Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 57 (1982)

Artikel: A 1 1/2-dimensional version of Hopf's Theorem on the number of ends

of a group.

Autor: Bieri, Robert

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43872

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 10.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

A $1\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional version of Hopf's Theorem on the number of ends of a group

ROBERT BIERI

1. Introduction

If G is a finitely generated group then the first cohomology group with group ring coefficients $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is known to be free-Abelian. H. Hopf [7] has shown that its \mathbb{Z} -rank, rk $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$, attains only the values 0, 1 or ∞ , and the celebrated structure theorem of Hopf-Stallings [7], [12], classifies these three cases in terms of the group theoretic structure of G.

Of course the cohomology group $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ carries much more information than just its Abelian group structure. As the coefficient module $\mathbb{Z}G$ is a bi-module $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ inherits the structure of a (right) G-module; and by functoriality one can consider the restriction maps

res:
$$H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \to \prod^m H^1(S_i; \mathbb{Z}G)$$
 (1.1)

where $\mathcal{G} = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m\}$ is a finite family of finitely generated subgroups of G. The relative versions of Stalling's structure theorem by Swan [13] and Swarup [14] show that the kernel K of (1.1) is free-Abelian of rank 0, 1 or ∞ , and classify these three cases in terms of the structure of the pair (G, \mathcal{G}) .

In this paper we consider the cokernel $C(G, \mathcal{S})$ of the restriction map (1.1), under the assumption that G is accessible. (For a discussion of accessibility refer to [4], but we recall that every finitely generated torsion-free group is accessible by Gruško's Theorem and that it is unknown whether finitely generated non-accessible groups exist). We observe that Heinz Müller's result [9] on the freeness of the cokernel of the restriction map carries readily over to the case of a finite family of subgroups, so that $C(G, \mathcal{S})$ is always free-Abelian in our situation. Our main result asserts that the rank m of $C(G, \mathcal{S})$ is equal to 0, 1 or ∞ except in the very special situation when G contains an infinite cyclic subgroup of finite index, in which case m can attain every value $0 \le m < \infty$. Then we classify the three cases $m = 0, 1, \infty$ in terms of the structure of (G, \mathcal{S}) . The fact that, in view of the long exact cohomology sequence for the pair (G, \mathcal{S}) , the cokernel of (1.1) "lies between $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ and $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ " justifies our title.

2. The results

2.1. Our main result is

THEOREM A. Let G be a finitely generated accessible group and $\mathcal{G} = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m\}$ a finite non-empty family of finitely generated infinite subgroups of G, and let $\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{F})$ denote the rank of the (free-Abelian) cokernel of the restriction map (1.1). If G contains an infinite cyclic subgroup of finite index then

$$\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |G: S_i| - 1;$$

otherwise rk $C(G, \mathcal{S})$ is equal to 0 or 1, or ∞ .

Note that finite groups in the family \mathcal{S} have no influence whatsoever on the cokernel of (1.1) and so we lose no generality by assuming that all groups in \mathcal{S} are infinite.

Next we classify the three cases $\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S}) = 0, 1, \infty$ by exhibiting necessary and sufficient conditions for $\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S})$ to be 0 or 1, respectively, The case $\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S}) = 0$ is then, of course, given by exclusion.

2.2. $\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S}) = 1$. In order to state the result when $C(G, \mathcal{S})$ is infinite cyclic we introduce the following notation. Let (G, \mathcal{S}) be a pair consisting of a group G and a family $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$ of subgroups (possibly with repetitions!), and let $F \leq G$ be an auxiliary subgroup. For each index $i \in I$ we choose a system X_i of double coset representatives of $F \setminus G/S_i$ and consider the family

$$\mathcal{S}' = \{ F \cap x_i S_i x_i^{-1} \mid x_i \in X_i, i \in I \}.$$

Up to cojugacy within F, \mathcal{S}' is independent of the choice of X_i , $i \in I$. We call (F, \mathcal{S}') the full subpair of (G, \mathcal{S}) given by $F \leq G$.

We define the group pair (G, \mathcal{S}) to be a virtual Poincaré duality pair if G contains a subgroup of finite index $F \leq G$ such that the full subpair of (G, \mathcal{S}) given by F is a Poincaré duality pair in the sense of [2]. Note that F is necessarily torsion-free and that the definition of a virtual Poincaré duality pair is independant of the patricular choice of F by [2], Theorem 7.6.

THEOREM B.⁽¹⁾ Let (G, \mathcal{S}) be as in Theorem A. Then $\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S}) = 1$ if and only if (G, \mathcal{S}) is a virtual Poincaré duality pair of dimension 2.

Thus in view of [2] Theorem 9.3 we have $\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S}) = 1$ if and only if G

¹ Eckmann and Müller have recently obtained a different proof of Theorem B and a direct description of all virtual Poincaré duality pairs of dimension 2. See "Plane motion groups and virtual Poincaré duality of dimension 2". Preprint, Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik 1981, ETH, Zürich.

contains a free subgroup of finite index, each S_i contains an infinite cyclic subgroup of finite index, and the relative cohomology group $H^2(G, \mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is $\cong \mathbb{Z}$.

It was shown by Eckmann and Müller [5] that the 2-dimensional Poincaré duality pairs are geometric, that is, given by the fundamental group and the peripheral subgroup system of a compact surface-with-boundary. This yields the

COROLLARY.⁽¹⁾ Let (G, \mathcal{S}) be as in Theorem A and assume G is torsion-free. Then $\operatorname{rk} C(G, S) = 1$ if and only if G is a free group having a basis $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{m-1}, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, such that the subgroups $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ are conjugate to the infinite cyclic subgroups $\operatorname{gp}(t_1), \ldots, \operatorname{gp}(t_{m-1}), \operatorname{gp}(t_1 \cdots t_{m-1}r)$, where

$$r = [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \cdots [x_{n-1}, x_n], n \text{ even} \ge 0$$

if $C(G, \mathcal{S})$ has trivial G-action, and

$$r = x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot x_n^2, \qquad n \ge 0$$

2.3. $\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S}) = 0$. In order to exhibit the structure of (G, \mathcal{S}) when the restriction map (1.1) is surjective we have to consider simultaneous decompositions of G and the subgroups S_i as fundamental groups of graphs of groups. In order to handle the family \mathcal{S} it is convenient to consider graphs of groups (\mathfrak{G}, X) where the underlying graph X is not necessarily connected and define its "fundamental group" $\pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, X)$ to be the family of fundamental groups of the connected components.

In more detail: Let X(i), $i \in I$, denote the connected components of the (oriented) graph X, with vertices V(X(i)) and (positive) edges E(X(i)), and let $\mathfrak{G}(i)$ be the corresponding system of vertex groups G_v , $v \in V(X(i))$ and edge groups $G_e \leq G_{o(e)}$, $G_{\bar{e}} \leq G_{t(e)}$, $e \in E(X(i))$. Then $\pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, X)$ stands for the family of groups $G(i) = \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}(i), X(i))$, $i \in I$. Recall that G(i) is generated by the vertex groups G_v , $v \in V(X(i))$ and stable letters p_e , $e \in E(X(i))$, subject to the following defining relations.

$$p_e^{-1}G_ep_e=G_{\bar{e}}, \qquad e\in E(X(i))$$

 $p_e = 1$ for all edges e in a maximal tree of X(i).

So let $G = \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, X)$, with X connected, and $\mathcal{G} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{S}, Y)$ with Y arbitrary, and let V(X), V(Y) be the set of vertices and E(X), E(Y) the set of (positive) edges of X resp. Y.

DEFINITION. We say that the decompositions of G and \mathcal{G} are compatible (via an orientation preserving graph map $f: Y \to X$) if there are elements $c_v \in G$,

 $v \in V(X)$, such that the following holds

$$c_v^{-1} S_v c_v \le G_{f(v)}$$
 for every vertex $v \in V(Y)$ (2.1)

$$c_{o(e)}p_{f(e)} = p_e c_{t(e)}$$
 for every edge $e \in E(Y)$, (2.2)

where p_e and $p_{f(e)}$ stand for the stable letters corresponding to the (positive) edges e resp. f(e).

Note that if G and $\mathscr S$ have compatible decompositions via f, $G = \pi_1(\mathfrak S, X)$, $\mathscr S = \pi_1(\mathfrak S, Y)$, then the same holds for any family $\mathscr S' = \{S'_i \mid i \in I\}$ with $S'_i = g_i^{-1}S_ig_i$, $g_i \in G$. Indeed, let $(\mathfrak S_i, Y_i)$, $i \in I$, be the connected components of $(\mathfrak S, Y)$. Then conjugating each $\mathscr S_i = \pi_1(\mathfrak S_i, Y_i) \leq G$ by g_i yields a decomposition $\mathscr S' = \pi_1(\mathfrak S', Y)$ satisfying (2.1), and (2.2), where for each $v \in V(Y_i)$ and $e \in E(Y_i)$ c_v is to be replaced by $g_i^{-1}c_v$ and p_e by $g_i^{-1}p_eg_i$.

Now we are in a position to state

THEOREM C. Let (G, \mathcal{S}) be as in Theorem A. Then $C(G, \mathcal{S}) = 0$ if and only if G and \mathcal{S} have compatible decompositions $G = \pi_1(\mathfrak{S}, X)$, $\mathcal{S} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{S}, Y)$ given by a graph map $f: Y \to X$ which is bejective on the edges, such that the following holds:

- (i) all edge groups of G are finite and coincide with the corresponding (conjugate) edge groups of $\mathcal G$
- (ii) all vertex groups of \mathcal{G} have ≤ 1 end.

As a special case Theorem 3 contains a splitting result which is related to those of Swan [13], Lemma 7.1, and Wall [15].

COROLLARY. Let G be a torsion-free finitely generated group and \mathcal{G} a finite family of finitely generated free subgroups of G. Then $C(G, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ if and only if G is the free product $G = S_1 * \cdots * S_m * K$ where $S_i \leq G$ is a subgroup conjugate to S_i $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $K \leq G$ is an auxiliary subgroup.

Proof. If res is surjective G and \mathcal{G} have decompositions $G = \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, X)$, $\mathcal{G} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, Y)$ satisfying the properties (i), (ii) of Proposition 7.2. Hence all edge groups are trivial and all vertex groups S_v of \mathcal{G} have ≤ 1 end. Since S_v is free this means that $S_v = 1$, and $\mathcal{G} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, Y)$ is the family of fundamental groups (in the topological sense) of the connected components Y_i of Y. Since $X = f(Y_i)$ the fundamental group of X is free product of $\pi_1(f(Y_i))$ and an auxiliary group K_1 , and clearly $G \cong \pi_1(X) * K_2$ where K_2 is the tree product along a maximal tree of X. Finally $\pi_1(f(Y_i)) \cong \pi_1(Y_i) * K_{3i}$ because f identifies certain vertices; note that one has to choose base points and use conjugation to adapt the elements $c_v \in G$ so that the last isomorphism involves conjugation.

3. Two preliminary lemmas

3.1. Let G be a group and K a commutative ring with nontrivial unity. Recall that a KG-module M is said to be of type $(FP)_n$, where n is an integer ≥ 0 or $n = \infty$, if M has a projective resolution which is finitely generated in all dimensions $\leq n$. If M is of type $(FP)_{\infty}$ and of finite projective dimension then M is said to be of type (FP). If the trivial G-module K is of type $(FP)_n$ (resp. of type (FP)) then we say that the group G is of type $(FP)_n$ over K (resp. of type (FP) over K).

LEMMA 3.1 (Stallings [12]). Let K be a field and assume that G has no K-torsion. Let V be a non-trivial KG-module of finite K-dimension. then we have

- (a) The KG-module V is of type $(FP)_n$ if and only if the group G is of type $(FP)_n$ over K.
- (b) The projective dimension of the KG-module V is equal to the chomology dimension cd_KG of G over K.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{P} \to K$ be a projective resolution of the KG-module K. Then $\mathbf{P} \otimes_K V$ is a projective resolution of V. And if \mathbf{P} is finitely generated (resp. of finite length) so is $\mathbf{P} \otimes_K V$.

Conversely: Assume first that V is of type $(FP)_n$. By induction one may assume that $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_{n-1}$ are finitely generated, hence so are $P_i \otimes_K V$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.

Let $R = \ker (P_{n-1} \to P_{n-2})$. Since V is of type $(FP)_n$, $R \otimes_K V$ is finitely generated over KG; hence so is R, and therefore G is of type $(FP)_n$ over K.

Now assume V is of projective dimension $\leq n$. Then $R \otimes_K V$ is a projective KG-module. Let F be a free KG-module and $f: F \to R$ an epimorphism. There is a KG-homomorphism $g: R \otimes_K V \to F \otimes_K V$ which splits $f \otimes 1$. Stallings defines to such a map g the "transfer trace" $g_V^*: R \to V$ as follows: for every $r \in R$ and a fixed basis $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ of V one has

$$g(r \otimes v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{ij}(r) \otimes v_j$$

and we can put

$$g_V^*(r) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{ii}(r)$$

It is easy to check that $g_{V}^{*}: R \to F$ is a KG-homomorphism which does not

depend upon the choice of the basis $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$, and that the composite map $f \cdot g_V^* : F \to R$ is multiplication by $n = \dim_K V$. Since G has no K-torsion $\frac{1}{n} g_V^* : R \to F$ splits f, and R is projective.

3.2. There is an immediate Corollary which improves Lemma 3.2(b) provided the cohomology dimension $cd_K G$ is known to be finite.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let K be a field, G a group of finite cohomology dimension over K, and M a KG-module containing a non-trivial submodule $V \leq M$ of finite K-dimension. Then $\operatorname{cd}_K G$ is equal to the projective dimension of M.

Proof. Let A be a KG-module such that $\operatorname{Ext}_{KG}^m(V, A) \neq 0$, where $m = \operatorname{cd}_K G$. Since the projective dimension of any KG-module is $\leq m$ we obtain from the long exact Ext-sequence

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{KG}^{m}(M, A) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{KG}^{m}(V, A) \to \underbrace{\operatorname{Ext}_{KG}^{m+1}(M/V, A)}_{=0}$$

that $\operatorname{Ext}_{KG}^m(M, A) \neq 0$. Hence the projective dimension of M is $\geq m$ and hence $= \operatorname{cd}_K G$.

4. Resolutions of end groups by permutation modules

4.1. Let G be an infinite finitely generated accessible group and $\mathcal{G} = \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$ a finite family of finitely generated subgroups of G. In this section we deduce a finite resolution of the relative cohomology group $H^1(G, \mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ regarded as a right G-module. For definitions and notation concerning the cohomology of a pair (G, \mathcal{G}) we refer to [2]. Thus we consider the short exact sequence

$$\Delta_{G/\mathscr{S}} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}G/\mathscr{S} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(G/\mathcal{S})$ is an abbreviation for the direct sum of all permutation modules $\mathbb{Z}G/S_i$, $i \in I$, and ε is the obvious augmentation. Then

$$H^{k}(G, \mathcal{S}; ZG) = \begin{cases} H^{k}(G; \mathbb{Z}G), & \text{if } \mathcal{S} = \emptyset \\ \operatorname{Ext}_{G}^{k-1}(\Delta_{G/\mathcal{S}}, \mathbb{Z}G) & \text{if } \mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

Note that $H^0(G, \mathcal{S}; A) = 0$ for $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$; and replacing the subgroups $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ by

conjugates leads to an isomorphic relative group. Finally, we shall use the abbreviation $H^n(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ for the direct product of the groups $H^n(S_i; \mathbb{Z}G)$, $i \in I$.

4.2. Let I_{fin} (resp. I_{inf}) denote the set of all $i \in I$ with S_i finite (resp. infinite), and put

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{fin}} = \{ S_i \mid i \in I_{\text{fin}} \}, \qquad \mathcal{S}_{\text{inf}} = \{ S_i \mid i \in I_{\text{inf}} \}.$$

From $\mathbb{Z}G/\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Z}G/\mathcal{G}_{fin} \oplus \mathbb{Z}G/\mathcal{G}_{inf}$ one easily obtains a short exact sequence of left G-modules.

$$\mathbb{Z}G/\mathscr{S}_{\operatorname{fin}} \rightarrowtail \Delta_{G/\mathscr{S}} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_{G/\mathscr{S}_{\operatorname{inf}}}$$

and the corresponding Ext-Sequence yields the short exact sequence of right G-modules.

$$0 \to H^0(\mathcal{G}_{\text{fin}}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^1(G, \mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^1(G, \mathcal{G}_{\text{inf}}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Now, $H^0(\mathcal{G}_{fin}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is the direct product of the (right) permutation modules $\mathbb{Z}(S_i \setminus G)$, $i \in I_{fin}$.

4.3. It remains to consider the cohomology group $H^1(G, \mathcal{G}_{inf}; \mathbb{Z}G)$, which – by the long exact sequence for the pair (G, \mathcal{G}_{inf}) – is isomorphic to the kernel of the restriction map $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^1(\mathcal{G}_{inf}; \mathbb{Z}G)$. If the kernel is =0 then, by Swarup's relative version of Stalling's Structure Theorem [14] one can replace the groups in \mathcal{G}_{inf} by suitable conjugates in such a way that G can be written as the fundamental group of a graph of groups (\mathfrak{G}, X) with finite edge groups and with every group of \mathcal{G}_{inf} contained in one of the vertex groups. Let V be the set of vertices and E the set of positive edges of X. \mathcal{G}_{inf} can be written as a disjoint union of families \mathcal{G}_v of subgroups of the edge groups, G_v , $v \in V$. If $H^1(G_v, \mathcal{G}_v; \mathbb{Z}G) \neq 0$ for some $v \in V$ one can repeat the decomposition procedure. But as G is accessible the decomposition stops after a finite number of steps. Hence we can assume that $H^1(G_v, \mathcal{G}_v; \mathbb{Z}G) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

The relative Mayer-Vietoris sequence (cf. [2], Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, which can be generalized to arbitrary graphs of groups) now yields a short exact sequence of right G-modules.

$$0 \to \prod_{\mathbf{V}} H^{0}(G_{v}, \mathcal{S}_{v}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to \prod_{\mathbf{E}} H^{0}(G_{e}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^{1}(G, \mathcal{S}_{inf}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Of course $H^0(G_v, \mathcal{S}_v; \mathbb{Z}G) = 0$ if either $\mathcal{S}_v \neq \emptyset$ or G_v is infinite. If G_v is finite then $\mathcal{S}_v = \emptyset$ and $H^0(G_v, \mathcal{S}_v; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong \mathbb{Z}(G_v \setminus G)$, and similarly for $H^0(G_e; \mathbb{Z}G)$. Thus

(4.3) can be written as

$$0 \to \prod_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathsf{fin}}} \mathbb{Z}(G_{\upsilon} \setminus G) \to \prod_{E} \mathbb{Z}(G_{e} \setminus G) \to H^{1}(G, \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{inf}}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to 0, \tag{4.4}$$

where $V_{\text{fin}} \subseteq V$ is the set of all vertices v with G_v finite.

4.4. From the short exact sequence (4.2) and (4.4) we deduce three things. Firstly, the permutation modules $\mathbb{Z}(U\backslash G)$ for a finite subgroup $U \leq G$ are of type $(FP)_{\infty}$. Since the index sets V_{fin} , E and I_{fin} are finite it follows that the G-module $H^1(G,\mathcal{F};\mathbb{Z}G)$ is of type $(FP)_{\infty}$. Secondly, when tensored with \mathbb{Q} , a permutation module $\mathbb{Z}(U\backslash G)$, U finite, becomes a projective $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module. Hence using (4.4) and (4.2) one can construct a finite projective resolution of $H^1(G,\mathcal{F};\mathbb{Q}G)$. This yields a bound for the projective dimension and the Euler characteristic of this $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module. Using the notation of [3] (in fact extending it slightly) we write $\chi(M)$ for the Hattori-Stallings-rank of a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module of type (FP) – recall that $\chi(M)$ is a finite \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of conjugacy classes in G – and $\mu(M) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for its coefficient of $1 \in G$.

We summarize:

THEOREM 4.1. Let G be a finitely generated infinite accessible group and $\mathcal{G} = \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$ a finite family of finitely generated subgroups of G. Then the right G-module $H^1(G, \mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is of type $(FP)_{\infty}$. The $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module $H^1(G, \mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Q}G)$ is of type (FP) and of projective dimension ≤ 2 ; and its Euler characteristic is given by

$$\mu(H^{1}(G, \mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Q}G)) = \sum_{E} \frac{1}{|G_{e}|} - \sum_{V_{fin}} \frac{1}{|G_{v}|} + \sum_{I_{fin}} \frac{1}{|S_{i}|}.$$
(4.5)

Proof. If K is a finite group then the trivial $\mathbb{Q}K$ -module \mathbb{Q} is projective and has Euler characteristic $\mu(\mathbb{Q}) = 1/|U|$. If U is a subgroup of G then $\mathbb{Q}G$ is free as a $\mathbb{Q}U$ -module, hence $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}U} \mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Q}(U \backslash G)$ is $\mathbb{Q}G$ -projective; and by the covariance property of χ (and μ) we get $\mu(\mathbb{Q}(U \backslash G)) = \mu(\mathbb{Q})$. Using the behaviour of χ (and μ) with respect to exact sequence yields formula (4.5).

4.5. Remark. For the proof of the main result we shall actually only need the case $\mathcal{G} = \emptyset$ of Theorem 4.1. In this case (4.2) is irrelevant and hence the projective dimension of $H^1(G; \mathbb{Q}G)$ is even ≤ 1 .

5. The cokernel C(G, S) of res is free-Abelian

5.1. Next we observe that H. Müller's result [9] on the cokernel of the restriction map extends to the case of a family of subgroups:

THEOREM 5.1 (H. Müller). Let G be a finitely generated accessible group and $\mathcal{G} = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m\}$ a finite family of finitely generated subgroups. Then the cokernel $C(G, \mathcal{G})$ of the restriction map $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^1(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is free-Abelian.

Proof. Following the proof of [9], Corollary 1.9 one can embedded S_1 into a certain accessible group \bar{S}_1 with $C(\bar{S}_1, S_1)$ free-Abelian and such that there is a short exact sequence

$$C(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow C(G, \mathcal{G}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}\bar{G} \rightarrow C(\bar{S}_1, S_1) \otimes_{S_1} \mathbb{Z}\bar{G},$$

where \bar{G} stands for the amalgamated free product $\bar{G} = G_{*S_1}\bar{S}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ for the family $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \{\bar{S}_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m\}$ of subgroups of \bar{G} . Hence it suffices to prove that $C(\bar{G}, \bar{\mathcal{G}})$ is free-Abelian. Repeating the argument shows that we may assume that all subgroups S_1, \ldots, S_m are accessible. The proof of [9], Corollary 1.4 now carries over.

6. The case when $0 < \text{rk } C(G, \mathcal{S}) < \infty$

6.1. Throughout this section we assume G to be a finitely generated accessible group and $\mathcal{G} = \{S_1, \ldots, S_m\}$ a finite non-empty family of finitely generated infinite subgroups such that the cokernel $C(G, \mathcal{G})$ of (1.1) is of finite \mathbb{Z} -rank > 0.

LEMMA 6.1. Under these assumptions the restriction map (1.1) is injective, so that one has the short exact sequence of G-modules.

$$H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow C(G, \mathcal{G}).$$
 (6.1)

Proof. If not, then by Swarup's relative version of Stalling's structure theorem [14], after replacing the groups S_i by suitable conjugates, the pair (G, \mathcal{S}) decomposes non-trivially as an amalgamated product of two pairs (G_i, \mathcal{S}_i) , i = 1, 2 or as an HNN-extension over a pair (G_1, \mathcal{S}_1) , where in either case the amalgamated (associated) subgroup is finite. Writing C_i for the cokernel $C(G_i, \mathcal{S}_i)$ we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$H^{1}(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^{1}(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to C(G, \mathcal{G}) \to 0$$

$$\downarrow^{\beta} \downarrow^{\gamma} \qquad \uparrow^{\gamma} \downarrow$$

$$\prod H^{1}(G_{i}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to \prod H^{1}(\mathcal{G}_{i}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to \prod C_{i} \otimes_{G_{i}} \mathbb{Z}G \to 0$$

 α is the restriction which occurs in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for G; hence, as the amalgamated subgroup is finite, α is epimorphic. \mathcal{G} is the disjoint union of \mathcal{G}_1

and \mathcal{G}_2 ; hence β is the identity. It follows by the 5-Lemma that γ is an isomorphism. Therefore one of the G-modules $C_i \otimes_{G_i} \mathbb{Z}G$ is of finite \mathbb{Z} -rank >0. But this implies that G_i is of finite index in G which is impossible.

6.2. Dunwoody's accessibility criterion [4] asserts that a group G is accessible if and only if the cohomology group $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is finitely generated as a right G-module. From our assumption that G is accessible and $C(G, \mathcal{S})$ free-Abelian of finite rank it thus follows that $H^1(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ and hence each $H^1(S_i; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong H^1(S_i; \mathbb{Z}S_i) \otimes_{S_i} \mathbb{Z}G$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}G$. As $\mathbb{Z}G$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}S_i$ -module we can infer that $H^1(S_i; \mathbb{Z}S_i)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}S_i$. Hence all groups S_i , $1 \le i \le m$, are accessible by the criterion again.

Thus the absolute version of Theorem 4.1 applies for both G and S_i , $1 \le i \le m$. Hence the G-modules $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ and $H^1(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ are of type $(FP)_{\infty}$, and in view of the short exact sequence (6.1) so is $C(G, \mathcal{G})$. Moreover the $\mathbb{Q}G$ -modules $H^1(G; \mathbb{Q}G)$ and $H^1(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Q}G)$ are of type (FP) and of projective dimension ≤ 1 . Hence the short exact sequence (6.1), when tensored with \mathbb{Q} , shows that $C_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, \mathcal{G}) = C(G, \mathcal{G}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module of type (FP) and of projective dimension ≤ 2 .

By Lemma 3.1 we can now infer that the group G is of type $(FP)_{\infty}$ over \mathbb{Z} and of type (FP) with $\operatorname{cd}_{\mathbb{Q}} G \leq 2$ over \mathbb{Q} .

6.3. Our next aim is to show that the kernel $\Delta = \Delta_{G/\mathscr{S}}$ of the augmentation map $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}G/\mathscr{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (4.1) is a G-module of type $(FP)_1$. To that end take an arbitrary direct power $\prod \mathbb{Z}G$ of copies of $\mathbb{Z}G$, and apply $\operatorname{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}G}(\prod \mathbb{Z}G, -)$ to the short exact sequence (4.1). This yields the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{\mathbb{Z}G} \left(\prod \mathbb{Z}G, \mathbb{Z} \right) &\to \left(\prod \mathbb{Z}G \right) \otimes_{G} \Delta \to \left(\prod \mathbb{Z}G \right) \otimes_{G} \mathbb{Z}(G/\mathcal{S}) \to \left(\prod \mathbb{Z}G \right) \otimes_{G} \mathbb{Z} \to 0 \\ 0 &\to \prod \Delta &\to \prod \mathbb{Z}G/\mathcal{S} &\to \prod \mathbb{Z} &\to 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the vertical arrows stand for the limiting homomorphism (e.g., $\mu_1(\prod \lambda_i \otimes d) = \prod \lambda_i d$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}G$, $d \in \Delta$). Since \mathbb{Z} is of type $(FP)_{\infty}$ as a G-module $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}G}(\prod \mathbb{Z}G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and μ_3 is an isomorphism. \mathcal{G} is a finite family of finitely generated subgroups of G, hence $\mathbb{Z}G/\mathcal{G}$ is of type $(FP)_1$ and μ_2 is an isomorphism. It follows that μ_1 is an isomorphism, whence Δ is of type $(FP)_1$ (see e.g. [1], chapter I).

6.4. From Section 6.3. we infer that the $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} = \Delta \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is of type $(FP)_1$. So let us choose a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -projective resolution

$$P_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} P_0 \longrightarrow \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{6.2}$$

which is finitely generated in dimensions 0 and 1, and which we can use to compute the relative cohomology groups $H^n(G, \mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Q}G)$ for n = 1 and 2. Also, we have the long exact sequence for the pair (G, \mathcal{S})

$$\cdots \to H^0(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Q}G) \to H^1(G, \mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Q}G) \to H^1(G; \mathbb{Q}G) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} H^1(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Q}G) \to H^2(G, \mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Q}G) \to$$

where res is injective by Lemma 6.1. Since all groups in \mathcal{G} are infinite $H^0(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Q}G) = 0$ and hence $H^1(G, \mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Q}G) = 0$. This shows that

$$0 \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow P_1^* \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\partial_1^*) \rightarrow 0$$
,

with $P_i^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}G}(P_i, \mathbb{Q}G)$ is a short exact sequence. But P_0^* and P_1^* are finitely generated projective right $\mathbb{Q}G$ -modules, hence $\operatorname{coker}(\partial_1^*)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module of projective dimension ≤ 1 . Clearly $\operatorname{coker}(\partial_1^*)$ contains $\ker \partial_2^*/\operatorname{im} \partial_1^* = H^2(G, \mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Q}G)$ which, in turn, contains the submodule $C_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ of finite \mathbb{Q} -dimension. By Corollary 3.2 this implies that the cohomology dimension of G over \mathbb{Q} is in fact ≤ 1 . Hence by Dunwoody's generalization of Stallings' theorem [4] G contains a free subgroup of finite index.

We summarize

THEOREM 6.2. Let G be a finitely generated accessible group and \mathcal{S} a finite family of finitely generated subgroups of G. If the cokernel $C(G, \mathcal{S})$ of the restriction map

$$H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^1(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}G)$$

is of finite \mathbb{Z} -rank >0 then G contains a free subgroup of finite index.

Remark. It follows, in particular, that in the situation of Theorem 6.2 one has $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G) = 0$. Hence the long exact sequence for (G, \mathcal{S}) shows that $H^2(G, \mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong C(G, \mathcal{S})$.

6.5. It remains to examine the situation when G is a finitely generated infinite free-by-finite group and \mathcal{G} a finite family of m infinitely generated, infinite subgroups. Then G can be thought of as the fundamental group of a finite graph

² This type of argument was used by Farrell [6]

 (\mathfrak{G}, X) of finite groups. Let V denote the set of vertices and E the set of positive edges of X. Then Theorem 4.1 yields the formula

$$\mu(H^1(G; \mathbb{Q}G)) = \sum_{E} \frac{1}{|G_e|} - \sum_{V} \frac{1}{|G_v|}.$$

But this is precisely the negative of the formula for the Euler characteristic $\mu(G) = \mu(\mathbb{Q})$ (e.g. [3], Theorem 2). Hence we have

$$\mu(H^1(G;\mathbb{Q}G)) = -\mu(G),$$

and similar for S_i ,

$$\mu(H^1(S_i; \mathbb{Q}G)) = \mu(H^1(S_i; \mathbb{Q}S_i) \otimes_{S_i} \mathbb{Z}G)$$
$$= \mu(H^1(S_i; \mathbb{Q}S_i)) = -\mu(S_i).$$

From the short exact sequence (6.1) we now obtain the formula

$$\mu(C_{\mathbb{Q}}(G,\mathcal{S})) = \mu(G) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu(S_i)$$
(6.3)

On the other hand $C_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, \mathcal{S})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module of finite \mathbb{Q} -dimension, whence $\mu(C_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, \mathcal{S})) = \dim C_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, \mathcal{S}) \cdot \mu(G)$ (see e.g. [3], Lemma 8). Together with (6.3) this yields the equation

$$\mu(G)(\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S}) - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu(S_i) = 0.$$
 (6.4)

Let F be a free subgroup of finite index in G and n the rank of F. Then $\mu(F) = 1 - n = |G:F| \cdot \mu(G)$. This shows that $\mu(G)$ is ≤ 0 and $\mu(G) = 0$ if and only if G is infinite cyclic-by-finite. Of course the same holds for $\mu(S_i)$; hence we can deduce from (6.4) that $\mu(S_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and either $\mu(G) = 0$ or $\mathrm{rk}\ C(G, \mathcal{S}) = 1$. In other words: all groups S_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, contain an infinite cyclic subgroup of finite index and either the same holds for G itself or one has $C(G, \mathcal{S}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. Instead of using Euler characteristics A. Freudenberger [Diplomarbeit 1982, University of Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany] obtains formula (6.4) by computing the \mathbb{Q} -dimensions in the long exact homology sequence of G with coefficients in (6.1) tensored with \mathbb{Q} .

6.6. The proof of Theorems A and B is now easily completed: If G is infinite cyclic-by-finite then the index $|G:S_i|$ is finite for all $1 \le i \le m$, $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $H^1(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G) = \prod H^1(S_i; \mathbb{Z}S_i) \otimes_{S_i} \mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{G}\backslash G)$ is free-Abelian of rank $\sum |G:S_i|$. By the short exact sequence (6.1) we thus have

$$\operatorname{rk} C(G, \mathcal{S}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |G: S_i| - 1.$$

On the other hand, if $\mu(G) \neq 0$ and hence $C(G, \mathcal{S}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ we consider a free subgroup F of finite index in G and the full subpair (F, \mathcal{S}') of (G, \mathcal{S}) given by F (c.f. Section 2.2). By [2], Proposition 7.5, we have

$$H^2(F, \mathcal{S}'; \mathbb{Z}F) \cong H^2(G, \mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong C(G, \mathcal{S}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence (F, \mathcal{S}') is a 2-dimensional Poincaré duality pair by the PD^2 -criterion [2] Theorem 9.3.

7. The case when $C(G, \mathcal{S}) = 0$.

7.1. Here we have to consider compatible decompositions of the pair (G, \mathcal{S}) as defined in Section 2.3. That is, both G and \mathcal{S} are "fundamental groups of graphs of groups" $G = \pi_1(\mathfrak{S}, X)$ $\mathcal{S} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{S}, Y)$ —where the graph Y is not necessarily connected—and there is given an orientation preserving graph map $f: Y \to X$ and for each vertex v of Y a group element $c_v \in G$ such that the equations (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied.

One feature of compatible decompositions is a natural homomorphism between the Mayer-Vietories sequences of G and \mathcal{S} . Indeed one has the commutative diagram of G-modules.

$$\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}G/S_{e} \longrightarrow \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}G/S_{v} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}G/S$$

$$\downarrow_{f_{1}} \qquad \downarrow_{f_{0}} \qquad \downarrow_{\varepsilon}$$

$$\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}G/G_{e} \longrightarrow \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}G/G_{v} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$E(X) \qquad V(X) \qquad (7.1)$$

where V(X), V(Y) stand for the set of vertices and E(X), E(Y) for the set of positive edges of X resp. Y. The rows are the short exact sequences [9], p. 168

and the vertical maps are given by

$$\begin{cases}
f_1(gS_e) = gc_{o(e)}G_{f(e)} \\
f_0(gS_v) = gc_vG_{f(v)}
\end{cases} g \in G, \quad v \in V(Y), \quad e \in E(Y)$$

Commutativity of the diagram is guaranteed by (2.2). Applying the functor $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}G}^*(-,A)$, A an arbitrary G-module, and using the Shapiro Lemma thus yields the commutative ladder

$$\cdots H^{k}(G; A) \to \prod_{V(X)} H^{k}(G_{v}; A) \to \prod_{E(X)} H^{k}(G_{e}; A) \to H^{k+1}(G; A) \to \cdots$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad (7.2)$$

$$\cdots H^{k}(\mathcal{G}; A) \to \prod_{V(Y)} H^{k}(S_{v}; A) \to \prod_{E(Y)} H^{k}(S_{e}; A) \to H^{k+1}(\mathcal{G}; A) \to \cdots$$

7.2. We are now in a position to prove

PROPOSITION 7.1. Let G be a group and \mathcal{G} a family of subgroups. Assume that G and \mathcal{G} have compatible decompositions $G = \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, X)$, $\mathcal{G} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, Y)$, via a graph map $f: Y \to X$ which is injective on the edges, and such that the following two conditions hold

- (i) $S_e^{c_{0(e)}} = G_{f(e)}$ for every edge $e \in E(y)$
- (ii) all vertex groups S_v of \mathcal{G} have ≤ 1 end.

Then the restriction map $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^1(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is surjective.

Proof. The condition (i) implies that the vertical map f_1 in the diagram (7.1) is the injection of a direct summand. Hence $\operatorname{Hom}_G(f_1, \mathbb{Z}G) = f_1^*$ is surjective and (7.2) with $A = \mathbb{Z}G$ yields the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\prod_{f_1^*} H^0(G_e; \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow \prod_{res} H^1(G_v; \mathbb{Z}G)$$

$$\prod_{f_1^*} H^0(S_e; \mathbb{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow \prod_{res} H^1(S_v; \mathbb{Z}G)$$

Now, condition (ii) asserts that $H^1(S_v; \mathbb{Z}G) = 0$ for all $v \in V(Y)$; hence δ is an epimorphism and so is res.

7.3. It remains to prove the following converse of Proposition 7.1.

PROPOSITION 7.2. Let G be a finitely generated group and $\mathcal{G} = \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$ a finite family of finitely generated accessible subgroups. If the restriction map $\operatorname{res}: H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^1(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is surjective then G and \mathcal{G} have compatible decompositions $G = \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, X)$, $\mathcal{G} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}, Y)$ via an orientation preserving graph $\operatorname{map} f: Y \to X$ which is bijective on the edges and such that

- (i) for every edge $e \in E(Y)$ the edge group G_e is finite and coincides with $S_e^{c_{O(e)}}$,
- (ii) all vertex groups S_v of \mathcal{G} have ≤ 1 end.

Proof. Since \mathcal{S} is a finite family of finitely generated accessible groups \mathcal{S} can be written as the "fundamental group" of some finite graph of groups (\mathfrak{S}, Y) with all edge groups S_e finite and all vertex groups S_v having ≤ 1 end. If we arrange (\mathfrak{S}, Y) such that all embedlings $S_e < G_{o(e)}$ are proper, then the number of edge pairs of Y is an invariant of \mathcal{S} which we call the *complexity*.

We shall prove Proposition 7.2 by induction on the complexity of \mathcal{G} . If the complexity is = 0 then every S_i has ≤ 1 end and the proposition holds with X consisting of one vertex and no edges and Y consisting of an isolated vertex for every $i \in I$. If \mathcal{G} has complexity >0 then $H^1(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G) \neq 0$. So assume $H^1(S_1; \mathbb{Z}G) \neq 0$, and put $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{G} - \{S_1\}$. Since res is surjective H. Müller's first decomposition Theorem applies ([7], Corollary 3.1.). Thus after replacing the groups in \mathcal{T} by suitable conjugates the pair (G, \mathcal{T}) and the subgroup S_1 have a proper simultaneous decomposition in the following sense. Either $G = G_1 *_K G_2$ and $S_1 = S_{11} *_K S_{12}$ where K is finite. $S_{1i} \leq G_i$ (i = 1, 2), and \mathcal{T} is the disjoint of families \mathcal{T}_1 , \mathcal{T}_2 of subgroups of G_1 , resp. G_2 ; or $G = G_1 *_{K,p}$ is an HNN-group with stable letter p and finite associated subgroups K, pKp^{-1} , \mathcal{T} consists of subgroups of G_1 , and S_1 is either $= S_{11} *_{K,p}$ or $= S_{11} *_{K,p} S_{12} p^{-1}$, with S_{11} , $S_{12} \leq G_1$. Note that all these decompositions of G and G are compatible in the sense of Section 2.3.

We restrict the discussion to the first case, the other cases being similar. By (7.2) we have a map between the Mayer-Vietoris sequences for G and S_1 , and adding $H^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Z}G)$ to the latter yields the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$H^{0}(K; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^{1}(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2} H^{1}(G_{i}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \bigoplus_{\text{res}} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \bigoplus_{\text{res}, \downarrow} \downarrow$$

$$H^{0}(K; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^{1}(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2} H^{1}(S_{1i}; \mathbb{Z}G) \oplus H^{1}(\mathcal{T}; \mathbb{Z}G) \to 0$$

from which we deduce that the restriction map

$$\operatorname{res}_i: H^1(G_i; \mathbb{Z}G_i) \to H^1(\mathcal{T} \cup \{S_{1i}\}; \mathbb{Z}G_i)$$

is surjective for i = 1, 2. Now the complexity of $\mathcal{T}_i \cup \{S_{1i}\}$ is less than that of \mathcal{S} . Hence, by induction, G_i and $\mathcal{T}_i \cup \{S_{1i}\}$ have a compatible decomposition satisfying the assertion of Proposition 7.2. Putting these together yields a compatible decomposition of G and \mathcal{S} with the required properties.

Remark. Instead of assuming that the subgroups in \mathcal{S} are accessible in Proposition 7.2 one could also assume that the group G is accessible. Indeed, by Dunwoody's criterion [4] this would mean that $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is finitely generated as a right G-module. Since res: $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \to H^1(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is assumed to be surjective the same holds for \mathcal{S} , implying that every $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ is accessible.

REFERENCES

- [1] BIERI, R., Homological dimension of discrete groups. Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, Queen Mary College, London 1975.
- [2] BIERI, R. and ECKMANN, B., Relative homology and Poincaré duality for group pairs. Journal of Pure and Appl. Algebra 13 (1978), 277-319.
- [3] CHISWELL, I. M., Euler characteristics of groups. Math. Z. 147 (1976), 1-11.
- [4] DUNWOODY, M. J., Accessibility and groups of cohomological dimension one. Proc. London Math. Soc. 38 (1979), 193-215.
- [5] ECKMANN, B. and MÜLLER, H., Poincaré duality groups of dimension two. Comment. Math. Helv. 55 (1980), 510-520.
- [6] FARRELL, F. T., Poincaré duality and groups of type (FP). Comment. Math. Helv. 50 (1975), 187-195.
- [7] HOPF, H., Enden offener Räume und unendliche diskontinuierliche Gruppen. Comment. Math. Helv. 16 (1944), 81-100.
- [8] MÜLLER, H., Decomposition theorems for group pairs. Math. Z. 176 (1981), 223-246.
- [9] —, H., The second "Endpoint group" of amalgamated free products. Preprint. Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, ETH Zürich 1981.
- [10] SERRE, J.-P., Arbres, amalgames, SL₂. Astérique 46 Société math. de France 1977.
- [11] STALLINGS, J. R., Group theory and three dimensional manifolds. Yale University Press, New Haven 1971.
- [12] —, An extension theorem for Euler characteristics of groups. Preprint.
- [13] SWAN, R. G., Groups of cohomological dimension one, J. of Algebra 12 (1969), 585-610
- [14] SWARUP, G. A., Relative version of a theorem of Stallings. J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra 11 (1977), 75-82.
- [15] WALL, C. T. C., Pairs of relative cohomological dimension one. J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra 1 (1971), 141-154.

Mathematisches Seminar der Universität Robert Mayer Strasse 6–8 D-6000 Frankfurt a/M.

Received, March 26, November 27 1981