

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 59 (1984)

Artikel: Relative cohomology of groups.
Autor: Habegger, N. / Jones, V. / Ortiz, O.P.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-45388>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 10.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Relative cohomology of groups

NATHAN HABEGGER, VAUGHAN JONES,* OSCAR PINO ORTIZ and JOHN RATCLIFFE

§0. Introduction

If G is a group and A is a G -module, the cohomology groups $H^n(G, A)$ can be interpreted either as the cohomology of the cochain complex $C^*(G, A)$ given by the bar resolution (see [M]) or as equivalence classes of n -fold extensions. This situation has reached perfection and is summarized in an historical note by MacLane in [Ho]. If N is a normal subgroup of G and B is a submodule of A on which N acts trivially (and hence B is a Q -module with $Q = G/N$), one may define the relative cohomology groups $H^n(Q, G; B, A)$ as the cohomology of the quotient complex $C^*(G, A)/C^*(Q, B)$. In this paper we present another cochain complex $L^*(G, N; B, A)$ whose cohomology is the relative cohomology but which is more explicit than the quotient complex and which grew out of certain “crossed” extensions which give an interpretation of the group $H^2(Q, G; B, A)$, analogous to the n -fold extensions mentioned above.

A crossed extension is an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\partial} N \rightarrow 1$ together with an action $(g, c) \rightarrow gc$ of G on C such that $\partial(c)d = cdc^{-1}$ for $c, d \in C$ and $\partial(gc) = g\partial(c)g^{-1}$ for $g \in G, c \in C$. It follows that A is in the centre of C and that the action of G induces the structure of a G -module on A . This idea goes back to Whitehead ([W]) and was studied extensively in [H], [L], [O] and [R]. One may define a sum of two crossed extensions and equivalence classes of such form a group. It can be shown that this group is isomorphic to the second relative cohomology group. One way to establish this isomorphism is to give a cocycle description of crossed extensions. More precisely, if $s : N \rightarrow C$ is a section for ∂ , define $\alpha_0 : N \times N \rightarrow A$ and $\alpha_1 : N \times G \rightarrow A$ by

$$\alpha_0(n, m) = s(n)s(m)s(nm)^{-1}$$

$$\alpha_1(n, g) = s(n)(gs(g^{-1}ng))^{-1}$$

* Supported in part by NSF Grant #MCS 79-03041

One can easily show (see [R]) the following cocycle relations

- (1) $\alpha_0(m, s) - \alpha_0(nm, s) + \alpha_0(n, ms) - \alpha_0(n, m) = 0$
- (2) $g\alpha_0(g^{-1}ng, g^{-1}mg) - \alpha_0(n, m) = \alpha_1(m, g) - \alpha_1(nm, g) + \alpha_1(n, g)$
- (3) $g\alpha_1(g^{-1}ng, h) - \alpha_1(n, gh) + \alpha_1(n, g) = 0$
- (4) $\alpha_1(n, m) = \alpha_0(n, m) - \alpha_0(m, m^{-1}nm)$

The dependence of α_0 and α_1 on the section s gives natural coboundary relations.

Crossed extensions arise naturally in algebraic topology and in the study of group actions on algebras. In [J] it was shown that the above relations can be interpreted as forming the beginning of a cochain complex derived from the double complex $C^*(G, C^*(N, A))$. In [O], this complex $L^*(G, N; A)$ is defined in all dimensions and even in the case where A is an arbitrary G -module. The pair (α_0, α_1) is then a 2-cocycle. Thus $H^2(L^*(G, N; A))$ classifies crossed extensions when A is a Q -module. It was conjectured in [O] that the cohomology of $L^*(G, N; A)$ is the same as the relative cohomology. The conjecture was proved for H^3 . Also a “crossed module” formalism for arbitrary G -modules was worked out in [O] and [CW]. Another version appears in [H].

In §4 of this paper we will show that $L^*(G, N; B, A)$ has the cohomology of the quotient $C^*(G, A)/C^*(Q, B)$, thus establishing the conjecture of [O]. As an application, we immediately obtain the 8-term exact sequence of [H], [R], [L].

In §3 a spectral sequence converging to $H^*(Q, G; B, A)$ is given. For $B = \{a \mid ga = a \text{ for all } g \in N\}$ this has a small calculational advantage over the spectral sequence of Hochschild–Serre [H–S] in that the bottom line has disappeared.

In §5 we give an example where results are easily obtained using the cocycle relations of L^* which are not obvious using $C^*(G, A)/C^*(Q, B)$.

At this stage there is no “ n -fold crossed extension” theory for the relative cohomology groups although some cases are treated in [O], and the third cohomology relations for the complex L^* do arise naturally in the study of Connes’ invariant $\chi(M)$ for von Neumann algebras (see [C]).

§1. Shuffles and partial shuffles

Let σ be a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For a group G we define a map $l_\sigma : G^n \rightarrow G^n$ by $l_\sigma(g_1, \dots, g_n) = (b_1^{-1}a_1b_1, \dots, b_n^{-1}a_nb_n)$ where $a_{\sigma(i)} = g_i$, $b_{\sigma(i)} = g_{j_1}g_{j_2} \cdots g_{j_i}$ where $i < j_1 < \cdots < j_i$ are all the j_k ’s satisfying $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j_k)$. In words, we move the variable g_i into the $\sigma(i)$ th position, and then conjugate g_i by the product of those g_k , $k > i$, in their order, which are now in a position inferior to g_i . (Note that $b_1 = 1$).

EXAMPLE. (1) if σ is the permutation (123) then

$$l_\sigma(g_1, g_2, g_3) = (g_3, g_3^{-1}g_1g_3, g_3^{-1}g_2g_3).$$

(2) if σ is (132) then

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3) = (g_2, g_3, g_3^{-1}g_2^{-1}g_1g_2g_3)$$

(3) if σ is (12) then

$$l_\sigma \circ l_\sigma(g, h) = l_\sigma(h, h^{-1}gh) = (h^{-1}gh, h^{-1}g^{-1}hgh)$$

Example (3) above shows that in general $l_\tau \circ l_\sigma \neq l_{\tau\sigma}$. However

PROPOSITION 0. Let σ be a permutation of $\{1, \dots, p+q\}$ fixing the sets $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\{p+1, \dots, p+q\}$ and let τ be a permutation satisfying $\tau(i) < \tau(i+1)$ for $i \neq p$. Then $l_\tau \circ l_\sigma = l_{\tau\sigma}$.

Proof. Let $l_\sigma(g_1, \dots, g_p, g_{p+1}, \dots, g_{p+q}) = (x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+q})$ $x_i = b_i^{-1}a_i b_i$. For $p+1 \leq i \leq p+q$ we find in both $l_\tau \circ l_\sigma(g_1, \dots, g_{p+q})$ and $l_{\tau\sigma}(g_1, \dots, g_{p+q})$ the variable x_i in the $\tau(i)$ th place. However for $1 \leq i \leq p$ in $l_\tau \circ l_\sigma(g_i)$ we find x_i in the $\tau(i)$ th place conjugated by $x_{p+1} \cdots x_{p+r}$ where r is the maximum j satisfying $\tau(p+j) < \tau(i)$, whereas in $l_{\tau\sigma}(g_i)$ we find x_i in the $\tau(i)$ th place conjugated by the product of the $a_{p+i} = g_{\sigma^{-1}(p+i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, in their original order. From the definition of the b_i , we see, by induction, that these products are identical.

DEFINITION. A permutation σ is a partial shuffle of type (a, b, c, d) , $a + b + c + d = n$ if

$$(1) \sigma(i) = i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a \text{ or } a + b + c + 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$(2) \sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1) \text{ for } a + 1 \leq i \leq a + b - 1 \text{ or } a + b + 1 \leq i \leq a + b + c - 1$$

(In words, the sets $\{1, \dots, a\}$ and $\{a + b + c + 1, \dots, n\}$ are left fixed, while the sets $\{a + 1, \dots, a + b\}$ and $\{a + b + 1, \dots, a + b + c\}$ are shuffled).

A permutation is a 3-shuffle of type $\langle a, b, c \rangle$, $a + b + c = n$, if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$ for $i \neq a, i \neq a + b$.

PROPOSITION 1. (A) If σ is of type $(0, a, b, c)$ and τ of type $(0, a + b, c, 0)$ then $\tau \circ \sigma$ is of type $\langle a, b, c \rangle$. Any η of type $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ has a unique decomposition as above. Furthermore $l_{\tau\sigma} = l_\tau \circ l_\sigma$.

(B) If σ is of type $(a, b, c, 0)$ and τ of type $(0, a, b + c, 0)$ then $\tau \circ \sigma$ is of type $\langle a, b, c \rangle$. Any η of type $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ has a unique decomposition as above. Furthermore $l_{\tau \circ \sigma} = l_\tau \circ l_\sigma$.

Proof. The formula $l_{\tau \circ \sigma} = l_\tau \circ l_\sigma$ follows from Proposition 0. The rest is obvious. Q.E.D.

Let G be a group, N a normal subgroup. If we let X, Y, Z denote either G or N then $l_\sigma(X^a \times Y^{b+c} \times Z^d) \subset X^a \times Y^{b+c} \times Z^d$ for σ of type (a, b, c, d) . Thus if $\alpha : X^a \times Y^{b+c} \times Z^d \rightarrow A$ is any map, A a \mathbb{Z} -module, we may define $S(a, b, c, d)\alpha : X^a \times Y^{b+c} \times Z^d \rightarrow A$ by

$$S(a, b, c, d)\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ type} \\ (a,b,c,d)}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \alpha \circ l_\sigma \quad (-1)^{|\sigma|} = \text{signature } \sigma.$$

PROPOSITION 2. Let $\alpha : G^n \rightarrow A$. We have the formula

$$S(a, b, c, 0)S(0, a, b + c, 0)\alpha = S(0, a, b, c)S(0, a + b, c, 0)\alpha$$

Proof. By Proposition 1 both sides are equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu \text{ type} \\ \langle a,b,c \rangle}} (-1)^{|\mu|} \alpha \circ l_\mu.$$

§2. Relative cohomology of groups

Let G be a group, N a normal subgroup. We write Q for the quotient G/N . If A is any G -module we denote by A^N the submodule of elements fixed by N . A^N is a Q -module. Let B be a Q -submodule of A^N .

We denote by $C^*(G; A)$ the complex of normalized cochains $\alpha : G^n \rightarrow A$ with coboundary

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha(g_0, \dots, g_n) &= g_0\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \alpha(g_0, \dots, g_{i-1}g_i, \dots, g_n) \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1} \alpha(g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

The projection $G \rightarrow Q$ induces an inclusion of complexes $C^*(Q; B) \subset C^*(G; A)$. Let $C^*(Q, G; B, A)$ be the quotient complex.

DEFINITION. The group $H^*(Q, G; B, A) = H^*(C^*(Q, G; B, A))$ is called the relative cohomology group. The associated long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^*(Q, B) \rightarrow H^*(G, A) \rightarrow H^*(Q, G; B, A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is called the fundamental long exact sequence.

Remark. We justify our use of the term “relative”.

If A is a trivial G module, and $B = A$, then $H^n(Q, G; B, A) = H^{n+1}(M_p, BG; A)$ where M_p is the mapping cylinder of the fibration $p: BG \rightarrow BQ$.

Proof. If $C_*(X, A)(C^*(X, A))$ denotes singular (co)chains then $C^*(G, A) \simeq C^*(BG, A)$, $C^*(Q, A) \simeq C^*(BQ, A)$ so

$$\frac{C^*(G, A)}{C^*(Q, A)} \simeq \frac{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_*(BG), A)}{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_*(BQ), A)} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\ker p_*, A)$$

where $1 \rightarrow \ker p_* \rightarrow C_*(BG) \xrightarrow{p_*} C_*(BQ) \rightarrow 1$ is exact. Finally, the suspension of $\ker p_*$ is isomorphic to $\text{coker } i_*$, where

$$1 \rightarrow C_*(BG) \xrightarrow{i_*} C_*(M_p) \rightarrow \text{coker } i_* \rightarrow 1 \text{ is exact.}$$

§3. A spectral sequence for relative cohomology

We review briefly parts of the fundamental paper of Hochschild and Serre [1]:

Regard $C^*(N, A)$ as a G -module by $(g\beta)(n_1, \dots, n_s) = g\beta(g^{-1}n_1g, \dots, g^{-1}n_sg)$. Then $C^*(G, C^*(N, A))$ is a double complex with coboundaries

$$\begin{aligned} d_N\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_r)(n_0, \dots, n_s) &= n_0\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_r)(n_1, \dots, n_s) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^s (-1)^i \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_r)(n_0, \dots, n_{i-1}n_i, \dots, n_s) \\ &+ (-1)^{s+1} \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_r)(n_0, \dots, n_{s-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_G\alpha(g_0, \dots, g_r)(n_1, \dots, n_s) &= g_0\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_r)(g_0^{-1}n_1g_0, \dots, g_0^{-1}n_sg_0) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i \alpha(g_0, \dots, g_{i-1}g_i, \dots, g_r)(n_1, \dots, n_s) \\ &+ (-1)^{r+1} \alpha(g_0, \dots, g_{r-1})(n_1, \dots, n_s) \end{aligned}$$

For reasons of convenience we will write $\alpha(n_1, \dots, n_s, g_1, \dots, g_r)$ in place of $\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_r)(n_1, \dots, n_s)$.

Let $T^*(G, N; A) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C^p(G, C^q(N, A))$ be the total complex associated to the double complex with total differential $d_G + (-1)^{i+1}d_N$ on $C^*(G, C^i(N, A))$.

We define a map $l: C^*(G, A) \rightarrow T^*(G, N; A)$ by

$$l(\alpha) = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n), \quad \boxed{\alpha_p = S(0, q, p, 0)\alpha|_{N^q \times G^p}} \quad p+q=n.$$

Note in particular that $\alpha_n = \alpha$ and $\alpha_0 = \alpha|_{N^n}$.

PROPOSITION 3. $l: C^*(G, A) \rightarrow T^*(G, N; A)$ is a map of complexes.

Proof. This is the “general identity” of [H-S]. Q.E.D.

Define a filtration R_p of $C^*(G, A)$ where $\alpha \in R_p$ if $\alpha(h_1, \dots, h_q, g_1, \dots, g_p)$ depends only on the h_i and the classes mod N of the g_j . Also $R_p \cap C^n(G, A) = 0$ if $p > n$.

Define a filtration S_p of $T^*(G, N; A)$ by $S_p = \bigoplus_{i \leq p} C^i(G, C^*(N, A))$. If $\alpha \in R_p$ and $l(\alpha) = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$, then $\alpha_j = 0$, $j < p$, so $l(\alpha) \in S_p$. Thus l is a map of filtered complexes.

THEOREM 4 (Hochschild–Serre).

$$l^*: E_{p,q}^1(R) \rightarrow E_{p,q}^1(S) = C^p(G, H^q(N, A))$$

is an injection and image $l^* = C^p(Q, H^q(N, A))$.

COROLLARY 5. $E_{p,q}^2(R) = H^p(Q, H^q(N, A))$

We now study the quotient complex $C^*(Q, G; B, A)$. Define a filtration of $C^*(Q, G; B, A)$ by $\bar{R}_j = \text{image of } R_j$.

THEOREM 6 (Spectral sequence for relative cohomology).

$$E_2^{p,q}(\bar{R}) = \begin{cases} H^p(Q, H^q(N, A)) & q > 0 \\ H^p\left(Q, \frac{A^N}{B}\right) & q = 0 \end{cases} \\ \Rightarrow H^*(Q, G; B, A)$$

Remark. If $B = A^N$, the E_2 term of this spectral sequence is identical to the Hochschild–Serre E_2 term except that the bottom line has disappeared.

Proof. Considering $B \subset A \subset C^0(N, A)$ we have an inclusion $C^*(G, B) \rightarrow T^*(G, N; A)$ of complexes since $d_N(C^*(G, B)) = 0$. Since $l(C^n(Q, B)) \subset C^n(G, B)$, we get

$$\bar{l}: C^n(Q, G; B, A) \rightarrow \frac{T^N(G, N; A)}{C^n(G, B)}.$$

If we let $\bar{S}_j = \text{image of } S_j \text{ in } T^N(G, N; A)/C^n(G, B)$ then \bar{l} is a map of filtered complexes. Theorem 6 is then a corollary of the following analog of Theorem 4.

PROPOSITION 7. $\bar{l}_*: E_{p,q}^1(\bar{R}) \rightarrow E_{p,q}^1(\bar{S})$ is an inclusion with image

$$\begin{cases} C^p(Q, H^q(N, A)) & q > 0 \\ C^n\left(Q, \frac{A^N}{B}\right) & q = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. $C^n(Q, B) \subset R_n \subset R_p$, if $p \leq n$, so $E_{p,q}^0(\bar{R}) \simeq E_{p,q}^0(R)$ if $q > 0$ and

$$E_{n,0}^0(\bar{R}) \simeq \frac{E_{n,0}^0(R)}{C^n(Q, B)}.$$

Since $d(C^n(Q, B)) \subset C^{n+1}(Q, B) \subset R_{n+1}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow C^n(Q, B) \rightarrow 0 & \rightarrow \dots \text{ is a subcomplex of} \\ 0 \rightarrow E_{n,0}^0(R) \rightarrow E_{n,1}^0(R) \rightarrow \dots & \text{ with quotient} \\ 0 \rightarrow E_{n,0}^0(\bar{R}) \rightarrow E_{n,1}^0(\bar{R}) \rightarrow \dots & \end{aligned}$$

From the associated long exact sequence, we get

$$0 \rightarrow C^n(Q, B) \rightarrow E_{n,0}^1(R) \rightarrow E_{n,0}^1(\bar{R}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and $E_{p,q}^1(R) = E_{p,q}^1(\bar{R})$, $q > 0$.

Similarly $0 \rightarrow C^n(G, B) \rightarrow E_{n,0}^1(S) \rightarrow E_{n,0}^1(\bar{S}) \rightarrow 0$ is exact and $E_{p,q}^1(S) \simeq$

$E_{p,q}^1(\bar{S}), q > 0$. A look at the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{p,q}^1(R) \cong C^p(Q, H^q(N, A)) \subset E_{p,q}^1(S) & & q > 0 \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ E_{p,q}^1(\bar{R}) & \longrightarrow & E_{p,q}^1(\bar{S}) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow C^n(Q, B) \rightarrow E_{n,0}^1(R) \rightarrow E_{n,0}^1(\bar{R}) \rightarrow 0 & & & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & C^n(Q, A^N) & & & & \\ & & \cap & & & & \\ & & C^n(G, A^N) & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow C^n(G, B) \rightarrow E_{n,0}^1(S) \rightarrow E_{n,0}^1(\bar{S}) \rightarrow 0 & & & & & & \end{array}$$

establishes the proposition.

§4. The complex $L^*(G, N; A, B)$

We write an element of $T^n(G, N; A)/C^n(G, B)$ as $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \bar{\alpha}_n)$ where

$$\bar{\alpha}_n \in \frac{C^n(G, A)}{C^n(G, B)} \cong C^n(G, A/B).$$

DEFINITION

$$L^n(G, N; A, B) = \left\{ (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \bar{\alpha}_n) \in \frac{T^n(G, N; A)}{C^n(G, B)} \right.$$

such that $\alpha_k \equiv S(0, n-k, k, 0)\bar{\alpha}_n|_{N^{n-k} \times G^k} \pmod B$

and $S(0, n-m, m-k, k)\alpha_k = S(n-m, m-k, k, 0)\alpha_m|_{N^{n-k} \times G^k}$ }

Remark. If $A = A^N = B$ we make abbreviations such as $L^n(G, N; A, A)$ to $L^n(G, N; A)$. Note that in this case

$$\bar{\alpha}_n \in \frac{C^n(G, A)}{C^n(G, A)} = 0$$

so we abbreviate $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \bar{\alpha}_n)$ to $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$. The reader may check that if $A = A^N = B$, then (α_0, α_1) is in $L^2(G, N; A)$ if and only if the relation (4) of the introduction is satisfied and a cocycle iff (1) (2) and (3) are satisfied.

It is a direct consequence of Proposition 2 that the map

$$\bar{l}: \frac{C^n(G, A)}{C^n(Q, B)} \rightarrow \frac{T^n(G, N; A)}{C^n(G, B)}$$

of §3 (given by $\bar{l}(\bar{\alpha}) = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \bar{\alpha}_n)$, $\alpha_k = S(0, n-k, k, 0)\alpha|_{N^{n-k} \times G^k}$) has image contained in $L^n(G, N; A, B)$. In fact

PROPOSITION 8.

Image $\bar{l} = L^n(G, N; A, B)$

COROLLARY 9. $L^*(G, N; A, B)$ is a subcomplex of $T^*(G, N; A)/C^*(G, B)$.

DEFINITION. $\Lambda^*(G, N; A, B) = H^*(L^*(G, N; A, B))$

THEOREM 10. $\bar{l}^*: H^*(Q, G; B, A) \rightarrow \Lambda^*(G, N; A, B)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof of Proposition 8 and Theorem 10. Consider the following diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \frac{C^*(G, B)}{C^*(Q, B)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{C^*(G, A)}{C^*(Q, B)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{C^*(G, A)}{C^*(G, B)} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{l}_1 & & \downarrow \bar{l}_2 & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & L^*(G, N; B, B) & \rightarrow & L^*(G, N; A, B) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \frac{C^*(G, A)}{C^*(G, B)} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $\pi(\alpha_0, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_n) = \bar{\alpha}_n$. The top row obviously is exact and the first square commutative. Commutativity of the second square follows from the identity $S(0, 0, n, 0)\alpha = \alpha$, so that if $l(\alpha) = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$, then $\alpha_n = \alpha$. Hence π is surjective since $\pi \circ \bar{l}_2$ is.

Furthermore, since $\alpha_k \equiv S(0, n-k, k, 0)\bar{\alpha}_n|_{N^{n-k} \times G^k} \pmod{B}$, it follows that if $\bar{\alpha}_n = 0$ then α_k takes values in B . This proves exactness of the bottom line.

From the diagram it follows that \bar{l}_1 is surjective if and only if \bar{l}_2 is. The five lemma applied to the long exact sequences in homology shows that \bar{l}_1 induces an isomorphism on homology if and only if \bar{l}_2 does.

So it suffices to prove 8 and 10 in case $A = A^N = B$. Then

$$\frac{T^n(G, N; A)}{C^n(G, A)} = \bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=n \\ q>0}} C^p(G, C^q(N, A))$$

and

$$L^n(G, N; A) = \left\{ (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \frac{T^n(G, N; A)}{C^n(G, A)} \text{ such that for } \right. \\ \left. k < m \leq n-1, S(n-m, m-k, k, 0)\alpha_m|_{N^{n-k} \times G^k} = S(0, n-m, m-k, k)\alpha_k \right\}$$

We begin with the following

LEMMA 11. *Let $a = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$, $b = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$ be elements of $L^n(G, N; A)$. Then $a = b$ if and only if $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$ and $\alpha_k(m_1, \dots, m_{n-k}, g_1, \dots, g_k) = \beta_k(m_1, \dots, m_{n-k}, g_1, \dots, g_k)$ whenever $g_1 \notin N$.*

Proof of Lemma 11. Since $\alpha_k|_{N^n} = S(0, n-k, k, 0)\alpha_0 = S(0, n-k, k, 0)\beta_0 = \beta_k|_{N^n}$ we may assume some $g_i \notin N$. Suppose we have shown that $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for $i < k$, and that $\alpha_k = \beta_k$ whenever the first l for which $g_l \notin N$ satisfies $l \leq j < k$. We show $\alpha_k(m_1, \dots, m_{n-k}, h_1, \dots, h_j, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_k) = \beta_k(m_1, \dots, m_{n-k}, h_1, \dots, h_j, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_k)$ whenever $h_1, \dots, h_j \in N$ but $g_{j+1} \notin N$.

For simplicity, write (m, h, g) for $(m_1, \dots, m_{n-k}, h_1, \dots, h_j, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_k)$. Since $\alpha_{k-j} = \beta_{k-j}$ we have

$$S(n-k, j, k-j, 0)\alpha_k(m, h, g) = S(0, n-k, j, k-j)\alpha_{k-j}(m, h, g) \\ = S(0, n-k, j, k-j)\beta_{k-j}(m, h, g) = S(n-k, j, k-j, 0)\beta_k(m, h, g).$$

Furthermore, for σ of type $(n-k, j, k-j, 0)$, $\sigma \neq id$, we have by our induction hypothesis that $\alpha_k \circ l_\sigma(m, h, g) = \beta_k \circ l_\sigma(m, h, g)$ since in $l_\sigma(m, h, g)$ the value $g_{l+1} \notin N$ will occur earlier. Thus

$$\alpha_k(m, h, g) = S(n-k, j, k-j, 0)\alpha_k(m, h, g) - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ type}(n-k, j, k-j, 0) \\ \sigma \neq id}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \alpha_k \circ l_\sigma(m, h, g) \\ = S(n-k, j, k-j, 0)\beta_k(m, h, g) - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ type}(n-k, j, k-j, 0) \\ \sigma \neq id}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \beta_k \circ l_\sigma(m, h, g) \\ = \beta_k(m, h, g).$$

End of proof of Proposition 8. Let $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in L(G, N; A)$. We will define $\beta \in C^n(G, A)$ so that if $\bar{l}(\bar{\beta}) = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$, then $\beta_0 = \alpha_0$ and $\beta_i(m_1, \dots, m_{n-i}, g_1, \dots, g_i) = \alpha_i(m_1, \dots, m_{n-i}, g_1, \dots, g_i)$ whenever $m_1, \dots, m_{n-i} \in N$ and

$g_1 \notin N$. By Lemma 11, it will follow that $\beta_i = \alpha_i$, all i , i.e. $\bar{l}(\bar{\beta}) = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$.

Set $\beta \mid N^n = \alpha_0$. Then $\beta_0 = \alpha_0$. Set $\beta(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0$ if $g_1 \notin N$. Suppose β is defined on $N^{n-i} \times (G-N) \times G^{i-1}$, for $i > j > 0$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(m_1, \dots, m_{n-j}, g_1, \dots, g_j) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ type}(0, n-j, j, 0) \\ \sigma \neq id}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \beta \circ l_\sigma(m_1, \dots, m_{n-j}, g_1, \dots, g_j) \\ & \quad + \alpha_j(m_1, \dots, m_{n-j}, g_1, \dots, g_j), \end{aligned}$$

for $m_i \in N$ and $g_1 \notin N$. (Note that $\beta \circ l_\sigma(m_1, \dots, m_{n-j}, g_1, \dots, g_j)$ has already been defined). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_j(m_1, \dots, m_{n-j}, g_1, \dots, g_j) &= \sum_{\sigma \text{ type}(0, n-j, j, 0)} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \beta \circ l_\sigma(m_1, \dots, m_{n-j}, g_1, \dots, g_j) \\ &= \alpha_j(m_1, \dots, m_{n-j}, g_1, \dots, g_j). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 10 ($A = A^N = B$). It suffices to show the following:
Let K^* be the kernel of the composite

$$C^*(G, A) \rightarrow \frac{C^*(G, A)}{C^*(Q, A)} \xrightarrow{\bar{l}} L^*(G, N; A).$$

Then the inclusion $C^*(Q, A) \subset K^*$ induces an isomorphism on homology.

Let B_i be the filtration of $C^*(G, A)$ where $\alpha \in B_i \cap C^n(G, A)$ if α is unchanged whenever any of the last i values is multiplied by an element of N , $B_i \cap C^n(G, A) = C^n(Q, A)$ if $i \geq n$. For $i < p \leq n$, let $B_{i,p} = \{\alpha \in B_i \mid \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0 \text{ if } g_{p-i}, \dots, g_n \text{ are all in } N\}$, $B_{i,i} = B_i$, and $B_{i,p} \cap C^n(G, A) = B_{i+1} \cap C^n(G, A)$ if $p > n$. Set $K_i = K \cap B_i$, $K_{i,p} = K \cap B_{i,p}$.

We will show the following

- (a) $K_i = K_{i,i+1}$
- (b) $H^n\left(\frac{K_{i,n}}{K_{i,n+1}}\right) = 0 \quad i < n$
- (c) $H^n\left(\frac{K_{i,p}}{K_{i,p+1}}\right) = 0 \quad i < p < n.$

It follows that

$$H^n\left(\frac{K_i}{K_{i+1}}\right) = H^n\left(\frac{K_{i,i+1}}{K_{i,n+2}}\right) = 0$$

and hence that

$$H^n\left(\frac{K}{C^*(Q, A)}\right) = H^n\left(\frac{K_0}{K_{n+1}}\right) = 0.$$

Proof of (a). Let σ be of type $(0, n-i, i, 0)$. If $\sigma \neq id$ and $\alpha \in B_i$, then $\alpha \circ l_\sigma|_{N^{n-i} \times G^i} = 0$. Hence the formula, valid for $\alpha \in B_i : \alpha_i = \alpha|_{N^{n-i} \times G^i}$. In particular if $\alpha \in K_i$, $0 = \alpha_i = \alpha|_{N^{n-i} \times G^i}$, i.e. $\alpha \in K_{i,i+1}$.

Proof of (b). For $\alpha \in B_{i,n} \cap C^n(G, A)$, $d\alpha \in B_{i,n+1}$ we have for $m \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i-1}, g, m, h_1, \dots, h_i) \\ &= (-1)^{n-i}(\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i-1}, gm, h_1, \dots, h_i) - \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i-1}, g, h_1, \dots, h_i)) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\alpha \in B_{i+1} \cap C^n(G, A) = B_{i,n+1} \cap C^n(G, A)$. It follows that if $\alpha \in K_{i,n} \cap C^n(G, A)$, $d\alpha \in K_{i,n+1}$, then $\alpha \in K_{i,n+1}$.

Proof of (c). Choose a section $*$: $Q \rightarrow G$ of the quotient map $\pi : G \rightarrow Q$ such that $1^* = 1$.

We first show (c) in the special case $i = 0$.

Suppose $\alpha \in K_{0,p} \cap C^n(G, A)$, $d\alpha \in K_{0,p+1}$. Define for

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma, m_{p+2}, \dots, m_n \in N, \beta(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*\sigma, m_{p+2}, \dots, m_n) \\ = \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*, \sigma, m_{p+2}, \dots, m_n). \end{aligned}$$

If $\tilde{\beta}$ is any extension of β to all G^{n-1} , then $\tilde{\beta} \in B_{0,p}$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{\beta}(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*\sigma, m_{p+1}, \dots, m_n) \\ &= (-1)^p \beta(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*\sigma m_{p+1}, m_{p+2}, \dots, m_n) + \dots \\ &\quad + (-1)^p \beta(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*\sigma, m_{p+1}, \dots, m_n) \\ &= (-1)^p \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*, \sigma m_{p+1}, m_{p+2}, \dots, m_n) + \dots \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*, \sigma, m_{p+1}, \dots, m_{m-1}) \\ &= -d\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*, \sigma, m_{p+1}, \dots, m_n) \\ &\quad + (-1)^p \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*\sigma, m_{p+1}, \dots, m_n) \\ &= (-1)^p \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*\sigma, m_{p+1}, \dots, m_n) \end{aligned}$$

since $d\alpha \in K_{0,p+1}$, i.e. $\alpha - (-1)^p d\tilde{\beta} \in B_{0,p+1}$.

Thus it suffices to show that β can be extended to a $\tilde{\beta} \in K$. Now β is already defined on $G^p \times N^{n-p-1}$. Extend β as follows:

Let $\beta(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) = 0$ if $g_1 \notin N$ and some $g_i \notin N$, $p < i \leq n-1$. Assume now that β is defined if some $g_i \notin N$, $i \leq k < n-1$. Then if $g_{k+1} \notin N$ but $m_1, \dots, m_k \in N$ (and if $k < p$ some $g_i \notin N$, $p < i \leq n-1$) define

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(m_1, \dots, m_k, g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{n-1}) \\ = & - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ type}(0, k, n-k-1, 0) \\ \sigma \neq id}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \beta \circ l_{\sigma}(m_1, \dots, m_k, g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

the right side already having been defined. It follows that $\beta_{n-k-1}(m_1, \dots, m_k, g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{n-1}) = 0$ for $g_{k+1} \notin N$ (and provided some $g_i \notin N$, $p < i \leq n-1$, if $k < p$). Thus, by Lemma 11, it will follow that $\beta \in K$ if we can show

$$\beta_{n-k-1}(m_1, \dots, m_k, g_{k+1}, \dots, g_p, m_{p+1}, \dots, m_{n-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } m_{p+1}, \dots, m_{n-1} \in N.$$

But since $\beta \in B_{0,p}$ and $\alpha \in K_{0,p}$, a calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_{n-k-1}(m_1, \dots, m_k, g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^* \sigma, m_{p+1}, \dots, m_{n-1}) \\ & = \alpha_{n-k}(m_1, \dots, m_k, g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{p-1}, q^*, \sigma, m_{p+1}, \dots, m_{n-1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $\alpha \in K_{i,p}$, $d\alpha \in K_{i,p+1}$, $i > 0$. Define $\alpha'(q_1, \dots, q_i)(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i}) = \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i}, q_1^*, \dots, q_i^*)$. Then $\alpha'(q_1, \dots, q_i) \in B_{0,p-i}$ and a calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha'(q_1, \dots, q_i)_k(m_1, \dots, m_{n-i-k}, g_1, \dots, g_k) \\ & = \alpha_{k+i}(m_1, \dots, m_{n-i-k}, g_1, \dots, g_k, q_1^*, \dots, q_i^*) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so $\alpha'(q_1, \dots, q_i) \in K_{0,p-i}$. Moreover $d\alpha'(q_1, \dots, q_i) \in K_{0,p-i+1}$ since

$$d\alpha'(q_1, \dots, q_i)(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i}, m) = d\alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i}, m, q_1^*, \dots, q_i^*) \quad \text{for } m \in N.$$

Thus there is a $\beta'(q_1, \dots, q_i) \in K_{0,p-i}$ such that $\alpha'(q_1, \dots, q_i) - d\beta'(q_1, \dots, q_i) \in K_{0,p-i+1}$.

Define β by $\beta(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \beta'(\pi(g_{n-i}), \dots, \pi(g_n))(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i-1})$. We have $\beta \in B_{i,p}$ (so $\beta_j = 0$ for $j < i$). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_{i+k}(m_1, \dots, m_{n-i-k-1}, g_1, \dots, g_k, h_1, \dots, h_i) \\ & = \beta'(\pi(h_1), \dots, \pi(h_i))_k(m_1, \dots, m_{n-i-k-1}, g_1, \dots, g_k) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $\beta \in K$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha - d\beta)(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i-1}, m, h_1, \dots, h_i) \\ = (\alpha' - d\beta')(\pi(h_1), \dots, \pi(h_i))(g_1, \dots, g_{n-i-1}, m) \quad \text{for } m \in N, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\alpha - d\beta \in K_{i,p+1}$.

§5. A calculation using $L^*(G, N; A)$

PROPOSITION 12. *Let A be a divisible abelian group. Let N be a central subgroup of a perfect group G . Then $H^3(G/N; A) \rightarrow H^3(G, A)$ is injective and $H^2(G/N; A) \rightarrow H^2(G, A)$ is surjective (trivial coefficients).*

Proof. It is equivalent to show $H^2(G/N, G; A) = 0$. By Theorem 10, it is equivalent to show $\Lambda^2(G, N; A) = 0$. So let $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ be a cocycle of $L^*(G, N; A)$. We must show $a = db$, where $b = (\beta)$ and $db = (d_N\beta, d_G\beta)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_G\alpha_1(n, g, h) = g\alpha_1(g^{-1}ng, h) - \alpha_1(n, gh) + \alpha_1(n, g) \\ &= \alpha_1(n, h) - \alpha_1(n, gh) + \alpha_1(n, g) \quad (\text{since } A \text{ is a trivial } G \text{ module and } N \text{ is} \\ &\quad \text{central}) \end{aligned}$$

so $\alpha_1(n, \cdot): G \rightarrow A$ is a homomorphism. Since G is perfect this shows $\alpha_1 = 0$.

Now $0 = \alpha_1(n, m) = \alpha_0(n, m) - \alpha_0(n, m^{-1}nm) = \alpha_0(n, m) - \alpha_0(m, n)$ so $\alpha_0: N^2 \rightarrow A$ is a symmetric cocycle. The corresponding extension $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1$ is thus abelian and represents an element in $\text{Ext}_Z^1(N, A) = 0$, since A is divisible (hence injective, c.f. [M2] p. 93). So this extension is split, i.e. $0 = [\alpha_0] \in H^2(N, A)$, i.e., $\alpha_0 = d_N\beta$ for $\beta: N \rightarrow A$. Now

$$d_G\beta(n, g) = g\beta(g^{-1}ng) - \beta(n) = \beta(n) - \beta(n) = 0$$

so

$$db = (d_N\beta, d_G\beta) = (d_N\beta, 0) = (\alpha_0, 0) = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = a.$$

The case N central, A trivial

Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ be a central extension and A a trivial G module. Then equations 2–4 become

- (2) $0 = \alpha_1(m, g) - \alpha_1(mn, g) + \alpha_1(n, g)$ i.e. α_1 is bilinear
- (3) $0 = \alpha_1(n, h) - \alpha_1(n, gh) + \alpha_1(n, g)$
- (4) $\alpha_1(n, m) = \alpha_0(n, m) - \alpha_0(m, n)$

It follows easily that

PROPOSITION 13. *For a central extension with trivial coefficients we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2(G, N; A) \xrightarrow{i} H^2(N, A) \times \text{Bilin}(N \times G, A) \xrightarrow{t} \text{Bilin}(N \times N, A)$$

(where $i(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = ([\alpha_0], \alpha_1)$ and

$$t([\alpha_0], \alpha_1) = \alpha_1|_{N \times N} - (\alpha_0 - T\alpha_0), \quad T\alpha_0(n, m) = \alpha_0(m, n).)$$

COROLLARY 14. (1) $\text{Ext}^1(N, A) \times \text{Bilin}(N \times Q; A) \subset \Lambda^2(G, N; A)$

(2) If $\text{Bilin}(N \times G; A) = 0$, $\Lambda^2(G, N; A) = \text{Ext}^1(N, A)$

(3) If $H^2(N, A) = 0$, $\Lambda^2(G, N; A) = \text{Bilin}(N \times Q; A)$

COROLLARY 15. *If G is perfect, $\Lambda^2(G, N; A) \cong \text{Ext}^1(N, A)$*

Remark. The results in this section may also be derived from known results from the homology theory of groups. [K] [EHS] [GS].

REFERENCES

- [C] A. CONNES, *Sur la classification des facteurs de type II*. C-R Acad. Sci. Paris, A-B, 281 (1975) 13–15.
- [CW] C. C. CHENG and Y. C. WU, *An eight-term exact sequence associated with a group extension*. Mich. Math. J. 28 (1981) 323–340.
- [EHS] ECKMANN–HILTON–STAMMBACH, *On the homology theory of central group extensions II. The exact sequence in the general case*. Comment. Math. Helvetici 47 (1972) 171–178.
- [G-S] GUT–STAMMBACH, *On exact sequences in homology associated with a group extension*. J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 7 (1976) p. 15–34.
- [H-S] HOCHSCHILD and J. P. SERRE, *Cohomology of group extensions*. Trans. A.M.S. 74 (1953) 110–134.
- [H] J. HUEBSCHMANN, *Group extensions, crossed pairs and an eight term exact sequence*. J. Reine Ang. Math. 321 (1981) 150–172.
- [Ho] D. F. HOLT, *An interpretation of the cohomology groups*. J. of Algebra 60 (1979) 307–320.
- [J] V. F. R. JONES, *An invariant for group actions in Algèbres d'opérateurs*. Springer Lecture Notes 728 (1978) 237–253.
- [K] M. KERVAIRE, *Multiplicateurs de Schur et K-théorie in Essays on topology and Related topics*. Mémoires dédiés à G. de Rham. Springer-Verlag 1970 p. 212–225.
- [L] J. L. LODAY, *Cohomologie et groupe de Steinberg relatif*. J. of Algebra 54 (1978). 178–202.
- [M] S. MACLANE. *Homology*. Springer-Verlag 1975.
- [O] O. PINO ORTIZ. *Conjecture générale en cohomologie relative des groups*. Thèse, Université de Genève, 1982.

- [R] J. RATCLIFFE. *Crossed Extensions*. Trans. A.M.S. 257 (1980) 73–89.
[W] J. H. C. WHITEHEAD. *Combinatorial Homotopy II*. Bull. A.M.S. 55 (1949) 453–496.

N. H., University of Geneva, Switzerland

V. J., University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA

O. P. O. University of Geneva, Switzerland

J. R. University of Wisconsin at Madison, USA

Received March 11, 1983