

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 58 (1983)

Artikel: Quasippherical knots with infinitely many ends.
Autor: González-Acuna, F. / Montesinos, J.M.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-44598>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 24.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Quasiaspherical knots with infinitely many ends

F. GONZÁLEZ-ACUÑA AND JOSÉ MARÍA MONTESINOS*

A smooth n -knot K in S^{n+2} is called *quasiaspherical* [3] if $H_{n+1}(U) = 0$ where U is the universal cover of the exterior of K . Let G be a finitely generated group such that $G/G' \approx \mathbb{Z}$ and let H be a subgroup of G which is not contained in G' . We say that (G, H) is *unsplittable* if G does not have a free product with amalgamation decomposition $A *_F B$ with F finite and H contained in A .¹

THEOREM 1. *K is quasiaspherical if and only if $(\pi_1(S^{n+2} - K), H)$ is unsplittable, where H is the subgroup generated by a meridian.*

The “only if” part of this theorem was proved by Swarup [7]. A sketch of the “if” part was given in [2]; for the sake of completeness we give the details in § 1.

A knot K has *infinitely many ends* if for each integer m there is a compact set in U whose complement has more than m components with non compact closure.

The property of having infinitely many ends depends only on $\pi_1(S^{n+2} - K)$.

THEOREM 2. [5]. *K has infinitely many ends if and only if either*

- (i) $\pi_1(S^{n+2} - K) = A *_F B$ where F is finite; or
- (ii) $\pi_1(S^{n+2} - K) = A \leftarrow_F \phi$ where F is finite and properly contained in A and $\phi: F \rightarrow A$ is a monomorphism.²

Therefore, a knot which is not quasiaspherical has infinitely many ends. There are examples of n -knots which are not quasiaspherical, for $n \geq 2$ [2] [4].

Ratcliffe conjectures ([4, p. 323], [3, Problem 3]) that n -knots with infinitely many ends are not quasiaspherical. We give counter-examples to this conjecture for $n \geq 2$. Thus, by the results of Lomonaco [3; Theorem 10.1], even in the class

* Supported by “Comision Asesora del MUI”.

¹ Whenever we write $A *_F B$ it is understood that C is a proper subgroup of A and B .

² The HNN group $A \leftarrow_F \phi$ is $(A * \langle t: - \rangle) / N$, where N is the normal closure of $\{tft^{-1}\phi(f)^{-1} : f \in F\}$. Here $\langle t: - \rangle$ is an infinite cyclic group generated by t .

of infinitely many ended knots there are knots for which the homotopy type of the complement is determined by its algebraic 2-type.

First we obtain sufficient conditions for a pair $(A \leftarrow_F \phi, H)$ to be unsplittable; then we realize geometrically examples of such pairs. An affirmative answer to the question we ask in § 1 would characterize unsplittable pairs $(A \leftarrow_F \phi, H)$. We settle it when A has at most one end and H is generated by the stable letter. In § 2 we construct a 2-knot whose group is $(Z_m \times Z_{2^m-1}) \leftarrow_{Z_m} \psi$ where $Z_m \cup \psi(Z_m)$ generates the semidirect product $Z_m \ltimes Z_{2^m-1}$, a meridian being represented by the stable letter. Using § 1 one shows that this is a quasiaspherical knot with infinitely many ends.

We thank Professor Milnor for his comments on the paper.

§ 1. Algebraic part

Let G be a finitely generated group and let H be a subgroup of G . Viewing ZG as a left G -module by left multiplication, we consider the restriction homomorphism $r: H^1(G; ZG) \rightarrow H^1(H; ZG)$. Swarup [7, Th. 4] proved:

PROPOSITION 1. *If $r: H^1(G; ZG) \rightarrow H^1(H; ZG)$ is not injective then $G = A *_F B$ or $G = A \leftarrow_F \phi$ where F is finite and $H \subset A$.*

The converse of this theorem is valid [10, Theorem 5.2]:

PROPOSITION 2. *If $G = A *_F B$ or $G = A \leftarrow_F \phi$ with F finite and if $H \subset A$ then $r: H^1(G; ZG) \rightarrow H^1(H; ZG)$ is not injective.*

COROLLARY 1. *Let G be a finitely generated group such that $G/G' \approx Z$ and let H be a subgroup of G such that $H \not\subset G'$. Then (G, H) is unsplittable if and only if the restriction $r: H^1(G; ZG) \rightarrow H^1(H; ZG)$ is injective.*

Proof. G cannot be of the form $A \leftarrow_F \phi$ with $H \subset A$ because $A \subset G'$. The result then follows from Propositions 1 and 2.

Now if U is the universal cover of the exterior of a knot K then using the exact sequence of $(U, \partial U)$, Poincaré duality and the isomorphisms $H_c^1(U) \approx H^1(G; ZG)$ $H_c^1(\partial U) \approx H^1(H; ZG)$ it follows that $H_{n+1}(U)$ is isomorphic to the kernel of r .

From these observations and Corollary 1, Theorem 1 follows.

If $G = A *_F B$, where F is finite, we say that A is a *factor* of G .

In the remainder of this section we let $G = A \leftarrow_F \phi$ where F is finite and

$G/G' \approx \mathbb{Z}$, let $m = a_0 t^{\varepsilon_1} a_1 \cdots t^{\varepsilon_n} a_n$, $a_i \in A$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i = 1$ and let H be the (infinite cyclic) subgroup of G generated by m .

PROPOSITION 3. *Let C be the subgroup of A generated by $F \cup \phi(F) \cup \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$. If C is a finite proper subgroup of A or if C is contained in a factor of A then (G, H) is not unsplittable.*

Proof. Suppose C is a finite proper subgroup of A . Then the homomorphism from $G = A \leftarrow_F \phi$ to $(C \leftarrow_F \phi) *_C A$ whose restriction to A is the natural inclusion and which sends the stable letter of $A \leftarrow_F \phi$ to the stable letter of $C \leftarrow_F \phi$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism. Since $C \leftarrow_F \phi$ contains the image of H it follows that (G, H) is not unsplittable.

Similarly one shows that if C is contained in a factor P of $A = P *_E Q$ then there is an isomorphism from G onto $(P \leftarrow_F \phi) *_E^E Q$ where E is finite and H is mapped into $P \leftarrow_F \phi$.

Question. Is the converse of Proposition 3 valid?

A partial answer is the following:

THEOREM 3. *Let $G = A \leftarrow_F \phi$ where F is finite and $G/G' \approx \mathbb{Z}$; let H be the subgroup generated by the stable letter t and let C be the subgroup of A generated by $F \cup \phi(F)$. Assume*

- (i) A has at most one end, and
- (ii) C is not a finite proper subgroup of A . Then (G, H) is unsplittable.

Proof. Associated to a HNN -group there is a natural exact sequence of cohomology groups [1, Th. 3.1]. The homomorphism of the HNN group $H = 1 \leftarrow \tau$ to the HNN group $G = A \leftarrow_F \phi$ sending the stable letter t of H to the stable letter t of G induces a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & ZG & \xrightarrow{(1-t)^*} & ZG & & \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(1; ZG) & \xrightarrow{(1-t)^*} & H^0(1; ZG) & \longrightarrow & H^1(H; ZG) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow i & & \uparrow j & & \uparrow r \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(A; ZG) & \xrightarrow{(1-t)^*} & H^0(F; ZG) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G; ZG) \longrightarrow H^1(A; ZG) = 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 & & (ZG)^A & \xrightarrow{(1-t)^*} & (ZG)^F & &
 \end{array}$$

Here i can be identified with the inclusion of $(ZG)^A$ in ZG and j , with the inclusion of $(ZG)^F$ in ZG . Notice that $H^1(A; ZG) \approx H^1(A; ZA) \otimes_{ZA} ZG = 0$ because A has at most one end [8, page 145].

LEMMA. Let $w \in ZG$. If $(1-t) \cdot w \in (ZG)^F$ then $w \in (ZG)^C$.

Proof. Write $w = \sum_{g \in G} n_g \cdot g$. Then $(1-t)w = \sum_{g \in G} m_g \cdot g$ where $m_g = n_g - n_{t^{-1}g}$. Since $(1-t) \cdot w \in (ZG)^F$ we have $m_g = m_{fg}$ that is

$$n_g - n_{t^{-1}g} = n_{fg} - n_{t^{-1}fg}, \quad g \in G, \quad f \in G. \quad (*)$$

We only need to show (i) $n_g = n_{fg}$ and (ii) $n_g = n_{\phi(f)g}$ for $f \in F$, $g \in G$.

For a sufficiently large k we have $n_{t^{-k}g} = n_{t^{-k}fg} = 0$. From (*) it follows that $n_{t^{-i}g} = n_{t^{-i}fg}$ for $k \geq i \geq 0$. This proves (i).

To prove (ii) notice that $n_{tg} - n_g = n_{tg} - n_{t^{-1}tg} = n_{f(tg)} - n_{t^{-1}f(tg)} = n_{ftg} - n_{\phi(f)g}$. By (i) $n_{tg} = n_{ftg}$. Hence $n_g = n_{\phi(f)g}$. This proves the lemma.

An element $x \in \ker r$ is the image of an element $y \in (ZG)^F$. Then $j(y) = y$ is of the form $(1-t) \cdot w$ where $w \in ZG$. By the lemma $w \in (ZG)^C$. If C is infinite then $w = 0$ so that $x = 0$; if $C = A$ then y is in the image of $(ZG)^A$ and therefore $x = 0$. Hence, r is injective and, by Corollary 1, (G, H) is unsplitable. This completes the proof of the theorem.

§2. Geometric realization

Let L be a smooth n -link in S^{n+2} , $n > 1$, with components L_1, \dots, L_r . L has a unique framing. Denote by N^{n+2} the manifold obtained by surgery on L . Then L is replaced by $M = m_1 \cup \dots \cup m_r$ where each m_i is a 1-sphere. M has a natural framing so that if we perform surgery on M using this framing we recover S^{n+2} .

If G is a group, a *cyclic word* of G is a subset of G which is the union $[g]$ of the conjugacy classes of g and g^{-1} , for some $g \in G$. The cyclic word of $\pi_1 N^{n+2}$ determined by m_i will also be denoted by m_i and will be called a *meridian*. It corresponds to a meridian of $\pi_1(S^{n+2} - L)$ under the isomorphism $\pi_1(S^{n+2} - L) = \pi_1(N^{n+2} - M) \approx \pi_1(N^{n+2})$. We remark that a finite system of cyclic words c_1, \dots, c_r of $\pi_1 N$ determines disjoint 1-spheres (which we also denote by c_1, \dots, c_r), well defined up to isotopy, which represent them.

Let (G, m, c) be a triple where G is a group, m is a system of r cyclic words m_1, \dots, m_r of G , and c is also a system of r cyclic words c_1, \dots, c_r of G .

If, for some i , we replace c_i by $c'_i = [g_i g_j]$ where $g_i \in c_i$, $g_j \in c_j$, $i \neq j$ we obtain a new system c' of cyclic words of G . We say that (G, m, c') is obtained from (G, m, c) by a *band move*.

If in the triple (G, m, c) some cyclic word m_i of m coincides with a cyclic word c_j of c consider the projection $G \rightarrow \hat{G}$ where $\hat{G} = G/\langle m_i \rangle$.⁴ Let \hat{m} be the system $\hat{m}_1, \dots, \hat{m}_{i-1}, \hat{m}_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{m}_r$ and let \hat{c} be the system $\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{j-1}, \hat{c}_{j+1}, \dots, \hat{c}_r$. Then we say that $(\hat{G}, \hat{m}, \hat{c})$ is obtained from (G, m, c) by a *collapse*.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $c = \{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$ be a system of cyclic words of $\pi_1 N^{n+2}$; let $m = \{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$ be the system of meridians of $\pi_1 N^{n+2}$. Assume the triple $(1, \emptyset, \emptyset)$ can be obtained from the triple (G, m, c) by a finite sequence of band moves and collapses. Then, if we perform surgery on $c_1 \cdots c_r$ using suitable framings, we obtain S^{n+2} .*

Proof. Consider the $(n+2)$ -manifold $\chi(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r; c_1, \dots, c_r)$ obtained from S^{n+2} by surgery on L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r and then by surgery on c_1, \dots, c_r ; the framing of L_1, \dots, L_r is unique; the framings of c_1, \dots, c_r are specified later.

A band move on c_1, \dots, c_r can be realized by a “band move” among the 1-dimensional surgeries. By this we understand the effect on the boundary of a cobordism when we perform handle slidings; these handle slidings do not change the cobordism. Thus if $c' = \{c'_1, \dots, c'_r\}$ is obtained from $c = \{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$ by band moves then $\chi(L_1, \dots, L_r; c_1, \dots, c_r) = \chi(L_1, \dots, L_r; c'_1, \dots, c'_r)$.

If now some cyclic word of c' , say c'_r , equals some cyclic word of m , say m_r , then if we endow m_r with the natural framing $\chi(L_1, \dots, L_r; c'_1, \dots, c'_{r-1}, m_r) = \chi(L_1, \dots, L_{r-1}; c'_1, \dots, c'_{r-1})$ because the surgeries on L_r and m_r cancel. We want the framings of c_1, \dots, c_r be such that the framing of c'_r coincides with the framing of m_r . Then we have

$$\chi(L_1, \dots, L_r; c_1, \dots, c_r) \approx \chi(L_1, \dots, L_{r-1}; c'_1, \dots, c'_{r-1})$$

Proceeding this way we eventually obtain

$$\chi(L_1, \dots, L_r, c_1, \dots, c_r) = \chi(\emptyset; \emptyset) = S^{n+2}.$$

This proves the proposition because we can find the framings of c_1, \dots, c_r working all the process backwards.

Suppose c_1, \dots, c_r are cyclic words of $\pi_1 N^{n+2}$ such that by a finite sequence of band moves and collapses, it is possible to obtain the triple $(1, \emptyset, \emptyset)$ from $(\pi_1 N; m_1, \dots, m_r; c_1, \dots, c_r)$. Perform surgery on $c_1 \cup \dots \cup c_r$ using suitable framings to obtain S^{n+2} . Then $c_1 \cup \dots \cup c_r$ is replaced by a disjoint union of n -spheres S_1, \dots, S_r in S^{n+2} .

The following proposition is clear.

⁴ $\langle \rangle$ denotes normal closure.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let $1 \leq k \leq r$. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_i$ is a link in S^{n+2} with group $\pi_1 N / \bigcup_{i>k} \langle c_i \rangle$. The meridian corresponding to S_i , $i \leq k$, is represented by c_i .*

Remark. This construction of links generalizes the construction introduced in [2, § 1].

Now, we will construct quasiaspherical knots with infinitely many ends. Let $L = L_1 \cup L_2$ be a smooth 2-link in S^4 such that $\pi_1 N^4 \approx \|a, t, x: a^m = 1, t^{-1}at = a^{-1}\|$ where m is odd and t, x are the meridians. For example L can be taken to be a split link one of whose components is a 2-twist spun torus knot and the other one is trivial. Now let c_1, c_2 be the cyclic words of $\pi_1 N^4$ represented by xt^{-1} and $a^{-1}xax^{-2}$ respectively. It is easy to find a sequence of band moves changing $\{c_1, c_2\}$ into $\{x, t\}$. According to Proposition 5 there is a knot K_m in S^4 whose group is $\|a, t, x: a^m = 1, t^{-1}at = a^{-1}, a^{-1}xax^{-2} = 1\| \approx (Z_m \ltimes Z_{2^{m-1}}) \varprojlim_{Z_m} \phi$ where $Z_m \ltimes Z_{2^{m-1}}$ is the semidirect product $\|a, t: a^m = x^{2^{m-1}} = 1, a^{-1}xa = x^2\|$; the domain of ϕ is the subgroup generated by a ; and $\phi(a) = a^{-1}$. Moreover xt^{-1} represents a meridian of K_m .

THEOREM 4. *The 2-knot K_m is quasiaspherical and has infinitely many ends.*

Proof. By Theorem 2 ii) K_m has infinitely many ends. To see that it is quasiaspherical notice that $\pi_1(S^4 - K_m) \approx \|a, x, t: a^m = a^{-1}xax^{-2} = 1, t^{-1}at = a^{-1}\| \xrightarrow{f} \|a, x, s: a^m = a^{-1}xax^{-2} = 1, s^{-1}as = x^{-1}a^{-1}\| \approx (Z_m \ltimes Z_{2^{m-1}}) \varprojlim_{Z_m} \psi$ where $f(a) = a$, $f(x) = x$, $f(t) = sx$; the domain of ψ is the subgroup generated by a and $\psi(a) = x^{-1}a^{-1}$. Since $Z_m \cup \psi(Z_m)$ generates $Z_m \ltimes Z_{2^{m-1}}$ and the stable letter s is a meridian, it follows from Theorems 3 and 1 that K_m is quasiaspherical. This proves the theorem.

Since the spinning construction preserves meridian, we have:

COROLLARY 2. *For $n \geq 2$ there are quasiaspherical n -knots with infinitely many ends.*

Remark. The knot K_m has the same group as the corresponding knot in [2, pag. 95]. However, the latter is not quasiaspherical (see [4] or Proposition 3).

REFERENCES

- [1] BIERI, R., *Mayer-Vietoris sequences for HNN-groups and homological duality*, Math. Z. 143 (1975) 123–130.
- [2] GONZÁLEZ-ACUÑA F., and MONTESINOS, J. M., *Ends of knot groups*, Annals of Math. 108 (1978) 91–96.
- [3] LOMONACO, S. *The homotopy groups of knots I; how to compute the algebraic 2-type*, Pacific J. Math., 95 (1981) 349–390.

- [4] RATCLIFFE, J., *On the ends of higher dimensional knot groups*, J. Pure and Appl. Alg. 20 (1981) 317–324.
- [5] STALLINGS, J., *Group theory and three-dimensional manifolds*, New Haven and London, Yale University Press (1971).
- [6] SWAN, R. G., *Groups of cohomological dimension one*, Journal of Algebra 12 (1969) 585–601.
- [7] SWARUP, A., *An unknotting criterion*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 6 (1975) 291–296.
- [8] WALL, C. T. C., *Pairs of relative cohomological dimension one*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 1 (1971) 141–154.
- [9] SERRE, J. P. *Arbres, Amalgames, Sl_2* , Asterisque 46 (1977).
- [10] DUNWOODY, M. J., *Accessibility and groups of cohomological dimension one*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 38 (1979), 193–215.

Instituto de Matemáticas
UNAM, México
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de Zaragoza
Spain

Received May 24, 1982