\alpha}, z_{n}\right)$-space for all $m$ near $m_{j}$. The local orientations are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{i}{2} d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1} \wedge d x^{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{n-1}  \tag{5.4}\\
& \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}=\varepsilon_{n-2} d y^{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge d y^{n-1} \wedge \frac{i}{2} d z_{n} \wedge d \bar{z}_{n} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We set $G\left(z_{1}, x^{\alpha}\right)=\left(z_{1}, x^{\alpha}+i f^{\alpha}, F\right)$, so that $G_{x_{1}}, G_{y_{1}}, G_{x}$ span $T(M)$. In the local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, x^{\alpha}\right)$ on $M$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=v_{1} \partial / \partial z_{1}+\bar{v}_{1} \partial / \partial \bar{z}_{1}+v^{\alpha} \partial / \partial x^{\alpha} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that as a vector in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$

$$
v \equiv v[G]=\left(v_{1}, v^{\alpha}+i v\left[f^{\alpha}\right], v[F]\right)
$$

where $v[\cdot]$ denotes directional derivative. It follows that

$$
J v \equiv i v[G]=\left(i v_{1},-v\left[f^{\alpha}\right]+i v^{\alpha}, i v[F]\right)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi J v & \equiv i v[G]-c^{\prime} G_{x_{1}}-c^{\prime \prime} G_{y_{1}}-c^{\alpha} G_{x^{\alpha}} \\
& =i v[G]-c G_{z_{1}}-\bar{c} G_{\bar{z}_{1}}-c^{\alpha} G_{x^{\alpha}}=(0,0+i *, *) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $G_{z_{1}}=\left(1, i f_{z_{1}}^{\alpha}, F_{z_{1}}\right), G_{\bar{z}_{1}}=\left(0, i f_{z_{1}}^{\alpha}, F_{\bar{z}_{1}}\right)$, and $G_{x_{\alpha}}=\left(0, \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}+i f_{x_{\alpha}}^{\beta}, F_{x_{\alpha} \alpha}\right)$, so that $c=$ $i v_{1}$ and $c^{\alpha}=-v\left[f^{\alpha}\right]$. Hence, as a map from ( $z_{1}, x^{\alpha}$ )-space to $\left(y^{\alpha}, z_{n}\right)$-space, $\pi J v$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\alpha}=v^{\alpha}-i v_{1} f_{z_{1}}^{\alpha}+i \bar{v}_{1} f_{z_{1}}^{\alpha}+v\left[f^{\beta}\right] f_{x^{\beta}}^{\alpha},  \tag{5.7}\\
& z_{n}=i v[F]-i v_{1} F_{z_{1}}+i \bar{v}_{1} F_{\bar{z}_{1}}+v\left[f^{\beta}\right] F_{x^{\beta}} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we substitute (5.7) into (5.5), we get (5.4) multiplied by the Jacobian factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n-2} \frac{\partial\left(y^{\alpha}, z_{n}, \bar{z}_{n}\right)}{\partial\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x^{\beta}\right)}, \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the sign of which gives the index of $\pi J v$ at $m_{j}$. If we take into account (4.2), (5.7) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\alpha}=v^{\alpha}-i v_{1} b^{\alpha} \bar{z}_{1}+i \bar{v}_{1} b^{\alpha} z_{1}+O(2), \\
& z_{n}=2 i \bar{v}_{1} q_{\bar{z}_{1}}+O(2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may assume that the $H$-component $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ added to $v_{0}$ is such that $v_{1} \equiv 1$ near 0 . Also, we assume that the extension of $v$ from $N$ to $M$ is made so that the coefficients of $v$ are locally independent of $z_{1}$. Then at the origin (5.8) has the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left(b^{2}-4 a^{2}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\partial v_{0}^{\alpha} / \partial x^{\beta}\right)(0) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sign of the determinant is the index of $v_{0}$ at $m_{j}$, and $b^{2}-4 a^{2}$ is positive if $m_{j}$ is elliptic and negative if $m_{j}$ is hyperbolic. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n-2} \operatorname{ind}_{F, m_{1}}(\pi J v)=\delta \operatorname{ind}_{N, m_{1}}\left(v_{0}\right), \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=+1$ if $m_{j}$ is elliptic or $\delta=-1$ if $m_{j}$ is hyperbolic. If we sum (5.10) over the $m_{j}$ in $N_{e}$, the right hand side is $\chi\left(N_{e}\right)$. To get $\chi\left(N_{h}\right)$ we must use $-v_{0}$ which multiplies the determinant in $(5.9)$ by $(-1)^{n-2}$. Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n-2} \sum_{j} \operatorname{ind}_{F, m_{i}}(\pi J v)=\chi\left(N_{e}\right)-(-1)^{n} \chi\left(N_{h}\right), \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which accounts for the term $e-h$ in (5.1).
Finally, we consider a zero of $\pi J v$ at a point $m$ in $N_{p}$ which arises when $v(m)$, which spans the line $k_{m}$, lies in $l_{m}$. We first elaborate further on the construction of $v$ along $N_{p}$. It is initially defined so that $k\left(N_{p}\right)$ intersects $l\left(N_{p}\right)$ transversely at $m$. Then it will be extended to $N$. We take coordinates as in (4.1), (4.3) with $c(x)=x^{2}$, so that $N$ is given by (4.15). $\left(x^{3}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right)$ gives coordinates on $N_{p}$, and ( $y_{1}, x^{3}, \ldots, x^{n-1}$ ) coordinates on $N$. In (5.6) we take $v_{1}=v^{1}+i, v^{1}=\bar{v}^{1}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\partial / \partial y_{1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v^{j} \partial / \partial x^{i}, \quad v^{j}(0)=0 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition that $v$ be tangent to $N$ gives, via (4.15) and (5.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{1}=O(2), \quad v^{2}=-2 \eta y_{1}+\sum_{\beta=3}^{n-1} \eta_{\beta} x^{\beta}+O(2) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we start with

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\alpha}=v^{\alpha}\left(x^{3}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right), \quad v^{\alpha}(0)=0, \operatorname{det} \frac{\partial v^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\beta}}(0) \neq 0, \quad 3 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1, \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and determine $v^{1}$ and $v^{2}$ by (5.13). We then extend this vector $v$ locally from $N_{p}$ to $N$ by keeping (5.14) independent of $y_{1}$, and from $N$ to $M$ by keeping (5.14) independent of $x_{1}$ and $x^{2}$. Again we assume that $F_{m}$ is the $\left(y^{\alpha}, z_{n}\right)$-space for $m$ near 0 . Note that we may take $\eta=1$, since $l_{0}=k_{0}$ is transverse to $N_{p}$.

The parabolic index as defined in section 1 is computed relative to a coordinate system $\left(x_{*}^{\alpha}, y_{*}\right)$ with $y_{*}=0$ on $N_{p}$. Therefore we set (4.19)

$$
y_{*}=\frac{1}{2} \Delta=y_{1}-\sum_{\beta=3}^{n-1} \eta_{\beta} x^{\beta}+O(2), \quad x_{*}^{\alpha}=x^{\alpha} .
$$

The chain rule in (1.14) gives

$$
w_{*}^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha}\left(\partial y_{*} / \partial y_{1}+w^{\beta} \partial y_{*} / \partial x^{\beta}\right)^{-1}=w^{\alpha}\left(1-\eta_{\beta} w^{\beta}+O(1)\right)^{-1}
$$

Since $w^{\alpha}=O(1)$ for both $Y(4.18)$ and $v(5.12)$, and $\partial / \partial x_{*}^{\beta}=\partial / \partial x^{\beta}$ for functions defined along $N_{p}$, we have $\partial w_{*}^{\alpha} / \partial x_{*}^{\beta}(0)=\partial w^{\alpha} / \partial x^{\beta}(0)$. Thus the parabolic intersection index at $m=0$ is given (see (1.15)) by the sign of

$$
\Omega_{P}\left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial k}{\partial x}\right)(0)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{\alpha \beta} & 0 \\
\delta_{\alpha \beta} & \partial v^{\alpha} / \partial x^{\beta}(0)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{P, m}(l, k)=\operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{det}\left(\partial v^{\alpha} / \partial x^{\beta}(0)\right)_{3 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the index of $\pi J v$ at $m$ we again compute the determinant (5.8). We substitute (4.3) into (5.7) and ignore second order terms. By (5.12) and (5.13) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{2} \equiv v^{2} \equiv-2 y_{1}+\sum_{\beta=3}^{n-1} \eta_{\beta} x^{\beta}, \quad y^{\alpha} \equiv v^{\alpha}\left(x^{3}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right), \quad 3 \leq \alpha \leq n-1 \\
& z_{n} \equiv 2 i \bar{v}_{1} Q_{z_{1}} \equiv 4 x_{1}+2 i x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{\partial\left(y^{2}, y^{\alpha}, z_{n}, \bar{z}_{n}\right)}{\partial\left(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, x^{2}, x^{\beta}\right)}(0)=16 \operatorname{det}\left[\partial v^{\alpha} / \partial x^{\beta}(0)\right]_{3 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1} .
$$

Comparison with (5.15) gives

$$
\operatorname{ind}_{F, m}(\pi J v)=\varepsilon_{n-2} \operatorname{ind}_{P, m}(l, k)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{N_{\mathrm{D}}} \operatorname{ind}_{F, m}(\pi J v)=\varepsilon_{n-2} p \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.3), (5.11), and (5.16) gives (5.1), since $\varepsilon_{n} \varepsilon_{n-2}=-1$.
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